







ERCATA

MATERIAL CONTRACTOR SERVICES a the same of the same of والمعلقة والمواقعة والرابط المالية American a deliminar-the day of the last of the las The same of the sa 10 mg th 12 pt 10 to 10 mg 12 mg 12 As Alexandra by the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section o the restorate the gold market which the fill CAT IN THE STREET OF THE PARTY and the state of t Bellevier of the second of which I BURNELL TO THE STREET OF THE STREET W. Company of the Real Print Company of the Company the same a confinite of the part of the same of the sa ATTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF the Water was deposits of Figure 1982 to work At the second second the second of the secon and the same of th a distinct of the section of embound of the later of which of the state of the state of 0010,2000,11,02-1-20 THE STREET STREET THE DAY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

BOOKS printed for A. MILLAR; and Sold by T. CADELL, opposite Catherine-Street, in the Strand.

THE Works of Francis Bacon, Lord High Chancellor of England; to which is prefixed a new Life of the Author; by Mr. Mallet, the 4th edition, 5 vols. royal 4to.

The History of England, from the Invasion of Julius Cassar, to the Revolution 1688, by David Hume, Esq; a new edition in 8 vols. 8vo. with additions—or fix vols. royal 4to.

The Works of John Milton, Historical, Political, and Miscellaneous; to which is prefixed an Account of the Life and Writings of the Author, 2 vols. 4to.

An Ecclesiastical History, Antient and Modern, from the Birth of Christ, to the beginning of the present Century, by the Jate learned Lawrence Mosheim, D. D. translated from the Original, and accompanied with Notes and Chronological Tables, by Archibald Maclaine, M. A. Minister of the English Church at the Hague, 2 vols. 4to.

Whitlocke's Notes upon the King's Writ choosing Members of Parliament, 13 Car. 11. being Disquisitions on the Government of England, by King, Lords and Commons, published by Charles Morton, M. D. 2 vols. 4to.

Harris's Lives of Charles 1, 2. Oliver Cromwell, and James 1. 5 vols. 8vo. after the manner of M. Bayle.

The Parliamentary or Constitutional History of England; from the earliest Times to the Restoration of King Charles II. Collected from the Records, the Rolls of Parliament, the Journals of both Houses, the public Libraries, original MSS. scarce Speeches and Tracts. All compared with the several contemporary Writers, and connected throughout with the History of the Times. With a good Index. By Teveral Hands, in 24 vols. 8vo.

IN DOC LINE

John Adams

ECCLESIASTICAL

and fold by T. Cinsus, opposite

Value of Francis and Indian Canodian

Value of Francis and Canodian Canodian

Inc. Author. by Mr. Malle, the eth estension, goods.

Cultering-Street, in the Smind.

By RICHARD BURN, L.L. D.

Color is the Beneation (\$550) by David Three, May

Chancellor of the Diocese of Carlisle, and Vicar of Orten, in the County of Westmorland.

The SECONDEDITION.

In FOUR VOLUMES.

White of the proof of the Plants in the Mary

V O L. IV.

FONDON:

Printed by H. WCODFALL and W. STRAHAN, Law-Printers to the King's most Excellent Majesty;

For A. MILLAR; and Sold by T. CADELL, opposite Catherine-Street, in the Strand.

M. DCC. LXVII.

ECCLESIASTICAL

L A W.

* *ADAMS L92, G

Dy RICHARD BURN, L.L.D.
Cheecher of the Discois of Conf. and Vans of
Order in the County of Witherstore.

MOITIGS GROOMS dr

VANDTOL RADE O

. 71 A 0 V

-120 11 0 76-017

Macation.

Y the common law of the church, the profits of the who shall have vacation were to be laid out for the benefit of the the profits during the vacancy of a church, or referved for the successor; but by special privilege or custom, the bishop or archdeacon might have the same, or some part thereof: so also, it is said, the king might take the profits of a free chapel, and the patron of a donative the profits of such donative, during

the time of vacation. Lind. 137. Gibf. 749.

But by the statute of the 28 H. 8. c. 11. it is enacted as followeth: viz. Forasmuch as in the statute for the payment of first fruits, it is not declared who shall have the fruits tithes and other profits of spiritual promotions offices benefices and dignities, during the time of vacation thereof; divers of the archbishops and bishops of this realm have not only when the time of the taking of tithes hath approached deferred the collation of such benefices as have been of their own patronage, but also have upon presentations of clerks made unto them by the just patrons deferred to institute indust and admit the same clerks, to the intent that they might receive to their own use the same tithes growing and arising during the vacation; so that thro' fuch delays (over and above the first fruits) they have been constrained to lose all or the most part of one year's profits, to their great loss and hindrance: it is therefore enacted, that the tithes, fruits, oblations, obventions, emoluments, commodities, advantages, rents, and all other whatsoever revenues casualties and profits, certain and uncertain, belonging to any archdeaconry, deanry, prebend, parsonage, vicarage, hospital, wardenship, provostship, or other spiritual promotion benefice dignity or office, growing or coming during the time of vacation, shall belong to such person as shall be thereunto next presented, promoted, instituted, inducted, or admitted, towards the payment of his first fruits.

And if any archbishop, bishop, archdeacon, ordinary, or any other person or persons to their uses and behoof, shall receive or take the same, and shall not upon reasonable request render the same to the next incumbent lawfully instituted industed or admitted, or shall let or interrupt the said incumbent to have the same; he shall forfeit treble value, half to the king, and half to the incumbent, to be recovered in any of the king's courts.

Vol. IV. B

To fuch person as shall be thereunto next presented, promoted instituted, inducted, or admitted] In order to receive the benefit of this clause, it is not absolutely necessary, that the clerk be presented by the lawful patron; but if he get institution and induction, tho' he is afterwards removed by quare impedit, he, and not the clerk who comes in upon fuch removal, shall have the profits of the vacation. And the reason is, because till he is removed, he is incumbent de facto, and as fuch is liable to all burdens and duties, and is therefore in reason and equity intitled to all the profits. I Rol. Rep. 62. Gibs. 749.

But in cases where the institution and induction are declared by law to be ipfo facto void (as in case of simony, or the like), there the church having been really never full fince the death of the foregoing incumbent, and by consequence the vacancy still continuing, there the profits of course shall pass to him who shall be next presented,

instituted, and inducted. Gibs. 749.

But tho' the church doth become void by the omission of some subsequent duty to be performed, yet having been full by institution and induction, and the person thereby liable to the payment of first fruits, he shall not lose the profits of the vacation; only the profits from the time of fuch avoidance ipso facto will go to the next incumbent, as profits of the vacation, which commenceth from thence. Gibf. 749.

Inducted or admitted This cannot be understood difjunctively, as if presentation or admission (without institution and induction) intitled the successor to the profits of the vacation; but admission here (coming after induction) was plainly added, to include those preferments which are not taken by inflitution and induction. And altho' in preferments which are so taken, institution gives a right to enter upon and take the profits as well of the vacation, as others; yet that which alone can give a right to fue for them, is induction. Gibs. 749.

Sequestration iffice becoming

2. Anciently, upon the death of an incumbent, withsued, on a bene- out any formal sequestration, the rural dean was to take the vacant benefice into his fafe custody, and to provide for the necessary cure of fouls; and to take care that the glebe land was feafonably tilled and fowed, to the best advantage of the fucceffor, to whom they were to give up the intermediate profits, and be allowed their necessary charges, which upon dispute were to be moderated by the bishop or his official. But the canon lawyers in process of time deprived the country deans of this, as well as of

all other parts of jurisdiction; and the chancellors of bifhops, or their archdeacons, laid claim to the custody of vacant churches, and by forms of sequestration assigned them over to the oeconomi or lay guardians of the church.

Ken. Par. Ant. 647.

For now, the ordinary way of managing the profits of vacation is by fequestration granted to the churchwardens. Upon consideration of which, Dr Watson and Dr Gibson take occasion to wish, that some of the neighbouring clergymen might be appointed, and would take upon them the trouble of that office, in inspecting and managing the profits, and of supplying or providing for the cure; and that the ordinary, in granting patents, would not convey to chancellors, commissaries, or officials, the right of granting these sequestrations in times of vacation, but would reserve it to their own immediate cognisance; since it is a point, in which the interest of the church and clergy, and also the immediate care of souls for the time, are so nearly concerned. Gibs. 749.

3. The churchwardens, having taken out a sequestra- Management of tion under the seal of the office, are to manage all the the profits. profits and expences of the benefice for the successor; to plough and sow the glebe, gather in tithes, thresh out and sell corn, repair houses, make up his sences, pay his tenths, synodals, and procurations; and what other things

are necessary during the vacation.

But the sequestrators cannot maintain an action for tithes in their own name, at common law, nor in any of the king's temporal courts; but only in the spiritual court, or before the justices of the peace in such cases as the law impowers them to hear and determine. Johns.

Thus in the case of Berwick and Swanton, T. 1692; it was resolved, that a sequestrator cannot bring a bill alone for tithes; because he is but as a bailiss, and accountable

to the bishop, and has no interest. Bunb. 192.

4. By the statute of 28 H. 8. c. 11. It shall be lawful Supply of the to every archbishop, bishop, archdeacon, and ordinary, their cure. officers and ministers, to retain in their custody so much of the profits of the vacation, as shall be sufficient to pay unto such person as shall serve the cure his reasonable slipend or salary.

And if the fruits of the vacation be not sufficient to pay the curates stipend and wages for serving the cure the vacation time; the same shall be born and paid by the next incumbent, within fourteen days next after he hath the possession of his li-

ving. f. 10.

1. 5.

B 2

And

And it may be safest for the churchwardens, to get it stated by the ordinary, when they take out the sequestration, what they are to pay to the curate weekly for the serving of the cure; and then there can be no contention about it when they make up their accounts. Par. L. c.

And Dr Gibson says, such curate ought to be duly licensed by the ordinary, for serving of the cure; otherwise if he proceeds without such licence, he can have no title to any stipend or salary; nor can any be legally reserved

and deducted for him. Gibs. 750.

Successor when to enter.

5. By the 28 H. 8. c. 11. The successor, after the death of his predecessor, shall have upon one month's warning after the time of his induction, the mansion house of the parsonage, vicarage, or other spiritual promotion, with the glebe belonging to the same not being sown at the time of his predecessor's death, for maintenance of his houshold; deducting therefore in his rent, as heretofore hath been born for the same, or as it is reasonably worth. s. 9.

Sequestrators to

6. As foon as a new incumbent is instituted and inducted, the sequestrators are to account to him for all the profits of the benefice, which they have received during the vacancy. Watf. c. 30.

In which account they may deduct their reasonable expences, for the collecting and levying the tithes, fruits, emoluments, rents, and other profits rising and growing

during the vacation. 28 H. 8. c. 11. s.

If he be diffatisfied with the account, he may bring them to account before the ordinary, by whom all things relating hereunto are properly examinable and to be de-

termined. Wats. c. 30.

In the case of *Jones* and *Barret*, *H.* 1724; on a bill by the vicar of West Dean in the county of Sussex against the defendant, who was sequestrator, for an account of the profits received during the vacation, it was objected for the defendant, that the bishop ought to have been made a party, since the sequestrator is accountable to him for what he receives, by the statute of 28 H. 8. And the court seemed to think the bishop should have been a party; but by consent the cause was referred to the bishop of the diocese. *Bunb.* 192.

Proportioning the profits with the predeceffor's executors.

7. By the 28 H. 8. c. 11. If an incumbent before his death hath caused any of his glebe lands to be manured and sown at his proper costs and charges with any corn or grain; he may make his testament of all the profits of the corn growing upon the said glebe lands so manured and sown. 1.6.

But

But if his successor is inducted before the severance thereof from the ground, the successor shall have the tithe thereof; for altho' the executor represent the person of the testator, yet he cannot represent him as parson, inasmuch

as another is inducted. I Roll's Abr. 655.

Otherwise, if the parson dieth after severance from the ground, and before the corn is carried off; in this case, the successor shall have no tithe: because, tho' it was not set out, yet a right to it was vested in the deceased parson by the severance from the ground. The same is true in case of deprivation, or resignation, after glebe sown: the successor shall have the tithe, if the corn was not severed at the time of his coming in; otherwise if severed. Gibs. 662.

By the statute of the II G. 2. c. 19. Where any tenant for life shall die before or on the day on which any rent was reserved or made payable, upon any demise or lease of any lands tenements or hereditaments, which determined on the death of such tenant for life; the executors or administrators of such tenant for life may in an action upon the case recover of the under tenant, if such tenant for life die on the day on which the same was made payable, the whole, or if before such day, then a proportion of such rent, according to the time such tenant for life lived, of the last year, or quarter of a year, or other time in which the said rent was growing due; making all just allowances, or a proportionable part thereof. f. 15.

Under which words, lands, tenements, or hereditaments, are included not only glebe lands, but also tithes demised or leased, which are hereditaments: But if the tithes are not leased, or (which amounts to the same thing) if it is only a verbal lease, the law seemeth to stand as it was before; and consequently in such case, where there is no proper lease, the person who receives the tithes shall be accountable to the executor for the tithes received by him and which became due before the incumbent's death, and to the successor of the tithes (if any) which he received and which became due after the incumbent's death.

And here a case frequently happeneth, with respect to modus's in lieu of tithes; which tithes, if taken in kind, would have been due before the death of the incumbent, and the modus for the same is not due till after the death of the incumbent. Which case being not within the purview of this statute, it seemeth that the executors are not intitled to the said modus or to any part thereof; but that the whole shall go to the successor.

There is another case, wherein it may be disputed, at what time the modus itself shall be said to be due. As for instance, it is usual in many places to ascertain the modus at martinmass, by then taking an account of the flock for the year preceding; and not to receive the modus till easter following. In which case, if it shall appear from the evidence, as from payments thereof sometimes made at the time when ascertained, or in the intermediate space betwixt that time and the more usual and ordinary days of payment, or from receipts given and accepted for the same as due at the time when ascertained, or the like, and that the payment thereof was only deferred for convenience, when the incumbent should receive his other dues, or for other like cause; in such case it will be due to the executor: But if it shall appear, that the same hath been understood as not due until fuch future day, and only advanced fometimes before fuch day to answer the incumbent's necessities or other convenience, then it feemeth that it will go to the fuccessor. So that this is a matter not of law, but of fact; and depends upon the evidence.

As to disputes concerning things fixed to the freehold, as hangings, tapestry, grates, glasses, furnaces, and such like; these, falling in with the general doctrine about what shall belong to heirs or successors on the one hand, and executors or administrators on the other, are treated of under the title TAILLS.

Vacation of bishopricks. See Bishops.

Hestry.

Vestry, what.

1. A Vestry properly speaking, is the assembly of the whole parish met together in some convenient place, for the dispatch of the affairs and business of the parish; and this meeting being commonly held in the vestry adjoining to, or belonging to the church, it thence takes the name of vestry, as the place it self doth, from the priest's vestments, which are usually deposited and kept there. Par. L. c. 17.

2. On the funday before a veftry is to meet, publick Notice of the notice ought to be given, either in the church after divine fervice is ended, or else at the church door as the parishioners come out; both of the calling of the said meeting, and also the time and place of the affembling of it; and it will be fairest then also to declare for what business the said meeting is to be held, that none may be surprised, but that all may have full time before to consider of what is to be proposed at the said meeting. Wats.

And it is usual that for half an hour before it begins, one of the church bells be tolled to give the parishioners notice of their assembling together. Par. L.

c. 17.

3. Anciently, at the common law, every parishioner Who may voice, who paid to the church rates, or scot and lot, and no other person, had a right to come to these meetings: But this must not be understood of the minister; who hath a special duty incumbent on him in this matter, and must be responsible to the bishop for his care herein: and therefore in every parish meeting, he presides for the regulating and directing this affair; and this equally holds, whether he be rector or vicar. Par. L. c. 17.

Also out-dwellers, occupying land in the parish, have a vote in the vestry, as well as the inhabitants. Johns.

19.

4. E. II G. Phillybrown and Ryland. The plaintiff Hindring persons brought a special action upon the case, for excluding him from the meet-from the vestry room; and upon demurrer, the court made no difficulty, but that such an action was maintainable: however, in this case, they gave judgment for the defendant, it not being averred that the parish had any property in this room, or right to meet there; so that, for ought appears it might be the desendant's own house, and then he might let in whom he pleased, and resuse the rest. Str. 624.

5. And when they are met, the major part present will Majority conclu-

bind the whole parish. Wats. c. 39.

6. T. 9 G. 2. Stoughton and Reynolds. Adjudged, that Power of adthe right of adjourning the vestry, is not in the minister journing. or any other person as chairman, nor in the churchwardens, but in the whole assembly, where all are upon an equal footing; and the same must be decided (as other matters there) by a majority of votes. Str. 1045.

7. And to prevent disputes, it may be convenient, that Entry of acts

every vestry act be entred in the parish book of accounts; made.

B 4

and

and that every man's hand confenting to it, be set thereto. Par. L. 54.

Clerka

8. The veftry clerk is chosen by the veftry; and he acts as register or secretary thereto, but hath no vote: and his business is, to attend at all parish meetings, and to draw up and copy all orders and other acts of the veftry, and to give out copies thereof when necessary: and therefore he hath the custody of all books and papers relating thereto. Par. L. c. 18.

Beadle.

9. The beadle (in the faxon bydel, from beodan, to bid) is chosen also by the vestry; and his business is to attend the vestry, to give notice to the parishioners when and where it is to meet, and to execute its orders as their

messenger or servant. Par. L. c. 17.

Select veftry.

10. Select vestries seem to have grown from the practice of chusing a certain number of persons yearly, to manage the concerns of the parish for that year; which by degrees came to be a fixed method, and the parishioners lost not only their right to concur in the publick management as oft as they would attend, but also (in most places, if not in all) the right of electing the managers. And such a custom, of the government of parishes by a select number, hath been adjudged a good custom; in that the churchwardens accounting to them was adjudged a good account. Gibs. 219.

In some parishes, these select vestries having been thought oppressive and injurious; great struggles have been made, to set aside and demolish them. Par. L.

c. 17

And no wonder that it hath been fo, in such parishes where by custom they have obtained the power to chuse one another; for it is not to be supposed, but that if they are guilty of evil practices, they will chuse such persons as they think will connive at or concur with them therein.

M. 2 W. Batt and others against Watkinson. In a prohibition prayed to the spiritual court at York, the suggestion set forth, that the parish of Masham in York-shire was an ancient parish, and that time out of mind there were twenty sour of the chief parishioners, who all along had been called the sour and twenty; and that during time immemorial, as often as any one of the said four and twenty parishioners happened to die, the rest surviving of the sour and twenty did chuse, and during all the said time used to chuse, one other sit and able parishioner of the same parish, to be one of the sour and

twenty

twenty, in the room of him fo deceased; and that within the faid parish there is, and during time immemorial there always hath been a custom, that the said four and twenty for the time being have been used and accustomed as often as there was occasion, to make rates, and to affefs reasonable sums of money, upon the parishioners and inhabitants in the faid parish for the time being for the repairs of the church; and that the churchwardens of the faid parish, during all the time aforesaid, have used to receive all duties and dues for burials in the body or iles of the faid church; and if any of the inhabitants refused to pay the faid rates or dues for burials as aforefaid, then the churchwardens by warrant from the twenty four for the time being, were used to distrain the goods and chattels of the faid parishioners in the said parish; and that the said twenty four with the consent of the vicar or curate, have used to repair the body and iles of the said church; and that the churchwardens for the time being, during all the time aforesaid, have always used to give up their accounts to the faid four and twenty, who allowed or disallowed the faid accounts as they faw expedient; and that on the allowance of fuch account, the churchwardens have always been discharged from giving any other account in any other place; that the plaintiffs were churchwardens for the year 1680; and after their year was ended, they give in their accounts to the four and twenty; and that tho' all pleas concerning prescriptions and customs ought to be determined by the common law, yet the defendant hath drawn and cited them into the spiritual court to give in and pass their said accounts there; and altho' the faid plaintiffs have pleaded all the matters aforefaid in the said spiritual court, yet the said desendant hath refused to admit or to receive the faid plea. Upon great debate of this case at several times, the court was of opinion, that the custom was good and reasonable, and a prohibition was granted. Lutw. 1027.

So that prescription and constant immemorial usage, seems to be the basis and only support of this select vestry. And pursuant hereunto, upon the same foundation, and for the same reasons, was the select vestry of the parish of St Mary At-Hill in London confirmed and established in the king's bench, not many years ago. And since that time, the select vestries of St Saviour's and St Olave's in Southwark, for want of proof of such prescription and immemorial usage, have been set aside and demolished. Par. L. c. 17.

In

In the act of the 10 An. c. 11. for building fifty new churches; the commissioners shall appoint a convenient number of sufficient inhabitants to be vestrymen; and from time to time, upon the death removal or other voidance of any such vestryman, the rest or majority of them may chuse another. s. 20.

In the several private acts for building particular churches; sometimes the minister, churchwardens, overfeers of the poor, and others who have served, or paid fines for being excused from serving those offices; sometimes, the minister, churchwardens, overseers of the poor, and all who pay to the poor rate; sometimes, only all who pay such a sum to the poor rate; sometimes, all who rent houses of so much a year; — are appointed to be vestrymen within such parishes, and no other perfons.

Uicar.

A Vicar, vicarius, is one that hath a spiritual promotion or living under the parson; and is so denominated, as officiating vice ejus, in his place or stead. And such a promotion or living is called a vicarage; which is a part or portion of the parsonage, allotted to the vicar for his maintenance and support.

This part or portion is in some places an annual sum of money certain; but in most places it is a part of the tithes in kind, which most commonly is the small tithes; and in some places he hath a part of the great tithes, and also of the glebe: and such a one is called a vicar en-

dowed.

Thus he that hath the right to the possession of the lesser part, is called a vicar; and he that hath the other and greater part of tithes, is called the parson, who in some parishes is a clergyman, and sometimes the minister or incumbent of the same church; but in other places he is a mere layman, and cannot supply the church but by a spiritual vicar: and this so possessed by a layman, is called an impropriation, and himself the impropriator.

An appropriation is properly, when such a parsonage (or vicarage or other church preferment) is in the hands or possession of some ecclesiastical person and his successors, and can be made only to a body politick or corpo-

ration

ration spiritual, that hath succession, whereby such body becomes perpetual incumbent of the benefice appropriated, and shall for ever enjoy the tithes and other profits, and the cure of souls belonging thereto.

But the words impropriation and appropriation are generally confounded in the books: and the law concerning the whole is treated of under the title Appropriation.

Micar general.

V Icar general is an officer, whose office is usually annexed to that of Chancellor; and is therefore treated of under that title.

Vigil. See Polidays.

Mi laica remobenda.

I laica removenda is a writ which (upon the bishop's certificate into chancery of a force and refistence touching a church) lieth where a debate or controverfy is between two parsons for a church, the one whereof doth enter into the church with strong hand and great power of the laity, holding the other out, and keeping possession. thereof with force and arms. Whereupon he that is fo held out of possession may have the said writ directed to the sheriff of the county, to remove the force within that church, and (if need be) to raise the power of the county to his affistance, and to arrest and imprison the persons that make resistance, so as to have their bodies before the king at a certain day to answer the contempt. Which writ is sometimes grantable without the bishop's certificate as aforesaid: for it may, as it seemeth, be had upon a furmise made thereof by the incumbent himself without fuch certificate; there being a distinct and several form thereof in each of the faid cases. So that this writ properly lieth for the removal of any forcible possession of a church kept by laymen. God. 645. F. N. B. 124.

By this writ the fheriff ought not to remove the incumbent who is in possession of the church, whether the possession be of right or wrong; but only to remove the force. F. N. B. 125.

The writ is made returnable into the king's bench, in which court the offenders shall be fined and punished for the force: and restitution also shall be awarded out of

the same court (as it seemeth). Wats. c. 30.

Misitation.

OTE, free chapels and donatives (unless such donative hath received the augmentation of queen Anne's bounty) are exempt from the visitation of the ordinary; the first being visitable only by commission from the king, and the second by commission from the donor: And there are also other churches and chapels exempted, which did belong to the monasteries; having heretofore obtained exemptions from ordinary visitation, and being visitable only by the pope; which by the statute of 25 H. 8. c. 21. were made visitable by the king, or by commission under the great seal. These, and other exempted churches or chapels, so far forth as they are exempted, are not treated of under this title; the purport whereof extendeth only to places visitable by the bishop or his subordinate officers.

Origin.

1. For the government of the church, and the correction of offences, visitations of parishes and dioceses were instituted in the ancient church; that so all possible care might be taken to have good order kept in all places. God. Append. 7.

Who shall visit.

2. For the first six hundred years after Christ, the bishops in their own persons visited all the parishes within their respective dioceses every year; and they had several deacons in every diocese to assist them. After that, they had authority in case of sickness, or other publick concerns, to delegate priests or deacons to assist them; and hereupon, as should seem, they cantoned their great dioceses into archdeaconries, and gave the archdeacons commissions to visit and inquire, and to give them an account of all at the end of their visitations; and the bishops reserved the third year to themselves, to inform themselves (amongst

(amongst other things) how the archdeacons their substitutes performed their duties. Deg. p. 2. c. 15. Johns.

3. By a conftitution of Otho: Archbishops and bi-How often, and shops shall go about their dioceses at fit seasons, correct-in what ordering and reforming the churches, and confecrating and

And, regularly, the order to be observed therein is this: In a diocesan visitation, the bishop is first to visit his cathedral church; afterwards the diocese: In a metropolitical visitation, the archbishop is first to visit his own church and diocese; then in every diocese to begin with the cathedral church, and proceed thence as he pleaseth to the other parts of the diocese. Which appears from abundance of instances in the ecclesiastical records, as well of papal dispensations for the archbishop to visit without observing the said order, as of episcopal licences for the visitor to begin in other parts of the diocese than in the cathedral church. Gibs. 957.

fowing the word of life in the lord's field. Ath. 56.

And this sprang from the precept of the canon law, which requires, that the archbishop willing to visit his province, shall first visit the chapter of his own church, and city, and his own diocese: And after he hath once visited all the dioceses of his province, it shall be lawful for him (having first required the advice of his suffragans, and the same being settled before them, which shall be put in writing that all may know thereof) to visit again, according to the order aforesaid, altho' his suffragans shall not assent thereunto. And the like form of visiting observed by the archbishops, shall be observed also by the bishops in their ordinary visitations. Id.

By Can. 60. for the office of confirmation, it is injoined, that the bishop shall perform that office in his vifitation every third year; and if in that year, by reason of some infirmity, he be not able personally to visit, then he shall not omit the same the next year after, as he may conveniently.

Upon which Dr. Gibson observeth, that by the ancient canon law, visitations were to be once a year: but it is to be noted, that those canons were intended of parochial visitations, or a personal repairing to every church; as appears, not only from the assignment of procurations (originally in provisions and asterwards in money) for the reception of the bishop; but also by the indulgence which the law grants in special cases, where every church

cannot be conveniently repaired to, of calling together the clergy and laity from feveral parts unto one convenient place that the visitation of them may not be postponed. From this indulgence, and the great extent of the dioceses, grew the custom of citing clergy and people to attend visitations at particular places; the times of which visitations, as they are now usually fixed about Easter and Michaelmass, have evidently sprung from the two yearly fynods of the clergy, which the canons of the church required to be held by every bishop about those two feasons, to consider of the state of the church and religion within the respective dioceses: an end, that is also answered by the presentments that are there made concerning the manners of the people; as they used to be made to the bishop at his visitation of every particular church. But as to parochial visitation, or the inspection into the fabricks mansions utenfils and ornaments of the church, that care hath been long devolved upon the archdeacons; who at their first institution in the ancient church were only to attend the bishops at their ordinations, and other publick fervices in the cathedral; but being afterwards occasionally employed by them in the exercise of jurisdiction, not only the work of parochial visitation, but also the holding of general synods or visitations when the bishop did not visit, came by degrees to be known and established branches of the archidiaconal office as fuch; which by this means attained to the dignity of ordinary, instead of delegated jurisdiction. And by these degrees came on the present law and practice of triennial visitations by bishops; so as the bishop is not only not obliged by law to vifit annually, but (what is more) is restrained from it. Gibs. 958.

Lindwood fays, the archdeacon, altho' there be not a cause, may visit once a year: but if there be cause he may visit oftner. Nor doth it hinder, where it is said in the canon law, that he ought to visit from three years to three years; for this is to be understood so that he shall visit from three years to three years of necessity, but he

may visit every year if he will. Lind. 49.

Inhibition during the time of visitation.

4. In the bishop's triennial, as also in visitations regal and metropolitical, all inferior jurisdictions, respectively are inhibited from exercising jurisdiction, during such visitation. And we find in the time of archbishop Winchelsey, a bishop prosecuted, for exercising jurisdiction, before the relaxation of the inhibition; and in archbishop Tillotson's time, a bishop suspended, for acting after the inhibition

inhibition. And even matters begun in the court of the inferior ordinary (whether contentious or voluntary) before the visitation of the superior, are to be carried on by

the authority of such superior. Gibs. 958.

However, it hath been not unufual, especially in metropolitical visitations, to indulge the bishops and inferior courts, in whole or in part, in the exercise of jurisdiction, pending the visitation. Thus, we find relaxations granted, pending the visitation, by archbishop Abbot; and, by others, an unlimited leave or commission, to exercise jurisdiction, or proceed in causes, notwithstanding the visitation; and essewhere, a leave to confer orders, confirm, grant stats for institution, institute, or correct, whilst the inhibition continued in other respects. Id.

After the relaxation of the inhibition, and especially in metropolitical visitations, we find not only reservations of power to rectify and punish the comperta et detecta, but

also special commissions issued for that end. Id.

5. Can. 125. All chancellors, commissioners, archdea-Where, cons, officials, and all others exercising ecclesiastical jurisdiction, shall appoint such meet places for the keeping of their courts, by the assignment or approbation of the bishop of the diocese, as shall be convenient for entertainment of those that are to make their appearance there, and most indifferent for their travel: And likewise they shall keep and end their courts in such convenient time, as every man may return homewards in as due season as may be.

6. Langton. The archdeacons in their visitation shall General power of see that the offices of the church be duly administred; the visitors. and shall take an account in writing of all the ornaments and utensils of the churches, and also of the vestments and books; which they shall cause to be presented before them every year for their inspection, that they may see what have been added, or what have been lost. Lind.

50.

Account in writing] And it would be well to have the same indented: one part to remain with the archdeacon, and the other with the parishioners. Lind. 50.

Utenfils] That is, which are fit or necessary for use: and by these are understood all the vessels of the church of every kind. Lind. 50.

Every year] That is, every year in which they shall visit. Id.

That

C-----

That they may see] Therefore the archdeacon ought to go to the place in person to visit, and not to send any other; which if he do, he shall not have the procurations (due upon the account of visiting) in money; but otherwise, he whom he shall send shall receive procurations for himself and his attendants in victuals. Lind. 50.

Otho. Concerning archdeacons we do ordain, that they vifit the churches profitably and faithfully; by inquiring of the facred vessels, and vestments, and how the service is performed, and generally of temporals and spirituals: and what they shall find to want correction, that they correct diligently. And when they vifit, correct, or punish crimes, they shall not presume to take any thing of any one (fave only moderate procurations) nor to give fentence against any persons unjustly, whereby to extort money from them. For whereas these and such like things do favour of fimony, we decree, that they who do fuch things shall be compelled by the bishop to lay out twice as much for pious uses; faving nevertheless other canonical punishment against them. shall endeavour frequently to be present at the chapters in every deanry, and therein instruct the clergy (amongst other things) to live well, and to have a found knowledge and understanding in performing the divine offices. Athon 52.

Chapters] That is, rural chapters. Athon 54.

Reynolds. We enjoin the archdeacons and their officials, that in the vifitation of churches they have a diligent regard to the fabrick of the church, and especially of the chancel, to see if they want repair: and if they find any defects of that kind, they shall limit a certain time under a penalty within which they shall be repaired. Also, they shall inquire by themselves or their officials, in the parishes where they visit, if there be ought in things or persons which wanteth to be corrected; and if they shall find any such, they shall correct the same either then or in the next chapter. Lind. 53.

And their officials] Here it feemeth to be intimated, that the archdeacon's official may vifit; which yet is not true, at least in his own right; yet he may do this in the right of the archdeacon, when the archdeacon himfelf is hindred. Lind. 52.

Stratford.

Stratford. Forasmuch as archdeacons and other ordinaries in their visitations, finding defects as well in the churches as in the ornaments thereof and the fences of the churchyard and in the houses of the incumbents, do command them to be repaired under pecuniary penalties, and from those that obey not do extort the said penalties by cenfures, wherewith the faid defects ought to be repaired, and thereby inrich their own purses to the damage of the poor people; therefore that there may be no occasion of complaint against the archdeacons and other ordinaries and their ministers by reason of such penal exactions, and that it becometh not ecclefiastical persons to gape after or inrich themselves with dishonest and penal acquisitions, we do ordain, that such penalties, so often as they shall be exacted, shall be converted to the use of fuch repairs, under pain of suspension ab officio which they shall ipso facto incur until they shall effectually asfign what was fo received to the reparation of the faid defects. Lind. 224.

Can. 86. Every dean, dean and chapter, archdeacon, and others which have authority to hold ecclefiastical visitations by composition, law, or prescription, shall survey the churches of his or their jurisdiction, once in every three years, in his own person, or cause the same to be done; and shall from time to time within the said three years certify the high commissioners for causes ecclesiastical, every year, of such defects in any the said churches, as he or they do find to remain unrepaired, and the names and sirnames of the parties saulty therein. Upon which certificate we desire the said high commissioners will exossion mero send for such parties, and compel them to obey the just and lawful decrees of such ecclesiastical ordinaries making such certificates.

Note, fince the making of these canons, the high com-

mission court was abolished by act of parliament.

7. In the year 1626, Mr Huntley, rector of Stourmouth, Vifitation ferwas required by Dr Kingsley archdeacon of Canterbury, to preach a visitation fermon; which he refused. And being cited before the high commissioners, it was urged, that he was bound to the performance of that office in pursuance of the archdeacon's mandate, by virtue of his oath of canonical obedience. He answered, that he was not a licensed preacher, according to the canons of 1603; and especially, that he was not bound thereunto by his said oath, which implieth only an obedience according Vol. IV.

to the canon law, as it is in force in this realm; and that there is no canon, foreign or domestic, which requireth him to do this; but on the contrary, that the ancient canon law injoineth the visitor himself to preach at his own visitation. But the court admonished him to comply; and on his refusal, fined him 5001, and imprisoned him till he should pay the same and also make submission; and afterwards degraded and deprived him. Johns. Huntley's case.

But this perhaps may be one instance, amongst others charged against that court whilst it subsisted, of carrying

matters with a pretty high hand.

And Dr Ayliffe observes from the fixth book of the Decretals, that amongst the orders to be observed by archbishops bishops and others in their visitations, the first is, that they ought to preach the word of god, by giving the congregation a fermon. Ayl. Par. 515.

Nevertheless, it is presumed, very few elergymen would refuse to discharge the offices of their function on the like occasion, at the request or intimation of their superior.

8. Can. 137. Forafmuch as a chief and principal cause and use of visitation is, that the bishop archdeacon or other affigned to vifit, may get fome knowledge of the state fufficiency and ability of the clergy, and other perfons whom they are to visit: We think it convenient, that every parson, vicar, curate, schoolmaster, or other person licensed whosoever, do at the bishop's first visitation, or at the next visitation after his admission, shew and exhibit unto him his letters of orders, institution, and induction, and all other his dispensations, licences, or faculties whatsoever, to be by the said bishop either allowed, or (if there be just cause) disallowed and rejected; and being by him approved, to be (as the cuftom is) figned by the register: and that the whole fees accustomed to be paid in the visitations in respect of the premisses, be paid only once in the whole time of every bishop, and afterwards but half of the faid accustomed sees, in every other visitation, during the faid bishop's continuance.

To be by the faid bishop allowed] None but the bishop, or other person exercising ecclesiastical authority by commission from him, hath right de jure communi to require these exhibits of the clergy; nor doth the enacting part of this canon convey the right to any other; and therefore, if any archdeacons are intitled to require exhibits in their visitations, it must be upon the soot of custom; the beginning whereof hath probably been an increach-

E hibits.

incroachment; fince it is not likely, that any bishop should give to the archdeacon and his official a power of allowing or disallowing such instruments as have been granted by himself or his predecessors. Gibs. 959.

Whole fees] In the registry of archbishop Islip, there is a fequestration of the benefices of divers clergymen refusing to make due exhibits in a visitation. Gibs. 1545.

And afterwards but half of the said accustomed fees Lindwood speaking of the letters of orders to be exhibited by stipendiary curates going from one diocese to another, faith, that after the archdeacon or his official or other ordinary hath satisfied himself of their orders and of their life and convertation, they may be admitted to officiate, and their names ought to be entred in the register of such ordinary; whereupon in other vifitations or inquiries their letters of orders ought not to be reinspected, nor their names to be entred again, feeing they are fufficiently known already: And fo they do ill (he fays) who in every of their visitations take something for the inspection and approbation of the faid letters of orders; feeing fuch entry ought not to be made but once, namely, at the first admission. Lind. 225. Gibs. 959.

q. Edm. There shall be in every deanry two or three Presentments, by men, having god before their eyes, who shall at the com-whom to be mand of the archbishop or his official, prefent unto them the publick excesses of prelates and other clerks.

277.

In every deanry That is, in every rural deanry. Lind. 277.

Publick excesses That is, notorious, whereof there is great and publick infamy; and this, altho' the same be not upon oath: but if such excesses shall not be notorious, then the same shall not be presented, unless there be proof

upon oath. Lind. 277.

As to the churchwardens duty in this particular, altho' they have for many hundred years been a body corporate, to take care of the goods repairs and ornaments of the church, as appears by the ancient register of Writs; yet this work of prefenting hath been devolved on them and their affistants, by canons and constitutions of a more modern date. Anciently, the way was, to felect a certain number at the discretion of the ordinary, to give information upon oath; which number the rule of the canon law upon this head evidently supposeth to have been

felected while the fynod was fitting, and the people as well as clergy in attendance there. But in process of time this method was changed; and it was directed in the citation, that four fix or eight, according to the proportion of the district, should appear (together with the clergy) to represent the people, and to be the testes synodales.

Gibs. 960.

But all this while we find nothing of churchwardens presenting, but the style of the books is, The parishioners say, The laymen say, and the like, until a little before the reformation, when the churchwardens began to present, either by themselves, or else with two or three more parishioners of credit joined with them. And this last (by the way) is evidently the original of that office, which our canons do call the office of sidemen or assistants. Gibs. 960.

In the beginning of the reign of king James the first, a commission had cited many persons of several parishes, to appear before him at his visitation; and because they appeared not, they were excommunicated. But a prohibition was granted; because the ordinary hath not power to cite any into that court, except the churchwardens and

fidemen. Noy 123.

But by Can. 113. Because it often cometh to pass, that churchwardens, fidemen, questmen, and such other perfons of the laity as are to take care for the suppressing of fin and wickedness, as much as in them lieth, by admonition, reprehension, and denunciation to their ordinaries, do forbear to discharge their duties therein, either thro' fear of their superiors, or thro' negligence, more than were fit, the licentiousness of these times considered; we do ordain, that hereafter every parson and vicar, or in the lawful absence of any parson and vicar, then their curates and substitutes may join in every presentment with the faid churchwardens sidemen and the rest abovementioned, at the times of visitation, if they the said churchwardens and the rest will present such enormities as are apparent in the parish; or if they will not, then every fuch parson and vicar, or in their absence as aforesaid their curates, may themselves present to their ordinaries at fuch times, and when elfe they think it meet, all fuch crimes as they have in charge or otherwise, as by them (being the persons that should have the chief care for the suppressing of sin and impiety in their parishes) shall be thought to require due reformation. Provided always, that if any man confess his secret and hidden fins to the minister.

minister, for the unburdening of his conscience, and to receive spiritual consolation and ease of mind from him, we do not any way bind the faid minister by this our constitution, but do straitly charge and admonish him, that he do not at any time reveal and make known to any person whatsoever, any crime or offence so committed to his trust and secrecy (except they be such crimes as by the laws of this realm his own life may be called in question for concealing the fame) under pain of irregularity.

And by Can. 116. It shall be lawful for any godly disposed person, or for any ecclesiastical judge, upon knowledge or notice given unto him or them, of any enormous crime within his jurisdidiction, to move the minister churchwardens or sidemen, as they tender the glory of god and reformation of fin, to prefent the same, if they shall find sufficient cause to induce them thereunto, that

it may be in due time punished and reformed.

Provided, that for these voluntary presentments there

be no fee required or taken.

10. Boniface. We do decree, that laymen, when in- To be made upquiry shall be made by the prelates and judges ecclesias- on oath.

tical for correcting the fins and excesses of those that are within their jurisdiction, shall be compelled (if need be)

to take an oath to speak the truth. Lind. 109.

And that ordinaries are impowered by the laws of the church to require an oath of the testes synodales, appears, not only from this constitution, but also from the body of the canon law. And the same practice of administring an oath, appears in the ecclefiaftical records of our own church; where it is often entred, that the presenters were charged upon their consciences, to discover whatever they knew to want amendment in things and persons.

960.

11. Can. 119. For the avoiding of fuch inconvenien- Articles of inces as heretofore have happened, by the hafty making of quiry. bills of prefentments upon the days of visitation and fynods, it is ordered, that always hereafter, every chancellor, archdeacon, commissary, and official, and every other person having ecclesiastical jurisdiction at the ordinary time when the churchwardens are fworn, and the archbishop and bishops when he or they do summon their vifitation, shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the churchwardens questmen and sidemen of every parish, or to some of them, such books of articles as they or any of them shall require (for the year following) the said churchwardens questmen and sidemen to ground their pre-

fentments

fentments upon, at fuch times as they are to exhibit them. In which book shall be contained the form of the oath which must be taken immediately before every such prefentment: to the intent that having before hand time sufficient, not only to peruse and consider what their said oath shall be, but the articles also whereupon they are to ground their prefentments, they may frame them at home both advisedly and truly, to the discharge of their own consciences (after they are sworn), as becometh honest and godly men.

Frame them at home] By an entry in one of our records about 200 years ago, the ancient way of making presentments seems to have been, the ordinary's examination of the fynodal witnesses, and the taking their detections and prefentments by word of mouth, and then immediately entring them in the acts of the vifitation. And altho presentments are now required to be framed at home, there is no doubt but every visitor hath the same right of perfonal examination that ancient visitors had, as often as he shall find occasion. Gibs. 963.

By reason of several disputes which have been made concerning the articles of inquiry, the convocation hath fometimes attempted to frame one general body of articles for visitations; but the same as yet hath not been brought

to effect. Gibs. 962.

common fame.

fiesentments on 12. Can. 115. Whereas for the reformation of criminous persons and disorders in every parish, the churchwardens questmen sidemen and such other church officers are fworn, and the minister charged, to present as well the crimes and diforders committed by the faid criminous perfons, as also the common fame which is spread abroad of them, whereby they are often maligned and sometimes troubled by the faid delinquents or their friends; we do admonish and exhort all judges both ecclefiastical and temporal, as they regard and reverence the fearful judgment feat of the highest judge, that they admit not in any of their courts, any complaint plea fuit or fuits, against any fuch churchwardens questmen fidemen or other church officers, for making any fuch prefentments, nor against any minister for any presentments that he shall make: all the faid presentments tending to the restraint of shameless impiety, and confidering that the rules both of charity and government do prefume that they did nothing therein of malice, but for the discharge of their contciences.

But there is more danger now, than when these canons were made, of actions being brought against churchwardens for presenting upon common same; because the perfon accused in those days was required to answer upon outh to the charge laid against him, and to bring his compurgators: but the oath ex officio being now abolished, it seemeth not safe, to present any person upon common

fame only, without proof. And even when the oath of purgation was in force, Mr Clerke gives a caution, that all, both churchwardens and others, take care, how they accuse or present any person for any crime, or fame thereof, unless they can prove either the crime, or that the fame thereof arose from just causes and strong prefumptions. Therefore, altho' the fame or rumour of any crime hath been spread amongst many and good men, yet if it had its beginning from enemies or evil minded persons, or (as is often the case) from the fole accusation of a woman confessing her own turpitude, the presentment or accusation in such case ought not to be general, but particular, that is, that fuch a fame or rather rumour was spread by such persons, or by the accusation or confession of such woman in childbirth confessing her own baseness: And then, if the perfon accused shall proceed against the accuser in a cause of defamation, he shall fail in his suit, if proof shall be made that there was fuch a fame or rumour as was fet forth in the presentment. I Ought. 236.

13. It is not enough to present that such a one hath Presentment, in committed fornication, or the like; but the person ought what manner to to be named with whom he committed the offence, and that there is a publick fame thereof: otherwise upon such a general and uncertain prefentment, the perfon accused cannot know how to make his defence, and there may

be cause of appeal. I Ought. 229.

14. Can. 116. No churchwardens, questmen, or side- At what times to men of any parish, shall be inforced to exhibit their pre- be made. fentments to any having ecclefiastical jurisdiction, above once in every year where it hath been no oftner used, nor above twice in any diocese whatsoever, except it be at the bishop's visitation: Provided always, that as good occasion shall require, it shall be lawful for every minister churchwardens and sidemen, to present offenders as oft as they shall think meet; and for these voluntary presentments no fee shall be taken.

Can. 117. No churchwardens questmen or s demen shall be called or cited, but only at the faid time or times be-

fore limited, to appear before any ecclefiastical judge whosoever, for resuling at other times to present any saults
committed in their parishes, and punishable by ecclesiastical laws. Neither shall they or any of them, after their
presentments exhibited at any of those times, be any surther troubled for the same, except upon manifest and evident proof it may appear, that they did then willingly
and wittingly omit to present some such publick crime or
crimes as they knew to be committed, or could not be
ignorant that there was then a publick same of them, or
unless there be very just cause to call them for the explanation for their former presentments: In which case of
wilful omission, their ordinaries shall proceed against them
in such sort, as in causes of wilful perjury in a court

ecclefiaftical it is already provided.

Can. 118. The office of all churchwardens and fidemen shall be reputed to continue, until the new churchwardens that shall succeed them be sworn, which shall be the first week after easter, or some week following, according to the direction of the ordinary; which time so appointed shall always be one of the two times in every year, when the minister and churchwardens and sidemen of every parish shall exhibit to their several ordinaries, the prefentments of fuch enormities as have happened in their parishes since their last presentments. And this duty they shall perform, before the newly chosen churchwardens and sidemen be sworn, and shall not be suffered to pass over the said presentments to those that are newly come into that office, and are by intendment ignorant of fuch crimes; under pain of those censures which are appointed for the reformation of fuch dalliers and dispensers with their own consciences and oaths.

Fee for taking in presentments.

15. Can. 116. For the presentments of every parish church or chapel, the register of any court where they are to be exhibited, shall not receive in one year above 4d; under pain, for every offence therein, of suspension from the execution of his office for the space of a month totics quoties.

Penalty for not presenting.

16. Besides being proceeded against by the censures of the church; it is injoined by Can. 26. that no minister shall in any wise admit to the receiving of the holy communion, any churchwardens or sidemen, who having taken their oaths to present to their ordinaries all such publick offences as they are particularly charged to inquire of in their several parishes, shall (notwithstanding their said oaths, and that their faithful discharge of them

is the chief means whereby publick fins and offences may be reformed and punished) wittingly and willingly, deperately and irreligiously, incur the horrible crime of perjury; either in neglecting, or in refusing, to present fuch of the faid enormities and publick offences, as they know themselves to be committed in their said parishes, or are notoriously offensive to the congregation there; altho' they be urged by some of their neighbours, or by their minister, or by the ordinary himself, to discharge their consciences by presenting of them, and not to incur to desperately the said horrible sin of perjury.

H. 1680. Selby's case. A prohibition was prayed to the archdeacon of Exeter, because he proceeded to excommunicate the plaintiff, for that he, being churchwarden, refused to prefent a notorious delinquent, being admonished. And a prohibition was granted: for they are not to direct the churchwarden to present at their pleasure; but if one churchwarden doth refuse to present, he may

be presented by his successor. Freem. 298.

17. Can. 121. In places where the bishop and arch-None to be predeacon do by prescription or composition visit at several sented twice for times in one and the same year. less for one and the same offence. times in one and the same year; lest for one and the self fame fault any of his majesty's subjects should be challenged and molested in divers ecclesiastical courts, we do order and appoint, that every archdeacon or his official, within one month after the visitation ended that year, and the pretentments received, shall certify under his hand and feal, to the bishop or his chancellor, the names and crimes of all fuch as are detected and presented in his said visitation, to the end the chancellor shall thenceforth forbear to convent any person for any crime or cause so detected or presented to the archdeacon. And the chancellor, within the like time after the bishop's visitation ended and presentments received, shall under his hand and feal fignify to the archdeacon or his official, the names and crimes of all such persons, which shall be detected or presented unto him in that visitation, to the same intent as is aforesaid. And if these officers shall not certify each other as is here prescribed, or after such certificate shall intermeddle with the crimes or persons detected and presented in each other's visitation; then every of them so offending shall be suspended from all exercise of his jurisdiction, by the bishop of the diocese, until he shall repay the costs and expences which the parties grieved have been at by that vexation.

Churchwardens to fupport their presentments. 18. Crimes evident and notorious, whether they be immoralities in persons, as lewdness, swearing, drunkenness, and such like; or defects in places, as the want of repairs, or of utensils, in churches, churchyards, and parsonage houses; are not only in their nature merely spiritual and ecclesiastical, but in the chief heads thereof (as fornication, adultery, and the repairing of churches and churchyards) by the statute of Circumspecte agatis, 13 Ed. 1. not liable to prohibition: And therefore if offenders, being presented, do escape unpunished, it must be owing either to the want of proof, or the want of prosecution. Gibs. 966.

As to legal proof; in case the party presented denies the fact to be true, the making good the truth of the prefentment, that is, the furnishing the court with all proper evidences of it, undoubtedly rests upon the person presenting. And as the spiritual court, in such case is intitled by law to call upon churchwardens to support their presentments; so are churchwardens obliged, not only by law (Dr Gibson says), but also in conscience, to fee the presentment effectually supported; because to deny the court those evidences which induced them to prefent upon oath, is to defert their presentment, and is little better in point of conscience, than not to present at all; inafmuch as thro' their default the presentment is rendered ineffectual, as to all purposes of removing the scandal, or reforming the offender. And from hence he takes occasion to wish, that the parishioners would think themselves bound (as on many accounts they certainly are bound) to support their churchwardens, in seeing that their presentments are rendred effectual. In any point which concerns the repairs or ornaments of churches, or the providing conveniences of any kind for the service of god, when such desects as these are presented, the spiritual judge, immediately, and of course, injoins the churchwarden presenting, to see the defect made good, and supports him in repaying himself, by a legal and reasonable rate upon the parish. But what he intends is, the supporting the churchwardens in the profecution of such immoral and unchristian livers, as they find themselves obliged by their oath to present, as fornicators, adulterers, common swearers, drunkards, and such like; whose example is of pernicious confequence, and likely to bring many evils upon the parish.

Procurations. 19. In all visitations of parochial churches made by bishops and archdeacons, the law hath provided, that the

charge

charge thereof shall be answered by the procurations then due and payable by the inferior clergy; wherein custom, as to the quantum, shall prevail. God. Introd. 10.

20. These procurations were anciently made, by pro-Anciently by curing victuals and other provisions in specie; concern-provisions in ing which, the following constitutions have been or-

dained:

Langton. We forbid archdeacons, deans, and their officials, to make any exactions upon their clergy. Lind.

221.

That archdeacons may not be burden-Langton. fome to the churches subject unto them, we strictly injoin, that they do not exceed the number of horses and men prescribed by the general council; and that they do not presume to invite strangers with them to the procuration made for them on account of their visitation. But if the rectors of the churches, in honour of the archdeacon, will invite any, we do not forbid it. But the archdeacons themselves shall invite none, lest they who would not burden the churches by their own coming, should yet burden them by those whom they should invite. And that there may be no occasion to invite any, we do forbid the archdeacons to hold any chapter on the day of visitation at the church which they visit, unless it be in a borough or city. And we injoin the archdeacons, that they do not in any wife receive procuration without reafonable cause, but only on the day when they personally visit the church; and that they do not extort money from the church as a fee or ransom for not visiting. Lind.

Prescribed by the general] That is to say, five or six: but herein a regard ought to be had to the custom of the country or place. Lind. 220.

In any wife] That is, neither in victuals, nor money, nor any thing in lieu thereof. Id.

Personally visit] But yet, if thro' infirmity or any other lawful cause, the archdeacon be hindred from visiting in person, he may exercise the office by another; and in such case the procurations shall be paid. Id. 221.

Otho. The archdeacons shall not burden the churches with superfluous expences, but only require moderate procurations when they visit; and shall not bring strangers with them, but demean themselves modesly both in regard to their attendants and their horses. Ather 53.

Othob.

Othob. The church visited ought in reason to entertain the visitor: but where no visitation is, there shall be no procuration; and if any person shall take any thing, he shall be suspended from the entrance of the church, until he make restitution. And the bishops and other inserior prelates, when they visit, shall not burden the clergy with a supersluous number of attendants or horses or otherwise in expences; and if they do, the clergy shall not obey them in that behalf; and any sentences of excommunication, suspension, or interdict, on occasion thereof, shall be void. Athon 114.

Stratford. No procuration shall be due, without actually visiting: And if any shall visit more churches than one in one day, he shall have but one procuration, to be proportioned amongst the said churches. And because sometimes the retinue of a visitor exceedeth the number of men and horses appointed by the canons, so that they who pay their procurations in victuals are excessively burdened beyond the rate which is usually paid in money; it shall be in the choice of the visited, to pay the same in

money or in provisions. Lind. 223.

Now converted into money.

21. And this last constitution, by putting it in the choice of the incumbent, whether he would entertain the wisitor in provisions, or compound for it by a certain sum of money, was the cause of the custom generally prevailing afterwards, and which now universally obtaineth, of a fixed payment in money, instead of a procuration in meat, drink, provender, and other accommodation. Gibs.

Tracts. 13.

Whether due when no visitation is made.

22. Procuration is due to the person vsiting, of common right: and altho' originally due by reason of visitation only, yet the fame may be due without actual vifitation. The foregoing constitutions limit the payment, whether in provisions or money, to actual visitation, and warrant the denial of them when no visitation is held. Upon which a doubt hath been raifed, whether those archdeacons who are not permitted to vifit, but are inhibited from doing it in the bishop's triennal visitation, have a right to require procurations for that year. They who have maintained the negative, build their opinion upon the express letter both of the ancient canon law, and of our own provincial constitutions. But others, who undertake to defend the rights of the archdeacons alledge, that tho' it might be reasonable that they lose their procurations, in case they neglect their office of visiting (which, by the way, was all that the ancient constitutions meant), yet that reason doth not hold when they are restrained and inhibited from it; and that procurations are rated in the valuation of king Henry the eighth, as part of the revenues of every archdeacon, who therefore pays a certain annual tenth for them; and the law could never intend the payment of the tenth part every year, if there had been any year in which he was not to receive the nine parts. Which two arguments (Dr Gibson says) are so strong in favour of the archidiaconal rights, the first in reason, and the second in law as well as reason, that no more need to be faid upon that head. Gibf. 975.

23. Procurations are fuable only in the spiritual court, To be fued for in and are merely an ecclefiaftical duty. L. Raym. 450. the spiritual

And may be levied by fequestration, or other ecclesia-court.

stical process. Gibs. 1546.

24. E. 7 G. Saunder son and Clagett. Dr Clagett, arch- To be paid by deacon of Sudbury, commenced a fuit in the confistory rectories improcourt of the bishop of Norwich, against Saunderson as priate where is no vicas proprietor or curate of the impropriate rectory of Aspal endowed. in Suffolk, for the annual fum of 6 s 8 d as a procuration or proxy due to the archdeacon for visitations. Saunderson moved the court of king's bench for a prohibition; and fuggested that this rectory of Aspal was time out of mind a rectory impropriate, without any vicar endowed; that all the tithes and profits within this rectory time out of mind belonged to the proprietor thereof, who at his own expence used to provide a curate to celebrate divine service at the parish church of Aspal. But it was denied by the whole court, who delivered their opinions feriatim; 1. That this was an ecclefiaftical duty, and therefore properly fuable for in the spiritual court. 2. That it was claimed both by and from an ecclefiastical person, which made it the stronger. 3. That tho' there was an impropriation in the case, still there must be a curate, to take care of the fouls of the parishioners; and that curates as well as other persons must stand in need of bishops or archdeacons instructions and visitations. Confequently, 4. That the ordinary or archdeacon ought to be allowed for his procuration, what had been usually paid for it, which here appeared to be 6 s 8 d. 5. That where a thing is claimed by cuftom in the spiritual court, it must be intended according to their construction of a custom; and by their law, forty years make a custom or prescription. I Peere W. 657. Str.

Impropriate rec- 25. If there be a parsonage and a vicarage endowed: tory where there only one is to pay procurations: but which of them is a vicar endowmust pay is to be directed by custom, or the endowment if extant. Deg. p. 2. c. 15.

Chapel of ease under a parochial church.

26. Stratford. A chapel of ease shall be included in the procuration of the mother church. Lind. 223. Deg. p. 2. C. 15.

Churches newly erected.

27. Churches newly erected shall be rated to procurations, according to the proportion paid by the neighbouring churches. Gibs. 976.

Places exempted.

28. Donatives and free chapels pay no procurations to any ecclefiaftical ordinary, because they are not visitable by any. Deg. p. 2. c. 15.

Places exempted, as to other matters, are treated of un-

der the title Peculiars.

Synodals or cathedratica, and pentecostals, are treated of under their respective titles.

> Visitation of the sick. See Sick. Uniformity. See Dublick worlhip.

Union.

Causes of union. I.

HE union or consolidation of churches ought to be founded upon good canonical reasons. And the principal reasons assigned by the canon law are, for hospitality, nearness of the places, want of inhabitants, poverty or fmallness of the living. Which circumstances are specially inquired into before the union, and (some, or all of them, as the case is) are recited in the preamble to the act of union. Gibs. 920.

Who may unite.

2. And in fuch case, by the common law of the realm, the ordinaries, patrons, and incumbents may make a consolidation or an union of the two churches into one.

1 Salk. 165. Hughes c. 28.

And in such case, it is said, that the consent of the king is not at all necessary, albeit he hath an interest in the churches in the case of lapse. For by the ancient canon law, the licence of the pope was not necessary; nor hath the licence of the king been judged necessary

fince

fince the reformation; inafmuch as unions have been ordinarily made without fuch licence: however, in some few instances, it may have been desired and obtained for the greater caution. Cro. Eliz. 500. Gibs. 916, 920. Wats. c. 16.

3. By the 37 H. 8. c. 21. An union or confolidation Restraint of

of two churches in one, or of a church and chapel in union by statute. one, the one of them not being above the yearly value of 61 in the king's books, and not distant from the other above one mile, may be made by the assent of the ordidinary and ordinaries of the diocese where such churches and chapels stand, and by the assents of the incumbents of them, and of all such as have a just right title and interest to the patronages of the same churches and chapels, being then of sull age; which unions and consolidations so made, shall be good and available in the law, to continue for ever, in such manner and form, as by writing or writings under the seal of such ordinaries, incumbents, and patrons shall be declared and set forth.

Provided, that where the inhabitants of any such poor parish, or the more part of them, within one year next after the union or consolidation of the same parish, by their writing sufficient in the law, shall assure the incumbent of the said parish for the yearly payment of so much money, as with the sum that the said parish is rated and valued at in the court of first fruits and tenths, shall amount to the sull sum of 8 l, to be levied and paid yearly by the said inhabitants to the said incumbent and his successor; all such unions or consolidations made of any such poor parish as aforesaid, shall be void and of

none effect.

4. By the same statute, it is provided, that all unions in towns corpeand consolidations to be made of any church or chapel rate. within any city or town corporate, without the assent of the mayor sheriffs and commonalty of such city, or without the assent of the body corporate of other towns corporate, by the names of their corporations in writing

And by the 17 C. 2. c. 3. Forafmuch as the fettled provision for ministers in most cities and towns corporate is not sufficient for the maintenance of able ministers fit for such places, whereby mean and stipendiary preachers are entertained to serve the cures there; who wholly depending for their maintenance upon the good will and liking of their auditors, have been and are hereby under temptation of too much complying, and suiting

4

under their common feal, shall be void.

their doctrine and teaching to the humour rather than good of their auditors; which hath been a great occafion of faction and schism, and of the contempt of the ministry: it is enacted, that in every city or town corporate and their liberties, which have a mayor and aldermen, and particular justices of the peace by charter or commission, or bailist or bailists, or other chief officer or officers, and other affiftants, by like charter; and where two or more churches or chapels, or a church and a chapel, and the parishes thereunto belonging, do lie within the faid corporation or liberties thereof, convenient to be united; in such cases the bishop of the diocese where such parish or parishes are, with the consent of the mayor, aldermen, and justices of the peace, bailiff or bailiffs, or other chief officer or officers, or the major part of them, and of the patron or patrons of such churches or chapels, shall or may according to due form of law unite the faid churches or chapels, or any of them; and shall appoint at which of them the parishioners and inhabitants shall usually meet for the worship of god, and which of them shall be united and annexed unto the other, which shall be the church presentative, unto which all presentations shall thereafter be only made, and unto which the parishioners shall resort as their proper church; and after such order made, the said churches or chapels shall accordingly for ever stand united. And the parishioners, landholders, and inhabitants shall, as any of them become void, from thenceforward pay all such tithes and other duties, as did belong to the incumbent of any of the churches or chapels so united and annexed, unto the incumbent of the faid presentative church or chapel, unto which such other shall be so united and annexed as aforesaid.

But notwithstanding any such union to be made by virtue hereof, each of the parishes so united shall continue distinct as to all rates, taxes, parochial rites, charges, and duties, and all other privileges, liberties, and respects whatsoever, other than what is herein before mentioned and specified; and churchwardens shall be elected and appointed for each parish, as they were before such union made.

And where one or more of the faid churches or chapels fo united, shall be full at the time of making such union; the faid union shall take effect for every such church or chapel, upon the first avoidance after such union made.

And the several patrons shall present by turns to that church only, which shall remain and be presentative from time to time, in such order as the said bishop, with the consent of the said mayor, aldermen, and justices of the peace, bailist or bailists, or other chief officer or officers within such parishes, or the major part of them, and of the patron or patrons of such churches or chapels, shall determine and decree for the preservation of their respective rights therein, respect being therein had to the differences of the values of the yearly maintenance belonging to such churches or chapels, or any of them.

Saving to the king all the tenths and first fruits of all such churches and chapels so to be united, according to their rates and valuations in the office of first fruits and tenths: and also reserving all procurations and pensions to all persons to whom they are and have been or shall

be due and payable.

Provided, that no union of parishes or places to be made by virtue of this act, shall commence or be effectual in law, until it be registered in the register book of the bishop of the diocese; which the register is hereby required to do.

Provided, that no union made by virtue hereof, shall be good and effectual, where the settled maintenance belonging to the parsons vicars and incumbents of the church or chapel, or churches or chapels so united, shall exceed the sum of 100 l a year, clear and above all charges and reprizes; unless the respective parishioners, or the major part of them, under their hands desire otherwise.

Provided, that every minister settled as aforesaid incumbent of any church or chapel, or churches and chapels, united according to this act, shall be full and lawful incumbent thereof to all intents and purposes: so as such minister be a graduate in one of the universities of

this kingdom.

And by the 4 W. c. 12. Where one of the churches united by virtue of the faid last mentioned act, was at the time of such union, or shall afterwards be demolished; in such case, as often as the church which is made the church presentative, and to which the union was made, shall be out of repair, or there shall be need of decent ornaments for the performance of divine service therein, the parishioners of the parish whose church shall then be down or demolished, shall bear and pay towards the charges of such repairs and decent ornaments, such Vol. IV.

share and proportion as the archbishop or bishop that shall make fuch union shall by the same union direct and appoint; and for want of such direction and appointment, then one third part of such charges of the repairs and decent ornaments which shall be made or provided; and the same shall be rated taxed and levied, and in default thereof fuch process and proceedings shall be made, as if it were for the reparation and finding decent ornaments for their own parish church, if no such union had been made.

But if both churches are standing, then the repairs and ornaments shall be provided for, as they were at the common law; that is, by the parishioners of each parish

respectively. Gibs. 919.

Union may be in futuro.

5. Unions in futuro, as well as in præsenti, are good. And therefore if two churches are full, and one is duly united to the other in futuro, when either shall become void; the furviving incumbent may enter upon the void living, without any other title than that which he received from the act of union. Gibs. 920.

Presentation to

6. By the union of two churches, no change is made in united benefices. the advowfons: That is, not only all rights are referved to the patron or patrons, as before, but the nature of the advowsons continues the same; as, if one be appendant, and the other in grofs, and that which is appendant is made the prefentative church, and the patron of the church in gross hath the first turn, yet shall not the whole advowson be in gross, but it shall remain appendant for his turn who was patron of the advowson in gross: Which being so, (that is, the advowsons, not only as to the right, but even as to the nature of them, remaining the fame as before;) it feems to be an unreasonable doubt, whether bishops and other ecclesiastical persons can confent to an union by the statutes of the 1 Eliz. and 12 Eliz. Gibs. 920. Wats. c. 16.

Reparations.

7. Two churches parochial being united at the common law; the reparations shall remain several as before. Which was the reason, why the aforesaid act of the 4 . IV. was found necessary, to make it otherwise in the churches that had been or should be united in virtue of the statute of the 17 Car. 2. For before that, the inhabitants, even of a demolished church, were not obliged to contribute to the reparations of the church remaining, to which they were united. Gibs. 921.

8. The payment of first fruits and tenths, as before, are Other payments frecially reserved in the aforesaid statutes: and the same. and duties.

together

together with all other payments and duties to the bishop, archdeacon, and the like, and even the fees of institution, are reserved of course in perpetual unions, whether within the said statutes or not. Gibs. 917.

9. By the union, the two churches are become fo Effect of union much one, that a fecond benefice may be taken by dif- as to pluralities.

pensation within the statute of pluralities. Cro. Eliz. 720.

Gibs. 920.

cathedral church, and a clerk is collated to the prebend, to a prebend, and after installed in the cathedral, altho' that the parish church be not in the same diocese with the cathedral; yet the clerk thereby hath possession; because by the union, the parish church is become the corps of the prebend. Wats. c. 16.

11. After a union is made, if any question doth arise Union how tries, concerning the validity thereof; this may not be tried in the temporal, but only in the spiritual court: unless it

Watf. c. 16.

University. See Colleges. Voidance. See Avoidance.

be fuch union, as is restrained by the aforesaid statutes.

Usurpation.

When a stranger that hath no right, presenteth to a church, and his clerk is admitted and instituted, he is said to be an usurper, and the wrongful act that he hath done is called an usurpation. This is the definition given by lord Coke; and with regard to the first step towards an usurpation which he there mentions, viz. presenting, it is to be observed, that a presentation made by a stranger, if it be void in law (as in the case of simony, or of a presentation to a donative, or to a church that is full), makes no usurpation against the rightful patron; as neither doth a presentation, where between the usurper and the person upon whom the usurpation is made there is privity in blood, as in the case of coparceners; or privity in the estate, as between lessor

D 2

and lessee, grantor and grantee, joint-tenants, and tenants in common. In none of these cases, is the act of presenting the foundation or commencement of what the law calls an usurpation. And as to the second step mentioned in the foresaid definition (viz. being admitted and instituted), it must be an admission upon a presentation made; and by consequence not a collation by the bishop; nor the institution of a clerk who pretending himself to be patron of a church that is void prays the ordinary to admit and institute him, and (without a presentation in form) obtains institution. Gibs. 782.

'Also it is said, that no usurpation in time of war putteth the right patron out of possession, albeit the incumbent come in by institution and induction; and time of war doth not only give privilege to them that be in war, but to all others within the kingdom; and altho' the admission and institution be in time of peace, yet if the presentment were in time of war, it putteth not the right patron out of possession. I Inst. 249. Wass. c. 20.

And the reason of this seemeth to have been, because anciently in the time of war, the courts were shut up; so that the true patron might not have an opportunity to

bring his quare impedit within the fix months.

For to compleat an usurpation, the usurper must be in peaceable possession for fix months. At the common law, if a stranger had presented his clerk, and he had been admitted and inftituted to a church, whereof any subject had been lawful patron; the patron had no other remedy to recover his advowson but a writ of right of advowson, wherein the incumbent was not to be removed: And the reason of this was, 1. To the intent that the incumbent might quietly intend and apply himself to his spiritual charge: And, 2. The law intended, that the bishop that had cure of fouls within his diocefe, would admit and institute an able man for the discharge of the pastoral duty, and that the bishop would do right to every patron within his diocese. But since the statute of the 13 Ed. 1. ft. 1. c. 5. to enable the usurper to plead plenarty against the true patron, so as to debar him absolutely of that turn, it is not enough that the usurper do present duly, and his presentee be admitted instituted and inducted, but also that the church hath been full by the space of six months, and no writ brought to recover the presentation: for within the fix months the patron may bring his writ of quare impedit or darrein presentment (as the case requires), and recover his presentment and possession of the advowfon;

advowson; but if neither of these writs be brought within the six months (that is, so as to bear teste within that time) the incumbent is in for life, and the usurpation compleat. I Inst. 344. Wass. C. 13.

And heretofore, if an usurper presented, and the clerk was instituted and inducted, and the true patron did not bring his quare impedit within six months; in some cases he did not only lose his turn for that time, but his pre-

fentation was gone for ever. Wats. c. 7.

Thus in the case of Ashby and White, T. 2 An. it was said by Holt chief justice; that if the purchaser of an advowson in see simple, before any presentment, suffer an usurpation, and six months to pass without bringing his quare impedit, he hath lost his right to the advowson, because he hath lost his quare impedit, which was his only remedy; for he could not maintain a writ of right of advowson: and tho' he afterwards usurp, and die, and the advowson descend to his heir; yet the heir cannot be remitted, but the advowson is lost for ever without recovery. For where a man hath but one remedy to come at his right, if he loses that, his right is gone. L. Raym.

But now by the statute of the 7 An. c. 18. Forasmuch as the pleading in a quare impedit is found very difficult, whereby many patrons are either defeated of their rights of presentation, or put to great charge and trouble to recover their right; it is therefore enacted, that no usurpation upon any avoidance in any church, vicarage, or other ecclesiastical promotion, shall displace the estate or interest of any person intitled to the advowson or patronage thereof, or turn it to a right; but he that would have had a right if no usurpation had been, may present or maintain his quare impedit upon the next or any other avoidance (if disturbed) notwithstanding such usurpation.

Ulury.

upon the loan of money, to give the lender a certain profit for the use of it, upon all events, whether the borrower make any advantage of it, or the lender suffer any prejudice for the want of it, or whether it be repaid on the day appointed or not. 1 Haw. 245.

And in a larger sense it seemeth, that all undue advantages taken by a lender against a borrower, come under the notion of usury, whether there were any contract in relation thereto or no: as where one in possession of land, made over to him for the security of a certain debt, retains his possession after he hath received all that is due from the profits of the land. I Haw. 245.

By the civil law.

2. Use or interest, by the civil law, is divided into lucrative and compensatory. Lucrative is, when it is paid where there hath been no advantage made by the debtor, and no delay or deceit in him: and this is condemned by the civil law. Compensatory is, when it is given, where the thing lent hath been advantageous to the debtor, and disadvantageous to the creditor that he was not sooner paid: and this is permitted by that law. Wood Civ. L. 213.

And by the civil law (Swinburn tells us), a manifest usurer cannot make a testament; and tho' he make one, it is void in law concerning goods and chattels, unless he satisfy for the usury, or put in caution for satisfaction to

be made. Swinb. 101.

And as manifest usurers are forbidden to make testaments themselves, or to dispose their goods by their last wills; so are they forbidden to reap any benefit by the testament of others, or to be capable of any legacy of goods. Swink and

goods Swinb. 376.

3. By a constitution of Edmund archbishop of Canterbury; We forbid any man to detain a pledge, after he hath received the principal out of the profits, after deduction of expences, for this is usury. Lind. 160.

Out of the profits] The pledge in this case must be supposed to be lands, cattle or such like, out of which a

profit ariseth. Johns.

And by Can. 109. If any offend their brethren by usury; the churchwardens or questmen and sidemen, in their next presentments to their ordinaries, shall faithfully present every such offender, to the intent that he may be punished by the severity of the laws, according to his deserts; and such notorious offenders shall not be admitted to the holy communion, till they be reformed.

And, in general, it is faid, that by the ecclefiastical laws, if a man be a manifest usurer, not only his testament is void (as hath been said); but his body, after he is dead, is not to be buried amongst the bodies of other christian men, in any church or churchyard, until there

By the canon law.

he

be restitution or caution tendred, according to the value

of such goods. Swin. 102.

4. By the laws of king Alfred, it was ordained, that By the common the chattels of usurers should be forseited to the king, and statute laws. their lands and inheritances should escheat to the lords of the see, and they should not be buried in the sanctuary. Swin. 102. I Haw. 245.

Also it seems to have been the opinion of the makers of divers acts of parliament, since the reformation, that all kinds of usury are contrary to good conscience. I Haw.

245.

By the 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 20. (now repealed), it was enacted, that no person by any means should lend or sorbear any sum of meney for any manner of usury or increase to

be received or hoped for, above the fum lent.

In the time of queen Elizabeth, when commerce began to extend its influence, a relaxation of the laws against usury followed of course. Thus by the 13 Eliz. c. 8. it is enacted, that no person shall take above 101 per cent. interest; on pain of being punished and corrected according to the ecclesiastical laws heretofore made against usury.

By the 21 %. c. 17. None shall take above 81 per cent. (with a proviso, that this statute shall not be construed or expounded to allow the practice of usury in point

of religion or conscience).

By the 12 Car. 2. c. 13. None shall take above 61 per

cent. (without any proviso).

And by the 12 An. st. 2. c. 16. None shall take above 51 per cent. on pain of treble value of the money lent; and all contracts to the contrary shall be void. And every scrivener or solicitor, who shall take for brocage, soliciting, driving, or procuring the loan or forbearing of any sum of money, above the rate of 5 s for the loan or forbearing of 1001 for a year, or more than 12d above the stamp duties for making or renewing the bond or bill for loan or forbearing thereof, or for any counter-bond or bill concerning the same; shall forseit 201, half to the king, and half to him that will sue, with costs; and be imprisoned for half a year.

And therefore in these days a distinction seemeth to be made, betwixt usury and legal interest: for what exceedeth the legal interest is properly usury; and he who exacteth it seemeth still to be punishable as an usurer. I

Dom. 126.

And, upon the whole, it seemeth now to be generally agreed, that the taking of reasonable interest for the use

) 4 of

of money is in it self lawful, and consequently that a covenant or promife to pay it, in confideration of the forbearance of a debt, will maintain an action. For why should not one who hath an estate in money be as well allowed to make a fair profit of it, as another who hath an estate in land? and what reason can there be, that the lender of money should not as well make an advantage of it as the borrower? Neither do the passages in the mofaical law, which are generally urged against the lawfulness of all usury, if fully considered, so much prove the unlawfulness as the lawfulness of it; for if all usury were against the moral law, why should it not be as much fo in respect of foreigners, of whom the jews were expressly allowed to take it, as in respect of those of the fame nation, of whom alone they were forbidden to receive it? From whence it feems clearly to follow, that the prohibition of it to that people was merely political, and confequently doth not extend to any other nation. 1 Haw. 245. 2 Burnet. Reform. 192.

Wakes. See Church.

Wales: Distribution of intestates effects there. See

Waste committed in the glebe lands. See Slebe lands.

Way to the church, See Church.

Weapon drawn in the church or church-yard. See Church.

Welsh tongue; service in it. See Publick wolhip. Whitsun-farthings. See Pentecostals.

Wills.

THE law affecting Papists in particular, with regard to wills and administrations, is treated of under the title Popery.

I. Who

I. Who may make a will.

II. Of what things a will may be made.

III. Form and manner of making a will; and therein of appointing guardians and executors.

IV. Of the probate of wills, and administration of intestates effects.

V. Of the duty of executors and administrators in making an inventory, and getting in the effects of the deceased.

VI. Of the payment of debts by executors or administrators.

VII. Of the payment of legacies, and distribution of intestates effects.

VIII. Account.

I. Who may make a will.

I. Testament and will, strictly speaking, are not syno- Difference benymous. A will is properly limited to land; and a testa- tween will and ment only to chattels, requiring executors, which a will testament. only for land doth not require. So every testament is a will; but every will is not a testament. God. Orph. Leg.

But as authors in treating upon this subject have not adhered to this distinction; so, throughout this title, the words will and testament are used indiscriminately.

So also, the word devise seemeth properly applicable to lands; bequest, bequeath, give, dispose, and such like, to goods: yet, forafmuch as authors do generally confound them, and because that propriety of expression is not so much regarded in wills as in other legal instruments of conveyance, so long as the testator's intention doth sufficiently appear; therefore it hath not been thought necessary in these different ways of expression to observe a scrupulous exactness, but to take the words in the several authors as they fland; and this so much the rather, as it feemeth in general to be an unwarrantable liberty, in reciting matters of law from books of acknowledged authority, to prefume to vary the expression without necessary or urgent cause.

2. It

Infant,

2. It doth not feem to be clearly fettled, what shall be the lowest age, at which a person shall be allowed to

make a testament of goods and chattels.

Dr Gibson says, when prohibitions have been prayed, on suggestion that the testator was not in one case seventeen, in another case eighteen years of age, which it was said were the lowest ages assigned by the common law for making a testament of goods and chattels; they were denied in both cases for the same reason, namely, that it belongs to the ecclesiastical court to judge when a person is of age to make a will; and if an inferior court had given sentence against their own law, there was no remedy but by appeal. Gibs. 461. 2 Mod. 315. T. Jones 210.

And one reason of limiting the same to the age of seventeen may be, because (as it is agreed on all hands) that is the proper age at which a person is allowed to take upon himself the office of an executor; administration during the minority of an infant executor ceasing at that age.

In the case of Bishop and Sharp, M. 1704, in the court of chancery, it is said to have been agreed, that a semale may make a will at twelve years; and a male at seventeen, or at fifteen if proved to be a person of discretion. 2 Vern.

469.

Dr Godolphin fays, an infant male at the age of fourteen years, and female at the age of twelve years, may make a testament of goods and chattels. God. O. L. 23.

And in the case of Hyde and Hyde, H. 8 An. it is said to have been agreed, that a male infant of sourteen years of age, and a semale of twelve years of age, might make a will of a personal estate; and it was said in this case, that it was so agreed by the lord keeper Wright in the case of Sharpe and Sharpe, wherein they sollowed the civil law of Justinian for their consent to marry at such ages. Gilb. Rep. 74.

And it is true, that Justinian fixes the testamentary age and the age of puberty alike, to wit, in the male at the age of fourteen, and in the semale at the age of twelve. But by the common law of England, the age of discretion both in the male and semale is the age of sourteen; altho' the same common law admits of Justinian's distinction as to the age of puberty or consent to marriage.

And by the author of the Law of Executors, who is faid to have been judge Dodderidge, it feems to be laid down generally, that an infant of the age of discretion, to wit,

the age of fourteen years, may make a will of goods and

chattels. Law of Ex. 10.

And Mr Wentworth faith, he thinks that at the age of fourteen, being in the judgment of law the age of discretion, a person may make a testament. Wentw. 214.

And here it may be proper to observe, that all the books in general do remark, with some degree of wonder, that Mr Perkins faith, an infant of four years of age may make a testament. (Perk. 210.) But surely this must have been an error of the press; which might possibly enough happen from a fimilitude of the words, or especially of the figures 4 and 14.

But by the statute of the 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 5. s. 14. Wills or testaments made of any manors lands tenements or other hereditaments, by any person within the age of twenty one years, shall not be taken to be good or effectual in law; for until that time by the common laws of this realm, they are ac-

counted infants. Swin. 74. 6th edit.

But by custom in particular places, they may devise lands before the age of twenty one. God. O. L. 21. Wentw. 214.

But no custom of any place can be good, to enable a male infant to make any will before he is fourteen years of age. Law of Exec. 153.

3. An idiot is justly excluded from making a testament. Idiot.

Swin. 8.

Now an idiot, or natural fool is he, who notwithstanding he be of lawful age, yet he is fo witlefs, that he cannot number to twenty, nor can tell what age he is of, nor knoweth who is his father or mother, nor is able to answer any fuch easy question; whereby it may plainly appear, that he hath not reason to discern what is to his profit or damage, nor is apt to be informed or instructed by any other: and fuch an idiot cannot make any testament, nor may dispose either of his lands or goods. Swin. 79.

4. Mad folks and lunatick persons, during the time of Lunatick. their furor or infanity of mind, cannot make a testament, nor dispose any thing by will; and the reason is most forcible, because they know not what they do: for in making of testaments, the integrity and perfectness of mind, and not health of the body is requisite. Swin. 76. Howbeit, if these mad or lunatick persons have clear or

calm intermissions, then during the time of such their quietness and freedom of mind, they may make their tes-

taments. Swin. 76.

And it is fufficient for the party which pleadeth the infanity of the testator's mind, to prove that the testator was beside himself before the making of the testament, altho' he do not prove the testator's madness at the very time of making the testament: the reason is, it being proved that the testator was once mad, the law presumeth him to continue still in that case, unless the contrary be proved. For like as the law prefumeth every man to be an honest man, unless the contrary be proved, and being proved, then he which is evil to be evil still; so concerning furor, the law presumeth every man to have the use of reason and understanding, unless the contrary be proved; which being proved accordingly, then he is prefumed in law to continue still void of the use of reason and understanding, unless the testator were besides himself but for a short time, and in some peculiar actions, and not continually for a long space, as for a month or more; or unless the testator fell into some frenzy upon some accidental cause, which cause is afterwards taken away; or unless it be a long time fince the testator was affaulted with the malady: for in these cases the testator is not prefumed to continue in his former furor or frenzy. Swin. 78.

Yet it is a hard and difficult point, to prove a man not to have the use of understanding or reason: and therefore it is not sufficient for the witnesses to depose, that the testator was mad or besides his wits; unless they render or yield a sufficient reason, to prove this their deposition; as that they did see him do such things, or heard him speak such words, as a man having reason would not

have done or spoken. Swin. 78.

Person of weak was agreed by the judges, that sane memory for the making of a will is not at all times when the party can speak yea or no, or had life in him, nor when he can answer

to any thing with fense; but he ought to have judgment to discern, and to be of persect memory, otherwise the

will is void. Mo. 759.

And in the case of the marquess of Winchester, T. 41 Eliz. it is said, that by the law it is not sufficient that the testator be of memory when he maketh his will, to answer to samiliar and usual questions; but he ought to have a disposing memory, so that he be able to make disposition of his estate with understanding and reason: and this is such memory, as the law calls sound and perfect memory. 6 Co. 23.

But

But every person is presumed to be of persect mind and memory, unless the contrary be proved: and therefore is any person go about to impugn or overthrow the testament, by reason of insanity of mind, or want of memory;

he must prove that impediment. Swin. 77.

But if a man be of a mean understanding, neither of the wise fort nor of the foolish, but indifferent as it were betwixt a wise man and a fool, yea tho' he rather incline to the foolish sort; such an one is not prohibited to make a testament: unless he be yet more foolish, and so very simple and sottish, that he may easily be made to believe things incredible or impossible, and hath not so much wit as a child may have of ten or eleven years of age, who is therefore intestable by the law, for want of judgment. Swin. 80.

6. He that is overcome with drink, during the time of Person a liquor; his drunkenness, is compared to a madman; and therefore if he make his testament at that time, it is void in law. Which is to be understood, when he is so excefsively drunk, that he is utterly deprived of the use of reason and understanding: otherwise, if he be not clean spent, albeit his understanding be obscured, and his memory troubled, yet he may make his testament being in that case. Swin. 83.

7. By a constitution of archbishop Stratford: Whereas Married womandivers persons do hinder or endeavour to hinder the free making

and execution of the testaments of women, either sole or married; we decree, that none shall henceforth do the same, on

pain of the greater excommunication. Lind. 173.

And Lindwood, in his commentary hereupon, contends for the capacity of married women to make a will, in pursuance of this constitution; especially where such woman hath brought a large fortune to her husband, who perhaps had nothing of his own before. Lind. 173.

Two years after the making of this constitution, we find a petition of the commons in parliament, that whereas there was a constitution made by the prelates, that women married might make a will, it might be ordained that the people should remain in the same state, as they had been accustomed to be in the times of the king's progenitors: To which it was answered, as to this matter, that the king will that law and reason be done. Gibs.

And by the statute of the 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 5. it is enacted, that wills or testaments made of any manors lands tenements or other hereditaments, by any woman covert, shall not be taken to be good or effectual in the law. 1. 14.

And

And also of goods and chattels, the wife cannot make her testament without the licence or consent of her husband; because by the laws and customs of this realm, so so so a man and woman are married, all the goods and chattels personal that the wife had at the time of the spousals or celebration of the marriage or after, and also the chattels real if he overlive his wife, belong to the husband, by reason of the said marriage; and therefore with good reason she cannot give that away which was hers, without the sufferance or grant of the owner. Swin. 88, 80.

And albeit the testament be made before the marriage, yet she being intestable at the time of her death, by reafon her husband is then living, the testament is void; for it is necessary to the validity of such testament, that the testator have ability to make a testament, not only at the time of making thereof, when the testament receiveth its essence and being; but also at the time of the testator's death, when the testament receiveth its strength and con-

firmation. Swin. 88.

And albeit the wife do overlive the husband, yet the testament made during the marriage is not good; because she was intestable at the time of the will making: but if the testament being made during the coverture, she do approve and confirm the same after the death of her husband; in this case the devise is good, by reason of her new consent, or new declaration of her will; for then it is as it were a new will. Swin. 88.

And altho' the will be made before marriage, and the wife furvive the husband, yet it seemeth that the will shall not revive upon the husband's death. As in the case of Mrs Lewis some years ago, before the delegates: Mrs Lewis, a widow, made a will; foon after, she married again; in fome time her fecond husband died, and she again became a widow, without any children by either husband. The will which she made in her first widowhood remained; and being found after her death, the question was, whether it was a good will or not. The counsel for the will cited many authorities from the civil law, and shewed, that among the Romans, if a man had made his will, and was afterwards taken captive, such will revived and became again in force, by the testator's repossessing his liberty. But it was observed on the other hand, that marriage is a voluntary act, but captivity is the effect of compulsion. And the will was adjudged not to be good .- And in the case of Forse and Hemblinge, M.

30 & 31 El. (4 Co. 60, 61.) it was faid, that if a man of fane memory make his will, and afterwards becometh of non-fane memory, this is no countermand of the will, because this is done by the act of god: But marriage is the voluntary act of the party, and amounteth in law to a countermand of the will.

But yet nevertheless, upon licence or consent of the husband, the wife may make her testament even of his

goods. Swin. 89.

But albeit the husband do give licence to his wife to make a will of his goods; yet he may revoke the same, not only at the making of the will, but after her death, at the least (Swinburne says) before the will be proved.

Swin. 89.

Yet fuch his confent (Dr Gibson says) shall be implied, until the contrary do appear; and if after her death he doth consent, he can never afterwards diffent; and if immediately upon the death of the wife, he discourses and deals with the executor whom she hath appointed, as executor, as in recommending to him a painter for escutcheons, a goldsmith for rings, or the like, this is a good affent, and makes it a good will; and tho' after fuch affent given, he do upon fight of the will dislike it, and oppose the probate, or enter a caveat, such disagreement shall not hurt the will; and when there is an express agreement or consent that a wife may make a will, a little proof will be sufficient to make out the continuante of that consent after her death; but it is necessary to prove a disagreement made, in a solemn and formal manner, in express words, and not by implication. Gibs.

But when such a will was brought to the prerogative court to be proved, and a prohibition was prayed for the husband upon this suggestion, that the testatrix was a seme covert, and so, disabled by the law to make a will, it was granted; because tho' the husband may by covenant depart from his right, and suffer his wife to make a will, yet whether he hath done so or not, shall be determined

by the common law. Gibs. 462.

If a woman have a leafe, an estate by extent, the next avoidance of a church, or other chattel real; these are not devested out of her into her husband by marriage, but in case she overlive him, they continue to her as before, no alienation or alteration having been made by the husband, who had power to dispose of them by gift in his life time, tho' not by his will: yet such a woman in her

husband's

husband's life time cannot of or for these things, without her husband's assent, make an executor or will; but she dying before him, they would by the operation of law

accrue to him. Went. 198. Law of Test. 33.

Another kind of goods, or rather interest, a woman may have, to wit, debts or things in action, which, as the former, are not devested out of her by marriage into her husband, nor yet can she thereof make an executor without her husband's assent, altho' they be one degree farther from the husband than the said chattels real; for that tho' the husband do overlive the wise, he shall not be intitled to them, as to the former. But if the wise makes him executor of these, as she may; or if after her death, he takes out administration of her goods, then he is thereby intitled to them. Went. 199. Law of Test. 33, 34.

But it is faid, if a woman hath pin-money or a separate maintenance settled on her, and she by management or good houswifry saves money out of it, she may dispose of such money so saved by her, or of any jewels bought with it, by writing in nature of a will, if she die before her husband, and shall have it her self if she survive him, and the same shall not be liable to the husband's debts. Swin.

a. 95. Viner. Baron and Feme. R. a. 16.

And altho' a feme covert is so entirely under the power of her husband, that she cannot make what in propriety of speech is a will; yet she may make what is called an appointment. And the usual way is, for the intended husband to enter into a bond before marriage, in a penal sum, conditioned to permit his wife to make a will, and to dispose of money or legacies to such a value, and to pay what she shall appoint, not exceeding such a value; and in such case, if after the marriage, and during the coverture, she makes any writing purporting her will, and disposes legacies to the value agreed on, tho' in strictness of law she cannot make a will without her husband; yet this is a good appointment, and the husband is bound by his bond to perform what is appointed. Swin. a. 94.

And in 1 Mod. 211. it is faid, that the husband may bind himself by covenant or bond, to permit his wife by will to dispose of legacies, and this will be such an appointment as the husband will be bound to perform; yet it doth not operate as a will, neither ought it to be proved in the spiritual court; for the property passeth from him to her legatec, and it is his gift: And therefore if the

legatec

legatee dieth before the wife, such legacy is not lapsed; for this in strictness is only the execution of a trust, and the executor or administrator of such legatee shall be intitled.

But in the case of Jenkin v. Whitebouse, M. 31 G. 2. By lord Mansfield Ch. J. In a cause of Ross v. Ewer, in chancery, July 5, 1744; There was a power to a feme covert to appoint by will. And the lord chancellor held clearly, tho' fuch will operates as an appointment, that it must be proved in the spiritual court; and he would not proceed, till the will was fo proved. He faid, it was not material for him in that case to consider of the precise form in which it was to be proved, whether by a ftrict probate, or by granting administration with the appointment in nature of a will annexed; and therefore that point was not entered into: but the fact, that the paper was her will, in case she had power to make one, must be established by the ecclesiastical court; for such an appointment is in the nature of a will, and attended with all the consequences of a will. And as to the point, that money disposed under the execution of a power, by such a will, should not lapse; this was fully considered, and contradicted, in the cause of the duke of Marlborough, v. the earl of Carlifle and others, Nov. 26, 1750. The cases that have been cited in this cause shew, that administration may be granted, with the appointment annexed; which proves it to be testamentary: For nothing can be annexed to an administration, but a testamentary disposition; which is proved and established by the ecclefiastical court in that form. But if the question be, Whether the wife had a power to make an appointment in the nature of a will, and thereby to deprive the hufband of any benefit, which by law would devolve upon him in consequence of her death; that is a question proper to be confidered at law: and if she had no such power, this court will grant a prohibition. Burrow. 431.

If in the case where a seme covert cannot make a testament without the husband's licence, the husband grants a licence to the wise to make a testament of a certain portion of his goods, and the wise so licensed doth make one testament, and afterwards another, and perhaps a third or fourth; the licence shall be understood of the last testament, and not of the first. Law of Test. 37.

But if a feme covert is executrix to some other person, and in that right hath divers goods and chattels; these are not devested out of her, because she hath them not Vol. IV...

merely to her own use, but as representing the person of another: and therefore in this case (Swinburne says) the wife may, for the continuation of the executorship, make an executor, and consequently a testament, without the consent or assent of her husband. Swin. 89. Law of Test. 34.

But this rule, that a feme covert executrix may make her will of those goods whercof she is executrix, is re-

strained in two cases:

The first is, where she doth not make an executor, but bequeaths the goods whereof she is executrix, by devise or legacy; in this case the will is void, because an executor may not dispose of the goods of the testator otherwife than to the use of the testator, to the payment of his debts and performance of his will, and therefore may not give or devise the same by legacy, for that were to disposé of the testator's goods as if they were the proper goods of the executor, and to convert the same to the private use of the legatee, and not to the use of the testator. But when an executor doth only make another executor, the fecond executor doth stand chargeable and accountable for the distribution of the first testator's goods to the use of the same testator as did the former executor. and is not by the laws of the land reputed for the executor of the executor, but of the former testator, and so is not a legatee. Law of Test. 35, 36. Swin. 90.

The fecond is, where she is not only executrix, but legatee also, and hath accepted of the thing bequeathed not as executrix, but as legatee; and in this case the will of the seme covert is also void. For she taking the thing bequeathed not as executrix, but as legatee, doth thereby make it her own proper goods, and consequently her husband's; and therefore cannot be given from him, without his licence or consent. If it doth not appear whether the wise took the thing bequeathed as executrix, or legatee; it shall be presumed, she took it as executrix.

Swin. 90. Law of Test. 36.

And altho' a feme covert being executrix may make her testament, and appoint an executor of those goods which she hath as executrix, and not as legatee, without her husband's assent; yet the profit and fruit which arise out of those goods which she hath as executrix during the marriage, as calves, lambs, and such like profit of kine, sheep, and cattle, do belong to the husband, and not to her self as executrix; and therefore she cannot make her testament of such fruits and profit, without her husband's approbation. Swin. 90. Law of Test. 36.

H. 4

H. 4 G. 2. King and Bettefworth. Mandamus to grant administration to John Cullom, of Joan his wife. Return; that by articles before marriage it was agreed, that the wife should have power to make a will, and dispose of her leasehold estate; that pursuant to this power, she made a will, and her mother executrix, who has duly proved the same. To this return it was objected, that The might have things in action not covered by the deed, and the husband was in all events intitled to an administration as to them. On the other hand, it was infisted, that with the consent of the husband she might make a will; and here is his confent by being party to the deed. But by the court; A general consent to make a will doth not feem sufficient, but there should be a consent to that particular will: befides, this is going beyond her power, which did not extend to the making an executor. This is rather an appointment, which in equity will controll the administration as to the leasehold estate, than a will. And as there may be other effects not covered by the deed, the return is ill, and there must be a peremptory mandamus. Str. 891.

8. That testament is to be repelled, which is made Person under upon just fear, that is, such a fear as may move a con-fear or restraints stant man; as the fear of death, or of bodily hurt, or of imprisonment, or of the loss of all or most part of one's goods, or the like. Whereof no certain rule can be delivered, but it is left to the discretion of the judge, who ought not only to consider the quality of the threatnings, but also the persons as well threatning, as threatned; in the threatning, his power and disposition; in the person threatened, the fex, age, courage, pufillanimity, and the like. But if the testator afterwards, when there is no cause of fear, do ratify and confirm the testament, it

feemeth to be good in law. Swin. 475, 476.

If a man makes a will in his fickness, at the over importunity of his wife, to the end he may be quiet; this shall be said to be a will made by restraint, and shall not

be good. Styl. 427.

But if the person who makes the motion be not any ways suspected, and it also appears by some conjectures that the fick person had a defire to make his will; in this case the testament is good. Law of Test. 53.

9. T. 1725. Stephenton and Gardiner. A bill was Person circumbrought to set aside a will relating to a personal estate vented by fraud. only, and to flay the probate thereof, setting forth that the will was gained by fraud, and by mifrepresenting the E 2 plaintiffs,

plaintiffs, who were the half brothers and fifters of the testatrix; and alledging, that the will was falsly read to her; and fetting forth divers inftances of fraud, on the part of the defendants, in procuring this will. The defendants, as to that part of the bill which ought to fet aside the will, and to stay the proceeding, demurred to the jurisdiction of the court; for a smuch as upon the face of the bill it appeared, that the plaintiffs were improper to fue here, in regard the spiritual court had the proper cognizance of wills relating to personal estates, and could determine fraud concerning them. After which, motions were made before the lords commissioners and the lord chancellor King for an injunction. But the court was against it: for the spiritual court hath jurisdiction of fraud relating to a will of a personal estate, and can examine the parties by allegation touching this fraud; and if the will was falfly read to the testatrix, then it is not her will. 2 P. Will. 286.

T. 1686. Archer and Mosse. The testator, when in perfect health, had made his will, and thereby gave to the plaintiff Archer his nephew the greatest part of his personal estate, to the value of 5000 l. But one Bridget Sandyman, his maid fervant had in his fickness prevailed upon him to make another will, and to marry her a week before his death, when he lay in his fick bed, at fix of the clock at night, tho' it was really proved by two ministers, that she was a year before actually married to the defendant Mosse, and was then his wife, and that Mosse procured the licence for the marriage of the testator to Bridget; and this will being fet up by Mosse (executor to Bridget), tho' it appeared that there was as gross a practice as could be in the gaining the will (the testator being non compos mentis both at the time of making the will, and also at the time of the supposed marriage, and that in his health he knew that Mosse and Bridget were married), and that Bridget suppressed the first will; yet that will so set up, being proved in the pretogative court, and the matter in question relating only to a personal estate, the lord chancellor was of opinion, that whilst that probate stood, the matter was not examinable in chancery; and tho' the fraud was fully proved and opened to him, he would not hear any proofs read, but dismissed the bill. 2 Vern. 8.

But tho' a will gained by fraud, and proved in the fpiritual court, is not to be controverted in equity; yet if the party claiming under such a will comes for equity in the court of chancery, he shall not have it. 2 Vern. 76.

M. 1715.

M. 1715. Gosse and Tracy. It being urged, that a will concerning land is only triable at common law, and that the party there may take advantage of any fraud or imposition on the testator, and therefore not proper to be examined into or set aside in equity upon pretence of fraud or surprize; the lord chancellor held, that there might be fraud in obtaining a will that might be relievable in equity, and of which no advantage could be taken at law; as if a man agree to give the testator 20001. in bank bills, if he will devise his estate to him, and on the delivery of such bills makes his will, and deviseth his estate unto him, and the bills prove to be forged or counterseited. 2 Vern. 700.

But in the case of Bransby and Kerridge, July 28, 1728; in the house of lords, it was decreed, that a will of a real estate could not be set aside in a court of equity for fraud or imposition, but must be tried at law on Devisavit vel non, being a matter proper for a jury to inquire into.

Law of Test. 60. Vin. Devise. Z. 2.

no. Those who are deaf and dumb by nature, cannot Person deaf and make any kind of testament or last will; unless it do appear by sufficient arguments, that such person understandeth what a testament meaneth, and that he hath a desire to make a testament: for if he have such understanding and desire, then he may by signs and tokens declare his testament. Swin. 95.

11. Dr Ayliffe fays, generally, that persons who are Blind.

blind cannot make their wills. Ayl. Par. 531.

But Dr Swinburne says, he that is blind may make a nuncupative testament, by declaring his will before a sufficient number of witnesses. And he may make his testament in writing, provided the same be read before witnesses, and in their presence acknowledged by the testator for his last will. But if a writing were delivered to the testator, and he not hearing the same read, acknowledged the same for his will, this would not be sufficient; for it may be that if he should hear the same read, he would not acknowledge the same for his will. Swin. 96.

And it feemeth best, that it be read over to the testator, and approved by him, in the presence of all the subscribing witnesses; and this the civil law did expressly require in the case of a blind man's will: But in England this strictness seemeth not to be precisely requisite, if there shall be otherwise satisfactory proof before the court that the identical will was read over to him, altho' it was not

E 3

in their presence: And sometimes the single oath of the writer hath been allowed sufficient by the court of dele-

gates, to prove the identity of the will.

And what precautions are necessary for authenticating a blind man's will, seem in like degree requisite in the case of a person who cannot read. For tho' the law in other cases may presume, that the person who executes a will knows and approves of the contents thereof; yet that presumption ceaseth, where by defect of education he cannot read, or by sickness he is incapitated to read the will at that time.

Traytor.

12. Whosoever is lawfully convicted of high treason, by verdict, confession, outlawry, or presentment; besides the loss of his life, shall forfeit to the king all his goods and chattels, and all such lands tenements and hereditaments as he shall have in his own right, use, or possession, of any estate or inheritance, at the time of such treason committed, or at any time after; and so consequently is intestable. Insomuch that traytors are not only deprived of making any testament, or other kind of last will, from the time of their conviction; but also the testament before made doth by reason of the same conviction become void, both in respect of goods, and also in respect of lands tenements and hereditaments. Swin.

But if any person, being attainted of treason, obtain the king's pardon, and be thereby restored to his former estate; then may he make his testament, as if he had not been convicted: or if he make any before his conviction and condemnation, the same by reason of such pardon re-

covereth its former force and effect. Swin. 97.

But if a traytor hath goods as executor to another, the same are not forfeited: whence it follows, that of such

13. If any person be condemned of felony, he ought to

goods he may make his will. Swin. 97.

thereof already. Swin. 98.

fuffer death, and the king shall have all his goods, wherefoever they be found. And if he have any freehold, it
shall forthwith be seized into the king's hands, and he
shall have the profit thereof by the space of a year and
a day, and also waste; and after the king hath had the
year, day, and waste, the land shall be restored to the
chief lord of the see. Felons therefore lawfully convicted, cannot make any testaments, or other dispositions,
of any goods or lands; because the law hath disposed

But if a person be indicted of selony, and on his arraignment will not answer, but standeth mute, whereupon he is to receive the pain forte et dure, and be pressed to death; in this case, his goods only are confiscate, but not his lands: and therefore in this case it seemeth he may make his testament of his lands. Swin. 98.

But a pardon restoreth him to his former estate. Swin.

98.

14. If a man do willingly kill himself, his testament Felo de set (if he made any) is void, both concerning the appointment of the executor, and also concerning the legacy or bequest of any goods; for they are confiscate. Swin. 106.

But if the testament be of lands, it seemeth it is not void; because a selo de se doth not forfeit any lands of inheritance, for no man can forseit his lands without an

attainder by course of law. 3 Inst. 55.

15. An outlawed person is not only out of the king's Outlaw. protection, and out of the aid of law, but also all his goods and chattels are forseited to the king by means of the outlawry, altho' he were outlawed but in an action personal; and altho' the action were not just, nevertheless his goods and chattels are forseited, by reason of his contempt in not appearing: and therefore he that is outlawed cannot make his testament of his goods so forseited. Swin. 107.

Howbeit it feemeth, that he who is outlawed in an action personal, may make his testament of his lands; for

they are not forfeited. Swin. 107.

Also a man outlawed in a personal action may in some case make executors; for he may have debts upon contract which are not forseited to the king: and those executors may have a writ of error to reverse the outlawry. Cro. El. 851.

16. It feemeth to be the better opinion, that an excom-Excommunicate municate person may make a testament; unless he be excommunicate with that great curse, which is called ana-

thema, which is not be inflicted but upon great cause, with great deliberation and solemnity. Swin. 109.

And in this case (of the greater excommunication, as it seemeth) lord Coke observes, that an excommunication is a greater disability than an outlawry; for if a plaintiff, who is an executor, be outlawed, his outlawry cannot be pleaded to disable him from proceeding in the suit, because it is in the right of another; but if he is excommunicate it is otherwise, because every man that

E 4. con

converseth with such a person is excommunicated himfelf. 1 Inst. 134. That is, after he is denounced excommunicate, and they are admonished not to converse with him. Ayl, Par. 266.

II. Of what things a will may be made.

Lands

1. Lord Coke fays, at the common law (by which he must be understood to fignify the common law since the conquest) no lands or tenements were devisable by any last will and testament, nor ought to be transferred from one to another, but by solemn livery of seisin, matter of record, or sufficient writing; but by certain customs in some boroughs they were devisable. I Inst. 111.

But altho' lands might not be disposed by will, yet a device was found out, and a distinction made between the land and the use and profits of the land, whereby feostiments to uses came in practice; by virtue whereof a perfon might dispose of the profits, tho' he could not dispose the profits.

pose of the land itself. Wright's Tenures. 172.

And the way was this: They conveyed their full estates of their lands to friends in trust, properly called seoffees in trust; and then they would by their wills declare, how their friends should dispose of their lands; and if those friends would not perform it, the court of chancery was to compel them by reason of trust; and this trust was called the use of the land, so as the seoffees had the land, and the party himself had the use; which use was in equity to take the profits for himself, and that the seoffees should make such an estate as he should appoint them; and if he appointed none, then the use should go to the heir, as the estate it self of the land should have done; for the use was to the estate, like a shadow following the body. Lord Bacon's Use of the Law. 152.

But by this course of putting lands into use, there were many inconveniences; as, namely, a man that had cause to sue for his land, knew not against whom to bring his action, nor who was owner of it; the wife was defrauded of her thirds; the husband of being tenant by curtesy; the lord of his wardship, relief, heriot, and escheat; the creditor of his extent for debt; the tenant of his lease: for these rights and duties by the law were due from him that was owner of the land and none other, which was now the seoffee of trust; and so the old owner, which we call the seoffor, should take the profits, and leave the power to dispose of the land at his discretion to the seof-

fee ;

fee; and yet he was not such a tenant as to be seised of the land, so as his wise could have dower, or the lands be extended for his debts, or that he could forseit it for selony or treason, or that his heir could be ward for it, or any duty of tenure fall to the lord by his death, or that

he could make any leases of it. Bac. 153.

Which frauds, by degrees of time as they increased, were remedied by divers statutes; as namely, by a statute of the 1 H. 6. and by another of the 4 H. 8. it was appointed, that the action may be tried against him which taketh the profits, which was the cestury que use; by a statute made in the 1 R. 3. leases and estates made by cestury que use are made good, and estates by him acknowledged; by a statute in the 4 H. 7. the heir of cestury que use was to be in ward; and by a statute in the 16 H. 8. the lord was to have relief upon the death of any cestury que use. Bac. 153.

Which frauds nevertheless multiplying daily, in the end in the 27th year of king Hen. 8. the parliament purpofing to take away all those uses, and to reduce the law to the ancient form of conveying of lands by publick livery of seisin, fine and recovery, did ordain, that where lands were put in trust or use, there the possession and estate should be presently carried out of the friends in trust, and settled and invested on him that had the uses, for such

term and time as he had the use. Bac. 153, 154.

And by this statute of the 27 H. 8. the power of disposing land by will, is clearly taken away amongst those frauds: whereupon in the 32 H. 8. another statute was made, by which it is enacted, that every person having any manors lands tenements or hereditaments, holden in socage or of the nature of socage tenure, shall have full and free liberty power and authority, to give dispose will and devise, as well by his last will and testament in writing, as otherwise by any act or acts lawfully executed in his life, all his said manors lands tenements or hereditaments, or any of them, at his free will and pleasure.

And in the same statute there are several restrictions and limitations with regard to the devising of lands holden by knight's service; which were further explained by the

statute of the 34 & 35 H. 8. c, 5,

And finally, by the statute of the 12 C. 2. c. 25. tenures by knight's fervice were abolished, and all tenures turned into free and common socage.

2. By the 9 G. 2. c. 36. No manors, lands, tenements, Lands to charitrents, advowsons, or other hereditaments, corporeal or incorpo-

real, whatfoever; nor any fum or fums of money, goods, chattels, stocks in the publick funds, securities for money, or any other personal estate whatsoever, to be laid out or disposed of in the purchase of any lands tenements or hereditaments, shall be given or appointed by will, to any person or persons, bodies politick or corporate, or otherwise, for any estate or interest whatsoever, in trust, or for the benefit of, any charitable uses: but the same shall be done by deed indented, twelve months at least before the death of the donor, to be inrolled within six months after the execution in the high court of chancery; and the same to take effect immediately after the execution for the charitable use intended.

Estate pur auter 3. By the statute of the 29 C. 2. c. 3. Any estate pur auter vie shall be devisable by a will in writing, signed by the party so devising the same, or by some other person in his presence and by his express directions, attested and subscribed in the presence of the devisor by three or more witnesses; and if no such devise thereof be made, the same shall be chargeable in the hands of the heir, if it shall come to him by reason of a special occupancy, as affets by descent, as in case of lands in fee simple; and in case there be no special occupant thereof, it shall go to the executors or administrators of the party that had the estate thereof by virtue of the grant, and shall be assets in their hands. f. 12.

> Pur auter vie] That is, being held by lease during the life of another person.

> Special occupant] A special occupant is, where an estate for life is made to a man and his heirs; in such case, the heir shall have the estate, after the decease of his ancestor, as special occupant, or as a person particularly described, to whom the estate shall go after the lessee's death.

> 4. One that hath money to be paid to him on a mortgage, may devise this money when it comes. God. O. L. 391.

> And if the feoffee in mortgage, before the day of payment which should be made to him, maketh his executors and die, and his heir entreth into the land as he ought; it seemeth in this case, that the seoffor ought to pay the money at the day appointed to the executors, and not to the heir of the feoffee: but yet the words of the condition may be such, as the payment shall be made to the heir; as if the condition were, that if the feoffor pay to the feoffee or to his heirs such a sum at such a day, there after the death of the feoffee, if he dieth before the day limited, the payment ought to be made to the heir at the day appointed. I Inft. 209, 210.

Mortgage.

And hereby it appeareth, that the executors do more represent the person of the testator, than the heir doth that of the ancestor; for tho' the executor be not named, yet the law appoints him to receive the money, but so doth not the law appoint the heir to receive the money unless he be named. 1 Inft. 209, 210.

5. A person may devise by his will the right of pre- Advowson. fenting to the next avoidance, or the inheritance of an advowson. And if such devise be made by the incumbent of the church, the inheritance of the advowfon being in him, it is good, tho' he die incumbent; for tho' the testament hath no effect but by the death of the testator, yet it hath an inception in his life time: and fo it is, tho' he appoint by his will who shall be presented by the executors, or that one executor shall present the other, or doth devise that his executors shall grant the advowson to such a man. Wats. c. 10.

6. If upon articles for a purchase, the purchaser die, Lands contracthaving devised the land before a conveyance executed, the ed for, but not land will pass in equity; for the testator had an equity to conveyed. recover the land, and the vendor stood trustee for the testator, and as he should appoint, till a conveyance exe-

cuted. I Chanc. Cas. 39. 2 Vern. 679.

For the vendor of the estate is, from the time of his contract, confidered as a trustee for the purchaser; and the vendee, as to the money, is confidered as a truffee for the vendor. Tracy Atkyns 573.

So if a man covenants to lay out a fum of money in the purchase of lands, generally; and deviseth his real estate before he hath made such purchase: the money to be laid

out will pass to the devisee. Id.

But if a man, having made his will, afterwards contracts for the purchase of lands; the lands contracted for will not pass by the will, but descend to the heir at law. Id.

But if a good title cannot be made of the lands; as the heir in such case cannot have the lands, so he shall not have the money intended to be laid out. Id.

7. If a man have a lease for never so many years, de-Lease. terminable upon life or lives, that is, if fuch or fuch live so long; this estate may well enough be given and disposed by will, because it is but a chattel. Went. 19.

8. Mr Wentworth fays, If one having a leafe for many years, as an hundred, five hundred, more or less, doth Term for years, devise and bequeath the same to A and the heirs male of his body, and for want of fuch iffue to B and the heirs male

of his body; and A dieth, having issue a son; the term shall not go to his fon, but to his executor or administrator: for it cannot be made a matter of inheritance. So if A had died without iffue male, the term should not have gone or remained to B, but to the executor or administrator of A. Went. 54.

So of an advowson, or any other hereditament, granted or devised to one and his heirs for a hundred years; or if fuch a termer grant a rent out of the land to A and his heirs, or to the heirs, or heirs male of his body: yet shall the same go to the executor, and not to any heir; for it being derived out of a chattel, cannot be any freehold or inheritance, but is it self a mere chattel. Went. 54.

Debts or things in action.

q. Albeit by deed of gift made in the life time of any person to another of all his goods and chattels, debts or things in action do not pass; yet if the testator by his last will and testament, do give or bequeath to another any debt due unto him, or a thing in action belonging unto him, the legacy is good and effectual in the law, and may be recovered in this manner, that is to fay, if the testator do make the legatary executor of that particular debt or thing in action bequeathed, then the legatary as executor thereof may commence fuit in his own name, and recover the same to his own use, against him by whom it was due; but if the testator do not make the legatary executor of the debt or thing in action bequeathed, then his remedy lieth in the ecclefiastical court, where he may convent the executor, and compel him either to fue for that debt in a court competent, and upon recovery and payment thereof to pay it over to the legatary, or else to make a letter of attorney to the legatary for the recovery of the debt or thing in action bequeathed in the name of the executor to the use of the legatary. Swin. 187, 188.

Things which not of his own.

10. Albeit the testator have no such thing of his own the testator hath as is bequeathed, yet nevertheless the legacy is good in law; therefore if the testator do bequeath a horse or a yoke of oxen, the legacy is good in law, tho' the testator have neither horse nor ox of his own. But who shall make choice, in this case, of the thing so bequeathed, is a question not to be neglected: and the solution is this; that if the words of the devise be directed to the legatary, as if the testator shall thus say, I will that A B shall have a horse, the choice doth belong to the legatary; but if the words be directed to the executor, as if the testator shall thus fay, I will that my executor give to A B. a horse, the election doth belong to the executor. Pro-

Mills. Of what things.

vided nevertheless, that to whomsoever the election doth belong, whether to the legatary, or to the executor, they must not be unreasonable in their election, but frame themselves according to the meaning of the testator; otherwise the legatary might make choice of the best horse in the country, and the executor of the worst, contrary to the meaning of the deceased. Swin. 188.

11. If there be two jointenants of lands, and one of Things in joint them deviseth that which to him belongs, and dieth; this is no good devise, and the devisee takes nothing, because the devise doth not take effect until after the death of the devisor, and then the surviving jointenant takes the whole by prior title, to wit, from the first feoffment. Gilbert

on Wills 120.

So also a man cannot give or bequeath by will, any of those goods or chattels which he hath jointly with another: for if he should bequeath his portion thereof to a third person, this bequest is void by the laws of this realm; and the furviver, which had those goods or chattels jointly with another, shall have that portion so bequeathed, notwithstanding the said will. Swin. 189.

But otherwise it is with tenants in common. God.

O. L. 131.

12. By the 20 H. 3. c. 2. Widows may bequeath the crop Corn growings of their ground, as well of their dowers, as of other their lands and tenements; saving to the lords of the fee all such services as be due for their dowers and other tenements. And this is only in affirmance of the common law. 2 Inft. 80. But by the 27 H. 8. c. 10. A married woman having a jointure made, shall not have any dowry of the residue of her husband's lands.

By the 28 H. 8. c. 11. If the incumbent before his death bath caused any of his glebe lands to be manured and sown, at his proper costs and charges, with any corn or grain; he may make and declare his testament of all the profit of the corn growing upon the said glebe land so manured and sown. s. 6.

But if the testator is lessee for years, and sow the land a short time before his lease expires, and then dies, before the corn can possibly be ripe within the term; in this case a devise thereof is void, because he himself could not have reaped it after the expiration of the term, if he had lived. Swin. 191.

13. Not only that thing may be devised or bequeathed Things not yet by the testator, which is truly extant, or hath an appa- in rerum natura. rent being at the time of the making of the will or death of the testator; but that thing also which is not in regum

natura,

natura, whilst the testator liveth: therefore it is lawful for the testator to bequeath the corn which shall be sown or grow in fuch a foil after his death, or the lambs which shall come of his flock of sheep the next year, depasturing in fuch a field. But if there be no fuch corn growing in that foil, nor any lambs arising out of that flock, then the legacy is destitute of effect, because no such thing is extant at all, as was bequeathed. But if the testator devise a certain quantity of grain or number of lambs, as for the purpose, twenty quarters of corn or twenty lambs. and doth will and devise, that the same shall be paid out of the corn which shall grow in such a field, or arise out of his sheep depasturing in such a ground; tho' not so much or no corn at all there grow, or not any or not fo many lambs there 'arise, yet nevertheless the executor is compellable by law to pay the whole legacies intirely; because the mention of the soil and of the flock, was rather by way of demonstration than by way of condition. rather shewing how or by what means the said legacy might be paid than whether it should be paid at all yea or no. Swin. 186.

Things belonging to the freehold.

14. Those things which after the death of the testator descend to the heir of the deceased, and not to his executor, cannot be devised by testament, except in such cases wherein it is lawful to devise the lands tenements or hereditaments. And therefore if a man seised of land in fee or fee tail, bequeath his trees growing upon the faid land at the time of his death; this devise is not good, except as before: but if he devise the corn growing upon the same land at the time of his death, from the heir to fome other person, this devise is good, albeit the land whereupon it groweth be not deviseable. And the reason of the difference is, because the trees are parcel of the freehold, and descend together with the land to the heir, and not to the executor: but it is not so of corn; for the fame shall go to the executor as parcel of the testator's goods. And therefore if a man be seised of lands in the right of his wife, and fow the land, and devise the corn growing upon the same land, and die before the corn be reaped; in this case the legatary shall have the corn, and not the wife: But it is otherwise of grass, and herbs not separated from the ground, at the time of the death of the testator. If a man seised in see in right of his wife, do let the same lands for years to a stranger, and the lessee foweth the ground, and afterwards the wife dieth, the corn not being ripe; in this case the lessee may devise the I

same corn, notwithstanding his estate be determined. So also of tenant by curtefy, and tenant in dower. Swin.

190.

And forasmuch as those things which after the death of the testator descend to the heir and not to his executor, are not devisable by will, except in such cases where lands tenements and hereditaments be devisable; therefore those things which are affixed unto the freehold, are no more devisable than the freehold it self, as the windows, doors, wainscot, and such like. Swin. 191. 4 Co. 64.

So if a man be feised of a house, and possessed of divers heir-looms, that by custom have gone with the house from heir to heir, and by his will deviseth away these heir-looms; this devise is void: for the will taketh effect after his death; and by his death, the heir-looms by ancient custom are vested in the heir, and the law prefers the custom before the devise. And so it is, if the lord ought to have a heriot against his tenant, and the tenant deviseth away all his goods; yet the lord shall have his heriot for the reason aforesaid. Inst. 185.

15. The testator may devise all goods and chattels Things in execuwhich he hath in his own right, but not those which he torship.

hath in the right of another as executor. Swin. 185.

16. An administrator cannot make a testament of those Things in admigoods which he hath as administrator to any person dying nistration, intestate; because he hath not any such goods to his own proper use, but ought therewithal to pay the debts of the dead person, and to distribute the rest according to law. Swin. 189.

17. The husband cannot devise such goods as his wife Wife's goods by hath as being executrix to another, nor such things as are the husband.

in action, as debts due to her before marriage by obligation or contract, unless he and his wife recover the same during marriage, or that he renew the bonds and take them in his own name; otherwise after his death they re-

main to her. I Inft. 351.

But the husband may, at any time during the coverture, release a bond given to his wife. And where the husband makes a settlement; the bonds to his wife, being part of her fortune, will notwithstanding his death in the life time of his wife, before the security be changed, be decreed in equity to his executor; he being considered in that case as a purchaser for a valuable consideration. Cases in the time of L. Talb. 168.

Things obtained after the will made.

18. A man may by his will dispose of his chattels and personal estate that he shall for the suture acquire, any time after the making his will, to the time of his death. And this is necessary from the reason of the thing; because the chattels and personal estate are in a continual sluctuation; and if the law were not so, it would create very great consusion, or essentially day. Gilb. 122.

But it is not so with lands, for they are fixed and permanent: and therefore if a man maketh his will, and deviseth therein all the lands which he shall have at the time of his death; and after that, he purchaseth lands, and dieth without republication or making a new will; in this case, tho' his intent to the contrary is very apparent, yet it is a void devise: for a man cannot devise any lands but what he hath at the time of making his will. And this was adjudged upon great deliberation, by Holt chief justice and the court, in the case of Bunker and Cook: and the judgment was affirmed afterwards upon a writ of error in the house of lords, Feb. 24. 1707. Gilb. 122.

But, by Holt chief justice: If he republisheth his will, in such manner, and with such circumstances, as are necessary to compleat execution of an original will; then the purchased lands will pass as by an original will. It Mod. 127. And in truth this seemeth to make it a new will, to all intents and purposes; and not a republication

of the old one.

But a codicil, which concerneth only perfonal legacies, will not amount to a republication of the will, so as to pass lands purchased after the making of the will. 2 Vern. 625.

If a man devifeth all his lands for payment of his debts, and purchaseth lands afterwards; the lord keeper said he would decree a sale, tho' there were no precedent articles.

2 Cha. Ca. 144.

If a man hath a kase, and disposeth of it by his will; and after surrenders it and takes a new lease, and after dies; the devisee shall not have this last lease, because this

was a plain countermand of his will. Golds. 93.

If a man deviseth a term for years, which he hath not at the time of the devise, but purchaseth some time before his death; Holt chief justice doubted, whether this would be good. But Mr Peere Williams says, that notwithstanding the doubt which the court of king's bench seems to have been in in that case, it has been clearly held to pass by such a will. 3 P. Will. 169.

III. Form

III. Form and manner of making a will; and therein of appointing guardians and executors.

1. By the 29 C. 2. c. 3. intitled, An act for preven- Of lands. tion of frauds and perjuries, All devises and bequests of any lands or tenements, devisable either by force of the statute of wills, or by this statute, or by force of the custom of Kent, or the custom of any borough, or any other particular custom, shall be in writing, and signed by the party so devising the same, or by some other person in his presence and by his express directions, and shall be attested and subscribed in the presence of the said devisor, by three or four credible witnesses; or else they shall be utterly void, and of none effect. S. 5.

Signed | Signing being only mentioned, therefore fealing is not necessary, altho' it be expedient to a testament; which is not properly and legally a deed, to which a seal is effential, tho' it hath the force and virtue of a deed. God. O. L. 6. Wentw. 29.

Signed by the party so devising the same] E. 33 C. 2. Lemain and Stanley. The testator made his will, and wrote it with his own hand, and began it thus, I John Stanley make this my last will and testament; but did not subscribe his name: yet this was adjudged a good will, and fufficient figning by the testator within the statute, to pass lands; it being subscribed by three witnesses in the prefence of the testator; for his name being written in the will, it must be a sufficient signing within the statute since the statute hath not appropriated any particular place in the will, either top, bottom, or margin, for that purpose; and therefore necessarily the testator is at liberty to put it where he pleases. 3 Lev. 1.

And if the devisor only put his feal to the will, without signing it; this seemeth to be a sufficient signing within the statute: because figning is no more than a mark to distinguish a man's act, and sealing is a sufficient mark to ke

know it to be his will. Gilb. 93.

E. 13 G. Warneford and Warneford. On an issue directed out of chancery, whether there was a devise or not: Raymond chief justice ruled, that sealing a will is a sign-

ing within the statute. Str. 764.

H. 1728. Dormer and Thurland. The will was not figned by the testator in the presence of the witnesses; but he acknowledged it to be his hand, and declared it to be his will, in their presence; and they subscribed their VOL. IV. names

names in his presence. Lord chancellor King inclined that the will was good; but ordered the point to be referved and made a case of for further consideration. 2 P. Will. 506.

And in the case of Stonehouse and Evelyn, E. 1734: A will was held to be good, tho' all the witnesses did not see the testator sign it, but he owned it before them to be his hand. And the reporter says, that on his mentioning this case to Mr justice Fortescue Aland, he said that this was the common practice; and that it is sufficient, if one of the three subscribing witnesses swears that the testator acknowledged the signing to be his own hand writing: And it is remarkable, that the statute of frauds doth not say the testator shall sign his will in the presence of three witnesses, but requires these three things; first, that the will should be in writing; secondly, that it should be signed by the testator; and thirdly, that it should be subscribed by three witnesses in the presence of the testator. 3 P. Will. 254.

Attested and subscribed in the presence of the said devisor. It hath been ruled in equity, that a will of lands, attested by three witnesses, who subscribed their names at the request of the testator, tho' at several times, is a good will, tho' the witnesses were never once present together.

Gilb. 92. Vin. Devise. N. 10. 12.

E. 31 G. 2. Carleton on the demise of Griffin v. Griffin. On a special verdict it was stated, that John Griffin, on the 2d of May 1752, wrote upon a sheet of paper with his own hand, as follows: "Know all men by these " presents, that I John Griffin make the aftermentioned " my last will and testament"; and therein he made several dispositions of his real and personal estate; and subscribed it at the same time when he wrote it; but there was no feal or witness to it. And on the 5th of January 1754, he wrote on the same sheet of paper, " Memo-" randum, whereas I have laid out on a lighter [and fo " on]-all these, at my death, shall be at my wise's disof pofal: And this not to difannul any of the former " part made by me the 2d of May 1752. Witness my " hand John Griffin." All this latter writing related only to the personal estate; and he subscribed it in the presence of three witnesses; and then he took the said sheet of paper in his hand, and declared it to be his last will and testament, in the presence of the said three witnesses; and then delivered it to them, and desired they would attest and subscribe it in his presence, and in the presence

presence of each other; which they accordingly did. Upon this special case, one question reserved for the opinion of the court was, Whether the republication of the said first will (made in 1752) upon the 5th of January 1754, be a publication or republication of his first will within the statute. It was argued, that this was no good will to pass lands, beyond all doubt, till the 5th of January 1754; and what happened then, was neither a publication, nor a republication sufficient to make it a good will within the statute. Here are two distinct instruments, at two different times; the first unattested, relating to the real estate; the second, signed, published, and attested according to the statute, relating to the personal. But the first was originally bad, and could not be made good by the subsequent transaction.—By lord Mansfield and the court: The case is accurately stated; for it is not stated to be either a will, or a codicil, but a sheet of paper written. It is a will of an illiterate man, drawn by himself. At first, in 1752, the testator did not know that any witnesses were necessary. In 1754, he had found that they were necessary. Then he makes a subsequent disposition: Which is a memorandum to be added to it. But he doth not call this a codicil; nor doth the case state it to be so. He plainly confiders the whole as one intire disposition; and he expressly declares in the latter, that he doth not thereby mean to disannul any part of his former devise or dispositions. There is not a tittle in the latter that relates to the real estate; therefore the only intent of having the three witnesses, was and must be to authenticate the former. The signing the former does no harm; it makes it more folemn, but doth not hurt it. Then the publication of it is, as of a will. He takes up the sheet of paper; and holding up the faid sheet of paper, says, It is my will. And certainly, he did not mean a part of it only, but the whole of it. And he desires them to attest it. All this must relate to the whole that was written on this paper. It must be considered as one intire will, made at different times, and attested agreeable to the statute. And a man is not obliged to make his whole will all at the same time. Burrow. 549.

In the presence of the said devisor] E. 3 Ja. 2. Shires and Glascock. The question was, Whether the will was made according to the statute; for the testator had desired the witnesses to go into another room, seven yards distant, to attest it, in which there was a window broken, thro' F 2

which the testator might see them. By the court; The statute requireth attesting in his presence, to prevent obtruding another will in the place of the true one: it is enough if the testator might see, it is not necessary that he should actually see them signing; for at that rate if a man should but turn his back or look off, it would vitiate the will. Here the signing was in the view of the testator, he might have seen it, and that is enough. So if the testator being sick, should be in bed, and the curtain drawn. 2 Salk. 688.

But if the witnesses subscribe their names to the will, in a room adjoining to that where the testator lay, but out of his sight, so as he could not see them subscribe their names; this is no good will within the statute to pass lands, because the witnesses in that case did not subscribe

their names in the testator's presence. Gilb. 93.

But it is not necessary that it appear upon the face of the will to have been figned in the presence of the devisor: As in the case of Hands and James, E. 9 G. 2. In ejectment brought by the plaintiff as heir at law, the question was on a case by consent left to the opinion of the court, whether it shall be left to a jury to determine, whether the witnesses to a will (being all dead) did set their names in the presence of the testator, and this merely upon circumstances, without any politive proof. By the court; This is a matter fit to be left to the jury. The witnesses by the statute ought to set their names as witnesses, in the presence of the testator; but it is not required by the statute that this should be taken notice of in the subscription to the will; and whether injerted or not, it must be proved: if inferted, it doth not conclude, but the contrary may be proved. And if not conclusive, when inferted; the omiffion thereof shall not conclude that it was not so: and therefore it must be proved, by the best proof that the nature of the thing will admit of. Comyn. 531.

And in the case of Cross and Pawler, E. 12 G. 2. Upon a trial at bar concerning the execution of a will, it did not appear upon the face of it, that the attestation of the witnesses was made in the presence of the testator; which being objected to, a case was cited, where lord chief justice Eyre held it a matter proper to be lest to a jury, whether they believed it to be so done or not. And Mr justice Chappel cited a case to the same purpose. To which the court assented; and they held it not to be necessary to be inserted in the will, that the attestation was in the presence of the testator, tho' by the statute it is necessary that it

should in fact be so attested. Vin. Devise, N. 9.

Бу

B; three or four credible witnesses M. 1 W. Lea and Libb. The testator made his will in writing, subscribed by two witnesses, and therein devised his lands. Asterwards he made a codicil, in which his will was recited; and this also was attested by two witnesses, one of which witnesses was a witness to the will, but the other was a new witness. The question was, whether this new witness should make a third to the will. And it was adjudged that he should not: It is true, here are three witnesses to the intent and will of the testator; but there are only two to his will in writing: It is true likewise, that there are two witnesses to the codicil; but those are not witnesses to the written will: so that there wants one witness to the will in writing. Salk. 395.

In the case of Tuffnell and Page, E. 1740, it was held clearly by lord Hardwicke, that a will of a copyhold tenant, attested by one or two witnesses, or even without any witness at all, is sufficient to declare the uses of a surrender which he has made; and the reason is, because the party is in by the surrender, and not by the will.

Barnard. Cha. Ca. 12.

Therefore where there is a general devise of lands, and there is no surrender of the copyhold lands to the use of the will, the construction at law is, that they do not pass by the will; for copyhold lands are not properly the subject of a devise, as they pass not by the will, but by the

surrender. Tracy Atkyns. 388.

Credible witnesses] M. 34 Cha. 2. Hudson's case. Two witnesses swore, that the testator did not publish it as his will, but that another guided his hand, and that the testator made his mark but faid nothing, nor was he capable. On the other side, it was proved, how that the testator had made two former wills, and in them had divided his land in the like manner as by this will, and that he died of a consumption, and was sensible to the last; and how that three days after making his last will, he was sensible and able to discourse, and so continued till within six days of his death; hereupon it appeared, that the witnesses had been dealt with. To which the counsel on the other side urged, that if the witnesses were not to be believed, then there would not be three witnesses to the will, and so no will within the statute. To which Pemberton chief justice answered, that if there were three witnesses to a will, whereof one was a thief or person not credible; yet the words of the statute being satisfied, and he having collateral proof to fortify the will, he would direct a jury to

Of goods.

find it a good will: and as to this case, he said it was not probable, that a person in his senses (as they are not able to disprove him to be) would suffer another to guide his hand to a writing and not fay any thing; and that therefore they took it he did publish it: And he remembred Digges's case, where the scrivener wrote the will, and two others were witnesses; the scrivener swore the testator was compos, and the two other swore he was not compos; the court stopped these two from going away till verdict was brought in, which found the will a good will, and then committed the two witnesses to the fleet; for if this was fuffered, it would be in any man's power to destroy another's will. So likewise did the court here commit the witnesses, and took security of the plaintiff to prosecute them for perjury. Skin. 79.

2. A written will of goods and chattels is not altered as to this matter by the faid statute, but continues as it

was before.

Concerning which, it is faid in 3 Salk. 396. that by the canon law, and also by the common law, two witnesses are requisite to prove a will for goods.

For one witness by the civil law, unto which the other laws are conformed in this matter, is as no witness at all.

1 P. Will. 13.

So in the case of Thrvaites and Smith, M. 1696. Before the delegates. Where there were only three witnesses to the will, and two of them children of the refiduary legaree, the will was fet aside, children (by reason of the affection and duty which they owe to their parents) not being allowed to be witneffes by the civil law.-But on a commission of review being sued out, the parties agreed, and the executor renounced. And the reporter makes a quæry, Whether if the will in question appeared to be written or so much as subscribed by the testator's own hand, it would not have been good without any witnesses at all. 1 P. W. 13.

And Swinburn fays, if it be certain and undoubted, that the testament is written or subscribed with the testator's own hand, in this case the testimony of witnesses is not necessary; but if it be doubtful, whether the testament were written or subscribed by the testator, in this case the testimony of witnesses is necessary, to confirm the same to be the testator's own hand. Swin. 353.

And altho' witnesses to prove the will may be necessary, yet it doth not feem to be of absolute necessity that the names of these witnesses should be by them subscribed to

the will.

In the case of Limbery against Mason and Hyde, T. 8 G. 2. several cases were cited wherein these strict formalities were determined not to be requisite. As in the case of Wright and Walthoe, H. 1710. There were three testamentary schedules, whereof one was without date; the second was written In witness, but there was no witness; the third concluded abruptly: yet being written by the testator, they were declared to be his will. Comyn. 452.

So in the case of Worlick and Pollet, 1711. Before the delegates. The testatrix sent for a person to make her will; gave him instructions for the same; when he had wrote it, he read it to her; she approved it; declared it to be her last will; sent for three witnesses to see her execute it; Signed and sealed was written, but she died before any other execution: yet it was held a good will. For tho' the first sentence for it was reversed upon an appeal, yet it was afterwards affirmed by the delegates. Com.n. 452.

And by Gilbert chief baron: If a will be made of goods, and written in the party's own hand, without any witness at all; it is allowed to be good, and the statute doth not require any witnesses to chattels only. Gilb. Rep.

260.

In the case of *Brown* and *Heath*, 1721. A will of a real and personal estate was prepared in order to be executed, tho' there were several blanks in it, and the testator died before execution; yet it was held a good will for the personal estate: and tho' more was intended to be done, yet it shall be good for what is done. *Comyn.* 453.

So in the case of Loveday and Claridge, 1730. The testator intending to make his will, pulled a paper out of his pocket, wrote down some things with ink, some with a pencil, and tho' it had no conclusion, but appeared to be a draught which he intended after to finish, for it was not signed, but had at the end a calculation of his effects, an account of his tea table, and an order to pay a dividend of stocks; yet it was held to be a will. Comyn. 452.

So in a case where the testator gave instructions to make his will of his real and personal estate; and when it was brought to him, he made several alterations, and then wrote the whole over as altered with his own hand: this, being found in his study, tho' not signed or sealed, was held a good will (as to the personal estate). It is true, the sirft sentence was, that he died intestate; but that was reversed by the delegates. Comyn. 453.

3. By the same statute of the 29 C. 2. c. 3. All decla- Declarations of rations or creations of trusts or confidences, of any lands tene-trust.

4 ments

ments or hereditaments, shall be manifested and proved by some writing signed by the party who is by law enabled to declare such trust, or by his last will in writing; or else they shall be utterly void, and of none effect. S. 7.

And all grants and affignments of any trust or confidence, shall likewise be in writing, signed by the party granting or assigning the same by such last will or devise; or else shall be ut-

terly void, and of none effect. 1. 9.

Nuncupative will.

4. A nuncupative testament is, when the testator without any writing doth declare his will, before a sufficient number of witnesses. Swin. 58.

By the aforesaid statute, 29 C. 2. C. 3. No nuncupative will shall be good, where the estate thereby bequeathed shall exceed the value of thirty pounds, that is not proved by the oaths of three witnesses at the least, that were present at the making thereof; nor unless it be proved, that the testator at the time of pronouncing the same did bid the persons present or some of them bear witness that such was his will, or to that effect; nor unless such nuncupative will were made in the time of the last suchness of the deceased, and in the house of his habitation or dwelling, or where he hath been resident for the space of ten days or more before the making of such will, except where such person was surprized or taken sick, being from his own home, and died before he returned to the place of his dwelling. 1. 19.

And after six months passed after the speaking of the pretended testamentary words, no testimony shall be received to prove any will nuncupative, except the said testimony or the substance thereof, were committed to writing within six days after the making

of the said will. f. 20.

And no letters testamentary or probate of any nuncupative will shall pass the seal of any court, till fourteen days at the least after the decease of the testator be fully expired; nor shall any nuncupative will be at any time received to be proved, unless process have first issued to call in the widow or next of kindred to the deceased, to the end they may contest the same if they please. S. 21.

Provided, that notwithstanding this act, any soldier being in actual military service, or any mariner or seaman being at sea, may dispose of his moveables, wages, and personal estate, as he

might have done before the making of this act. f. 23.

And by the 4 An. c. 16. All fuch witnesses as are and ought to be allowed to be good witnesses upon trials at law, by the laws and customs of this realm, shall be deemed good witnesses to prove any nuncupative will, or any thing relating thereunto.

By the oaths of three witnesses at the least] T. 1704. Philips and the parish of St Clement Danes. Dr Shallmer by will in writing gave 2001 to the parish of St Clement Danes; and after, Prew the reader coming to pray with him, his wife put him in mind to give 2001 more towards the charges of building their church; at which, tho' Dr. Shallmer was at first disturbed, yet afterwards he said he would give it, and bid Prew take notice of it; and the next day bid Prew remember of what he had faid to him the day before, and dies that day. Within three or four days after, the doctor's widow put down a memorandum in writing of the faid last devise, and so did her maid. Prew died about a month after; and amongst his papers was found a memorandum of his own writing, dated three weeks after the doctor's death, of what the doctor faid to him about the 2001, and purporting that he had put it in writing the same day it was spoken; but that writing, which was mentioned to be made the same day it was spoken, did not appear; and these memorandums did not expressly agree. About a year after, on application of the parish to the commissioners of charitable uses, and producing these memorandums and proofs by Mrs Shallmer and her maid, they decreed the 200 l. But on exception taken by the executors, the decree was discharged of this 2001; and the lord chancellor held it not good, because it was not proved by the oath of three witnesses: for tho' Mrs Shallmer and her maid had made proof, yet Prew was dead, and the statute in that branch requires not only three to be present, but that the proof shall be by the oath of three witnesses. I Abr. Caf. Eq. 404.

Letters testamentary or probate of any nuncupative will? H. 22 & 23 C. 2. Verborn and Brewin. An administrator brought a bill to discover and have an account of the intestate's estate: the defendant pleaded, that the supposed intestate made a nuncupative will, and another person executor, to whom he was accountable, and not to the plaintiff as administrator. But decreed, that tho' there was fuch a nuncupative will, yet it was not pleadable against an administrator before it was proved. I Chan. Caf. 192.

5. A codicil, by intendment of law, is either to alter, Codicil. explain, add, or subtract something from the will; and wherever it is added to a testament, and the testator declares that it shall be in force, in such case, if the will happens to be void for want of those solemnities required by law, yet it shall be good as a codicil, and be observed

by the administrator: it is true, executors cannot regularly be appointed in a codicil, but yet they may be sub-stituted according to the will of the testator, and the co-

dicil is still good. Swin. 14.

M. 31 C. 2. Stoniwell's case. The testator made his wise executrix and residuary legatee; but she dying in his life time, he by a codicil nuncupative devised to G R all which by will he had given to his wise, and died. The question was, whether this nuncupative codicil was good, notwithstanding the statute before mentioned; and adjudged that it was, and as it were a new will for so much as he had given to his wise, and that it did not alter his written will, for there was no such will, the operation of it being determined by the death of the wise, living the testator, who was her husband. Raym. 334.

Altho' no man can die with two testaments, because the latter doth always insringe the former; yet a man may die with divers codicils, and the latter doth not hinder the former, so long as they be not contrary. Swin. 15.

If two testaments be found, and it doth not appear which was the former or latter, both testaments are void: but if two codicils be found, and it cannot be known which was first or last, and one and the same thing is given to one person in one codicil, and to another person in another codicil; the codicils are not void, but the persons therein named ought to divide the thing betwixt them. Swin. 15.

If codicils are regularly executed and attested, they may be proved as wills are. So if they are found written by the testator himself, they ought to be taken as part of the will, and to be proved in common form by the oath of the administrator with the will annexed; and in case of opposition, by witnesses to the handwriting and finding: And it hath been usual to exhibit an affidavit of the handwriting and finding, before a probate or administration passes even in common form.

But in case of a real estate, a codicil cannot operate, unless it be executed according to the statute. Tr. Atk.

426.

6. Donatio causa mortis, or a gift in prospect of death, is where a man, moved with the consideration of his mortality, doth give and deliver something to another, to be his in case the giver die, but if he lives he is to have it again. Law of Test. 179. Prec. Cha. 269.

In every such gift there must be a delivery made by the party in his last sickness; and nothing can operate as such,

without

Donatio caufa mortis, without having been delivered in the testator's life time,

by him or his order. 3 P. Will. 357.

A man by his will disposed of his personal estate; and afterwards by parol gave 1001 bill to one to deliver over to his nephew, if the testator should die of that sickness: And this gift was held good. Drury and Smith. IP. W. 404.

So where the husband upon his death bed delivered to his wife a purse of 100 guineas, bidding her apply it to no other use than her own. Lawson and Lawson.

Will. 441.

So where the husband upon his death bed drew a bill on his goldsmith, to pay his wife 100 l for mourning. Ibid.

In the case of Smith and Casen, 8 Dec. 1718, the master of the rolls, where jewels were given by the testator by way of donatio causa mortis, doubted whether this was good against debts. And it seems not; they being given in case of the donor's death, and in nature of a legacy, which therefore would be fraudulent as against creditors. I P. Will. 106.

T. 13 G. Thomson and Batty. An executor libelled in the spiritual court, for taking a tankard without his confent, on pretence that the testator gave it to the desendant if he died of his then sickness. And the court granted a prohibition; this not being a legacy, but a donation in prospect of death, the validity whereof may be tried in an action of trover. Str. 777.

For this is a matter of which the common law takes notice, and need not be proved in the ecclefiaftical court.

1 P. Will. 441. Sel. Caf. in Chan. 14.

7. It is faid, that if the spiritual court refuse the evi- Qualification of dence of the fon to prove a will in which the father is a the witnesses. legatee, no prohibition is grantable. And, before the delegates; There were three witnesses to prove a nuncupative will, two of them were without exception, and the third was fon to the legatee: the statute of frauds requires three competent witnesses; the question therefore was, if these three were sufficient, the son not being an evidence by the spiritual law; and adjudged, that they were; be-

frauds. L. Raym. 85. And altho' it was a general rule in the Roman law, that no one should be permitted to bear testimony in his own cause; yet legataries were allowed to give evidence,

cause two only were required by the spiritual law, and the third was a good witness within the intent of the act of

upon this distinction, that they were particular and not universal successors, and that a testament would be valid without legataries. The difficulty also, which must frequently have occurred, in obtaining fo great a number of witnesses as seven, might probably induce the Romans to be less strict, as to the persons whom they admitted upon this occasion. But by the practice of the ecclesiastical courts of this kingdom which have the fole cognizance of the validity of all wills as far as they relate to personal estate, no legatee, who is a subscribed witness to the will, by which he is benefited, can be admitted to give his teltimony in foro contradictorio, as to the validity of that will, till either the value of his legacy hath been paid to him, or he hath renounced it; and in case of payment, the executor of the supposed will must release all title to any future claim upon fuch supposed legatee, who might otherwife be obliged to refund, if the will should be set aside; and a release in this case is always made, to the intent that the legatee may have no shadow of interest at the time of making his deposition. The same practice also prevailed at common law, in regard to witnesses who were benefited under wills disposing of real estate. And if a legatee, who was a witness to a will, had refused either to renounce his legacy, or to be paid a fum of money in lieu of it; he could not have been compelled by law to devest himself of his interest; and whilst his interest continued, his testimony was useless. And this was determined in the case of Anstey and Dowsing, E. 19 G. 2. which was thus: James Thompson, esquire, made his will, by which he disposed of his real estate, and gave to one John Hailes and his wife 101 each for mourning, and an annuity of 201 to Elizabeth Hailes the wife of John. This will of James Thompson was regularly attested, as the statute directs, by three witnesses, of which number the above named John Hailes was one; and he refused to be paid 201 in lieu of his wife's legacy and his own. The cause was thrice argued at the bar, and the judges of the king's bench were unanimously of opinion, that a right to devise lands is not a common-law right, but depends upon powers given by statutes, the particulars of which are, that a will of lands must be in writing, figned and attested by three credible witnesses in the presence of the devisor; that these were checks to prevent men from being imposed upon; and certainly meant, that the witnesses to a will (who are required to be credible) should not be persons who are intitled to any benefit under that will; and that therefore

therefore John Hailes was not a good witness. (Str. 1254.) But this very singular case, and the unanimous opinion of the judges upon the meaning and intent of the statute of frauds and perjuries, gave rise to the act of parliament here following. Harr. Justin. B. 2. p. 49,

Which act is that of the 25 G. 2. c. 6. and runs thus: Whereas some doubts have arisen on the act for prevention of frauds and perjuries, who shall be deemed legal witnesses within the intent of the said act, it is enacted, that if any person shall attest the execution of any will or codicil which shall be made after Jun. 24. 1752, to whom any beneficial devise legacy estate interest gift or appointment of or affecting any real or personal estate (other than and except charges on lands tenements or hereditaments for payment of any debt or debts) shall be thereby given or made; such devise legacy estate interest gift or appointment shall, so far only as concerns such person attesting the execution of such will or codicil, or any person claiming under him, be utterly null and void; and such person shall be admitted as a witness to the execution of such will or codicil within the intent of the said att, notwithstanding such devise legacy estate interest gift or appointment mentioned in such will or codicil. S. I.

And in case by any will or codicil any lands tenements or hereditaments are or shall be charged with any debt or debts; and any creditor, whose debt is so charged hath attested or shall attest the execution of such will or codicil; every such creditor, notwithstanding such charge, shall be admitted as a witness to the execution of such will or codicil, within the in-

tent of the said act. 1. 2.

And if any person hath attested the execution of any will or codicil already made, or shall attest the execution of any will or codicil which shall be made on or before Jun. 24. 1752. to whom any legacy or bequest is or shall be thereby given, whether charged upon lands tenements or hereditaments, or not; and such person before he shall give his testimony concerning the execution of any such will or codicil, shall have been paid, or have accepted or released, or shall have refused to accept such legacy or bequest, upon tender made thereof; such person shall be admitted as a witness to the execution of such will or codicil, within the intent of the said act, notwithstanding such legacy or bequest. 1. 3.

Provided that, in case of such tender and refusal as aforefaid, such person shall be in no wise intitled to such legacy or bequest, but shall be for ever afterwards barred therefrom; and in case of such acceptance as aforesaid, such person shall retain to his own use the legacy or bequest which shall have been so paid satisfied or accepted, notwithstanding such will or codicil shall afterwards be adjudged or determined to be void, for want of due execution, or for any other cause or defets

what soever. f. 4.

And in case any such legatce as aforesaid, who hath attested the execution of any will or codicil already made, or shall attest the execution of any will or codicil which shall be made on or before the said 24th day of fune 1752, shall have died in the life time of the testator, or before he shall have received or released the legacy or bequest so given to him as aforesaid, and before he shall have resulted to receive such legacy or bequest, on tender made thereof; such legatee shall be deemed a legal witness to the execution of such will or codicil, within the intent of the said ast, notwithstanding such legacy or bequest.

Provided always, that the credit of every such witness, so attesting the execution of any such will or codicil, in any of the cases in this ast before mentioned, and all circumstances relating thereto, shall be subjest to the consideration and determination of the court and the jury, before whom any such witness shall be examined, or his testimony or attestation made use of; or of the court of equity, in which the testimony or attestation of any such witness shall be made use of; in like manner to all intents and purposes, as the credit of witnesses in all other cases

ought to be considered of and determined. 1.6.

And no person, to whom any beneficial estate interest gift or appointment shall be given or made, which is hereby enacted to be null and void as aforesaid, or who shall have refused to receive any such legacy or bequest, on tender made as aforesaid, and who shall have been examined as a witness concerning the execution of such will or codicil, shall after he shall have been so examined, demand or take possession of, or receive any profits or benefit of or from any such estate interest gift or appointment, so given or made to him, in or by any such will or codicil; or demand receive or accept any such legacy or bequest, or any satisfaction or compensation for the same, in any manner or under any colour or pretence whatsoever. S. 7.

Provided, that nothing herein shall extend to the case of any heir at law, or of any devisee in a prior will or codicil of the same testator executed and attested according to the said recited act, or any person claiming under them respectively, who has been in quiet possession for the space of two years next preceding the sixth day of May 1751, as to such lands tenements and hereditaments, whereof he has been in quiet possession as aforesaid; nor to any will or codicil, the validity or due exe-

cution

ecution whereof hath been contested in any suit in law or equity commenced by the heir of such devisor, or the devise in any such prior will or codicil, for recovering the lands tenements or hereditaments mentioned to be devised in any will or codicil so contested or any part thereof, or for obtaining any other judgment or decree relative thereto, on or before the sixth day of May 1751, and which has been already determined in favour of such heir at law or devisee in such prior will or codicil, or any person claiming under them respectively, or which is still depending, and has been prosecuted with due diligence; but the validity of every such will or codicil, and the competency of the witnesses thereto, shall be adjudged and determined in the same manner, to all intents and purposes, as if this act had never been made. S. 8.

Provided nevertheless, that no possession of any heir at law or devisee in such prior will or codicil as aforesaid, or of any person claiming under them respectively, which is consistent with, or may be warranted by or under any will or codicil attested according to the true intent and meaning of this act, or where the estate descended or might have descended to such heir at law, till a suture or executory devise, by virtue of any will or codicil attested according to this act, should or might take effect, shall be deemed to be a possession within the intent and meaning of the clause herein last before contained. S. Q.

Afterwards, this matter came in confideration again, in the case of Wyndham and Chetwynd, M. 31 G. 2. Which was on an issue out of chancery, devisavit vel non, to try the validity of the will of one Mr Chetwynd deceased. The jury found a special verdict, with regard to the attestation of this will; wherein it was stated, that the testator died Mar. 17. 1750, leaving the will in question, which was regularly attested by three subscribing witnesfes, Higden, Squire, and Baxter; that the testator was indebted about 18000 l upon mortgage of his real estate, and left a personal estate to the amount of 130721, which was greatly superior to all his specialty and simple contract debts; that he charged his real estate with the payment of his debts and legacies; that at the time of attesting this will, he was indebted to Higden the witness (who was an apothecary) about 111, and at the time of his death about 181, which had been paid off by the executor before the trial of the iffue; that he was indebted to Squire and Baxter, the other witnesses, who were two attorneys in partnership, about 2801, at the time of attestation, which also (except a small mistake in miscasting) was out-set or discharged before the day of trial.

trial. If these were three credible witnesses within the statute of frauds, the jury sound the devise to be sufficient; otherwise insufficient.

It was argued by serjeant Prime for the plaintiff; first, That the facts, as stated, did not make them interested witnesses; secondly, That supposing them to have been interested, yet the interest was removed before the time of trial. As to the first: They are no legatees, and derive nothing from the gift or bounty of the testator; they were justly intitled to payment of their debts, tho' no will had ever been made; the personal assets were the proper fund for them to refort to, and that is sufficient to pay their demands; fo that they are not interested in the charge on the real estate. As to the second point: They were competent witnesses at the time of examination, their debts being then discharged. The word credible in the statute is an ambiguous expression, and capable of many fenses; but there feems to be a parliamentary exposition thereof in the statute of 4 & 5 An. c. 16. s. 14. whereby three witnesses are required to authenticate a nuncupative will, and it is declared, that fuch as are good witnesses in trials at common law, shall be deemed good witnesses to establish a nuncupative will. Now allowing the same exposition to take place in the statute of frauds; then, as these witnesses would be unexceptionable on a trial at law in respect of interest, so they are competent (and therefore credible) witnesses to the present devise. And in this, and the former argument, there were cited divers cases to this purpose.

On the other fide, Mr Norton argued for the defendant; that at the time of the attestation the witnesses were interested, and therefore incompetent; and that this, and not the time of examination, is the proper time of inspecting their credibility; else it would open greater opportunities of fraud and perjury, than before the act ; it would be fetting up witnesses to hire; and would put the validity of the will in the power of the witnesses, by releasing or not releasing their interest. If a witness is unexceptionable at the time of attestation, and afterwards becomes infamous or infane; the will is nevertheless a good will: which proves that his condition at the time of attestation is alone to be regarded. And to this purpose were cited alfo divers cases; and it was observed, that most of the cases cited on the other side were prior to the statute of frauds. He infifted, that the word credibie means fomething more than competent: the law required competency

competency before; and it is not to be imagined, that the learned compiler of this statute (lord Hale) would put in a word, which at best was superstuous: That in the statute of the 13 C. 2. against deerstealing, and in all the game laws, the expression of credible witness is used, which hath always been understood to mean more than competent, and to give the justices a discretion whether they will convict upon such testimony or not, tho' the witness was in law strictly admissible. And he insisted on two cases, as directly in point; viz. Hilliard and Jennings, 1 L. Raym. 505- And Ansly and Downing, 19 G. 2.

On the argument, lord Mansfield expressed his doubts of that generally received opinion, that lord Hale drew the statute of frauds, 29 C. 2. he having died in 1676, in the 28 C. 2. and observed also, that the statute of the 4 & 5 An. was enacted to check the extravagant notions of some civilians, by which they excluded from being witnesses the children and samily of the testator, as well as of the legatee; arising from a siction in the Roman law, by which testaments are transacted in the form of a sale between the devisor and the devisee, to which none of

either family were allowed to be witnesses.

Afterwards in the same term, lord Mansfield delivered the opinion of the court. In this case the real estate is only charged with payment of debts, as an auxiliary fund to the personalty; which stands in need of no assistance, being it felf much greater than the debts: and at the time of trial, the three witnesses were not creditors to either the real or personal cstate, but were so at the time of attestation. And herein the question is, whether this be a valid attestation, according to the statute of frauds. is a doubt which sprang out of the general question in Anfly and Dowfing, whether a benefit to a witness arising from a will shall annul his testimony, tho' at or after the testator's death he becomes totally disinterested. The folution of this question depends upon general principles; not upon the words of the statute. The statute declares no incapacity, lays down no legal conditions for admitting witnesses. The word credible is no term of art: it has only one fignification, and that univerfally received: it is never used as synonymous to legal competency: it presupposes evidence to have been already given. The confideration of competent, is previous to that of credible; and in the statutes which have been mentioned at the bar, the expression so frequently used of credible witnesses, is never construed to mean competent. To make VOL. IV.

make the validity of a will depend upon the credibility of the witnesses, would be absurd; fince the testator could never foresee what credit might hereafter be given to them. It is true, that in Butler and Baker's case, 3 Co. 36. the third caution there given is, to call credible witnesses: But that is only a loose and casual expression; tho' perhaps the penner of this statute might take his hint from thence. I cannot conceive (for the reasons I formerly mentioned) that this statute was drawn by lord Hale, any further than perhaps by leaving some loose notes, which were afterwards unskilfully digested. I therefore think, that the epithet credible, in this statute is used as a word of course, but is unfortunately misapplied: if it fignifies competent, that is implied in the word witness alone; if it fignifies any thing more than competent, it is (as was before observed) absurd. Perpetual doubts have arisen upon every clause of this statute, not only among the unlearned, for whom it ought to have been calculated; but even amongst the learned also. In a statute so inaccurate, I therefore think the word credible might accidentally flip in, and ought not to be attended to as if it carried any special legal meaning. I shall therefore confider the statute, as only requiring the attestation of three subscribing witnesses, that is, legal competent witnesses; and cannot but observe, that the neceffity of having subscribing witnesses to any instrument never existed before in this country. The statute determines no point of time for the competence of witnesses; and as I think that competence is not confined to the time of attestation, so I think that the incompetence of witnesses at the time of examination could never be intended for a question by the legislature, since however competent at the time of attesting, they may become infane or infamous before the time of examination.

The competence of witnesses to wills, must therefore depend upon the general rules of competence for all other witnesses. I will therefore consider, first, How this matter of competent attestation would have stood upon general principles, supposing no judicial determination had been given: Secondly, How the authority of judicial determinations stands; for if there are any in point, they are certainly proper to be adhered to: And, thirdly, How these two rules may be applied to the present case.

First, As to general principles: The power of devising ought to be favoured. It naturally follows the right of propriety. It subsisted in this kingdom before the con-

quest.

quest, and till about the reign of king Henry the second, when it ceased by consequence of feudal tenure, not from any express prohibition. The doctrine of uses revived this power; and the statute of uses again accidentally checked it. This occasioned the statute of wills to be foon after made; which received a great enlargement by the alteration of tenures in the reign of king Charles the fecond. And this testamentary power over property is more reasonable in this kingdom, than ever it was among the Greeks and Romans; fince by reason of primogeniture, and other exclusive rules of descent, the succession ab intestate amongst us is not so equal and universal as among those people. The statute of the 29 C. 2. was not meant to check this power, but only to guard against fraud. In theory it seemed a strong guard: In practice it may be some guard: But I believe more fair wills have been destroyed for want of observing its restrictions, than fraudulent wills obstructed by its caution. In all my experience at the court of delegates (and I have heard the fame from many learned civilians), I never knew a fraudulent will which was not legally attested. Courts of justice ought therefore to lean rather against, than in support of, any too rigid formalities. And upon this principle, before the statute, in the year 1658, it was held, 2 Sid. 139. that the parishioners might be witnesses to a devise, tho' it was for the benefit of their own poor. Interest in a witness is certainly an objection to his competency: This arises from a presumption of biass: It is no politive disability; as if a particular age was required and wanting in a witness: It is only presumptive; and prefumptions only stand until the contrary is made apparent: If the biass be taken off, the objection ceases. There is no presumption of biass in a witness, who at the time of figning probably knew not the contents of the testator's will, and after his death is discharged from, or has renounced all interest arising from thence. Nothing can be more reasonable, than to allow this objection of interest to be purged by matter subsequent to the attestation, and previous to the trial, if it were only for the benefit of third persons. Shall tokens of kindness to friends, fervants, or the like, who may be unwarily called in as witnesses, vitiate a solemn and well-weighed disposition of a man's estate; when by payment or release this interest may be at once removed? This seems the more unreasonable, fince there are methods by which legatees may by circuity be witnesses to a devise in their own G 2

favour, without either payment or release: If land be once charged with legacies by a well attested will; legacies may be given by an unattested codicil to the witnes-

ses of that very will.

As to the judicial authorities: In all cases of testimony it hath often been determined, that a ralease takes off all objection in point of interest. And therefore I give credit to the dictum of judge Powis, in Viner, Tit. Evidence. F. fect. 53. not on the authority of the reporter, but because it is consonant to the known practice of Westminster-hall in other cases. The case of Hillyard and Fennings (of which, Carthew's is the best report, he having been council in the cause) in substance is much the fame as that of Anfry and Dowfing. In this last case, the wife of one of the witnesses had an annuity charged on the lands devised; no release was had; no payment, no tender, could be made; and as husband and wife are confidered as one person, this was a material objection to his testimony; and it was upon the particular circumstances of that case, and not upon any general doctrine, that the judgment in that case was sounded, as Mr justice Denison foon after affured me. It is true, the lord chief justice Lee, in delivering his opinion, went into the general point, and argued as if the credit of a witness could not be purged or varied by any act subsequent to the attestation; which he grounded on a maxim of the Roman law, conditionem testium inspicere debemus eo tempore cum signarent: But this was not sufficiently considered; as will appear from a short view of the Roman testaments, which originally could only be made as a legislative act in procinctu, or in comitiis calatis; but after the law of the twelve tables, which gave the power of private tellaments, tellamentary matters were usually transacted per æs et libram, under the fiction and in the form of a sale or contract before the testator and the legatees. These symbols were used before the introduction of written instruments; and to this symbolical sale five, and afterwards to the written instrument feven witnesses were required, who must be citizens, freemen, adults, and attended with other qualifications. This positive capacity was the condition of the witnesses referred to in the Roman law; which was requisite to be in them at the time of their attestation or signing, and not asterwards; in like manner as where a surrender must be made into the hands of two copyhold tenants, it will not be good if made into the hands of a stranger, tho' he thould afterwards become a copyholder. The interest of the witnesses was not in the contemplation of the law; for

for heirs were admitted as subscribing witnesses after the symbolical sale had ceased, as were also cestui que trusts and legatees. The consequence of this doctrine of lord chief justice Lee was, that no creditors or legatees, if the estate was charged to pay them, could at any rate be good witnesses. And yet when lord Aylesbury died in February 1746, leaving a new made will, witneffed by three fervants, to all of whom he had left legacies charged on lands, which they released before examination, and it appearing that by a former will dated in 1744, and witnessed by other persons, he had left the same legacies, the lord chancellor in 1748 held them to be good witnesses to the fecond will, for it was indifferent to them which will should stand good, and besides they had released. And in the case of Baugh and Holloway, I P. Will. 557. Lord Raymond lays down the same general doctrine that I would now establish; and also another point, which agrees with my opinion, that an interested witness may prove a devise to another, tho' not to himself. In all judicial determinations, devises have been confidered, not in the nature of wills by the Roman law, but as dispositions and conveyances of real estates; whence it is, that by such disposition of all one's estate, lands that are purchased subfequent thereto will not pass: Therefore the interest of witnesses to devises should be governed by the same rules, as in all other written dispositions of real estates. As to the notion started in the argument of Anfly and Dowsing, of four devilee witnesses dividing an estate among themfelves, by reciprocally attesting for each other; this might as well be effected by four distinct devises separately attested by three of them in rotation: But in either case, the very contrivance would appear fo fraudulent, as alone to be sufficient to set it aside.

With respect to the present case: My opinion is, that a charge of debts upon the real estate ought not to incapacitate witnesses, who are creditors, from proving a teltament. This clause ought to be in every conscientious will; and the man who omits it has been very justly faid to fin in his grave. This would be my opinion, even if the witness fought or wanted a benefit under such a will; but in this case there is no occasion to resort to the real estate, the personal is more than sufficient to pay the debts of the witnesses, and they have been already paid. Therefore we are all of opinion, that the will is duly attested by three witnesses.

8. Dr Swinburne fays, By general custom observed Appointing of within the province of York, the father by his last will or guardians.

testament

testament may for a time commit the tuition of his child, and the custody of his portion; which testament and assignation is to be confirmed by the ordinary, who also is to provide for the execution of the same testament. Swin. 210.

And if the father die, no tutor being by him affigued, and the mother do in her last will and testament appoint a tutor; the same will is to be proved, and the affiguation

of the tutor confirmed. Swin. 210.

And if no tutor be affigned by either of the parents, then may a stranger, if he make the orphan his executor, and give him his goods, affign a tutor unto him [with respect to such goods]; which tutor is by the ordinary to be confirmed. Swin. 210.

And if there be no tutor testamentary at all, then may the ordinary commit the tuition of the child to his next kinsman demanding the same, according as in administra-

tions where any dieth intestate. Swin. 211.

And by the faid custom a tutor may be affigned to a boy at any time until he hath accomplished the age of fourteen years, and to a girl until she hath accomplished the age of twelve years. But after those years, he or she respectively may chuse their own curators. But if they do not elect any other curator after their several ages, then he that is assigned in the will is to be confirmed curator to either of the said children, albeit he were above fourteen years, and she above twelve, when the will was made. Swin. 212. And this is according to the rules of the civil law; but by the common law, the age of chusing guardians both as to the male and semale is the age of fourteen. Inst. 78.

And by the faid general custom observed within the province of York, a tutor may be affigured either simply or conditionally, and until a certain time, or from a certain time. But no tutor may intermeddle as tutor, until he be confirmed by the ordinary, albeit he be affigured tutor simply; much less where he is affigured conditionally, or from a certain time, may he intermeddle as tutor, until the condition be extant, or the time limited be expired. But the ordinary may in the mean time commit the tuition; and he that is so appointed by the ordinary, may

for that time administer. Swin. 215.

But, more generally, by the statute of the 12 C. 2. c. 24. (which controlleth the aforesaid custom in divers instances) Where any person shall have any child or children under the age of twenty one years and not married, at the time of

his

his death; it shall be lawful for the father of such child or children, whether born at the time of the decease of such father, or at that time in ventre sa mere, or whether such father be within the age of twenty one years or of full age, by his deed executed in his life time, or by his last will and testament in writing, in the presence of two or more credible witnesses, in such manner, and from time to time, as he shall think fit, to dispose of the custody and tuition of such child or children during fuch time as he or they shall respectively remain under the age of twenty one years, or any lesser time, to any person or persons, in possession or remainder, other than popish recusants: and fuch person to whom the custody of such child shall be so disposed or devised, may maintain an action of ravishment of ward or trespass, against any person who shall wrongfully take away or detain any such child, for the recovery of such child, and recover damages for the same in the said action, for the use and benefit of such child. 1.8.

And such person to whom the custody of such child shall be so disposed or devised, may take into his custody to the use of such child, the profits of all lands tenements and hereditaments of such child, and also the custody tuition and management of the goods chattels and personal estate of such child, till his or her age of twenty one years, or any lesser time, according to such disposition aforesaid; and may bring such actions in relation thereto, as by law a guardian in common socage might do.

f. g.

Provided, that this shall not extend to alter or prejudice the outlow of the city of London, nor of any other city or town corporate, or of the town of Berwick upon Tweed, concerning orphans. S. 10.

Shall have any child or children under the age of twenty one years] By the common law, there were four forts of guardians: 1. Guardian in chivalry. If the tenant by knight's fervice died, his heir male being under twelve years of age; in fuch case, the lord should have the land holden of him, until the heir should attain the age of twenty one, and likewise the marriage of the heir, if he was unmarried at the death of his ancestor; if there was an heir female, under the age of fourteen, and unmarried, then the lord had the wardship of the land till her age of fixteen, and was to tender to her covenable marriage without disparagement. And this fort of guardianship was a kind of dominion of lords over their tenants, and was introduced among the Gothic nations, to breed them to arms; but is now fallen with the tenures, for by this same statute all tenures by knight's service and in capite

G 4

are taken away, and turned into free and common focage. 2. Guardian by nature; as the father is of his eldest son, till he comes to the age of twenty one years. But this is with respect to the custody of the body only. And this extendeth only to the heir apparent; and not to the younger children; the true reason of which is, because they cannot inherit any thing from him. But it extendeth to the daughter, whilst she is heir apparent, but not after the birth of a son, for then he is heir apparent, and not the daughter. 3. Guardian in socage: And this is, where the tenant in focage dies, his issue whether male or female (or if no iffue, his brother or cousin) being under the age of fourteen; in which case, the next of blood, to whom the inheritance cannot descend, shall have the wardship of the land and body, till the age of fourteen years. 4. Guardian by nurture: And this may be, tho' no land descends; whereas guardian in socage must be, where land in socage descends. And such guardian hath nothing but the governance of the child, until the age of discretion, to wit, fourteen years, whether the infant be male or female. And none can be guardian by nurture, but the father or mother. 1 Inft. 74, 87, 88. Viner. Guardian. N. 2.

Guardians appointed by the spiritual court are only for the personal estate; guardians for the real estate were heretofore under the direction of the court of wards and liveries, which court being taken away by this statute, power is given by the same statute to the sather by his deed or will to appoint guardians; which if he shall not do, or if the guardians appointed by him shall die or resuse to act, then the power devolveth upon the high court of chancery, the lord chancellor (under the king) being the supreme guardian of all infants and others not capable to act for themselves.

It shall be lawful for the father] By the common law, before this act, it was not lawful for the father to appoint a guardian either in chivalry or focage; but the law appointed one for him: and in such case, the guardian appointed by the law could not resuse; but the guardian appointed by the father, under the statute, may resuse, if he pleaseth. Vaugh. 182.

For the father] Therefore the act only authorizeth the father, and not the mother; altho' she hath the same concern for her heir as the father. And as the father only can appoint a guardian, so therefore the guardian appointed

pointed by him cannot appoint another guardian; for it is a personal trust, and not assignable, any more than

guardianship in socage. Vaugh. 179.

But here being no negative words, this altereth not the custom within the province of York (as hath been expressed) for the mother by her will to appoint a guardian; that is, with respect to the personal estate; for unto that only the custom must be understood to extend; for when that custom first took place, the law it self appointed guardians for the real estate, in chivalry or in socage.

In ventre sa mere] In like manner, by the custom within the province of York, a tutor may be affigued to a child that is not born, as also to an idiot or a lunatick. Swin. 212.

But this statute gives no power to the father to appoint a guardian to his child being an idiot or a lunatick, after

he shall be of the age of twenty one years.

Whether such father be within the age of twenty one years, or of full age. Therefore the father, under the age of twenty one, may grant the custody of his heir; but he cannot demise or devise his land in trust for him directly: but he may do it obliquely; for by appointing the custody, the land follows as an incident given by the law to attend it. Vaugh. 178.

By his deed executed in his life time, or by his last will In the case of the earl of Shaftesbury and Hannam, where the sather had given the guardianship of the infant to one by deed, and to the mother by will, it was decreed, that the will was a revocation of the deed. Cha. Ca. Finch. 323.

By his last will] And such will need not to be proved in the spiritual court. I Ventr. 207. That is to say, if the will is merely upon this statute for the appointing a guardian and nothing else; for in such case, the appointment being solely by act of parliament, the temporal courts shall be judges thereof. But in the same will, if there is any disposition of the personalty (as is most commonly the case); it seemeth that the will shall be proved in the spiritual court for the whole: which probate shall be effectual so far as the personalty is concerned, altho' it shall be of no avail with respect to such particular appointment of a guardian by the statute. Also this consideration shall not be extended to take away any power from the spiritual court which it had before; as particularly, within

the province of York (as before mentioned), or within any of the places specially excepted by the statute.

In such manner, and from time to time, as he shall think fit] It seemeth not to be material by what words the tutor is appointed, so that the testator's meaning do appear. Wherefore if the testator say, I commit my children to the power of such a one; or, I leave them in his hands it is in essect as if the testator had said, I make him tutor to my children. So it is, if he say, I leave them to his government, regimen, administration, or the like. For in all things the will and meaning of the testator is to be observed, and preserved before the propriety of the words, whereof perhaps he is ignorant; which meaning is to be collected by that which went before or followeth in the will, and by other circumstances, which the judge ought to inquire. Swin. 216.

Under the age of twenty one years, or any lesser time] By the common law, the guardianship in socage (as was observed before), was only to the age of sourteen. Vaugh. 179.

Or any lesser time] If a man deviseth the custody of his heir apparent, and no time is mentioned; yet it is a good devise of the custody within the act, if the heir be under fourteen at the death of the father: because by the devise, the guardianship is changed only as to the person, and less the same as to the time. But if the heir be above fourteen, then the devise is void for the uncertainty; for the act did not intend every heir should be in custody till twenty one, but only so long as the sather shall appoint, not exceeding that time. Vaugh. 184.

To any person or persons in possession or remainder, other than popish recusants. Yet there are other exceptions: As, by the 9 & 10 W. c. 32. Persons denying the trinity, or afferting that there are more gods than one, or denying the christian religion to be true, or the holy scriptures to be of divine authority, shall for the second offence be disabled to be guardians.

And by the statutes relating to the qualification for offices, persons executing their respective offices without taking the oaths and performing the other requisites for their qualification, shall be disabled to be guardians.

Also, in general, he that cannot be an executor, can-

not be a guardian. Swin. 211.

and To Jacobs

May maintain an action of ravishment of ward] The ecclesiastical court cannot intermeddle with the body, althouthe parents make no disposition thereof. 3 Keb. 834.

But by the express words of this act, the guardian by will takes place of all other guardians; and the guardian under this statute may have ravishment of ward, as the guardian by knight's service or in socage at common law might have had. 3 Keb. 528. 2 P. Will. 115.

May take into his custody, to the use of such child. This guardian being made after the model of a socage guardian, and coming in the place of the father, hath not a bare authority, but an interest; but it is only an interest joined with his trust (as being necessary in order to the performance of the trust), but not an interest for himself. Vaugh. 181, 183. 2 P. Will. 122.

The profits of all lands] A guardian by nurture, being fo appointed by the testator's will, can only lease at will, and not for any number of years; for the guardian himfelf (except he be guardian in socage) is only tenant at

will. Cro. Eliz. 678, 734. 8 Mod. 312.

Of all lands, tenements, and hereditaments of such child] It feemeth that this guardian shall have the custody, not only of lands descended, or left by the father, but of all lands and goods any way acquired or purchased by the infant (which the guardian in socage had not); which proves that he derives not his interest from the father, but from the law; for the father could never give him power or interest of or in that which was never his. 2 P. Will. 185.

And also the custody, tuition, and management of the goods] Swinburn says, the office of a tutor is, to provide that his pupil be honestly and virtuously brought up; and to provide for him meat, drink, cloaths, lodging, and other necessaries, according to the child's estate, condition, and

ability. Swin. 217.

And the same also doth further consist, in the good and faithful administring or disposing of the goods and chattels of the said pupil; that is to say, the tutor may not commit any thing that may be hurtful, nor omit any thing that may be profitable to his pupil, and in the end must restore unto his pupil all his goods and chattels, by him the said tutor before received. And for that purpose every tutor ought, even at the very entry into his office, to make a true inventory of all the goods and chattels of his pupil, and to make a just and true account of his dealings

dealings in behalf of his pupil. And it is generally obferved within the faid province of York, that every tutor, as well testamentary as other appointed by the ordinary, doth enter into bond with sureties to the effect aforesaid, according to the discretion of the ordinary. Swin. 217.

The tutor may fell fuch goods belonging to the pupil, as cannot be kept until he come to lawful age. But other goods which may conveniently be kept, and especially goods immoveable, the tutor may not sell; unless other-

wise ordered by will. Swin. 217.

More particularly; The guardian ought to apply the effate in his hands, to pay the debts of the infant. I Cha.

Ca. 157.

He may pay off the interest of any real incumbrance, and the principal of a mortgage; because it is an immediate charge on the land: but no other real incumbrance.

Prec. Cha. 137.

In the case of Waters and Ebral, H. 1707. where the mother, as guardian, received the rents of the estate, and paid off specialties, but took assignment, and after the death of the infant brought a bill against the heir for a discovery of assets by descent (she claiming the rents received as administratrix); it was held by the court, that the guardian is not compellable to apply the profits of the estate of the infant, to pay off the band debts of the ancestor. 2 Vern. 606.

In the case of the earl of Winchelsea and Norcliff, T. 1686. A guardian, having a considerable sum of money in his hands, laid it out in a purchase of lands, for the benefit of the infant, if when he came of age he should agree to it; the infant dying in his minority, it was decreed, that the guardian should account for the money to the administrator of the infant; for that he could not, without the direction of the court, convert the personal

into real estate. 1 Vern. 403, 435.

M. 35 C. 2. Ofborn and Chapman. A guardian, at the request of one who was going to marry the ward, gave in an account of the estate to the intended husband, and secured to him the balance by three several bonds; and the intended husband gave a bond to the guardian, to release all accounts to him after the marriage: The marriage was had: The guardian paid the balance: But the husband gave no release, but sued for an account, and relief against the bond. And the guardian was ordered to answer the bill: For the account was made when the intended husband had no title; no release was given; and the pursuit is fresh. 2 Cha. Ca. 157.

For,

For, by Cowper lord chancellor; Wherever a father, mother, or guardian infilts upon private gain, or fecurity for it, and obtains it of the intended husband, it shall be

set aside. 1 Salk. 158. 2 Vern. 652.

For marriage brocage agreements have been often condemned in equity. And a bond to give money if such a marriage could be obtained, is ill. And so is a bond to forgive a sum of money. For such bonds, altho' good at law, yet being introductive of infinite mischief, have upon great consideration been condemned in equity. 3 P. Will.

But a guardian, upon account, shall have allowance of all reasonable costs and expences in all things. Litt. sect.

123.

And if he receive the rents and profits, and be robbed without his default or negligence, he shall be discharged thereof. i Inst. 89.

By the statute of the 4 An. c. 16. actions of account may be brought against the executors or administrators of

guardians.

By the 6 An. c. 18. s. S. Any person, who as guardian or trustee for any infant shall hold over after the determination of the particular estate, without consent of the person next intitled, shall be adjudged a trespasser, and shall pay damages to the value of the profits received.

By the 7 An. c. 19. Infants seised or possessed of lands in trust, or by way of mortgage, shall and may, on direction of a court of equity, signified by an order made on hearing all parties, on petition of the person for whom such infant shall be seised in trust, or the mortgagor, or guardian of such infant, convey and assure the said lands, as such court shall direct.

By the 29 G. 2. c. 31. Guardians, on application to a court of equity, may obtain an order for infants to surrender leases, in order to accept new ones.

And by the 26 G. 2. c. 33. Guardians may consent to

the marriage of fuch infants.

And may bring such actions in relation thereto, as by law a guardian in common socage might do And he may also submit matters to arbitration; for the infant cannot submit to an award, yet the guardian may do it for him, and bind himself that the infant shall perform it. Comb. 318.

An infant may fue either by his guardian or next friend;

but must desend by his guardian. Cro. Ja. 641.

And if an infant refuseth to name a guardian to appear by; the plaintiff, by order of court, may do it for him. Str. 1076.

And the prechein amy, or next friend, need not to be a relation; but he must be a person of substance, because

liable to costs. Tr. Atk. 570.

And when an infant brings an action by his guardian, the warrant for him to appear by guardian ought to be entred upon record, because it is the act of the court; for the court takes care of infants, that none shall sue for them, but those that are responsible; for if the infant be prejudiced, he may have this action against him. L. Raym. 232.

But the suit is not in the name of the guardian, but of the infant; for at this day, a guardian doth not act in any cause for a minor in his own name, as guardian; but the minor acts in his own name by his guardian. I Ought.

337, 359.

Appointing of executors.

9. By the 9 & 10 W. c. 32. Persons denying the trinity, or afferting that there are more gods than one, or denying the christian religion to be true, or the holy scriptures to be of divine authority, shall for the second offence be disabled to be executors.

By the 5 G. c. 27. Artificers going out of the kingdom, and exercifing their trades in foreign parts, shall be

incapable of the office of executor.

And by the acts for the qualification for offices, persons not having taken the oaths and performed the other requisites for qualifying, who shall execute their respective offices after the time limited for their qualification shall be expired, shall be disabled to be executors.

An infant may be made executor, how young foever he

be. Swin. 331.

And if the infant executor be so young, that he hath no discretion (for it is not only lawful to make such an one executor, but also the child in the mother's womb and unborn at the death of the testator); in that case the ordinary, or other to whom the approbation of the testament appertaineth, after the birth of the child, doth comamit the execution of the will to the tutor of the child for the child's behoof, until he be able to execute the same himself; which tutor hath authority to deal as executor until the child be able to undertake the executorship, that is to say, until he be of the age of seventeen years. During which minority, the administrator to the child's use cannot sell or alienate any of the goods of the deceased,

deceased's debts, or that the goods would otherwise perish; nor let a lease for a longer term than whilst the executor shall be in minority, because having that office for the good and benefit of the child only, he may not do any thing to his prejudice. Swin. 359, 360.

And after his age of seventeen years, before he shall come to the age of twenty one, an act done by fuch infant as executor, as (for instance) the releasing of a debt due to the testator, or the selling or distributing of the testator's goods, is said to be sufficient in law: Which is to be understood, upon true payment and satisfaction of the due to the deceased, made to the executor in minority; for then he may acquit and discharge the debtor for so much as he doth receive; for therein he doth perform the office and duty of an executor, which he is enabled to do; and fo doing, his act shall bind him. But if he shall release without satisfaction, this act is not according to the office and duty of an executor; and therefore being without the compass of his office and duty, shall not bind or bar him from recovery thereof: for if it should, then should it be a devastavit, and charge the minor out of his own proper goods; which cannot be by law: for an infant may better his estate, but not make it worse, by contracting with or acquitting of another person. Swin. 358, 359. 2 Bac. Abr. 377.

M. 1730. Jones and the earl of Strafford. In the case where an administration is granted during the minority of an infant executrix being under the age of seventeen years, and she marries a husband of age, King lord chancellor and Raymond chief justice strongly inclined against the opinion reported by lord Coke in Prince's case, that fuch administration during the minority of the executrix is determined: the same being extrajudicial in that case, and not taken notice of by other cotemporary reporters; and the author of the book intitled The office of executors, mentioning this opinion, a little marvels thereat, confidering (as he observes) that these things are managed in the spiritual court, and by the canon law, which intermeddles not with the husband in the wife's case; and that by that law, and not by the common law, comes in this limitation of seventeen years; and he adds, that he hath feen that case otherwise reported in this point. 3 P. Will.

Swinburne fays, If a wife during the coverture be named executrix, she alone cannot sue for any debt due to the

testator

testator, without her husband. But (he says) she alone may do any act extrajudicial, as the paying of debts or legacies, or the receiving or releasing of any debts due to

the testator. Swin. 417.

And the husband and wife being but one person in law, she cannot be executrix without his affent; for if she might, then he would be executor against his will: therefore if she is made executrix, she cannot bring an action alone, but her husband must join with her; and if he should refuse, he cannot be compelled, nor can she be compelled to plead without her husband. Swin. 417, 418.

But (he fays) altho' she cannot sue or be sued without him, yet she may deliver any of the testator's goods to another to keep; and may pay legacies, and receive debts, and give acquittances without her husband; and if any devastavit is made by giving acquittances, it shall bind them both, because she could not administer without his affent; and it shall be accounted his folly to suffer such a person to administer. Swin. 418.

But it seemeth that this must be understood only according to the spiritual law, which in this case maketh no difference betwixt married and sole: for otherwise it is by

the common law.

For by the common law, the affent to a legacy by a feme covert executrix is not good, unless her husband affent to it also; otherwise it is void: but the affent to such legacy by her husband is good. Law of Ex. 264. 2 Bac. Abr. 378.

And the release of a seme covert executrix is not good; for she can do nothing to the prejudice of her husband; but without question the release of the husband is good.

Curson 53. 1 Roll's Abr. 924.

And this, not only during the marriage, but also after the death of the husband. But if the wife die, the husband cannot convert any of the goods and chattels belonging to the first testator to his own proper use; for of such goods the wife her self may make a testament (Swinburne says) appointing an executor, without the licence of her husband. Swin. 417.

And if the husband commits waste, and then she dies; there is no remedy at common law against her husband, but only in the spiritual court, where he will be compel-

led to make restitution. 1 Roll's Abr. 919.

Altho' an executor becomes a bankrupt, yet administration cannot be committed to another; but if an executor become non compos, the spiritual court may commit administration. 2 Bac. Abr. 376.

And

And in the court of chancery, forasmuch as an execufor is confidered only as a truftee; if he be infolvent, that court will oblige him, as they will any other trustee, to give fecurity before he enters upon the trust. 2 Bar. Abr. 377.

And by a constitution of archbishop Stratford, the executor at the time of proving the will, shall give security (if need be) to render a just account of his administration, when duly thereunto required by the ordinary. Lind. 177.

As to the form and manner of making an executor in the will, it is not always necessary to express this word executor, neither hath every testator skill so to do; but it is fufficient, if the testator's meaning do appear by other words of like sense or import: as, if the testator say, I commit all my goods to the disposition of AB; or, I leave all my goods, or the refidue of all my goods to AB, or the like; for in these cases, he to whom all the residue is bequeathed, is thereby understood to be made executor. Swin. 247.

10. Overseers of a will have no power to intermeddle, Supervisors, otherwise than by counsel and advice, or by complaining

in the spiritual court. Went. 9, 10.

Sir Thomas Ridley takes occasion to wish, that they might be made of more use; altho' at present (he says) they be looked upon only as candle holders; having no power to do any thing but hold the candle, while the executors tell the deceased's money. Ridley. Part 4. Ch. 2.

II. If the testator shew the will unto the witnesses, Attesting the exfaying, This is my last will and testament, or, Herein is ecution of the contained my last will; this is sufficient without making the witnesses privy to the contents thereof, provided the witnesses be able to prove the identity of the writing, that is to fay, that the writing now shewed is the very same writing which the testator in his life time affirmed before them to be his will, or to contain his last will and testament. Swin. 52. God. O. L. 66.

Whether it is necessary, that the testator should declare to the witnesses, at the time of the attestation, that the writing which they attest is his will, hath been matter of some doubt. As in the case of Wallis and Wallis, T. 1762. Thomas Wallis, esquire, made his will, and therein devised his real estate to his wife for life; the will was of his own hand writing; and the form of attestation was in these words, signed, sealed, published, and declared for the last will and testament of the said Thomas Wallis, in the pre-Sence of us &c, Isabella Matthews, James Wardell, William VOL. IV. Powell.

Powell. The heir at law brought an ejectment. The widow pleaded the devile to her for life. The cause came on to be heard at the summer assizes at Lincoln, 1762, by a special jury, before Mr justice Denison. To prove the execution of the will, the defendant produced William Powell, the testator's coachman, one of the three subfcribing witnesses, who deposed, that in the beginning of July 1760, James Wardell, then butler to the faid Thomas Wallis, came and told him the faid Powell that he was to come to his master; that upon entring the room, he found his mafter fitting with a table before him, on which were some papers open; and that his master called him, and the faid Wardell, and one Isabella Matthews then his housekeeper, up to the table to him; where they all came. Then the faid Thomas Wallis, further addresfing himself to them all, defired them to take notice: and then took a pen, and in all their presence signed and fealed each part of his wifl, and laid both the faid parts open and unfolded before them to fubscribe their names as witnesses thereto; which they all did, by the direction of the faid Thomas Wallis, in his prefence, and in the prefence of each other; he shewing them severally where to write their names. But that the faid Thomas Wallis, otherwise than as above, did not declare or publish either part to be his will, or fay what it was. The counsel for the plaintiff contended, that this was not a sufficient proof by one witness, of a compleat execution of the will And they produced on the other hand, the other two fubscribing witnesses; who in divers particulars did not give a clear and distinct evidence; and could not recolled whether they had figned one or two papers; or whether then, or at any time before the faid Thomas Wallis's death, they undenstood what they had so witnessed to be the said Thomas Wallis's will, tho' Wardell feemed to admit he conjectured it so to be. But both Wardell and Matthews fwore, that they did not fee the faid Thomas Wallis fign or feal either part of his faid will; that Powell, the other subscribing witness, was not at that time in the room, when (at the faid Thomas Wallis's defire) they wrote their names to the two papers as they now appear; that the faid Thomas Wallis did not declare or publish it as his will, nor did they know it to be a will. The defendant's counsel then called Richard Price, the faid Thomas Wallis's groom, who fwore, that one morning in the beginning of July 1760, James Wardell told him that his master had much wanted him; and that upon his the faid Price's offering

to go to his master to receive his orders, the said Wardell told Price that the business was done, and that Powell had supplied his place; and that he the faid William Powell, James Wardell, and Isabella Matthews had that morning been witnessing their master's will. And Sarah Dixon being called fwore, that in the beginning of July 1760, Isabella Matthews came one morning after breakfast into the kitchen, and told her that she the said Matthews, James Wardell, and William Powell, had that morning witnessed their master's the said Thomas Wallis's will, tho' he had not told them it was fo. Upon this state of the evidence on both sides, it was insisted for the plaintiff, that as the law stood before the statute of frauds, publication of a will was an effential part thereof and if fo, there is nothing in that statute to take it away: And further it was infifted, that by the faid statute there are four requifites to constitute a good and valid devise of lands; I. That it shall be in writing. 2. That it shall be figned by the party devising, or by some other person in his presence and by his express directions. 3. That it shall be attested and subscribed in the presence of the devifor by three or four credible witnesses. 4. That the words attested and subscribed must import, that it shall be published as a devise or will by the testator in the presence of the said witnesses. On the contrary, for the defendant it was infifted, that neither before nor fince the statute publication was necessary; and that by the statute, only the three first requisites are necessary, which in the present case were all complied with, the devise being in writing, and figned by the testator in the presence of three credible witnesses, who had subscribed their names as witnesses to the same in the presence of the testator and of each other; and further, supposing any such publication was necessary, that the testator had used words and done acts which amounted to a publication within the meaning of the statute, which had not directed or prescribed any particular form or manner in which fuch publication should be made; that the testator using these significant words to all the witnesses when he called them up to the table " take notice", and then figning both parts of his will, and then delivering both the parts thereof to the witnesses to attest, directing them where to fign their names, and to witness each part under the common and usual form of attestation, which the witnesses did, was a fufficient execution and publication of his will; that the words " figned, scaled, published, and declared," being all H 2 written

written in the testator's own handwriting, and the witness Powell swearing that both the parts of the will lay open to the inspection of all the witnesses when they subfcribed their names, and it appearing by the evidence of Price and Dixon that both the other witnesses had declared that they had been attesting the faid Thomas Wallis's will, this was much stronger than the case of Peate and Ougly, reported in Comyns 197. And Mr justice Denison was of opinion, if the witnesses for the defendant were credited by the jury, that this was a due execution within the statute, and a sufficient publication; and for this cited the case of Trimmer and fackson lately determined in the court of king's bench. And the jury sound accordingly a verdict for Mrs Wallis the defendant. Nevertheless. the plaintiff's counsel insisted, that the point, whether a good publication or not, should be referved for a case to be argued above.—But the matter was compromised, on

the defendant's remitting the costs.

Note, the cafe of Peate and Ougley was, where the teftator produced to the witnesses a paper folded up; and defired them to fet their hands to it as witnesses, which they all did in his presence, but they did not see any of the writing, nor did he tell them it was his will, or fay what it was; but it was all written by the testator's own hand. It was objected, that this was not a good execution of the will within the statute; for it is not sufficient that the witnesses write their names in the presence of the testator, without any thing more; but they must attest every thing, to wit, the figning of the testator, or at least the publication of his will: But here the testator neither figned the will in their presence, nor declared it to be his last will before them. On the other part, it was insisted, that the execution was sufficient within the statute; for there is no necessity that the witnesses see the testator write his name; and if he writes these words, signed, sealed, and published as his will, and prays the witnesses to subscribe their names to that, it will be a fufficient publication of his will, tho' the witnesses do not hear him declare it to be his will. And Trevor chief justice inclined, that here was fufficient evidence of the execution, and the jury found it accordingly. But as to the matter of law, he permitted it to be found special. And it doth not appear further what became of it.

The case of Trimmer and Jackson was, where the witnesses were deceived by the testator at the time of the execution, and were led to believe from the words used by

the testator at the execution of the instrument that it was a deed and not a will. It was delivered as his act and deed; and the words " fealed and delivered" were put above the place where the witnesses were to subscribe their names. And it was adjudged by the court, as it is faid, for the inconveniences that might arise in families, from having it known that a person had made his will, that this was a sufficient execution.

12. The intention of the testator is called by lord Coke Wills to be conthe pole star, to guide the judges in the exposition of freed favour-

wills.

In Rivers's case, M. 1737. The testator, by his will, gave certain lands to his two fons James and Charles Rivers. It appeared that they were illegitimate children; and the question was, whether this is such a description of their persons as will intitle them to take under the will. By lord Hardwicke: In the case of a devise, any thing that amounts to a designatio personæ is sufficient; and tho' in strictness they are not his sons, yet if they have acquired that name by reputation, in common expression they are to be considered as such: It hath been objected also, that the testator hath made a mistake in their names, and that therefore they cannot take; but the law is otherwise; for if a man is mistaken in a devise, yet if a person is clearly made out by averment to be the person meant, and there can be no other to whom it may be applied, the devise to him is good. Tr. Atkyns. 410.

But altho' by the law the intention is more to be confidered than the words; yet such intention must be collected out of the words, and it must consist with the law.

Thus, in the lord Cheiney's case, M. 33 & 34 El. Sir Thomas Cheiney, knight, lord warden of the cinque ports, made his will in writing, and thereby devised to Henry his fon divers manors and to the heirs of his body, the remainder to Thomas Cheiney of Woodley and to the heirs male of his body, upon condition that he or they or any of them shall not aliene or discontinue. And the question was in the court of wards, between Sir Thomas Perot heir general to the lord warden and divers purchasers of Sir Thomas Cheiney, whether the said Sir Thomas Perot shall be received to prove by witnesses, that it was the intent and meaning of the devisor, to include his fon and heir within these words of the condition [he or they], and not only to restrain to Thomas Cheiney of Woodley and his heirs male of his body, But Wray

H 3

and Anderson chief justices, upon conference had with the other justices, resolved that he shall not be received to fuch averment out of the will; for a will concerning lands ought to be in writing, and not by any averment out of it; for it will be full of great inconvenience, if none shall know by the written words of a will what construction to make, or what advice to give, but the same shall be controuled by collateral averments out of the will. But if a man hath two fons, both baptized by the name of John, and thinking that the elder (who hath been long absent) is dead, deviseth his land by will in writing to his fon John generally, and in truth the elder is living; in this case the younger John may in pleading or in evidence alledge the devise to him, and if this be denied, he may produce witnesses to prove the intent of his father, that he thought the other to be dead, or that at the time of making the will he named his fon John the younger, and the writer omitted the addition of the younger: and in this case no inconvenience can arise; for he who shall see the will by which the land is devised to his fon John, cannot be deceived by any fecret invisible averment, for when he shall see the devise to his son John, he ought at his peril to inquire what John the teftator intended, which may eafily be known by him who writ the will, and others who were privy to the intention; and if no direct proof can be made of the intention, then the devise is void for the uncertainty. 5 Co. 68.

But this rule hath received a distinction of late, which hath greatly prevailed, between evidence offered to a court, and evidence offered to a jury. For in the last case, no parol evidence is to be admitted, less the jury should be inveigled by it; but in the first case it can do no hurt, being to inform the conscience of the court, who cannot be byassed or prejudiced by it. And accordingly, in divers instances, collateral evidence hath been admitted in the court of chancery, to explain the testator's intention. Law of Test. 306. 2 Bac. Abr. 309.

And in the case of Selwin and Brown, M. 1734. Lord Talbot admitted, that it had sometimes been allowed.

Caf. Ta.b. 240.

But notwithstanding these cases, the courts have been very unwilling to admit of parol evidence in relation to any thing that appears on the face of a will; and it is certain that too much caution cannot well be used in this particular, especially when it is considered that the statute of frauds and perjuries, which was made to prevent per-

jury,

jury, contrariety of evidence, and uncertainty, binds the courts of equity as well as the common law courts; as also that little regard ought in many cases to be had to the expressions of the testator, either before or after the making his will, because possibly these expressions might be used by him, on purpose to conceal or disguise what he was doing, or to keep the family quiet, or for other secret motives and inducements which cannot after his death be found out. 2 Bac. Abr. 310.

And in the case of Lowfield and Stoneham, M. 20 G. 2. Upon plene administravit pleaded, the question was, whether 10001 received by the defendant was due to her in her own right, or as executrix of her husband, and consequently assets. And it arose upon the following devise: "I give to my loving brother John Stoneham 10001, and in case of his death, to his wife Susanna," (who was the defendant.) It appeared that John Stoneham furvived the testator. And therefore the plaintiff infisted, this legacy (which the defendant admitted that she had received) vested absolutely in him, and was affets in her hands. On the part of the defendant, it was offered to give in evidence, that the testator in extremis declared, he meant only to give his brother the interest of the 1000l, and that the defendant should have the principal in case she survived him. This parol evidence was opposed by the plaintiff's counsel, as being contradictory to the plain words of the will. And Lee chief justice faid, it could not be allowed; and that in the case of Selvin and Brown (aforefaid), the house of lords had refused it, even where it was to support the legal interpretation of the will; and lord Hardwicke, about two years ago, held it in the same manner in the case of the earl of Inchiquin and Obrian. Str. 1261.

And notwithstanding that wills are generally favoured by the law; yet where the testator endeavours to establish a settlement against the reason and policy of the common law, the judges will reject it. Gilb. 110. 2 Bac. Abr.

Also where the testator by his will maketh no other disposition of his estate than the law it self would have done, had he been silent; there such a will is useless, and and shall be rejected: and therefore if a devise be made to a person and his heirs, which person is heir at law to the devisor; this is a void devise, and the heir shall take by descent as his better title; for the descent strengthens his title, by taking away the entry of such as may possibly H. 4

have right to the estate; whereas if he claims by devise, he is in as by purchase. Gilb. 110. 2 Bac. Abr. 79.

Also devises are void and rejected, where the words of the will are so general and uncertain, that the testator's meaning cannot be collected from them; and therefore where a man by will gave all to his mother, the general words did carry no lands to his mother; for fince the heir at law hath a plain and uncontroverted title, unless the ancestor disinherits him, it would be severe and unreasonable to set him aside, unless such intention of the testator is evident from the will; for that were to set up and prefer a dark and at best but a doubtful title, to a clear and certain one. Gilb. 112. 2 Bac. Abr. 81.

Claufe of perfect mind and memory. What words will

than necessary in a will; and yet not hurtful. Swin. 77.

What words will 14. A devise made in fee simple, without express words pass the simple, of heirs, is good in fee simple: But if a devise be made to AB, he shall have the land but for term of life; for these words will carry no greater estate. Terms of the Law Tit. Devise.

If lands be devised to a man, to have to him for ever, or to have to him and his affigns; in these two cases, the devisee shall have a see simple: but if it be given by feosfiment in such manner, he hath but an estate for term of life. Id.

If a man devise his land to another; to give, sell, or do therewith at his pleasure or will: this is see simple. Id.

A devise made to one and to his heirs male, doth make an estate tail: but if such words be put in a deed of seoffment, it shall be taken for see simple; because it doth not appear of what body the heirs male shall be begotten. Id,

If lands be given by deed to one, and to the heirs male of his body, who hath iffue a daughter, who hath iffue a fon, and dies; there the land shall return to the donor, and the son of the daughter shall not have it, because he cannot convey himself by heirs male, for his mother is a lett thereto: but otherwise it is of such a devise; for there the son of the daughter shall have it rather than the will shall be void. Id.

If lands be given by deed to one and his heirs for ever, and if he die without heirs then to his brothers or fifters, this last is void, because the first gift conveyeth unto him the see simple; but in a will, such devise over is good, and such limitation shall convey but an estate tail: As in the case of Tyte and Willis, M. 7 G. 2. The testator devised his lands to his wife Jane for life, remainder to his

fon

fon Henry for life, remainder to his fon George and his heirs for ever; and if he died without heirs, then to his two daughters Katherine and Jane. The question was, whether George took a fee simple, or only an estate tail. And the case of Webb and Herring, Cro. Ja. 415. was cited, to prove that where a devise is to one and his heirs, and if he die without heirs, remainder over to another, who is or may be the devisor's heir at law, such limitation shall be good, and the first limitation construed an intail, and not a fee, in order to let in the remainder man; but where the fecond limitation is to a stranger, it is merely void, and the first limitation is a fee simple. And by the lord chancellor: In this case, George took an estate tail. The difference which hath been taken is right; and the reason of it is, that in the latter case there is no intent appearing to make the words carry any other fense than what they import at law; but in the former, it is impossible that the devisee should die without an heir, while the remainder man or his iffue continue. And therefore the generality of the word heirs shall be restrained to heirs of the body; fince the testator could not but know, that the devisce could not die without an heir while the remainder man or any of his issue continued. Cas. Talb. 1.

If one devise to an infant in his mother's womb, it is a good devise; but otherwise by feoffment, grant, or gift: for in those cases there ought to be one of ability to take presently, or otherwise it is void. Terms of the law.

If one devise to a person by his will all his lands and tenements; here not only all those lands that he hath in possession do pass, but all those that he hath in reversion, by vir-

tue of the word tenements. Id.

If a man hath lands in fee, and lands for years, and devifeth all his lands and tenements; the fee simple lands pass only, and not the lease for years: but if a man hath a lease for years, and no fee simple, and deviseth all his lands and tenements; the lease for years passeth, otherwise the will would be merely void. Cro. Car. 293.

If a man seised of freehold lands, and of the legal estate of copyhold lands, makes a general devise of all his manors, messuages, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, but makes no surrender of the copyhold lands to the use of his will; the copyhold lands will not pass. By lord Hardwicke, in the case of Gibson and Styles, July 18. 1741.

The words (all my lands) in a devise, will pass a house; but the devise of a house doth not pass lands. Mo. 359.

A de-

A device of a messuage, will carry with it a garden and curtelage; otherwise of a bouse, unless it be with the ap-

purtenances. 2 Cha. Ca. 27.

The testator devised a house with the appurtenances. The question was, whether land in a field passed. And it was adjudged, that the land did pass; for it was in a will, in which the intent of the devisor shall be observed. Godb. 40.

But in a like case, where it appeared upon evidence, that the house was copyhold, and the land freehold; it was adjudged, that the land could not in that case be said to be appurtenant, altho' it had been used with it. Cro. Eliz. 704.

A devise of the inheritance, hath been held to be a de-

vise of the lands. Sty. 308.

If lands are devised to trustees, without the word heirs; yet by implication they must have an estate of inheritance sufficient to support the trust: for there is no difference between a devise to a man for ever, and to a man upon trusts which may continue for ever. 1 Abr. Cas. Eq. 176.

If lands are devised to a man, paying several sums in gross; he hath a see, tho' all the sums together do not amount to the annual rent of the land: for the devise shall be intended for his benefit; and if he had only an estate for life, he might die before he received the legacies out of the land, and consequently be a loser. Id.

So if lands are devised to a man, in consideration that he release a sum of money due to him; he has a see simple, on his release of the debt: for the devise being intended for his benefit, an estate for life might be determined before he could receive the sum out of the land. Id. 177.

But if lands are devised to a man, paying so much out of the profits of the lands; he takes but an estate for life; for altho' he takes the land charged, yet he is to pay no farther than he receives, and so can be no loser. Id.

A man devised that his lands should descend to his son, but he willed, that his wife should take the profits thereof until the full age of his son for his education and bringing up, and died. The wife married another husband, and died before the full age of the son. And it was the opinion of Wray and Southcote justices, that the second husband should not have the profits of the lands until the full age of the son; for nothing is devised to the wife but a trust, and she is as guardian or bailiff for the benefit of the infant, which by her death is determined; and the same trust cannot be transferred to the husband: but otherwise, if he had devised the profits of the land unto his wife until the

age of the infant, to bring him up and educate him; for that is a devise of the land it self. 2 Leon. 221.

15. H. 1724. Rollfe and Budder. Devise of a bond Devise to a feme by the fon to his mother to her fole and separate use: It covert, to her is her sole property in equity, and her affigurate use. is her fole property in equity, and her affignment of it is

good. Bunb. 187.

So in the case of Bennet and Davis, M. 1725. A perfon seised of an estate in see, devised it to the defendant's wife, who was his daughter, for her separate use, without any limitation to trustees: It was adjudged, that the husband was but a trustee for the wife. 3 P. Will. 316.

16. If a devise be to a man, and to the heirs female of Devise to heirs his body begotten; and after, the devifee hath iffue a fon female. and daughter, and dieth: here the daughter shall have the land, and not the fon, and yet he is the most worthy perfon, and heir to his father. But because the will of the dead is, that the daughter should have it, law and con-

science will so too. Terms of the law. Devise.

17. A man devised his personal estate for the use of his re- Devise to one's lations, without specifying any in particular, or using any relations.

other words; and made an executor; and died. His mother and three fifters brought their bill, as nearest relations, for a discovery and account of the personal estate, and to come in according to the statutes for distribution. And it was agreed to be the rule, in construction of such devises to relations, that those who would by the statutes for distribution be intitled to the personal estate, in case the testator had died intestate, should, upon such general devises, be admitted in the same proportion only. And the lord chancellor Cowper said, he thought it the best measure for setting bounds to such general words, and that it had been often ruled accordingly in that court. Roach and Hammond, E. 1715. Prec. Cha. 401. 2 Abr. Eq. Caf. 438.

For if upon such general devise they were not to take in this manner, it would be uncertain; for the relations may be infinite. And in the case of Carr and Bedford, 30 C. 2. where the testator devised the residue of his estate among his kindred according to their most need; it was determined that this shall be construed according to the statute of distribution. 2 Cha. Rep. 146. 2 Abr. Eq.

Cas. 365.

So in the case of Thomas and Hole, M. 1734. A man devised 500 l to the relations of A, to be divided equally between them. A had, at the testator's death, two brothers living, and feveral nephews and nieces by another brother. It was determined, that no relations should take

by

by this description, that could not take by the statute of

distribution. Cas. Talb. 251.

Whether the wife is a relation in this respect, hath been made a question. As in the case of Davis and Baily, Feb. 8. 1747. The testator by his will gave the residue of his personal estate to his wise for life, remainder to such of his relations as would have been intitled by the statute in case he had died intestate. The wise claimed a moiety. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: Relation here means kindred. The wife is not of kindred, nor a

relation within the meaning of the statute.

And more particularly, in the case of Worstey and Johnson, M. 27 G. 2. The testator, seised in fee, deviseth his estate to his wife for life, remainder to another in tail, and for want of issue the reversion in fee to be fold; with these words, And my mind is, that the money arising from the sale be divided amongst such of my relations, and in such manner, as the statute of distributions directs: Then he gave other legacies to his wife, and appointed her fole executrix; and died; leaving relations of his own blood, and his faid wife, who married a fecond husband. Then the wife dies; and the second husband dies; and the tenant in tail dies without issue. The plaintiff brings his bill, as executor to the second husband, praying a sale of the estate, and a moiety of the money thence arising, as the reprefentative of the second husband to the wife who was intitled to it by the will, as a relation within the statute of distribution. Lord chancellor Hardwicke: During the course of this cause, I have altered my opinion. The question arises on the words of the will referring to the statute of distribution, and depends upon the construction, which must be agreeable to the words, and to the intent of the testator to be from thence collected. The question is, what is the sense of the word relation, as used in this will. In a proper grammatical fense, it denotes a quality in the abstract; but in common sense, it becomes personal, and signifies the same as my kindred. Now next of kindred are the words in the statute to which he refers, and takes in only relations by confanguinity or by blood. Now it seems strange to say, that a man's wife is no relation to him; but she certainly is not in this sense, neither by blood nor affinity. The etymologists, when they speak of consanguinity, say, that it is, vinculum personarum ab eodem stipite descendentium; and of affinity, they say, uxor non est affinis, sed causa affinitatis. And so the word appears to be used in our statutes: for if the wife was of kin 30

kin to her husband, she would exclude all the rest, as being the nearest of kin. So in the 21 H. 8. c. 5. the ordinary shall grant administration to the widow, or next of kin; which distinguishes the wife from the kindred. This perhaps would be too nice a construction of the will, unless the manifest intent of the testator would warrant it; for wills are to be construed according to common understanding, and not by nice grammatical distinctions. Now in this will he has made an ample provision for the wife; and whenever he gives her an interest, he expresly mentions her. It was probable that this remote contingency would not happen in her life; and he could never intend, that her representative, such as the executor of a fecond husband, should carry so considerable a share from his own blood. Suppose he had faid, my own relations; he would certainly be construed to mean his relations by blood. Therefore in this strict fense of the words, the wife is not intitled to any share. And I continue in the same opinion I was of, in the case of Davis and Bailey; which is expressly to this point. And therefore I dismiss the bill; but without costs.

18. If money be devised to younger children, where Devise to younger there are divers daughters and a son, and the son is by children. birth a younger child, but heir at law to the inheritance; the son shall not be considered as a younger child, so as to take by the devise. I Abr. Eq. Cas. 202. Bretton and

Bretton, 12 C. 2.

In the case of Becle and Becle, H. 1713. The testator, being tenant in tail, had power by deed or will to charge the lands with 2000 l, for portions for younger children, living at his death. He had only two daughters, and the younger was born after his death. He charged the lands by his will for raising this 2000 l. And the question was, whether it should be raised. It was objected, that the elder daughter was not intitled to any part of it, because it was only to go to the younger children; and the younger daughter cannot claim any part of it, because she was not living at the time of his death. But by the lord chancellor Harcourt: The eldest daughter, tho' first born, when there is a fon, hath been often ruled to be a younger child. Every one but the heir is a younger child in equity; and the provision which such daughter will have is but as a younger child's, in regard the fon goes away with the land as heir: fo here, the estate goes all to the remainder man, who is hæres factus, and neither of the two daughters is heir. And as to the younger daughter,

he

he faid, it would be very hard in a court of equity, that a child, because it happened not to be born at such a time, must therefore be unprovided for; but the law so far regards an infant in ventre sa mere, as in this respect to look upon it as living at the time of the father's death. I. P. Will. 244.

Life's estate, how implied.

19. If one will that his son shall have his land after the death of his wife; here the wife of the devisor shall have the land first for term of life. So likewise if a man devise his goods to his wife, and that after the decease of his wife his son and heir shall have the house where the goods are; there the son shall not have the house during the life of the wife: for it doth appear that his intent was, that his wife should have the house also for her life, notwithshalling it were not devised to her by express words. Id.

Estate equally to

20. Mar. 2. 1738. Owen and Owen. The testatrix devised the refidue of her personal estate to her two nieces, equally to be divided between them, and appointed them executrixes accordingly. One of the nieces died in the life of the testatrix. The question was, whether a moiety of the refidue should go to the next of kin, as undisposed of by the will; or the devise to the two nieces was a jointenancy, and the whole refidue should go to the surviving niece. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: It is clear to me, that if both of the nieces had been living, the words equally to be divided would have made a tenancy in common, and not a jointenancy; for tho' these words, in a strict settlement at common law, have never been determined barely of themselves to make a tenancy in common, yet in a will it is fettled that these words will make a tenancy in common, both with regard to real and personal estate. Tr. Atk. 494.

In the case of Rigden and Valier, Mar. 25. 1751. The question arose on a deed poll, which began in this manner, "To all christian people, &c. I George Everenden, in consideration of natural love and affection, &c.
and for the firm settling and assuring of all my real and personal estate on my wise and children after my decease, dispose thereof in the manner following; I give, grant, and confirm to my daughter Margaret, &c.
This was not in question.] Also, I give, grant, and confirm to my two daughters Margaret and Hannah the rents and profits of the land called W. during the life of my wise, equally to be divided betwixt my said daughters, paying to my wise per annum; and after her decease to them and their heirs, caually

20

" to be divided betwint them. Also I give, grant, and " confirm to my five daughters all my personal estate " equally to be divided betwixt them, after all my debts " and funeral charges paid and fatisfied." This deed was figned and scaled by George Everenden in the presence of three witnesses. He and his wife died. Hannah, one of the daughters, married Rigden, by whom she had the plaintiffs, and died. The question was, whether Margaret and Hannah took as jointenants, or as tenants in common. If the latter; the plaintiffs, who brought their bill for an account of the rents and profits of a moiety of the estate given to Margaret and their mother Hannah, and claimed as co-heirs of Hannah, were right: If the former; the whole survived to the defendant Margaret, as the survivor of her sister.—By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: This case depends upon a deed or writing, which, tho' executed as a deed, I am not fure was intended to take effect as fuch. It begins as a deed poll; but it is a disposition of the whole real and personal estate of Everenden, and to take place from his decease, and in consideration of the natural love and affection he bore to his wife and children. If it be not construed as a will, or covenant to stand seised, (and being in consideration of natural love and affection, tho' by a fingle deed without livery, it may be confidered to be a covenant to stand seised), it will be void, being without livery, and because a freehold cannot pass in futuro. But by way of covenant to fland seised, it may be good; for that operates not by transmutation of the possession, but the use remains in the grantor till taken out of him by force of the confideration. The present question arises upon a very litigated point in the books, tho' clear enough in one view. In a will, the words equally to be divided certainly create a tenancy in common, tho' this at first was doubted; nay the words equally, or share and share alike, have the same effect. But it is faid, that there is not sufficient authority to establish these words to make a tenancy in common in a deed, and that the books take the law to be otherwife. 'Tis true, the books do fo generally. And yet there is no folemn determination that I can find, where it has been adjudged against a title, that the words equally to be divided will not create a tenancy in common in a deed. The only determination that hath been, was in the case of Fisher and Wig (L. Raym. 623. 1 P. Will. 14.) which hath been relied on as a judgment of the court of king's bench, that these words make tenancy in common in a deed

deed. But it is objected, that this is a case of doubtful authority, being on the opinion of only two judges, against fo great a man as lord chief justice Holt; and it is apprehended too, that this judgment was afterwards reverfed. I have made inquiry, and cannot find that it was, or that even a writ of error was brought; so that this judgment yet stands, and is so far an authority, that this construction in regard to the words equally to be divided making a tenancy in common, took place in the case of the surrender of the copyhold lands.——Another case has been cited at the bar, which, if rightly reported, is in point, 2 Vent. 361. But I have caused the register's books to be fearched, and can find no decree to warrant the report: but notwithstanding this, there might have been such a case, and it is taken by Gould that there was. - Another case is mentioned at the end of Fisher and Wig, by Northey; but the records have been searched, and there is no possibility of finding it .- Smith and Jackson too is another authority, fuch as it is .- In regard to the case before me. upon the best consideration I can give it, I am inclined to be of opinion, that the deed or instrument, call it what you will, has created a tenancy in common; and that to fay otherwise, would be a manifest contradiction to the intention of a father providing for his children. Tho' none has a greater reverence for the opinion of lord chief justice Holt than I have, I think the arguments of of the other judges are founded more on the reason and nature of the thing than his lordship's; and that his proceed from the artificial and refined reasoning of the law, and are deduced from a great deal of fine learning drawn from arguments in other cases. The arguments of Mr justice Gould have great weight, and are by no means satisfactorily answered. Indeed that case was on a surrender of copyhold lands in the lord's court; and the two judges argued it was not to be considered with great strictness, but as a will: whereas Holt contended that it should be construed as a deed; and in one thing he is certainly right, that the furrender of copyhold lands to uses is not to be confidered on the foot of uses, being not within the statute of uses; and therefore such a surrender is only a direction of the lord whom to admit; and when admitted, the furrenderee is not in by the grant of the lord, but by the furrender. If the arguments of the judges had any weight in that case, they must have full as much in this, being on a covenant to stand seised. But it is objected, that there is no warrant to construe a deed to uses, as to

the

he limitations and words of it, with greater latitude than conveyance by way of feoffment, or any other conveyince at common law; and that strange confusion would rife, if the words of a deed on the statute of uses should te taken in a larger fense than they would bear in a conrevance at common law. This is true in general: for he statute joining the estate to the use, it becomes one ntire conveyance by force of the statute. But some retriction must be added to this. The words of limitation. o be fure, must be construed in the same sense as at comnon law. But when there are words of regulation or nodification of the estate (as the words equally to be divided are), and not words of limitation; I think there is no more harm in giving them a greater latitude in deeds on the statute of uses, which are trusts at common law, than in feoffments, which at all times have been strict onveyances. The case upon that occasion cited by Gould, s very material; where the intendment, not the words, of the special verdict influenced the determination. Conlider the argument from thence to the present case. The only distinction taken between the construction of words in a special verdict and in other cases is, that in a special verdict, they may be taken more largely than in pleading; and therefore it is often said, that a description, which would be bad in a count or plea, may be good in a verdict, and taken by the intendment of the jury: but there never was any book that faid, that words may be taken more loofely in a special verdict than in a deed. It is admitted, that if the deed had been in this manner, to hold one moiety to one and her heirs, and the other moiety to the other and her heirs, this had been good, not only in such a deed as this, but likewise in a feoffment. And considering how the sense of the words equally to be divided is to be construed, there is no reasonable difference between the two cases. Thus the matter stands on the foot and authority of Fisher and Wig.—But there are other reasons which greatly strengthen the present case in favour of the plaintiffs. The first is this: Here is a parent making a provision for his children (who were five in number), and for his wife: if the children were to take this estate intended for the support of each of them and their future families, as jointenants; the share of any one, who should happen to die, would not descend for the maintenance of his children and posterity, but survive to the other jointenants; a disposition by no means reasonable, nor likely to be supposed agreeable to the in-Vol. IV. tention

tention of the father. And this court has always used a great latitude in pursuing the intent of the parties, in construing a deed to make a tenancy in common or a jointenancy, tho' the words equally to be divided have been omitted; and have determined therefore, that if two men jointly and equally advance a fum of money on a mortgage in see, and take a security to them and their heirs, there shall be no survivorship; and so if they foreclose an estate, it shall be divided betwixt them, because their intention is supposed to be so. It has been said indeed, if two men make a purchase, they may be supposed to buy a kind of chance between them, and to intend that the furvivor shall be intitled to the whole. But it has been determined, that if two purchase, and one advance more than the other; there shall be no survivorship, tho' there be no fuch words as equally to be divided, or to hold as tenants in common: which shews, how strongly the courts have leaned against furvivorship, and erected a tenancy in common, by construction, or the intention of the parties. Consider how nearly this comes to the case in question. And this court always considers provisions for children, as having an equitable confideration. And therefore, tho' fuch voluntary dispositions cannot be preferred to debts for valuable confideration; yet they are always preferred to other voluntary dispositions.—But Geo. Everenden has himself put his own construction on the words, by the disposition of his personal estate; which is allowed to make a tenancy in common.—Besides, this appears to be as near a testamentary act as possible; nor do I know why it may not be proved as a will, notwithstanding the solemnity of the execution by sealing and delivery: according to the case of Kibbet and Lee (Hob. 313.) and a late determination in the king's bench in the case of Trimmer and Jackson. And it is admitted, that in a will, these words make a tenancy in common; and I think it ought to be so here. My opinion therefore at present is, that, agreeable to the case of Fisher and Wig, Arengthened by the farther observations already made, the plaintiffs are intitled to a division of the estate.

So in the case of Goodtitle and Stoakes, in the king's bench! H. 27 G. 2. By indentures of lease and release, dated in the year 1695, and made between John Guise and his wife of the one part, and William Puresoy and Peter Capper of the other part, the said John Guise granted and released to the said Puresoy and Capper and their heirs, the lands in question, to the use of such and so many of the

children

children of the said Guise, on the body of his said wife begotten, in fuch manner, and in fuch shares, as the faid John Guise should appoint; and in default of such appointment, to the use of all such children equally to be divided: with a remainder to the right heirs of the faid John Guise. - John Guise died, without making any appointment, leaving his widow, and children, Richard, Jane, Peter, and Wilmot .- The question was, whether by the words " to the use of all such children equally to be divided" the children took as tenants in common, or as jointenants, in which case Wilmot who married the defendant, being the only furviving child, would take the whole.—Lee Ch J. delivered the opinion of the court: This case depends upon the clause (abovementioned). The defendants have infifted, they ought to take as joint tenants. Joint tenants must be to the land in one right, and by one joint title, and they must have one joint freehold. Tenants in common take differently, as is laid down, 1 Inft. fect. 292, 206, 297. from which it does appear, that no particular words are necessary to create a tenancy in common. The question then comes to this; Whether the children do not take several freeholds, with a feveral occupation? To make them tenants in common, would be to construe every word in this deed as operative. No words in a devise or a grant shall be construed void, if they can be construed otherwise consistently. (3 Lev. 373.) There is no doubt at this time of day, but that the words equally to be divided, in a will, make a tenancy in common. In the case Cro. Eliz. 443, 605. it was first determined to be so. There is no determination where in a deed to uses they will. It has been objected, they have a joint title in the freehold; and the words equally to be divided will not sever it: And tho' the statute of uses executes the use to the possession; yet it leaves the estate subject to the same uses: The intent cannot prevail here; and these words, in a conveyance at common law, would not create a tenancy in common. But the question here is not, whether the joint title is severed; but, whether any joint title is conveyed. If land be given to A. and B. to hold one moiety to A. and his heirs, and the other moiety to B. and his heirs; they take as tenants in common. And where the grantor, in the same clause, and uno flatu, uses the words equally to be divided; he intends to convey an equal property in the land, and to the fee, to each. This is the opinion of Popham, Cro. Eliz. 696. in his argument. I cannot

think the clause here is nugatory, or of no effect. The intent of the party operates to pass the whole see. There is no rule in law, to prevent the court from making a construction, according to the intent of the party, in a deed. The true reason, why the words equally to be divided make a tenancy in common, is from the apparent intent that the estate should be divided: And such a construction ought to be made, if there be no rule to the contrary; and no precise words are necessary. The case in 2 Ventr. 365. is in point: A covenant to stand seised to the use of A. for life; and after, to two equally to be divided. I Infl. 191. a. If a verdict find that a man hath two parts of a manor, or the like, to be divided into three parts; they are tenants in common, by the intendment of the verdict: And if in a verdict, there is no reason why not in a deed. Carth. 343. Leigh v. Brace. A conveyance by way of use shall be construed as a will, with respect to the intention of the parties. The case of Fisher and Wig cannot now be departed from: 'It is mentioned in the case of Philips and Stringer, as if this judgment had been reversed; but it was not. The whole reasoning of Holt's argument, in the faid case of Fisher and Wig, is applied to the supposition of a conveyance at common law: but it does not from that appear, what his opinion would have been, upon a direct deed to uses as here. In the case of Rigden and Valier, lord Hardwicke Ch. J. declared, upon the best consideration he could give the case, that he was inclined to think, that the words equally to be divided, whether in a will or deed, create a tenancy in common. — And judgment was given for the plaintiff by the whole court.

Devise of mortgages passeth the lands.

21. A devise of all a man's goods and mortgages to his executors, is a good devise, and will pass all the lands mortgaged; for the equity of redemption passet to the

devisee. God. O. L. 477. Cro. Car. 37.

But by a general devise of all lands, tenements, and hereditaments, a mortgage in see shall not pass, unless the equity of redemption be foreclosed; and if after such devise made, a foreclosure is had, yet such estate shall not pass by those general words of lands, tenements, and hereditaments, because a foreclosure is considered as a new purchase of the land. The interest of the land must be somewhere, and cannot be in abeyance; but it is not in the mortgagee, and therefore must remain in the mortgagor. If a man devises his estate, and after makes a mortgage in see, it is a total revocation in law, yet in equity

equity it is a revocation only pro tanto. And the mortgagee, with regard to the inheritance, is a trustee for the mortgagor till a foreclosure. Tr. Atk. 605. 2 Bac. Abr. 83.

22. By the word lands, an advowson will not pass; Advowson, but by hereditaments it may. Fortese. 351.

But fee farm rents, portions of tithes, or any other right out of lands, will pass by a devise of lands. Viner.

Devise. K.

23. Where lands are appointed to be fold, and it is Lands to be not faid by whom; the executor ought to fell, because he folds is the person intrusted with the execution of the will.

Law of Test. 121. Law of Ex. 221. And a court of equity will compel the heir at law, and all other proper

parties to join in the sale. Tr. Atk. 420.

H. 26 El. Vincent and Lee. A special verdict was found, that A was seised of certain lands in see, and devised the same in tail, and if the donee died without issue, that his said lands should be fold by his sons in law, he in truth having five sons in law; one of his sons in law died in the life of the donee, and after the donee dieth without issue, and then the sour of the sons in law sold the land: and it was adjudged, that the sale was good; because they were named generally by his sons in law, and the lands could not be fold by them all; and the words of the will, in a benign interpretation, are satisfied in the plural number, albeit they had but a bare authority. But if they had been particularly named, it had been otherwise. I Inst. 113.

But if a man deviceth lands to his executors to be fold, and maketh two executors, and the one dieth; yet the furvivor may fell the land, because as the estate, so the trust shall survive. And so note a diversity between a bare trust, and trust coupled with an interest. I Inst. 112.

Yet in neither of those cases, albeit one resuse, can the other make sale to him that resused; because he is party and privy to the last will, and remaineth executor still.

1 Inst. 113.

And hereupon lord Coke fays, his advice to them that make such devises by will, in order to make it as certain as they can, is, that the sale be made by his executors or the survivors or survivor of them, if his meaning be so, or by such or so many of them as take upon them the probate of his will, or the like. And it is better to give them an authority than an estate, unless his meaning be they should take the profits of his lands in the mean time,

I 3 and

and then it is necessary that he devise, that the mean profits till the sale shall be assets in their hands; for other-

wife they shall not be so. I Inst. 113.

For where the testator deviseth that his executors shall fell his land, there the land descendeth in the mean time to the heir; and until the sale be made, the heir may enter and take the profits. But when the land is devised to his executor to be fold, there the devise taketh away the descent, and vesteth the estate of the land in the executor, and he may enter and take the profits, and make sale according to the devise. And in such case, the executor is bound to sell so soon as he can; for that the mean profits taken before the sale shall not be assets; and therefore he might otherwise take advantage of his own laches. I Inst. 236.

Where there is a devise of lands to trustees to sell, to pay debts; the heir shall have the surplus. Law of Test.

114

For whatever interest in, or profits out of a real estate, are undisposed of by a testator, the same shall descend to the heir; and he takes them, not by the will, or the intent of the testator, but they are cast upon him by the law, for want of some other person to take. Cast. Talb. 44.

Thus, the testator by will devised all his lands to trustees to sell, and dispose of the money as he by writing should appoint; and for want of such appointment, to his four nephews. The testator by writing appoints his trustees to pay several sums to several persons, but not to near the value of the land. It was held, that the nephews should not have the residue, but that the heir at law should have it, as an interest resulting, and not disposed of. City of London and Garway. 2 Vern. 571.

A person devised his real estate to his executors, to be sold for payment of debts; the surplus, if any be, to be deemed personal estate, and to go to his executors, to whom he gave 201 a piece. It was decreed, that the surplus should be a trust for the heir at law: And the same was afterwards affirmed in parliament. Countess of Bristol

and Hungerford. 2 Vern. 645.

The testator devised to his nephew several lands, to hold to him and his heirs for ever, in trust to be sold for payment of all his debts and legacies, within a year after his death, and made him executor, but gave him no legacy. It was held, that there was no resulting trust for the heir at law; for then the executor, who is taken notice of as his nephew, would have nothing for his trouble. Gunningham and Mellish. Prec. Ch. 31. 2 Vern. 247.

If

If lands be devised for payment of debts; the executors may sell the authority be not especially given him: but otherwise, if such devise had been for legacies only, or for raising portions, or the like; for in such case there had been no remedy but in chancery against the heir. I Keb.

If lands be devised on trust, out of the rents and profits to pay debts and legacies; if the rents and profits will not raise it in a convenient time, the trustees may sell for the words [profits of lands] especially when to pay debts or portions, imply any profits that the land will yield, either by selling or mortgaging. 1 P. Will. 415.

If lands be devised to be fold for payment of portions, and one of the children dies after the portion is due, and before the lands fold; the administrator of the child is

intitled to the money. 1 Vern. 276.

For lands devised to be sold, or in trustees hands, for payment of debts, portions, or the like, are to be deemed as money so far as there are any such to be paid; and so money devised to buy lands, is to be deemed as lands. But with respect to the heir at law, or residuary legatee, the lands so given in trust, or devised for payment of debts or legacies, shall be deemed as land; and he may, by paying the debts or legacies pray a conveyance. 9 Mod. 170:

So if money be devised to be laid out in land, and settled on a man and his heirs; he may come into court, and pray to have the money, and that no purchase may be made; for no other has any interest in it. But if he die before it is paid or laid out in land, and the question is between the heir and executor who shall have it; the heir shall have it, and it shall be considered as land; first, because the heir in all cases is favoured; and secondly, if the executor should have it; it would be against the words of the will, which gave it to the heir. Prec. Cha. 544.

24. Devise of a rent charge to his younger son, to-Devise upon wards the education and bringing him up in learning; it is not conditional, and he shall have the rent tho' not brought up in learning, and the words (towards his education) are

only to shew the intent and consideration of the payment of the sum. 2 Lev. 154.

Devise of lands to his wife for life, remainder to his fecond son in see; provided if his third son shall within three months after the wife's death pay 500 l to the said second son his executors or administrators, then he devised them to the said third son and his heirs. The third son died, living the wife: Then the wife died. The heir of

I 4

ment or tender of the 500 l. It is not a condition, but an executory devise. M. 5 G. Marks and Marks. 10

Mod. 420.

Note, Executory is faid to be, where an estate in see, created by deed or fine, is to be afterwards executed by entry, livery, writ, or the like. Estates executed are, when they pass presently to the person to whom conveyed, without any after act. And an executory devise is, where a future interest is devised, that vests not at the death of the testator, but depends on some contingency which must happen before it can vest. If a particular estate is limited, and the inheritance passeth out of the donor, this is a contingent remainder; but where the see by a devise is vested in any person, and to be vested in another upon contingency, this is an executory devise. And in all cases of executory devises, the estates descend until the contingen-

cies happen.

Devise, If my son and my two daughters die without issue of their bodies, then all my lands shall remain and come to my nephery and his heirs. Here no estate is devised to the son and daughters by implication; the words only import a delignation or appointment of the time when the land shall come to the nephew, namely, when the fon and two daughters shall happen to die without iffue, and not before. For no estate being created to the fon and daughters, the nephew can take nothing by way of remainder; for that must descend to the heir at law. A remainder cannot depend upon an absolute see simple, that being but the residue of an estate. For when all a man has of an estate or any thing else is given or gone away, nothing remains, and no other or further estate can be given or diposed, and therefore no remainder can be of an absolute see un ple. Yet, in another respect, an estate in fee may be devifed to one, and to be in another upon a contingency, as default of paying a fum, or fuch a one's dying without iffue living the other, or fuch like. Vaugh. 259, 270-

A man devised his lands to one, and devised also that the said devisee should pay a rent to A, and that A might distrain for it; and if the devisee sail of the payment of the rent, that the heirs of the devisor might enter. This is a good distress, and a good condition, 1 Lev. 269.

Devise to his wife; proviso, and my will is, that she shall keep my house in good repair: This is a good condition. So a devise of lands to one, paying 10 l to another, is a good condition. I Lev. 174.

Devise

Devise of 100 l to his wife, for and in discharge of her dower; is a condition, that she shall not have the 100 l, all she make a discharge of her dower. Cro. Eliz. 274.

If a man deviseth land to an executor to be fold; this

amounts to a condition. I Inft. 236.

The mortgagee by will remits part of the mortgage money and all the interest, if the rest be paid within three years. If the mortgagor doth not pay within three years, he loses the benefit of the bequest. I Cha. Ca. 51.

If lands are devised in see, upon condition that the devisee shall not alien; this condition is void: And so it is of a feoffment, grant, release, confirmation, or any other conveyance whereby a fee simple doth pass. For it is abfurd and repugnant to reason, that he who hath no possibility to have the land revert to him, should restrain his feoffee in fee simple, of all his power to alien. And so it is, if a man be possessed of a lease for years, or of a horse, or of any other chattel real or personal, and give or sell his whole interest or property therein, upon condition that the donee or vendee shall not alien the same; this is void: because his whole interest and property is out of him, so as he hath no possibility of a reverter; and if such condition should be good, it would oust him of all the power which the law gives him, which would be against reason, and therefore such a condition is void. I Inst. 223.

When the devise is to an infant, when he shall be born; or to a daughter, when she shall be married; it shall de-

scend to the heir in the mean time. 1 Sid. 153.

The testator, having the reversion of lands of which another was tenant for life, devised the lands to a man when he should marry his daughter. The tenant for life dies. The lands shall descend, until the devise shall marry the daughter. 1 Keb. 802.

If executors or others who are put in trust by devise to fell, or the like, will not perform the trust; the heir may

enter. Br. Devise. 46.

A devife of lands was made, to the eldest daughter, paying 100 l to the second daughter, and 100 l to the third daughter; and if the eldest daughter did not pay the 100 l to the second daughter by such a day, then the testator devised the land to the second daughter, she paying her inster's portion by a certain day; and if she did not pay, then he devised the land to the third daughter. It was resolved, this was not in the nature of a mortgage, to be redeemable after the time of payment was over; but that the eldest daughter not paying at the time appointed, the

fecond daughter should have the land, and the eldest had no relief. 2 Freem. 206.

The testator devised lands to one, upon condition to pay 30000 l to his grandaughter and heir at law, to wit, 1000 l a year for the first fixteen years, and 2000 l a year after till the whole should be paid. Of which, 1000 l being in arrear, the heir enters. It was resolved by Cowper lord chancellor, that the devisee of the lands should be relieved upon paying the 1000 l with interest; the court declaring, that they would relieve wherever they could give satisfaction or compensation for the breach of the condition. 1 Salk. 156. 2 Vern. 594.

Where the devisee, who is to perform the condition, is heir at law, notice of a condition must be given to him; because he having a title by descent, need not take notice of any will, unless it be signified to him: But where the devisee is a stranger, and not heir, he must inform himself of the estate devised to him, and upon what terms, and must take notice of the condition at his peril. Cart.

94. I Ventr. 200.

Devise tending to perpetuity.

25. Devises, as well as other settlements, which tend to introduce perpetuity, are void; for wills, tho' favourably expounded, are yet to be construed according to the common rules of the courts of law and equity: Hence it is, that a devise to John and his heirs, the remainder to Thomas and his heirs, is void; for that the law in no case will allow a limitation of a fee simple upon a fee simple; because by a devise to John and his heirs, the devisor hath transferred the whole estate to him, and then the limitation over must be impertinent and void, when the devisor before had given the whole estate. Nor can his devise be good by way of future interest, or a remainder to vest upon a contingency; because no man can say when the heirs of John will fail: and to allow the remainder to Thomas to be good upon such a distant contingency, is to perpetuate the estate in the family of John, to preserve a remainder or interest in Thomas, which probably may never vest. Gilb. 116. 2 Bac. Abr. 80.

But the the law will not allow a present remainder to be limited upon a see, yet a future contingent estate may be limited upon a see, where the contingency upon which it is to vest, is to happen in a short time: And therefore if a devise be made to John and his heirs, and if he die without issue, living Thomas, then to Thomas and his heirs; there nothing vests immediately in Thomas, because the whole estate is transferred to John; yet the limitation is

god by way of executory interest or devise; because it is veit on a contingency which is to happen on a life in beg, therefore out of the inconvenience or danger of a perstulty; because John is only tied up from alienating but or life, and his heirs are at liberty to dispose of it after the eath of Thomas. Gilb. 116.

If a man devise a personal chattel to one, the remainder f it to another; the first devisee hath the whole property, and may dispose of it as he pleaseth: for such chattels will ear no limitation over, because being commonly moveable hings, they are subject to be broken, worn out, or lost, n the compass of a life; and therefore it were ridiculous. o fuffer a limitation, which the nature of the thing will tot bear. Gilb. 117.

But otherwise it is of a real chattel, as of an use: It vas indeed formerly held, that fuch limitations of renainders of terms were void; but at length the court of hancery interposed, to rectify the rigour of the common aw, and hath fettled fuch remainders of terms to be good, where the settlement doth not tend to introduce perpe-

uity. Gilb. 118.

Therefore if a term be devised to John and the heirs male of his body, provided if John dies without iffue in the life of Thomas, then the term to go to another; this last limitation is good, because there is no danger of a perpetuity, for the contingency on which it is to vest is

to happen within a life in being. Gilb. 118.

But if the limitation had been to John in tail, and the remainder over to another; here the last limitation had been void, because the whole property of the term being in John, the limitation over, which is to vest on the contingency of John's dying without issue, is too distant to expect: whereas in the former case, the limitation after the intail to John is good by way of future interest or executory devise, because it is to vest in the compass of a life, or not at all; and it doth not look like a perpetuity to oblige John from alienating, because the estate will be free from the clog when the life is spent, and whoever is proprietor afterwards may dispose of it at pleasure. Gilb. 119.

E. 1731. Fereyes and Robertson. A man by his will deviseth his leasehold estate, and other his chattels real, to his fon William and to the issue of his body; and if he die without issue, to his son B. and the issue of his body; and if he die without issue, to C, and so on. By the whole court, The whole interest vests in William, and

fhall

shall go to his executors or administrators, and the limi

tations over are void. Bunb. 301.

But a lease assigned in trust for Λ for life, remainder to B for life, with remainder to twenty other persons all in being at the time, is good; because they are like candle all lighted at a time, and have an easy common probability of determination. Law of Test. 99.

So to A for life, remainder to his first issue for life, is good; because no vast uncertain distance of time. Law

of Test. 99.

In general, it feemeth to be agreed, that where the devifce or grantee of a leafehold would be tenant in tail it case of a freehold, he shall have the whole interest in the leasehold, and all limitations over are void; but where he would be only tenant for life in case of a freehold, the li-

mitation of the leafehold over will be good.

Money cannot be devised from one to another; as for instance, the testator had three daughters to whom he devised 5401 equally to be divided; and if any of them died without issue, her part to go to the survivor: one of them married and died without issue; the husband exhibited a bill against the executor and the surviving sisters for his wise's part, being 1801; and had a decree: because a sum of money cannot be intailed. 2 Ventr. 349.

But the use of chattels personal may be bequeathed to one for life; and after, the property to another: so that if one will that A shall enjoy the use of his houshold stuff during his life, and after that it shall remain to B; this is a good devise thereof to B. But if the property of the thing be bequeathed to the first of them, then it is otherwise: for the gift of a chattel personal, tho' but for an hour, is a gift thereof for ever; provided that the testator make it absolute, and not conditional. Swin. a. 207. I. P. Will. 651.

A devise of goods to A for life, with remainder after the decease of A to B. It was said, to be now clearly settled, that it is a good devise to B, and that B may exhibit a bill against A to compel him to give security that the goods shall be forthcoming at his decease; and is all one whether the goods or u of the goods be devised for

life. 2 Freem. 206.

M. 1696. Hide and Parrot. The testator bequeathed all his houshold goods to his wife for life, and after to his fon: It is a good devise over, and the same as if the devise had been only of the use of them for her life. And by lord Somers: It is a rule, where personal chattels are

devised

gifed for a limited time, it shall be intended the use of

nem only, and not the thing itself. 2 Vern. 331.

M. 1702. Hale and Burredale. A farmer devised his ock, which confisted of corn, hay, cattle, and the like, his wife for life, and after her death to the plaintiff. It as objected, that no remainder can be limited over of such hattels as these, because the use of them is to spend and onsume them. But the master of the rolls said, the desife over was good; but said, if any of the cattle were for out in using, the defendant was not to be answerable or them; and if any were sold as useless, the defendant was only to answer the value of them at the time of sale. In an account was decreed to be taken accordingly.

Ahr. Caf. Eq. 361.

T. 1720. Upwell and Halfey. The testator, being posessed of a personal estate of the value of 3331, having arife and sister, but no issue, devised that such part of his state as his wise should leave of her subsistence should eturn to his sister and the heirs of her body, and made is wise executrix. The wise married; and died, living her husband. The master of the rolls said, that it is now stablished, that personal things or money may be devised or life, and the remainder over; and that tho it be true, hat the wise had a power over the principal sum provided it had been necessary, yet not otherwise. And he directed, that the master should inquire how much had been applied for the wise's subsistence, and the husband to account for the residue. I P. Will. 651.

Where a man devises goods to go as heir-looms with such an estate, so far as by law they may; the court, to the end that the testator's intention may take effect, will decree a conveyance from him to whom they may come as personal-

ty. Barnard. Cha. Ca. 54.

26. A devise to one's children and grandchildren gene- Devise to chilrally, refers only to such children and grandchildren as dren yet unbornwere living at the time of making the will; but if a devise were to one's children and grandchildren living at the time of the death of the testator, a child in ventre sa mere

might in such case be so far regarded, as to be looked upon as living. I P. Will. 342.

For a devise to an infant in ventre sa mere is good; and, the freehold shall descend in the mean time. 1 Roll's Abr.

609. 1 Lev. 135.

So if a man devises lands to be sold, for the increase of childrens portions; a child born since the will shall have a share. 2 Cha. Rep. 211.

So

So where a man conveyed a term for 500 years, upon trust to raise 1500 l, for such child or children as he should have living at his death; and died, leaving no child, but his wise ensient of a daughter, which was after born: It was decreed, that this daughter was a child living at his death, within the meaning of the trust. And the direction of a trust is not so strictly construed as the limitation of an estate at law; and in Lutterel's case, in lord Bridgman's time, a bill was brought on behalf of an infant in ventre sa mere to stay waste, and an injunction was granted. Hale and Hale. Prec. Cha. 50.

And by the 10 & 11 W. c. 16. Where any estate shall, by any marriage or other settlement, be limited in remainder to, or to the use of the first or other son or so of the body of any person lawfully begotten, with remainder over to the use of any other person; or in remainder to, or to the use of a daughter lawfully begotten, with remainder over to any other person; any son or daughter of such person lawfully begotten, that shall be born after the decease of his sather, may by virtue of such settlement take such estate so limited, in the same manner as if born in the life time of their sather; altho there shall happen no estate to be limited to trustees, after the decease of the sather, to preserve the contingent remainder to such after-born children until they shall come in esse.

T. 11 G. 2. Jones and Fulham. The testator, being possessed of a term, devised it in these words: "To my "wife for her life; and after her decease, to such child " as my faid wife is now supposed to be with child and ensient of, and his heirs for ever: Provided always, 66 that if such child, as shall happen to be born as afore-" faid, shall die before it has attained the age of 21 years, " leaving no issue of its body; then the reversion of one 66 third part to my faid wife, and the other two thirds to " my fisters." The testator dying within a month after, the wife entred, and enjoyed during her life, but had no child or miscarriage. And upon her death, the question was, Whether, as no child had ever been born, the remainders, limited upon his dying under 21 without iffue, could take effect. And after several arguments, it was held by the court of king's bench, that they might; that tho' formerly there had been opinions to the contrary, yet according to the law now fettled, the devise to the infant in ventre sa mere was well limited, and if any child had been born, would have passed the term accordingly: secondiv. condly, that the' no child was ever born, yet the remainders are notwithstanding good; for there being no devisee, the devise, tho' void only ex post facto, falls to the ground as much as if it had been void in its creation, and this lets in the remainders immediately; that the' the clause by which the remainders are limited is in words, strictly speaking, conditional, yet they do not make it a condition, but only a limitation. Lastly, that the contingencies must happen within a reasonable time; and therefore it may well operate by way of executory devise. And they said they had seen the decretal order in the court of chancery, by which it appeared, that the same question, arising upon this same will and concerning the same premisses, came before lord Harcourt; and that he was of opinion, that the devise over of the reversion in thirds to the wife and two fifters was good, notwithstanding the wife was not ensient with any child. Vin. Devise. L. 53.

27. The father settled a lease, with reference to his In what case will; in which he gave 5001 to each of his daughters, maintenance to be paid at the age of twenty-one; and if any or all shall be implied. died before that age, then to others; but devised no maintenance to them till their portions became payable: By the court, A maintenance cannot be decreed, because of the devise over. 1 Chan. Cas. 249. 3 Salk. 127.

But if there is no devise over, the court will decree a maintenance in the mean time: Thus in the case of Harvey and Harvey, E. 1722. The father seised of a real estate, and possessed of a personal estate, and having several children, deviseth all his real and personal estate to his eldest son, charging the same with 10001 apiece to all his younger children, payable at their respective ages of twenty one; but in the will no notice is taken of maintenance for the younger children in the mean time. The younger children bring their bill, in order to recover interest, or some maintenance during their infancy. Upon which, the mafter of the rolls, having taken time to confider of the case, and having been also attended with precedents, decreed, that the younger children should recover maintenance. He observed, that these being vested legacies, and no devise over, it would be extreme hard that the children should starve, when intitled to so considerable legacies, for the fake of their executors or administrators, who in case of their deaths would have the faid legacies: That in this case, the court would do, what in common presumption the father, if living, would-fray ought

ought to) have done; which was, to provide necessaries for his children. 2 P. Will. 22.

Houshold fluff.

28. It is usual in wills to devise all the houshold stuff; by which words plate about the house, and not for ornament, passeth; but books, cattle, clothes, coaches, corn, cart. ploughs, waggons, and any thing fixed to the freehold, will not pass by that word. Swin. a. 185.

Houshold goods.

29. By a devise of houshold goods, plate will pass. Vern. 638.

T. 1727. Nichols and Osborn. The testatrix devised all her houshold goods to J. S. The question was, Whether by the devise of the houshold goods the plate should pass? Tho' it was reported on a reference to a master, that there were manifest intentions and declarations of the testatrix, that she did not intend the plate should pass; yet the master certifying that the plate was commonly used in the house, all the evidence touching the intention of the party was rejected, there being a compleat and plain will in writing, which must not be altered or influenced by parol proof. 2 P. Will. 419.

If a man deviseth 12001 to 7 S, and by general words deviseth all his goods chattels and houshold goods in and about his house to the said 7 S; money in the house will not pass, he having a particular legacy devised to him.

Swin. a. 185.

All his goods,

30. It is usual likewise to devise all the goods moveable what it implies, and immoveable: Now by the civil law, actions and rights of actions pass by the word moveables, especially when the words of universality are repeated in the will: as, I give to TS all my moveable goods and immoveable, of what kind soever, or wheresoever found. Swin. a.

185.

One deviseth all his goods; and whether a debt by bond passed to the devisee was the question: Decreed, by lord chancellor Cowper that it did; that these words seemed at common law to pass a bond, and to extend to all the personal estate; but this being in the case of a will, and a will relating to a personal estate too, it ought to be construed according to the rules of the civil law: now the civil law makes bona mobilia and bona immobilia the membra dividentia of all estates; bona immobilia are land, bona mobilia are all moveables, which must extend to bonds, and therefore by the device of all the testator's goods, a bond must pass. 1 P. Will. 267.

By a devise of all his goods, a lease for years will pass; if there be not some other circumstance to guide the intent

of the devisee. Swin. a. 200.

But where a man devised to his niece all his goods, chat-M, houshold stuff, furniture, and other things which then were, or should be in his house at the time of his death, and hed, leaving about 2651 in ready money in the house; it was decreed, that this ready money did not pass: for by he words other things shall be intended things of like naure and species with those before mentioned. M. 1729. Trafford and Berridge. I Abr. Eq. Caf. 201.

So where a man devised to his wife all his houshold goods and other goods, plate, and flock within doors and without, and bequeathed the residue of his personal estate to another; it was decreed, that the testator's ready money and ponds did not pass by the word goods: for if the words were to be taken in so large a sense, it would make void he bequest of the residuum; and therefore the words ther goods should be understood to signify things of the ike nature with boushold goods, that the whole will might nave its effect. 3 P. Will. 112.

31. By a device of all his chattels, the device shall not Chattels doth have glass of the windows, wainscot, tables dormant, fats not imply things n the brewhouse fixed to the freehold, nor furnaces, nor heir. he box or chest where the testator's evidences are; nor loves in the dove house, nor fishes in the pond, nor deer n the park: for these things belong all to the heir. Curs.

32. If a man feised of land for life, or in fee, or in tail, Lands, implies in his wife's right or his own, fows it with corn, or any the corn growing manner of grain, and dies before severance; it shall go to the executor of the husband, and not to the wife or heir that shall have the land. Went. 59. Swin. 214.

2 In/t. 81. Hob. 132.

But where a man was seised of land in see, and sowed the land, and devised the same, and died before severance; it was adjudged, that in this case the devisee should have the corn, and not the executors of the devisor; for the devisee, in relation to the chattels belonging to the land, is put in the place of the executors, by the words of the will. M. 20 Ja. Spencer's case. Winch, 51. Swin. a. 183.

So if a man feised in fee sows copyhold lands, and surrenders them to the use of his wife, and dies before the feverance; it feems that the wife shall have the corn, and not the executors of the husband: for this is a disposition of the corn, it being appurtenant to the land; and fince the husband hath disposed of it during his life, it cannot

go to his executors. I Roll's Abr. 727. VOL. IV.

And

And the reason why the corn passeth to the donce at appertaining to the soil when the property of the soil alters, and yet shall not descend to the heir, as appertaining to the soil when the property of the soil remains in the shrift owner, is this: Because every man's donation shall be taken most strongly against himself; and therefore it shall pass not only the land it self, but the chattels that belong to the land. But no chattels can descend to the heir, and therefore they go to the executor. Gilbert's Law of Evid. 250.

So if land be fold; the corn growing shall go to the purchaser of the land, unless specially excepted. Went.

59.

A person seised in see sows the land, and after grants it to A for life, remainder to B. A enters, and dies before the corn is severed: His executors or administrator shall not have the crop, because he was not at any charge or industry, but B shall have it. H_0b . 132.

Generally, the distinction seemeth to be, where the estate is determined by the act of the party himself, and

where it is determined by the act of another.

And therefore Littleton faith, if the leffee, being tenant at will, fow the land, and the leffor after it is fown, and before the corn is ripe, put him out; yet the leffee shall have the corn, and shall have free entry, egress, and regress, to cut and carry away the corn, because he knew not at what time the leffor would enter upon him. Otherwise it is, if tenant for years, who knoweth the end of his term, sows the land, and his term ends before the corn is ripe; in this case, the lessor or he in the reversion shall have the corn, because the lessee knew the certainty of his term and when it would end. Litt. sect. 68.

And the reason why the tenant at will shall have the corn is, because his estate is uncertain; and therefore less the ground should be unmanured, which would be hurtful to the publick, he shall reap the crop which he sowed in peace, albeit the lessor doth determine his will before it be ripe. And so it is, if he set roots, or sow hemp, or slax, or any other annual profit; if after the same be planted, the lessor out the lesse, or if the lesse dieth, yet he or his executors shall have that year's crop. But if he plant young fruit trees, or young oaks, ashes, elms, or the like, or sow the ground with acorns; there the lessor may put him out notwithstanding, because they will yield no present annual profit. — And this is not only proper to a lessee at will, that when the lessor determines

h

his will, the lessee shall have the corn fown; but to every particular tenant that hath an estate uncertain. And therefore if tenant for life foweth the ground, and dieth; his executors shall have the corn: for that his estate was uncertain, and determined by the act of god. - And the same law is of the lessee for years of tenant for life.—So if a man be seised of land in the right of his wife, and soweth the ground, and he dieth; his executors shall have the corn, and if his wife die before him he shall have the corn. But if husband and wife be jointenants of the land, and the husband soweth the ground, and the land surviveth to the wife; it is faid that she shall have the corn. ----So if a woman feised in see or for life sows the land, and then takes a husband, and he dies before the severance; the wife shall have the profits, and not the executors of the husband: for the corn committed to the ground is a chattel real, which is annexed and belonging to the freehold; and not a chattel personal, annexed to the freehold and transferred. And therefore if the husband doth not dispose of it during his life, it belongs to the wife and not to the husband. So if the husband sows the land, and dies before severance; the wife shall have the third part of the land fo fown for her dower: for she shall be in of the best possession of her husband, above the title of the executor; and it would be unreasonable, if her husband had all corn land, that she should stay for her fubfistence for a whole year, till the crop should be renewed. -If a man feifed of lands in fee hath iffue a daughter, and dieth, his wife being ensient with a fon; the daughter foweth the ground; the fon is born; yet the daughter shall have the corn, because her estate was lawful, and defeated by the act of god; and it is good for the commonwealth that the ground be fown.—But if the leffee at will fow the ground with corn, and after he himself derermine his will, and refuseth to occupy the ground; in that case the lessor shall have the corn, because he loseth his rent.—And if a woman, that holdeth land during her widowhood, foweth the ground, and taketh husband; the lessor shall have the corn, because the determination of her own estate grew by her own act. -But where the estate of the lessee being uncertain is defeasible by a title paramount; or if the leafe determine by the act of the leffee, as by forfeiture, condition, or the like: there, he that hath the right paramount, or that entreth for any forfeiture or the like, shall have the corn. So if a disseifer so the ground, and sever the corn, and he who is disteifed K 2

re-enter; he shall have the corn, because he entreth by a former title: and feverance or removing of the corn altereth not the case: for the regress is a recontinuation of the freehold in him in judgment of law from the beginning. 1 Inst. 55. 2 Inst. 81. 1 Roll's Abr. 727.

Devise in restraint of marriage.

33. Generally, by the ecclefiaftical law, all conditions against the liberty of marriage are unlawful, as being a restraint on the natural liberty of mankind, and an hindrance to the propagation of the species.

So if the condition be, that the legatee marry according to the appointment, arbitrament, or confent of fome other person, this is rejected as unlawful. Godolphin's

Orphans Legacy, 45.

But if the conditions are only such as whereby marriage is not absolutely prohibited, but only in part restrained, as in respect of time, place, or person; then fuch conditions are not absolutely to be rejected. God. O. L. 45.

So if the condition be, not to marry before the age of twenty years, this condition is to be performed; otherwise, if it is continued to an unreasonable length.

So if the condition be, not to marry fuch a particular person, or a widow, or of one particular place, or the

Generally, in the temporal courts, the distinction seemeth to have been, where the legacy is devised over to another, and where it is not devised over: in the former case it hath been held, that the restraint shall be good, so as the legacy shall not be due, unless the condition be performed; but in the latter case, where there is no devise over, it hath been held, that the proviso or condition is only in terrorem, to make the person careful, but not to defeat the legacy. I Ch. Ca. 22. I Vern. 20. 2 Vern.

293, 357.

like.

And upon this foundation the case of Hervey and Aston, M. 10 G. 2. before the master of the rolls, seemeth to have proceeded: The case was, Sir Thomas Aston by fettlement after marriage created a term in trust by mortgage or fale to raise 2000 l for each of his daughters portions, " provided they marry with their mother's confent, " and if either die before marriage with such consent, her " portion to cease, and the premisses to be discharged; " and if raised, then to be paid to the person to whom "the premisses should belong:" and afterwards by will created another trust term to augment their fortunes 2000 l apiece more, but subject to the condition as in the settlement,

and gave the residue over and above the 2000 I apiece to his wise: and by a codicil created another trust term for the better raising of his daughters portions. Sir Thomas died, leaving two daughters. One of them married after the age of 21, the other before the age of 21, and both of them without the consent of their mother. The master of the rolls decreed, that the portions should be paid notwithstanding; proceeding upon a supposition, that the portions by these words were not devised over. Cha. Ca. Talb. 212.

But on an appeal from this decree, the lord chancellor Hardwicke, affisted by the two chief justices Lee and Willes and the chief baron Comyns, reverfed the decree; and the arguments urged by the court for this reverfal feem to proceed upon a supposition, that the proviso or limitation shall be good, whether the portions be devised over or not. Namely, first, that it is the right and liberty of the subject, who makes a voluntary disposition of his own property, to dispose of it in what manner, and upon what terms and conditions he pleafeth. Secondly, that it is an established maxim of law, that if an estate in land, or interest out of the land, is limited to commence upon a condition precedent; nothing can vest or take effect, till the condition is performed. And this is so ftrong and fo fettled a point, that altho' the previous act was at first impossible by the act of god, or other accident, the estate can never vest. Thirdly, that it is most agreeable to the rules of equity, to direct the execution of the trust according to the intent of him who appointed the trust.—It is said, that a trust is to be construed favourably: and it is true, it is to be construed with as much advantage as may be to make good and answer the intent and defign of the party, but it is to be construed strictly with regard to the execution of the trust; and therefore it would be a strange thing, when the trust directs the trustees to pay the money at the time of the daughter's marriage with her mother's consent, that the court should direct them to pay the money before that time. Fourthly, that a restraint in the present case is not only lawful, but prudent and reasonable; and no consequence more likely to ensue from it, than the hindrance of an inconfiderate or imprudent marriage. Comyns 744. Tracy Atk.

And upon these principles the statute of the 26 G. 2. c. 33. seemeth afterwards to have been established; which, in the case of a person under 21 years of age marrying

without the confent of parents or guardians, renders the

marriage itself null and void.

In the case of Needham and Vernon, 25 C. 2. Landawere devised in trust for raising portions for daughters, payable upon their marriages with consent of the trustees; but if they married without consent, then to remain over to another. The daughters were old, and never intended to marry, but to lay out their portions in a purchase of annuities for their lives. And it was held that they should have their portions immediately, upon giving security to indemnify against the persons to whom the portions were devised over.—And the like hath been decreed, upon giving security to refund, if the condition should be broken. I Abr. Eq. Cas. 1111.

Condition not to give trouble to the executor.

34. If a legacy be given on condition not to dispute the will, and the legatee commenceth a suit whereby he disputes the validity of the will, yet this is no forfeiture of the legacy, if there was probable cause of contesting it. 3 Bac. Abr. 479.

And even altho' there be no probable cause; yet where a legatee, or other person interested, hath a right to see the will proved in solemn form, his making use of that right cannot (as it seemeth) be deemed a disturbance.

E. 1724. Nutt and Burrel. The testator gives to B a legacy, on pain of forfeiture of it, in case he should give his wise (whom he made executrix) any trouble in relation to his estate. B brings a bill against the wise, for which there was very little colour, and amongst other things demands his legacy. The chancellor was of opinion that the suit was very srivolous, but would not de-

clare the legacy forfeited. Cha. Ca. King. 1.

But in the case of Cleaver and Spurling, T. 1729. A person by his will gives a legacy to his daughter, provided that if she or her husband resuse to give a release, or put the executors to any trouble, the same shall go over to her sister's children. The daughter and her husband (being within the custom of the city of London) sue for her orphanage part. Decreed, that the legacy was forseited; for however it might have been construed to be intended only interrorem, yet being devised over, and by that means a right to this legacy being vested in a third person, a court of equity could not devest it or call it back again. 2 Peere Will. 528.

H. 1710. Webb and Webb. The father gave a legacy of 401 to his fon, upon condition that he should not disturb the trustees. They applied to the court for an ex-

ecution

cution of the trust, and that he might either join with hem in a fale, or lose the legacy. And it was decreed coordingly, by Harcourt lord chancellor. r P. Will.

35. Lord Coke fays, where in one will there be divers Thing devised levifes of one thing, the last devise taketh place. I Inft. twice.

But lord chief baron Gilbert seemeth to say, that if land e devised to one, and after in the same will to another;

hey shall take it between them. Gilb. 159.

361 A devise by one joint tenant of land devisable, Things which a which he holdeth in fee, at his death, jointly with a person hath tranger, is not good: But if such devisor doth survive all other,

his companions, then fuch devise is good. Perk. 219.

Also a man cannot bequeath by will any of those goods r chattels which he hath jointly with another, tho' by at in his life time he might dispose of his part; if he bequeath his portion thereof to a third person, the legacy is void, and the furvivor shall have the whole, notwithstanding the will. But joint merchants are to be excepted out of this rule; for the wares, merchandizes, debts, or duties which they have as joint merchants or partners, shall not survive, but shall go to the executor of him that dies; and this by the law of merchants. Law of Test.

And by the custom of the city of London, he which holdeth tenements in London jointly with others, may devise that which belongeth to him, without any other se-

verance. Privileg. Lond. 145.

37. Generally, If the legatary die before the legacy be due, In what cases a the legacy is extinguished. Infomuch that if the testator by legacy shall be his last will do bequeath his lands and tenements to a man and his heirs; yet if fuch person die before the testator, the devise is merely void, and his heirs cannot recover the land by force of the will; because the devisee was not in being when the will should take effect; and the word heirs in this case is not a designation of the person who shall take, but a limitation of the estate; for if it was a description of the person, then his widow would be endowed. Plowd. 345. Swin. 35, 560. Law of Test.

And so it is, if the devisee of a copyhold die before the devisor; notwithstanding the surrender by the devisor of

the copyhold to the use of his will. Str. 445.

And so also it is, if the legatee lives as long as the teftator, but doth not survive him; for they may both die

at one inftant, as in a florm at fea they may both be drowned together, or by the falling of an house may both be killed at once: but if the legatee overlive the testator, even tho' it be but for a moment, the legacy is due, and may be recovered by the executors or administrators of the legatee. Law of Test. 231.

M. 6 An. Snell and Dee. The testator bequeathed by his will in these words; I give 100 l a piece to the two children of J S, at the end of ten years after my decease. The children died within the ten years. And by Cowper lord chancellor, This is a lapsed legacy, and shall not go to the executors of the children: For the diversity is, where the bequest is to take effect at a suture time, and where the payment is to be made at a suture time: Wherever the time is annexed to the legacy it self, and not to the payment of it; if the legatee dies before the time of payment, it is a lapsed legacy in that case. 2 Salk. 415.

T. 1721. Bagwell and Dry. The testator, amongst other things, bequeathed the furplus of his personal estate unto four persons equally to be divided among them, share and share alike; and made A B his executor in trust. One of the four refiduary legatees died in the life of the testator. After which, the testator died. And the question being, to whom the fourth part devised to the residuary legatee who died in the life of the testator belonged; the lord chancellor, after time taken to confider of it, delivered his opinion, that the testator having devised his residuum in fourths, and one of the refiduary legatees dying in his life time, the devise of that fourth part became void, and was as fo much of the testator's estate undisposed of by the will; that it could not go to the surviving legatees, because each of them had but a fourth part devised to him in common, and the death of the fourth residuary legatee could not avail them, as it would have done had they been all joint tenants, for then the share of the legatee dying in the life time of the testator would have gone to the furvivors; but here the residuum being devised in common, it was the same as if the fourth part had been devised to each of the four, which could not be increased hy the death of any of them. This share shall not go to the executor, he being but a bare executor in truft; and consequently it belongs to the testator's next of kin according to the statute of distribution; and as to this, the executor is a trustee for such next of kin. 1 P. Will. 760, Landon Salt at

M, 2 G. 2. Page and Page. A person deviseth to his fix relations, all his lands and all his personal estate, in trust to perform his will, and after all these things discharged, directed that the remainder should be equally divided amongst them, share and share alike, and made his faid fix relations executors. One of the fix legatees died, and then the testator died. The question was: whether the share of that legatee who died in the life time of the testator should go to the surviving legatees, as part of the refiduum; or whether in this case it should go to the next of kin of the testator, as so much of his estate undisposed of. It was argued, that where there is a lapsed legacy, it falls into the residuum of the personal estate generally; but here a part of the residuum it self is a lapsed legacy, and consequently undisposed of, and ought to go to the next of kin of the testator. For the executors are to take nothing as executors, but as residuary legatees. And one of the legatees dying in the life time of the testator, his share must go according to the statute of distributions, as undisposed of. And so it was decreed. Str. 820.

M. 1705. Elliot and Davenport. The testator by his will reciting, that B owed him 4001, gave and bequeathed the fame to him, provided that out of it he paid feveral particular fums in the will mentioned, to his wife and children, and the refidue he freely and abfolutely gave him, and required his executor, immediately on his death, to deliver up the fecurity, and not to meddle with the debt, but to give such release as B his executors or administrators should require. B died in the life time of the testator. It was held, that the money directed to be paid to the wife and children was well devised; but as to the residue devised to the debtor himself, it was a lapsed legacy, he dying in the life time of the testator; but it was admitted, that if the testator had said, I forgive such a debt, or that my executor shall not demand it, or shall release it, that would have been a good discharge of the debt, the' the debtor had died in the life time of the testator. 2 Vern. 521. 1 P. Will. 83.

T. 1731. Willing and Baine. The testator devised by his will 2001 apiece to his children, payable at their respective ages of twenty one; and if any of them died before twenty one, then the legacy given to the person so dying to go to the surviving children. One of the children died in the testator's life time. And the question was, whether the legacy should go to the surviving children,

or should be a lapsed legacy, and sink into the surplus, By the court; The rule is true, that where the legated dies in the life of the testator, his legacy lapses, that is, it lapses as to the legatee so dying; but in this case the legacy is well devised over to the surviving children. 3. P. Will. 114.

Devise of a legacy to a person and his assigns; the legatee died before it was paid: adjudged, that his administrator shall have it as assignee in law. I Roll's Abr.

915.

When the legacy is conditional, the legacy is not due, until the condition be performed: And therefore if the legatee die before the condition is performed, the legacy is extinguished; except in some few cases. Law of Test. 231.

If a legacy be given to a child, payable at his age of twenty one years, and the child dies before he attain that age; tho' the administrator of the child is intitled to the legacy, yet he shall not have it till such time as the child, if he had lived, would have attained his age of twenty-one years. 2 Vern. 199. 2 P. Will. 478.

But if a legacy be devised to a child payable at his age of twenty one years, and if he dies before that age, then the legacy to go over to another; in this case, if the child dies before he attains the age of twenty-one, the second legates shall have the legacy immediately. 2 Vern. 283. 2 P. Will. 478. Viner. Devise. G. d. 35.

So if a legacy be given to an infant, to be paid at his age of twenty one years, and the executors to pay interest for it until it becomes payable; if the infant dies before twenty-one, it is due presently to the executor or administrator of the infant: but if no interest was to be paid for it, then it shall not be paid until such time as the infant would have come to twenty one in case he had lived; because there it is a benefit the testator intended to the executor by keeping it in his hands; but in the other case it would be none, when interest was payable. 2 Freem. 64.

So where the testator bequeathed to an infant 1000 l, payable at twenty one; and in the mean time the infant to have the yearly sum of 20 l, not amounting to the interest of the legacy given him. The infant died before twenty one. It was held by Raymond chief justice, Jekyl master of the rolls, and Eyre chief justice, that the executors of the infant should wait for their legacy, till such time as the infant had he lived would have been twenty

one; it being unreasonable that the executors of the infant, standing in his place, should be in a better case than the infant himself would have been had he been living; and it was to be presumed, that the testator had made a computation of his estate, and considered when the same would bear and allow of the payment of this legacy; and that no reason could be given, why an uncertain accident hould accelerate the payment of this legacy before the time, which was at first intended for that purpose. 2 P. Will. 335.

Generally, it is to be confidered, whether the time be somed to the fubstance of the legacy, or to the payment: If it be joined to the fubstance of the legacy, and the legatee dies before the day, the legacy is gone; as if the testator give to B 1001 when he cometh to the age of twenty one years, and B dieth before, the legacy will not go to his executors or administrators: But if the day be joined to the payment of the legacy, the executor or administrator of the legatee shall have the legacy, tho' the legatee die before the day; as if the testator bequeath 1001 to B, and wills that it shall be paid to B when he attains the age of twenty one years, yet his executors or administrators may recover the legacy when the time is expired that B should have attained that age if he had lived. Law of Test.

232, 233.

And this is agreeable to the rule of the civil law, which is, that if a legacy be devised to one generally, to be paid or payable at the age of twenty one, or any other age; yet this is such an interest vested in the legatee, that his executor or administrator may sue for and recover it; for it is debitum in præsenti, tho' solvendum in suturo, the time being annexed to the payment, and not to the legacy it felf: So if the legacy is made to carry interest; tho' the words to be paid, or payable be omitted, it shall be an interest vested. But if a legacy be devised to one at twenty one, or if or when he shall attain the age of twenty one, and the legatee dies before he attains that age, the legacy is lapsed. But where the legacy is to arise out of a real estate; this, by the better authorities, shall not go to the representative of the legatee, but shall fink in the inheritance for the benefit of the heir, as much as if it was a portion provided by a marriage fettlement. But when the legacy is to be paid out of a personal estate, the above distinction hath been allowed of; and Cowper lord chancellor faid, that tho' it was at first introduced upon very

flender

stender reasons, and probably upon no other but from a constant willingness in the civil law to stretch in favour of a particular legatee, against the residuary legatee who went away with the whole surplus of the personal estate yet as the chancery hath now a concurrent jurisdiction with the spiritual court in matters of this nature, he thought it highly reasonable that there should be a conformity in their resolutions, that the subject might have the same measure of justice, in which court soever he sud. Law of Test. 242, 243.

So, in the case of Boycot and others, against Cotton and others; Nov. 24, 1738. It was said by the lord chancellor Hardwicke, that it is now settled, whether the portion charged upon land be given with or without interest, by deed or by will, if the person dies before the age at which it becomes payable, it shall sink into the es-

tate. Tr. Atk. 555.

M. 1682. Smith and Smith. The testator devised 1001 to his daughter for her portion, chargeable upon a rearestate, and payable at twenty one; and the daughter died before twenty one: the portion shall sink in the land. But it is otherwise, if no time had been limited for the payment of the portion; for in that case it goes to the executor of the daughter. And there is no difference, whether the portion is secured by settlement or by will, if it be to be raised out of a real estate, and the party dies before it is payable; for in either case it sinks in the lands. 2 Vern. 92.

H. 1690. Norfolk and Gilford. The testator by will charged his lands with 60001, for the child his wife was then ensient with, if it proved a daughter; with a clause of entry for non-payment. A daughter is born; who dies. It was decreed, that the 60001 should not be raised for the benefit of her administrator. 2 Vern. 208.

M. 1684. Bartholomew and Meredith. The testator devised lands to be sold for payment of portions to younger children, and one of the children dies after the portion was payable, tho' before the lands sold. It was held, that it being an interest vested, his administrator should have

it. 1 Vern. 276.

E. 1701. Jackson and Farrand. The testator by will gave 500 l to his daughter, to be paid by his executors at the age of twenty one out of his personal estate and the rents of his real; and if not raised by that time, the executors to stand seised and take the rents till 500 l is raised, and after payment gives the land to his son. The

hughter marries at eighteen, and dies under twenty one, a ving iffue a daughter. The husband takes administration. It was held, that the portion should be raised, and hat by a sale, tho' the land would produce little more han the 500 l. 2 Vern. 424. [But this, lord Hardwicke aid, is an anomalous case, and no stress ought to be laid.

upon it. Tr. Atk. 556.]

H. 1740. Lowther and Condon. Thomas Condon, efjuire, by his will gave unto his daughter Diana Condon the fum of 1000 l, to be raised and paid unto her, immediately after the decease of her mother, out of her mother's jointure lands, with interest of fix pounds in the hundred from the death of her mother till the same should be paid. Thomas Condon dies. After which, his daughter Diana intermarries with Sir William Lowther, and dies in the life time of her mother. Last of all the mother dies. And Sir William Lowther, as administrator to his wife, brings his bill for the recovery of the 1000 1. It was infifted by the defendant the heir at law, that as the faid fum was to be raifed and paid out of the lands. and the late lady Lowther died before the time when this fum became payable, namely, before the death of her mother the testator's widow, the same ought to fink into the estate for the benefit of the heir, and ought not now to be raised. By Hardwicke lord chancellor: It is clear, if this were to be paid out of a personal estate, it would have been transmissible to an administrator: It is indeed true, that it hath been an established rule in general, as to real estates, that where a legatee dies before the time of payment of the legacy, it shall fink into the estate; but with regard to portions or fortunes for daughters, the circumstance of the legatee is to be considered; as where a portion is given to one immediately, payable when the attaineth the age of twenty one or marrieth, and such person dieth before either of the contingencies happeneth, it ought to fink, because the legatee wanted no personal provision; but in this case, as lady Lowther was married, and lived married for some years, there is the less reason that it should fink. And it was decreed, that this was an interest vested, and as such transmissible to the administrator, and the legacy should not fink into the estate for the benefit of the heir at law.

But it is said, if a legacy be chargeable both upon the real and personal estate; then so much thereof as the personal estate will extend to pay, shall go to the executors or administrators of the child; for in such case, as far as the

executor

276, 601.

executor or administrator claims out of the personal estate, he shall succeed according to the rule of the spiritual court where these things are determinable, altho' the infant legated dies before the portion or legacy becomes due: But so fir as such legacy is charged upon the land; the court of chancery will not countenance the loading of an heir, merely for the benefit of an administrator. 2 P. Wil.

But in the case of Van and Clark, July 1, 1739. Lady Craven devised to Godfrey Clark (whom also she made executor and refiduary legatee) her messuage and tenement in Lincoln's-Inn-Fields, and all her real and personal estate not otherwise disposed of, to the intent that out of the faid real and personal estates so devised, her several legacies might be paid; amongst which, she gave to Thomas Lewis, to be paid within one year and a half after her decease, 2000 l in trust and for the use of his daughter Mary Lewis, to be put out at interest, and the principal and interest to be paid to her at her age of eighteen, or marriage, which should first happen. Thomas Lewis died in the life time of the testatrix. Mary Lewis died about half a year after the testatrix, unmarried. The representative of Mary brought his bill to have the 2000 l paid to him. The defendant Clark admitted personal asfets fufficient, but fubmitted to the court whether the plaintiff was intitled, and infifted that the house in Lincoln's-Inn-Fields was in the first place charged with this, and that it was not a charge merely on the personal estate, but on the mixed fund of real and personal; and therefore the legatee dying before the day of payment, it ought to fink. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: The infant dying before the time of payment to the trustee, I am of opinion makes this legacy not raifable for the benefit of the plaintiff her representative. If a legacy is given out of a personal estate payable at a certain time; or if given at a certain time, and interest in the mean time; it is a vested legacy. But the rule of this court as to legacies out of real estates is otherwise; for if given at a certain time, or payable at a certain time, yet if the legatee dies before the time is come, it finks into the inheritance. So when a legacy is given out of a mixed fund of real and personal estate, at a certain time, or to be paid at a certain time; the construction is the same, as if given out of a real estate only. There is but a slight difference, between the cases of legacies given at a day, or payable at a day; but the distinction is adhered to, only to give a

COR-

contentaneous jurisdiction with the ecclesiastical courts; Nor is there any case that I know of, to warrant a distinction between legacies given out of a mixed fund of real and personal estate, and out of real estate only. If the infant had furvived the year and half (for the death of the trustee makes no distinction) it would have been extremely clear she would have been intitled to the legacy; and if then fhe had died before eighteen or marriage, her representatives would have been intitled. But if this had been merely personal; as she died within the year and half, her representative could not have been intitled: for the whole gift is in the direction of the payment; which makes that the substance. In the present case, it is not a legacy merely out of a personal estate, but out of both funds, and the real charged in the first place on the estate in Lincoln's-Inn-Fields. And this construction is more agreeable to the intention of the testatrix, as the sum was intended clearly, as a portion for Mary: And the court always goes as far as it possibly can, to hinder the raising portions out of land for the benefit of representatives. Yr. Atk. 510.

38. It feemeth now to be the general opinion, where Surofus. there is an express legacy given to an executor, and no devife of the furplus, that fuch furplus shall not go to the executor, but thereof he shall be only a trustee for the next of kin, and the same shall be disposed according to the statute of distributions. But where no express legacy is given to the executor, there the furplus shall go to the executor, if not otherwise devised in the will. Law of

Test. 416, 417.

M. 1687. Foster and Munt. A man devised particular legacies to his children and grandchildren, and 101 apiece to his executors for their care; the furplus of the perfonal estate being 5000 l and upwards. The question was Whether the furplus should be a trust for the children, or go to the executors. And it was decreed a trust for the children. And the decree was affirmed in the house of

lords. I Vern. 473. 2 Vern. 648.

H. 1697. Earl of Briftol and Hungerford. The testator devised lands to be fold for payment of his debts, and ordered that the furplus should be deemed part of his perfonal estate and go to his executors, and gave to his exe2 cutors 100 l apiece as a legacy. The question was, Whether the executors should have the surplus to their own use, or should distribute according to the statute of distribunon. For the executors it was infifted, that the furplus should

should be part of his personal estate, and go to them; and that he meant it to their own use; and his giving them a legacy of 100 l apiece cannot alter the case, for the surplus perhaps might be nothing; and therefore he gave them the 100 l, that they might at all events be fure of some thing, and not to exclude them the benefit of the furplus: and this being a devise of the surplus, after debts and legacies paid, cannot be a trust in them, for then all their trust is performed, when debts and legacies are paid. On the other fide it was faid, that the words in the will, that the surplus should be part of his personal estate and go to bi executors, were only intended to exclude the heir, who elfe would have had it, and not to give any greater interest to his executors than they would have had otherwise. And of that opinion was the lord chancellor, who decreed that they were trustees of the surplus for the next of kin. I Abr. Caf. Eq. 244.

But where a man devised his library of books to one, except ten books such as his wife should chuse, and made her executrix; it was decreed, that she should not by this devise be excluded from the benefit of the surplus of the personal estate. T. 1704. Griffiths and Rogers. 1 Abr.

Caf. Eq. 245.

So where one not of kin, but a stranger, was made executor, and had considerable legacies given him; altho' it was decreed, in favour of the testator's two brothers, that the surplus should be distributed, yet upon appeal to the house of lords, that decree was reversed; not barely as it stood upon the will, but that parol proof ought to be received in favour of the executor's title, consistent with the will; and the proof being full, as to the testator's frequent declarations, that his executor, tho' a stranger, should have the surplus, it was decreed accordingly. I Air. Eq. Cas. 245.

This point, how far the executor shall be intitled to the surplus, altho' he be not by the express words of the will appointed residuary legatee, having been long litigated; in the year 1725, King then lord chancellor brought a bill into the house of peers (which passed that house) to settle the point: but it was thrown out by the house of commons. The bill was to have settled it for the benefit

of the executor. Str. 569.

But fince that time, the general rule seemeth to have been, that where executors have legacies given them, and the surplus is not specially devised, they shall be trustees of the surplus for the next of kin, unless there appear in

the

he will special circumstances of the testator's intention to

he contrary.

In the year 1736, Sir Joseph Jekyll, speaking of the case of Foster and Munt, said, It had been often urged, that not case turned upon fraud; but that he had looked into ll the proceedings, and there was no such thing pretended, but the whole turned upon this,—that as the executors egacy was given for their care, unless such care was to turn to the benefit of others, and not of themselves, the will would be absurd; and therefore it necessarily followed, that he testator designed them only to be trustees for the next of kin; and tho' no such declaration was made, yet the egacy being given generally, the law made the same contraction, and it was for their care; it being impossible to magine, that the testator would give a general legacy, the intended the executors should take the whole. 2 Abr.

Eq. Cas. 443.

And in the case of Newstead and Johnson, July 15, 740. The testator William Lawson directed 30001 out of his stock in trade, to be laid out in lands to be settled to the use of his first son in tail male, with remainders over; and as to all the rest and residue of his joint stock and partnership in trade, he gave it to John Senior his executors administrators and affigns; and his will and defire was, that Allen Johnson his son in law and the said John Senior agree to be partners, and enter into articles as counsel shall advise; and as to his said devise to 'John Senior, he declared the same to be in trust only for his daughter Elizabeth Johnson, for her sole and separate use during her life, and for fuch other uses and purposes as she should appoint by any deed or writing in her life time, or by her last will and testament, notwithstanding her coverture; and his will was, that the faid Allen Johnson, his daughter's husband, should have nothing to do with the same, or receive any part thereof, otherwise than as joint partner. Then the testator, after giving several directions about the partnership, makes his daughter Elizabeth Johnfon fole executrix. The testator died. And his debts and legacies being paid, there remained a large surplus; for an account of which the bill was brought, and that the executrix might be a trustee of the same for the next of kin to the testator. By the lord chancellor Harda. wicke: The cases in regard to excluding executors from taking the furplus of the personal estate, by reason of the; particular legacies before given to them, have been very various, and undergone different determinations, accorded

Vol. IV. L ing

ing to the different circumstances and opinions and with of reasoning of different persons concerning them; and it is absolutely impossible to reduce all those cases to any certain general rule, without some contrariety between them. But I think the present case a very plain one, that the executrix here should not be excluded from the surplus.—The law is clear, that where a man makes his will, and an executor; it is a gift in law of all his perfonal effate to him. So is the rule of the ecclefiaffical court. Therefore it is, that where a fuit is brought in fuch case for a distribution of the residuum undisposed of by the will, this court will prohibit them from proceeding in such suit; because they are bound to give the resduum to the executor. And this court interposes upon supposed trust in the executor, of which that court had no cognizance. And I remember some cases, one at the latter end of queen Anne's time, and another fince, and another when I fate as chief justice in the king's bench. where such prohibitions have gone. So that the ground upon which executors have been in any cases compelled to distribute the surplus, has been, upon certain circumstances in equity, which have induced a violent presumption, amounting to evidence, that the executor was intended only a trustee.——The first case was Foster and Munt; where it was fent to the master to inquire what the furplus amounted to. And I have heard, that arolin a great measure from an ill opinion the lord chancellor Tefferies had of the executor's behaviour in obtaining that will. And it being reported to amount to 5000 l, he thought that it was abfurd to fay the testator would have given the executor fo small a legacy as 10 l for his care and pains, if he had meant at the same time to give him the furplus. But there was no particular evidence of and fraud in the case, but only such a general charge in the bill. So that the decree was founded wholly on that fingle point. -- From that time, it was taken, that where a legacy was given to an executor for his care and trouble, without any disposition of the surplus, that he should be confidered as a trustee. And that was founded upon good reason: for such a legacy for care and pains, was a plain declaration of the testator's intention, that as to the relithe executor should not take it to his own use; for it were ridiculous to suppose, that the testator should give him a small legacy for his trouble in managing an estate for himfelf. -- Afterwards the court went further in the like kind of reasoning, and held, that where a particular le-

by war given to the executor generally, without faying reare and pain, even this would exclude him from the rolon, because of the absurdity (as no doubt there would I) in giving him fome, and giving him all. From whence e court raised an implication, that since the testator had wn him a part, he never intended him the whole. And point is now established: tho' it was at first objected, at the particular legacy might be owing to a doubt of e test to, that the whole personal estate might not ove more than sufficient to pay all the legacies; in which fe the executor could have nothing. For which reason e testator might be unwilling to leave him to the chance the furplus, but would secure something to him by a rticular legacy, and then in case of a deficiency he hald abate only in proportion. However, this point been now long established, and is not to be controrted by such an argument. And I remember in the se of Farrington and Keetly, lord Macclesfield said, that had consulted Mr Vernon, who had then left the bar, ho told him that he did not then trouble himself with king notes of modern resolutions upon this point; belust he looked upon it to be as plain and settled, as that estate to a man in fee should descend to a man and his ir. — Other cases have been determined in favour of next of kin, upon the circumstances of proximity of lood: But these determinations have been overruled in ter cases; because that reasoning might produce great unertainty. For if that distinction were to be admitted, en a distinction would arise as to those of a nearer deee of kindred and those who are more remote; and if ne testator's estate was to depend on such circumstances, would bear a very uncertain construction. —— I mention nese things to lay them out of the case: For the ground f my determination is, that this legacy is given to a wife, f stock in trade, in trust for her separate use, and under ery particular circumstances. The intent of the testator manifest. He gives the particular legacy in trust for the who was his daughter; because otherwise it would lave paffed to the husband as his absolute property; for ho' upon her death it would have passed from her to the adnindfritor de bonis non, yet the husband would have it in point of property and interest, as he would be intitled to t after the debts and legacies were paid out of the aff to: Which reason does not extend to the residuum; for that it he not appear but he intended the husband should have hat as well as his daughter; and no implication can arise

L 2

upon a will but by a necessary construction; if so, the testator had no occasion to make an express devise of that in trust, as he did of the other.—It was said in the argument of this cause, that a particular legacy given in trull for an executor, will have the same effect in point of law, and bar him of the residuum, as much as if the legal interest of the legacy were given him. And that is certainly true; because it implies nothing which makes any difference between such a devise in trust and an absolute one: but, as I said before, here was a particular reason why this legacy was expressly given in trust, for the hulband could not have been otherwise excluded; and it is, that the trustee may enter into partnership with the for, and he is to improve the flock for the separate use and benefit of the wife; which prevents the common imple cation, that the residuum should not pass. Therefore think there is no ground in this case, to make the executrix account for the furplus; and as to that, the bill must be difmiffed.

So in the case of Blinkhorn and Teast, in the year 17501 The testator gave a pecuniary legacy to A. and another of a different value to B. both infants, and made them his executors. The question was, as to the residue of his personal estate, whether it should result to the next of kin, or go to his executors. By the lord chanceller Hardwicke: Though the law casts the whole personal estate upon the executor; yet as a will is to be construed chiefly according to the intention of the testator, if it appear manifestly his design that the executor shall not have it, it shall be distributed by this court. As where a specifick legacy is given to an executor, he shall not have the refidue; as it would be abfurd to think, that the testator after he had given him what he thought convenient, should also intend to give him the whole residue, which would include the particular legacy. Yet in many cases this construction may be improper; and therefore the rule of law has been suffered to take place. As in the case of Griffith and Rogers, where the executrix had a specifick legacy of ten books. And in the case of Jones and Westcomb (Prec. Ch. 316.) where a man, possessed of a long term, devised it to his wife for life, and after her death to the child she was then ensient with, and made her executrix. For in this case it was necessary to devise the term to her specifically, for the sake of the limitation to the child. In the present case, not to mention that it is improbable the testator would have made

the fe perfons who are infants his executors, merely for the purpose of distributing his personal estate, without any entit to themselves; it was very proper he should give hem these legacies, tho' he might intend they should ster have the residue; for they do not take the legacies, s they will the residue; for this they are intitled to jointly and equally, and the survivor will take the whole. But he legacies are unequal in value, and their interest in hem different and separate. And it cannot be inferred hat the residue includes the particular legacies; for as hey are bequeathed, the legatees are intitled to them in everalty, and with different interests; whereas if he had to separated them, they would have devolved jointly, and otherwise than he intended they should. And he decreed the residue to the executors.

39. By the statute of the 29 C. 2. c. 3. No devise in Wills, how rewriting of lands tenements or bereditaments, or any clause vocable.

bereof, shall be revocable, otherwise than by some other will or
codicil in writing, or other writing declaring the same, or by
hurning, cancelling, tearing or obliterating the same by the
cestator himself, or in his presence and by his directions and
consent; but all devises and bequests of lands and tenements shall
remain and continue in force, until the same be burnt, cancelled, torn, or obliterated by the testator or by his directions in
manner aforesaid, or unless the same be altered by some other
will or codicil in writing, or other writing of the devisor,
signed in the presence of three or four witnesses declaring the
same. s. 6.

And no will in writing concerning any goods or chattels or perfonal estate shall be repealed, nor shall any clause devise or bequest therein be altered or changed, by any words, or will by word of mouth only, except the same be in the life of the testator committed to writing, and after the writing thereof read unto the testator, and allowed by him, and proved to be so done

ly three witnesses at the least. 1. 22.

Otherwise than by some other will, or other writing of the devisor—signed in the presence of three or sour witness. M. 1689. Eccleston and Speke. Lady Speke by will gave her lands to one and his heirs. Afterwards she made another will, by which also she gave her lands to the same man and his heirs; but this last will was held void to pass lands, because the witnesses did not subscribe it in her presence. It was objected, that this was good however as a revocation of the former will. But by the court; It cannot operate as a revocation, because contrary to her apparent intent. To revoke by a will attested by a will attested by

3 three

three witnesses, and subscribed by them in the presence of the testatrix, which this will was not. Carth. 81.

H. 1716. Onyons and Tryers. A man makes his will duly executed and attested, and at the same time in like manner executes a duplicate thereof. Some time after, having a mind to change one of his trustees, he orders his will to be written over again, without any variation whatfoever from the first, save only in the name of that trustee. And when it was so written over, he executes it in the presence of three witnesses, and the three witnesses subscribed their names, but not in his presence. After this, the testator cancels the duplicate, by tearing off the feal; and then dies. The question was, whether this fecond will, not being good as a will to pass land. should yet be a revocation of the first; and if it should not, whether the cancelling the other should be a revocation thereof within this statute. And it was decreed, that neither the making the fecond, nor the cancelling of the first, was a revocation thereof, tho' in the second there was an express clause that he did thereby revoke all former and other wills: wherein the lord chancellor too this distinction, that the second was not intended barely a revocation of the first, so as to fignify his intention of dying intestate; but it was intended as an effectual will to pass the lands to the persons, and in the manner thereby devised; and therefore if it was not good as a will to that purpose, it was no revocation of the first. I Abi, Eq. Caf. 408.

But if a man cancels or revokes either the duplicate or original will; this, it is faid, is an effectual avoiding of both: they being both but one will, and therefore mult

stand or fall together. Id.

E. 1754. Ellis and Smith. A man makes a will, and by it revokes a former will. The only proof of the execution of this latter will was, by three witnesses, who did not see him sign or seal it, but upon their being called in he acknowledged it to be his handwriting and seal, pointing with his singer to the will; upon which they attested it. Two questions arose: 1. Whether considering this as an original will, it is well executed. 2. If it is, whether it be well executed as a revocation, because by the statute it ought for this purpose to be signed in presence of the witnesses. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: As to the former question, if this had been res integra, it would have been a matter of doubt with me; but it is readifudicata, and must now be taken as decisive. All the

cases

mies where an attestation by three witnesses at different times is held good, are authorities in point; for they must all be founded upon the proof of this very fact, the ecknowledgment of the testator that it was his handwriting. It could not be a different execution before each witness, for then there would be three executions, and the act would not be complied with, as it requires hree witnesses to one execution: and as to the fealing, -putting any thing on the feal, as a finger, animo figrandi, is good enough. But he seemed to think that ealing was not figning within the statute, contrary to the biter opinion in Lemain and Stanley (3 Lev. 1.) ----- As o the second, he said, that the words signed in the preence of three witnesses, refer to the next preceding words other writing] only, and not to a will or codicil; and it was determined (3 Mod. 218.) in the case of Hoyle nd Clarke.

But where there was a devise of lands to one, and afcrwards the devifor by a will duly executed and attested devised the lands to another who was a papist; it was decreed, that both the devises were void: for tho' the latter was void as a will, yet it was good as a revocation. 2 Abr. Eq. Caf. 771.

But a will which will pass personal estate, is not a sufficient revocation of a former will whereby a real estate

s devised. Comyns 451.

And altho' the statute says, that no will in writing concerning personal estates shall be repealed by word of mouth only, except the words be put into writing, and read to and allowed by the testator, and proved to be so done by three witnesses; yet where a man by will in writing devised the residue of his personal estate to his wife, and she dying, he afterwards by a nuncupative codicil bequeathed to another all that he had given to his wife, this was resolved to be good: for by the death of the wife, the devise of the residue was totally void; and the codicil was no alteration of the former will, but a new will for the refidue. I Abr. Caf. Eq. 408.

Also, the statute hath not taken away revocations of wills by act of law; as if the testator afterwards make a feoffment, or do any other act inconfistent with the will: but such revocation remains as before the statute. Carth.

If a man devises lands to one and his heirs, and afterwards mortgages the same lands to another for years or in fee; tho' a mortgage in fee is a total revocation at law,

yet in equity it shall be a revocation pro tanto only.

Abr. Eq. Caf. 410.

And the reason is, because a mortgage is not considered as a conveyance of the estate, but only as a charge upon it; being merely a security, and in the consideration of equity carries only a chattel interest, the creditor gains nothing real, it affords no dower, and goes to executors. Sparrow and Hardcassle, May 6. 1754.

But if lands be devised to one in see, and afterwards mortgaged to the same devise; this is a revocation in too, being inconsistent with the devise: but if the mortgage had been to a stranger, it had been a revocation quoud the

mortgage only. Prec. Cha. 514.

If a man seised in see, devises it to one in see or selife, and afterwards makes a lease to another for years, this, even at law, shall not be a revocation but during the

years. I Roll's Abr. 616.

So if a husband possessed for forty years, devises it to his wife, and after leases the land to another for twenty years, and dies; this lease is not any revocation of the whole citate, but only during the twenty years, and the wife

shall have the residue by the devise. Id.

But where a man feised of a lease for lives, devised it, and afterwards surrendered the old lease, and took a new one to him and his heirs for three lives; it was decreed, that this renewal of the lease was a revocation of the will as to this particular. For by the surrender of the old lease, the testator had put all out of him, had devested himself of the whole interest; so that there being nothing left for the devise to work upon, the will must fall, and the new purchase, being of a freehold descendible, could not pass by a will made before such purchase. 3 P. Will. 166, 170.

Tho' a covenant or articles do not at law revoke a will; vet if entred into for a valuable confideration, amounting in equity to a conveyance, they must consequently be an equitable revocation of a will, or of any writing in na-

ture thereof. 2 P. Will. 624.

A woman's marriage, is alone a revocation of her will.

A man by his will gave his four daughters 600 l apiece, and afterwards married his eldest daughter to the plaintiff, and gave her 700 l portion. After that, he makes a codicil, and gives 100 l apiece to his unmarried daughters, and thereby ratisfies and confirms his will; and dies. The plaintiff preserved his bill for the legacy of 600 l given to

his

nis wife by the faid will. It was held by the master of he rolls, that the portion given by the testator in his life ime should be intended in satisfaction of the legacy. And t was agreed to be the constant rule, that where a legacy is given to a child, who afterwards, upon marriage or otherwise, receives the like or a greater sum, it shall be intended in satisfaction of the legacy, unless the testator declares his intention to be otherwise. And it was said, the words of ratifying and confirming do not alter the case, tho' they amount to a new publication; being only words of form, and declare nothing of the testator's intent in this matter. 2 Freem. 224. Irod and Hurst, M. 1698.

A man made a will, and appointed one (who was no relation) to be his executor. He afterwards went abroad, where he became a governor of one of the plantations, and fent over for an English woman of his acquaintance, whom he married, and had children by; and died, without an actual revocation of his will: Yet it was determined, that this total alteration of his circumstances was an implied revocation. I P. Will. 304. Eyre and Eyre.

So in the case of Lugg and Lugg, M. 8 W. Before the delegates. One being single made his will, and devised all his personal estate. Afterwards he married, and had several children, and died without other will or disposition. It was ruled, that there being such an alteration in his estate, and circumstances so different at the time of his death from what they were when he made the will, here was room and presumptive evidence to believe a revocation, and that the testator continued not of the same mind. 2 Salk. 592. L. Raym. 441.

And in the case of Brown and Thomson, T. 1702. The lord keeper was of opinion, that alteration of circumstances may be a revocation of a will of lands, as well as of a personal estate; notwithstanding the statute, which doth not extend to an implied revocation. 1 Abr. Cas.

Eq. 413.

Wills. Probate.

IV. Of the probate of wills, and administration of intestates effects.

Which chapter divides itself into two parts; viz.

I. Of the probate of wills.

II. Of the administration of intestates effects.

And,

I. Of the probate of wills.

Origin of the juriddiction.

I T appears to have been a matter of great controriddiction.

I verfy, to whom the probate of wills and granting
administration did originally belong, and whether these
were matters intirely of ecclesiastical cognizance; but it
seems now to be the better opinion, that the probate of
testaments did not originally belong to the eccclesiastical
jurisdiction, but to the county court, or to the court
baron of the respective lord of the manor where the testa-

tor died, as all other matters did. 2 Bac. Abr. 398.

The truth is, there were wills before there was any ecclefiaftical jurisdiction; and consequently, the cognizance thereof pertained then folely to the civil magistrate. After the establishment of christianity and of the ecclesiastical jurisdiction in England, until the time of the conquest, the courts ecclesiastical and temporal were conjoined, the bishop and earl sitting together for the transaction of business in the county court. Upon the separation of the courts in the time of king William the first, it doth not appear unto which of the two jurisdictions the cognizance of wills immediately acceded. But so early as the reign of king Henry the first, Sir Henry Spelman observes, that in Scotland the cognizance of wills belonged to the ecclefiaftical jurisdiction; and he adds, doubtless then also in England. And Glanvil doth testify thus much in the time of king Henry the second; who faith, that if there be any dispute concerning a testament, the same is to be heard and determined in the court christian. Spelm. Rem. 132.

And in the preamble of the statute of the 18 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 6. it is expressed, that causes testamentary notoriously

pertain to the cognizance of holy church.

Nevertheless, from the constitutions and laws which were made of ancient time against lords of manors detaining the goods of the deceased in prejudice of their creditors, of their families, and of their fouls; it feemeth that the lords of manors did for some time retain a jurisdiction with respect to the goods of their deceased vassals. And from this fource possibly may be deduced the power of granting probate of wills and administration of inteftates effects that still remaineth in divers manors. Which power having been enjoyed time out of mind, and without interruption, is allowed to be good. And where the lord of a manor hath the probate of testaments within his manor, if such will be proved in the ecclesiastical court, a prohibition lieth; because the jurisdiction thereof belongeth to another: elfc the party might be doubly vexed. 5 Co. 73. 2 Bac. Abr. 402.

But, excepting in such like particular cases, it is now certain, however it might have been formerly, that the spiritual court is the only court that hath jurisdiction of the probate of wills; and, as incident to such jurisdiction, hath power to determine all those matters that are necessary to the authenticating thereof. 2 Bac. Abr. 398.

And the reason why the probate of testaments hath been given unto spiritual men is, because it is to be intended, that they have more knowledge what is for the profit and benefit of the soul of the testator, than laymen have; and that they will look more than laymen, that the debts of the deceased be paid and satisfied out of his goods, and that they will see his will performed, so far as his goods will extend. Perk. 213.

2. And, generally, the person before whom the testa- Bishop. ment is to be proved is the bishop of the diocese where the

testator dwelled, or his officer. Swin. 427.

Archdeacons, as such, have no power to grant probate or commit administration, altho' most archdeacons in England do.it; but they do it not as archdeacons, but

by a prescriptive right. Gibs. 478.

3. But there are also certain peculiar ecclesiastical ju-Peculiar. risidictions, where by prescription or composition, or other special title, the probation and approbation of the testaments of such as dwell and die within those places, doth appertain to the judge of that peculiar. Swin. 427.

Concerning which it is ordered by Canon 126, as followeth: Whereas deans, archdeacons, prebendaries, parfons, vicars, and others exercifing ecclefiaftical jurisdiction, claim liberty to prove last wills and testaments of persons deceased within their several jurisdictions, having no known or certain registers, nor publick place to keep their records in, by reason whereof many wills rights and legacies, upon the death or change of such persons and their private notaries, miscarry and cannot be found, to the great prejudice of his majesty's subjects; we therefore order and enjoin, that all fuch possessors and exercifers of peculiar jurisdiction, shall once in every year exhibit into the publick registry of the bishop of the diocese, or of the dean and chapter, under whose jurisdiction the faid peculiars are, every original testament of every person in that time deceased, and by them proved in their several peculiar jurisdictions, or a true copy of every fuch testament, examined, subscribed and sealed by the peculiar judge and his notary. Otherwise if any of them fail fo to do, the bishop of the diocese or dean and chapter, unto whom the faid jurisdictions do respectively belong, shall suspend the said parties and every of them from the exercise of all such peculiar jurisdiction, until they have performed this our constitution.

Archbishop's prerogative in case of bona no-tabilia.

4. All testaments are proved and administrations granted in the prerogative court of the several archbishops respectively, where the party dying within the province of such arehbishop hath bona notabilia in some other diocese

than where he dieth. 4 Inst. 335.

And this power is reserved in the statute of frauds and perjuries; by which it is provided, that nothing in the said statute shall extend to alter or change the jurisdiction or right of probate of wills concerning personal estates, but that the prerogative court of the archbishop of Canterbury and other ecclesissical courts, and other courts having right to the probate of such wills, shall retain the same right and power as they had before in every respect: subject nevertheless to the rules and directions of the said act. 29 C. 2. C. 3. s. 24.

And by the statute of the 23 H. 8. c. 9. (which directeth that persons shall not be cited out of their proper diocese) it is enacted, that the same shall not extend to the prerogative of the archbishop of Canterbury, for calling any person out of the diocese where he shall be inhabiting, for probate of any testament; nor shall be in any wise prejudicial to the archbishop of York, concerning probate of testaments within his province and jurisdiction, by reason of any prerogative. 1.5,7.

1 40

The law concerning this matter is, that five pounds is the fum or value of notable goods. But where by composition or custom in any county, bona notabilia are rated at a greater fum, the same is to continue unaltered: as in the diocese of London, it is ten pounds by composition. 4 Inst. 335.

If he who dieth had goods in both dioceses, to the amount of 51 in the whole; the same shall be bona notabilia, and consequently under the archbishop's jurisdic-

tion. I Roll's Abr. 908, 909.

Rolle fays, If a man dieth in one diocefe, not having any goods there, but had bona notabilia in another diocefe; this shall be sufficient bona notabilia for the archbishop to grant administration; because the ordinary where he dieth, by the law is to take as great care of the testator and of his goods, as the other ordinary where the goods are. And he saith, Mr Selden told him, that he had been informed by those of the court christian, that this is the usual course there. I Roll's Abr. 909.

But if a man die upon a journey, the goods that he then hath about or with him, shall not be as bona notabilia, to cause administration to be committed or the will to be proved in the prerogative. Swin. a. 438.

439.

By the statute of the 4 An. c. 16. Whereas great trouble and expence is frequently occasioned to the widows and orphans of persons dying intestate to monies or wages due for work done in her majesty's yards or docks, by disputes happening about the authority of granting probate of the wills and letters of administration of the goods and chattels of such persons; for the preventing thereof, it is enacted, that the power of granting probates of the wills and letters of administration of the goods and chattels of such persons is hereby declared to be in the ordinary of the diocese or such other persons to whom the ordinary power of probate of wills or granting letters of administration do belong, where such persons shall respectively die; and that the wages or pay due from the queen to such persons for work done in any of the yards or docks, shall not be taken or deemed to be bona notabilia whereby to found the jurisdiction of the prerogative court. 1. 26.

Debts owing to the testator are bona notabilia, as well

as goods in possession. I Roll's Abr. 909.

And they shall be bona notabilia in that diocese where the bonds or other specialties be, and not where the debtor inhabits. 1 Roll's Abr. 909.

But if the debts be only by compact, without specialty; then they are to be esteemed bona notabilia in that place where the debtor is. Went. 46.

Judgments obtained in the courts at Westminster, upon actions laid in the country, are bona notabilia, not where the action was laid, but where the judgment was obtained.

because the record is there. Carth. 148.

E. 12 W. Hilliard and Cox. In debt by an administrator on an administration committed by the bishop of London, the defendant pleaded in bar, that the intestate at the time of his death was resident in another diocese. And it was held good upon demurrer. And by the court; The simple contract debts are personal, and administration must be committed of them where the party dies. And if a man have two houses in several dioceses, and lives most at one, but sumetimes goes to the other, and being there for a day or two dies; administration of his personal estate shall be granted by the bishop of this diocese, for he was commorant there, and not there as a traveller. * Salk. 37.

A bill of exchange shall be said to be bona notabilia where the debtor is, and not where the bill is; for it is no specialty in law: for if an executor pays debts upon simple contract, or suffers judgment to pass against him; in such actions he may plead such payment or judgment in bar to an action upon a bill of exchange. 3 Salk. 164.

Yeoman and Bradshaw.

In case lands be given to executors for payment of debts or legacies; it seemeth that this shall not be bona

notabilia, altho' it be affets. Went. 46.

Where one dies possessed of goods in London and Dublin; in that case the resolution seems to have been, that the archbishop of Canterbury by his prerogative was to grant administration of the goods in London, and the archbishop of Dublin for those in Dublin. Gibs. 472.

In case one have bona notabilia both in the province of Canterbury and in the province of York; the will must be proved either before both metropolitans, if within each of their jurisdiction there be bona notabilia in divers dioceses; or else, if there be not so in any of the places, then before the particular bishops in those several dioceses where the goods are. Went. 46.

Or, if within the one jurifdiction metropolitan the testator had goods in divers dioceses, and in the other but in one diocese; then in the one place is the will to be proved before the archbishop, and in the other place before the particular bishop, as it seemeth. Went. 47.

vv nere

Where one dies possessed of goods in the diocese of an rehbishop, and in a peculiar of the same diocese; there hall be several administrations, and the archbishop shall have no prerogative, because the peculiar was first derived out of his jurisdiction. Gibs. 472. Cro. El. 719.

But where one dies possessed of goods in several pecuiars within the same diocese; in that case administration hall not be granted by the bishop of the diocese, but by the metropolitan; inasmuch as they are exempt from or-

linary jurisdiction. Gibs. 472. Swin. a. 440.

By Canon 92. Forasmuch as many heretofore have been by apparitors both of inferior courts and of the courts of the archbift p's prerogative much distracted, and diversly called and summoned for probate of wills or to take administrations of the goods of persons dying intestate, and are thereby vexed and crived with many causeless and unnecessary troubles molestations and expences; we constitute and appoint, that all chancellors, commissaries, or officials, or any other exercising ecclesiastical jurisdiction whatsoever, shall at the first charge with an oath all persons called, or voluntarily appearing before them, for the probate of any will, or the administration of any goods, whether they know, or (moved by any special inducement) do firmly believe, that the party deceased (whose testament or goods depend now in question) had at the time of his or her death, any goods or good debts, in any other diocese or dioceses or peculiar jurisdiction within that province, than in that wherein the faid party died, amounting to the value of 5 l. And if the said person cited or voluntarily appearing before him, shall upon his oath affirm, that he knoweth, or (as aforefaid) firmly believeth, that the faid party deceased had goods or good debts in any other diocese or dioceses or peculiar jurisdiction within the said province to the value aforesaid, and particularly specify and declare the same; then shall be presently dismiss him, not presuming to intermeddle with the probate of the faid will, or to grant administration of the goods of the party so dying intestate. Neither shall he require or exact any other charges of the faid parties, more than such only as are due for the citation and other process had, and used against the said parties, upon their further contumacy; but shall openly and plainly declare and profess, that the said cause belongeth to the prerogative of the archbishop of that province; willing and admonishing the party to prove the faid will, or require administration of the said goods, in the court of the faid prerogative, and to exhibit before him the faid judge the probate or administration under the seal of the prerogative, within forty days next following. And if any chancellor, commissary, official, or other exercising ecclesiastical jurisdiction

diction what soever, or any their register shall offend herein; le him be ipso facto suspended from the execution of his office, to be absolved or released until he have restored to the party of expences by him laid out contrary to the tenor of the premisses and every such probate of any testament, or administration of goods fo granted, shall be held void and frustrate to all effects of the law what soever. Furthermore, we charge and injoin, that the register of every inferior judge do, without all difficulty delay, certify and inform the apparitor of the prerogative cours repairing unto him once a month and no oftener, what execution or administrators have been by his said judge for the incompetency of his own jurisdiction dismissed to the said prerogation court within the month next before; under pain of a month's suspension from the exercise of his office for every default therein. Provided that this canon or any thing therein contained, be not prejudicial to any composition between the archbishop and any bishop or other ordinary, nor to any inferior judge that shall grant any probate of testament or administration of goods to any party that shall voluntarily desire it, both out of the said inferior court, and also out of the prerogative. Provided likewife, that if any man die in itinere, the goods that he hath about him at that prefent shall not cause his testament or admini-Aration to be liable unto the prerogative court.

Shall be held void and frustrate In the case of Smith and Bingham, it was declared, that administration committed by the archbishop by his prerogative, to one who did not die possessed of goods in divers dioceses, was merely void; which declaration was repeated in the case of Turner and Vansdal: But the more current doctrine is, that such administrations are not void, like those granted by a bishop, where are bona notabilia, but only voidable by sentence; because the metropolitan hath jurisdiction over all the dioceses in his province, whereas a bishop can by no mean

have jurisdiction in another diocese. Gibs. 472.

In the case of Sir Richard Rains and the commissary of Canterbury, H. 1 An. it was said, that is administration be committed in a diocese where there are bona notabilia, tho fuch grant be ipso sasto void, yet they do not grant a new administration in the prerogative court, before they repeal that; and in that case they shall not be prohibited.

7 Mod. 146.

And by Canon 93. Furthermore, we do decree and ordain, that no judge of the archbish p's prerogative shall henceforward cite or cause to be cited ex officio any person whatsoever to any of the aforesaid intents, unless he have knowledge that the party deceased was at the time of his death possession

and

I hattels in some other diocese, or dioceses, or peculiar jurifcommunities that province, than in that wherein he died, amany to the value of 5 l at the least: decreeing and declamy what who fo hath not goods in divers diocefes to the faid fum walter, shall not be accounted to have bona notabilia. Always oction, that this clause here, and in the former constitution uniored, first not prejudice those dioceses where by composition And if In judge of the prerogative court, or any his surrogate, or his rister or apparitor, shall cite or cause any person to be cited to his court, contrary to the tenor of the premisses; he shall have to the party so cited all his costs and charges, and the acts ed proceedings in that behalf shall be held void and frustrate. think expences, if the faid judge or register or apparitor shall ful accordingly to pay; he shall be suspended from the exere of his office, until he yield to the performance thereof.

A e rated at a greater sum] One of the sums mentioned Lindwood, under which nothing should be reputed ona notabilia, is 231 35 01 d. And Plowden fixes the am at 101; of which Swinburne faith, that it feemed to im to be the opinion most commonly received. Gibs. 472.

The probate of every bishop's testament, or granting f administration of his goods, altho' he hath not goods nt within his own jurisdiction, doth belong to the arch-Thop. 4 Inft. 335.

5. If there be a new and uninhabited country, found Wills in the Briut by English subjects, as the law is the birth-right of tish colonies. very subject, so wherever they go, they carry their laws rith them; and therefore such new found country is to be overned by the laws of England; tho' after such counry is inhabited by the English, acts of parliament made i England, without naming the foreign plantations, will ot bind them: for which reason, it has been determined, hat the statute of frauds and perjuries, which requires hree witnesses to a will, and that these should subscribe n the testator's presence, doth not bind Barbadoes. But where the king of England conquers a country, it is a ifferent confideration; for there the conqueror, by spaing the lives of the people conquered, gains a right and property in fuch people; in confequence of which, he nay impose upon them what laws he pleases. 2 P. Will.

By the statute of the 25 G. 2. c. 6. for avoiding doubts conce ning who shall be deemed legal witnesses to wills which is inferted before under the head concerning the quaistration of the witnesses)-" Whereas in some of the Bri-M VOL. IV. tifh

tish colonies or plantations in America, the act of the 21 C. 2. has been received for law, or acts of affembly have heen made whereby the attestation and subscription of will nesses to devises of lands tenements and hereditaments have been required; therefore to prevent doubts which mu arise in relation to such attestation, it is enacted, that the att shall extend to such of the said colonies and plantation where the said act of the 29 C. 2. is by act of assembly make or by usage received as law, or where by act of assembly or us the attestation and subscription of a witness or witnesses made necessary to such devises; and shall have the same for and effect in the construction of, or for the avoiding of down upon, the faid acts of affembly, and laws of the faid colon and plantations, as the same ought to have in the construction or for the avoiding of doubts upon the said act of the 29 C. 2 in England.—Provided, that no devise or legacy shall be made void by this act, unless the will whereby such devise or leg shall be given, shall be made after March 1st, 1753."

An estate in the plantations is testamentary, and asse to pay debts: for if the executor hath goods of the tell tor in any part of the world, he shall be charged in respect

thereof. 6 Co. 46. 2 Ventr. 358.

An appeal from decrees made in the plantations, li

only to the king in council. 2 P. Will. 261.

6. Wills only concerning goods and chattels are unde the cognizance and direction of the ecclefiaftical law

Gibs. 463.

And the probate of testaments concerning lands only and no goods contained therein, ought not to be in th spiritual court; and if there be a suit to compel to here the probate of such testaments, a prohibition lieth. Cre

Car. 396.

But where a will is concerning lands and goods, and is a mixt will; the probate thereof shall be intire in the spiritual court, and ought not to be of parcels: but the probate of the will for the land will not prejudice t heir; for it shall not be evidence at the common law nor the witnesses being there examined, shall such exami nations be given in evidence at the common law. Cre Car. 396.

And where a will doth contain in it lands and goods generally, the courts temporal will not grant a prohibition to stay the probate thereof for the whole: but if in a spe cial case, it be alledged, that the testator was of non fane memory, or the like; a prohibition will be grante for the whole. For if the spiritual court should be suf

Wills of lands not subject to the ecclefiastical jurisdiction.

feed to proceed, and prove the will there, and allow it there, for the personal estate; it would be an evidence to induce the jury, upon a trial at law, to pass for the will is to the lands and tenements. E. 12 7. Egerton and

Lerton. Cro. Jac. 346.

7. But a devise of a personal estate is not looked upon Will of goods be of any effect until probate is made of the will by the not effectual beexecutor; neither can an executor or other person give a fore probate. will in evidence concerning a personal chattel without roducing the probate; for this will is no will until it has eceived a fanction, or an allowance of it in the spiritual ourt; for they are to judge whether it be a will or not; and the temporal courts are not to look upon it as a will ill probate be made: And in an action of trover for roods which a testator gave to his sister in his life time, brought against his executor for them, who would have iven in evidence a former will, to have shewn that he had no power to give those goods; this was refused, because ne ought to have produced the probate. Chaunter and baunter, 1708. Viner. Executors. A. a. 20.

8. He that is named executor cannot be precifely com- Refusal of an pelled to stand to the will, and undertake the executorship, executorship, inless he have already meddled with the goods of the telator as executor; for then, he is not only to be compeled to perform the office of an executor, but also if he hould refuse, and the ordinary commit the administration into him, this refusal is void, and he shall be charged as

xecutor. Swin. 384.

Therefore if the executor named in the testament reolve not to stand to the executorship, but to refuse the ame; then must be beware that he do not administer the roods of the deceased as executor; for having once administred as executor, he may at any time after be combelled to undergo the burden of an executor, and also nay be fued as executor by the creditors of the testator; ho' he cannot fue others as executor, for that he hath not the will under the ordinary's feal. Swin. 469.

And a person is then said to administer as executor, so as thereby he may be compelled to stand to the executorship, when he doth perform those acts which are proper to an executor; as to pay the debts due by the testator, or to receive any debts due unto the testator, or to give acquittances for the same, with other such like acts. Swin.

But if a man do those acts which are not proper to an executor, he is not faid to have administred as executor to

M 2

the effect aforesaid; as, to seed the cattle of the deceased left they should perish; or to take into his custody the goods of the deceased, to the end they may be safe from being stolen or purloined; or to dispose of the testator goods about the funeral: for these be deeds of charit common to every christian, and not peculiar to an execu tor. Likewise, to make an inventory of the goods of the deceased, is not to administer as executor; or to deliver b the wife her convenient apparel; or to take the testator' horse and ride him, or to use him as his own, suppose him not to be the testator's but his own; or to take the goods of the testator by his lawful gift. And generally whofoever as a mere trespasser entereth on the goods the testator, whether it be to things living, as horse, kind sheep, or dead things, as pots, pans, dishes, converting the fame to his proper use, and not to the use of the tel tator, as to the payment of the testator's debts or lega cies, doth not administer as executor. Swin. 471, 472

Howbeit, in these cases and such like, whosoever sear eth to be adjudged executor administring of his own wrong, the most safe course is, not to meddle at all, butterly to abstain from all manner of use of the testator goods; and namely, let him beware that he do not say goods, or kill any cattle of the deceased. Swin. 472

Further, altho' a person hath not meddled with the goods of the testator, and is therefore not compellable yet if a legacy be lest to him, he may be compelled the stand to the executorship, or else to lose his legacy. Gib

The refusal to take upon him the executorship, cannobe by word only; but it must be entred and recorded in

court. Swin. a. 443.

And when an executor hath once administred, he can not afterwards refuse to prove the will, and take upon hir the executorship; and in that case the ordinary ought to accept such a resultant, but to compel him to prove the will, and take upon him the executorship. Yet if the judge doth admit one to administer, notwithstanding the having formerly resused, it shall stand good. Sound 443.

Executor of his own wrong,

9. An executor of his own wrong is such as takes upon him the office of an executor by intrusion, not being constituted by the testator or deceased, nor (for want of such constitution) substituted by the ordinary to administer Went. 171.

If a man gets goods of an intestate into his hands ast administration is actually granted, it doth not make him

executo

recutor of his own wrong; but if he gets the goods into is hands before, tho' administration be granted afterrard, yet he remains chargeable as a wrongful executor, nless he delivers the goods over to the administrator beore the action brought, and then he may plead plene adunistravit. I Salk. 313.

An executor of his own wrong cannot bring an action; or he cannot shew the testament containing his name, as

e ought. Br. Administrator. 8.

Neither can he retain for his own debt or legacy. Mo.

27. Poph. 125.

But he renders himself liable to the action, not only of the right executor, but also to the suits of the testator's reditors; yet only so far, as the goods which he so wrongully administred amount unto. Swin. 339. Harr. Justin. 37. Viner. Executors. E. a. 4, 5,

So also, it is said, he shall be sued for legacies, as well

as a lawful executor. Noy. 13.

But if he doth lawful acts with the goods, as paying of debts in their degrees, it shall alter the property against the awful executor; as if he pay just and honest debts, the rightful executor shall not avoid that payment. It is true, the rightful executor may maintain against him an action of trover; but he shall only recover in damage so much as the wrongful executor hath misapplied. By Holt chief justice. 12 Mod. 471.

But Mr Wentworth is of opinion, that albeit such payment shall stand good as against other creditors, yet it is not good as against the rightful executor or administrator: for then any stranger might usurp the office of executor, and take from him that liberty and election, to prefer which creditor he will in first payment; yea, might take from the executor power to pay himself before others, in case there were a debt due to him, which would be unreason-

able. Went. 182.

And as he himself is liable to the suit of the lawful executor, creditors, or legatees; so also, in case of his death, are his executors or administrators liable, by the statute of the 30 C. 2. c. 7. altho' in other cases, a perfonal wrong dieth with him that did it. And altho' he hath obtained probate, yet if upon appeal such probate shall be annulled and made void, acts done by him, pending the appeal, shall not be good. As in a case, M. s. An. In the common pleas. An action was brought by the plaintist, as executor, for money due from the defendant to his testator. The defendant pleads, that anothe

M 3 land . oden mperfo

person was appointed executor to the testator, and proved his will, and that he the defendant had paid him part of the money in satisfaction of the whole, and that the said person on receipt thereof discharged the desendant. The plaintiff replied, that the probate granted to the other perfon was afterwards upon appeal annulled by fentence in the ecclefiastical court, and the will by which he wa made executor adjudged to be forged, and the will be which the plaintiff is appointed executor allowed. On demurrer, the question was, whether payment to one who was executor de facto, and had probate of the will, was good to bind the rightful executor. And the court gave judgment, that it was not. And by Trevor chief justice: An executor derives all his authority from the testator himfelf; and, as executor, without any thing more, he has the power of disposing of the estate of the testator, of releasing a debt due to the testator, and the like. True it is, before an action brought, a probate is necessary. but that is only requisite to ascertain the court that the plaintiff is executor and has a right to bring his action not to give the plaintiff any title or interest to the estate of the testator. If the testator appoints no executor, or dies intestate, the administrator is appointed by the ordinary, and derives his authority from him; and therefore if administration is granted, all acts by him as long as the administration continues in force are good, and even tho it be afterwards repealed. But there is a difference taken (6 Co. 18.) when an administration is repealed upon a citation, or upon an appeal. If it is upon an appeal, which fuspends the administration, all acts after such suspension are void: If it is repealed upon a citation, all the acts of the administrator, till the repeal, are good; for by the citation the grant of the administration is not suspended. therefore if the administration be repealed, all acts done by an administrator, which a rightful administrator might have done, shall be allowed, for in them he acted in the place of the rightful administrator. But it is otherwise in the case of an executor; for the probate of the will gives no authority at all to him; and therefore if he is not the rightful executor, he has no authority; and it would be unreasonable, that a person who has no authority should dispose of the interest of another. The rightful executor has not only a trust or authority to administer the goods of the testator, but also an interest annexed to the trust. And therefore the property of all the good after administration, is compleatly vested in him. And

Wills. Probate.

mequently, the disposition by another person of the ands of the testator, or release of his debts, is a disposion of the interest of the rightful executor, and therere such disposition doth not bind him. And this case is ot like the case of an officer, who officiated without leauthority, as the deputy of the deputy of a steward; r rightful acts done by him are good: for he is an ofcer de facto, and in the immediate and open execution his office, and the parties did not know whether he d authority or not .- And he faid, in this case of an exutor some mischief indeed may possibly happen; but it ould be a more general inconvenience, if a wrongful ecutor should be allowed to dispose of the right and inrest of a rightful executor. Comyns. 150. Anonym.

10. Where there are divers executors named in the Co-executors; ill, and some of them do resuse, and others of them some of them do ove the testament; they who refuse may after at their easure administer, notwithstanding such refusal before e ordinary. 9 Co. 37. Bacon's Use of the Law, 161.

erk. 212.

And this is what in the spiritual court is called a doule probate; which is in this manner: The first that omes in, takes probate in the usual form, with reservaon to the rest. Afterwards, if another comes in, he lo is to be fworn in the usual manner, and an ingrossent of the original will is to be annexed to fuch probate the same manner as the first; and in the second grant, ich first grant is to be recited. And so on, if there are nore that come in afterwards.

For notwithstanding their refusal at first, they still connue executors; and at any time during the lives of their ompanions, they may prove the will, they may pay lebts, make releases, and they must be joined in all suits where the co-executors are plaintiffs, because they are all rivy to the will; but not where they are defendants, beause the plaintiff in the action is not bound by law to ake notice of any but those who have proved the will.

Sur. a. 444.

For the king's courts have always used to allow the propate of fome of the executors, to enable them all to fue allians: so that the probate of the testament doth not give to them any interest or title either to things in action or in possession, for they have all their title and interest by the testament, and not by the probate: but yet without the probate, the judges allow them not to fue actions.

-tal of you --

making sect.

It is holden, that he which did refuse the executorship, cannot assume that office after the death of his fellow

ecutor. Swin. 326, 418.

But in the case of *House* and *Lord Petre*, Dec. 19. 1700. Before the delegates: The common lawyers held, that is one executor refuseth before the ordinary, and the ordinary at any time come in and administer; and tho' he never acted whilst his companions were living, yet after their death he shall be preferred before any other executor mole by a co-executor; altho' the civilians held, that by the law the renunciation was peremptory. 1 Salk. 311.

Where one exccutor excludes the other. one of them proveth the will in the name of them but, against the will of the other; this is not any administration for him who consented not to the probate: but may plead ne unque executor; for the probate maketh him not executor, if he doth not adminisher. I Rel's Att. 918.

Where all refuse; administration to be committed.

the testament do refuse; it is lawful for the bishop or ordinary to commit administration, and to annex the witto the letters of administration; and the administrator shall have action, and may administer the goods of two deceased, as if he had died intestate; and their author or act done is good and effectual in the law in the mentime, until the executors undertake the executorship; so then the ordinary may revoke the administration before by him committed. Swin. 380, 383. 1 Rell's Abr. 907.

So also if a man make an executor, but this is no known, or is concealed; the ordinary may grant administration, and this shall be good until the other prove the

will. I Roll's Abr. 907.

And so in like manner, if the person be disabled to be executor, or no executor at all be named in the will. Swin 380.

But (lord Coke fays) if they all refuse before the ordinary, and the ordinary commit administration to another there they cannot administer afterwards. 9 Co. 37.

And by lord chancellor Talbot, in the case of Robins and Pett, E. 1734. Where there are two executors, and one renounces, he is still at liberty, whenever he pleases to accept of the executorship; otherwise, if both renounce, and the ordinary commits administration to another. 3 P. Will. 251.

Regularly [that is, by the civil law], testaments Within what bught to be infinuated to the official or commissary of the time the will be thought to be diocese, within four months next after the shall be proved.

Mator's death. Swin. a. 447.

14. And the executor, for goods of the testator taken What the executor him, or a trespass done upon the lease land, or a tor may do be distraining or impounding of goods or cattle, may maintain, before the will be proved, actions of trespass, or reple in, or detinue; for these actions arise upon the ex-

Eut before the proving of the will, an executor cannot maintain a fuit or action of debt, or the like: and the reason is, for that therein he must shew forth the will

proved under the seal of the ordinary. Went. 34.

And in general, an executor is a compleat executor before mate, to all purposes but bringing of actions; so the the may release an action, affent to a legacy, may be sued, may alien or otherwise intermeddic with the goods of the testator. I Salk. 301.

For by administring, the executor hath accepted of and taken upon him the whole administration before the probate; and is thereby intitled to receive all debts due to the testator; and all payments made to him are good, and

shall not be defeated, altho' he should die and never prove

the will. I Salk. 306, 307.

Also the executor may, in convenient time after the testator's death, enter into the house descended to the heir, for the removing and taking away of the goods, fo as the door be open, or at least the key be in the door: and this seemeth to be understood of the door of each room. For altho' the door of entrance into the hall and parlour be open, the executor cannot by that justify the breaking open of the door of any chamber, to take the goods there; but only may take those in the rooms which be open. And this seemeth to be proved by the case of the chest with evidences; which, it is said, the executor may take, and put out the deeds, delivering them to the heir, that is to fay, the cheft being unlocked. Now a chamber or other room within a house locked is an inclosure of better respect than a chest. But if the goods be not removed within convenient time, the heir may distrain them as damage feasant. Went. 92.

T. 5 Ja. Stodden and Harvey. Trespass: Upon demurrer, the case was; Lessee for life of a house and pasture land dies; his executors suffer his cattle to go there for six days after his death, and then removed them; and

in

in trespass, justify for that time: averring, that in that time of fix days they could not procure any other land or place to put in the cattle. Whereupon it was demurred. And whether that were a convenient time to remove them was the question. And the court seemed to incline, that fix days is but a convenient time for the removing of their cattle; and the law allows a convenient time for their removing, especially it being averred, that they had not any other place to remove them unto. But for a fault in the plea, wherein he pleaded a lease of the house, but not of the land in the declaration mentioned, it was adjudged for the plaintiff. Cro. Fa. 204.

In like manner, the executor before probate may be fued for the debts of the testator; unless he refused the executorship in due manner so as administration may be granted, and so there be somebody suable for the

testator's debts. Wentw. 36.

So a bill for discovery of effects may be brought before probate: As in the case of Dulwich college and Johnson, E. 1688. A bill for a discovery of the personal estate was brought before the will was proved, the will being controverted in the spiritual court. And this was pleaded to the bill; but over-ruled: a discovery being for the benefit of all persons interested, and necessary for the preservation thereof. And such discoveries have often been ordered, pendente lite in the spiritual court. 2 Vern.

And in the case of an administrator, a court of equity will allow of a bill brought by an administrator before administration is actually taken out: As in the case of Fell and Lutwidge, Feb. 3. 1740. The widow brought a bill for recovery of the effects of her late husband, and did not take out administration till after the bill brought. It was objected, that the bill was brought too early. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: It is very true, that this would have been an exception in an action at law; but it is not so to a bill brought in this court. And the exception was over-ruled. Barnard. Cha. Ca. 320.

Ordinary to cite the executor to prove the will.

15. By the 21 H. 8. c. 5. The ordinary, or other person having authority for probate of testaments, may convent before them persons named executors of any testament, to the intent to prove or refuse the testament, as they might do heretofore.

And by the 1 Ed. 6. c. 2. All fummons and citations or other process ecclesiastical, in all causes of probates of testaments, and commissions of administrations of persons deceased, shall be made in the name and with the shile of the king, as it is in avrite

original or judicial at the common law; and the teste maf shall be in the name of the archbishop or bishop or other. ruing ecclefiastical jurisdiction; and the commissary, official, bhstitute exercising jurisdiction under him, shall put his in the citation or process after the teste. 1. 3.

And the ordinary may sequester the goods of the dealed, until the executors have proved the testament: so ay the metropolitan, if the goods be in divers dioceses.

win. a. 477, 478.

And if the executors do not appear upon the process, e ordinary may excommunicate them. But they may ray time to advise; and the ordinary may grant in the ean time letters ad colligendum bona defuncti, Treatise of

16. On the other hand (inasmuch as the executor, tho' Mandamus to e may be fued, and pay debts, and release an action, yet compel the orannot have an action, before probate) the ordinary is ound to prove the will; and if the executor accept, and esire probate, and is refused by the ordinary, a writ will o from the temporal courts, to compel him to proceed o probate, where the will is not controverted; and that, otwithstanding an appeal to the delegates, as it was in he case of Dunkin and Mun, M. 26 C. 2. in which such writ was granted to the prerogative court. Gibs. 469.

17. The manner and form of proving testaments, is of Manner of prowo forts: the one is called the vulgar or common form; ving the will, he other is termed the folemn form, or form of law. .

Swin. 448.

The vulgar or common form is more compendious or brief than the other: for after the death of the testator, the executor presenteth the testament to the judge; and in the absence, and without citing or calling of such as have interest, produceth witnesses to prove the same; who testifying upon their oaths viva voce, that the testament exhibited is the true whole and last testament of the party deceased, the judge doth thereupon (and sometimes upon lesser proof) annex his probate and seal to the testament, whereby the same is confirmed. Swin. 448.

Where a witness hath a legacy in the will, it hath been usual at the time of proving the will, and before adminiftring the oath to him, to exhibit his release or renunciation of the legacy under his hand and feal, and to leave the same with the register. I Ought. 327.

It is not necessary to the proof of a written will, that the witnesses hear it read, so as they can depose that the testator declared before them, that the self same writing

now produced is or was his last will and testament. G. C. L. 66.

In proving a device of lands, the proper way is, the witness should not only prove the executing the will by the testator, and his own subscribing it in his present but likewise that the rest of the witnesses subscribed warmers in the testator's presence: and so one witnesses proves the full execution of the will. I. P. Will. 7-11-

E. 12 G. 2. Croft and Paulet. On a trial at being ejectment, the defendant made title under a will, the testation of which was in these words, signed, sealed, published, and declared, as and for his last will and testament, at the presence of us, A, B, and C. The will was in 172 and the witnesses were all dead, and their hands proved not an execution according to the statute; and the hand of the witnesses could only stand as to the sacts they have subjected to, and signing in the presence of the testame was not one. But the court, on the authority of a cain the common pleas, said it was evidence to be less to jury of a compliance with all circumstances. And a verdict was given for the will. Str. 1109.

Generally, by the civil law, the testimony of two winnesses is required; and if in the probate of a will the testimony of one witness is disallowed in the ecclesiastic court, a prohibition lieth not: for that court having insidiction of the matter, hath it also as to the manner of

proof and proceedings. 2 Roll's Abr. 300.

But Dr Godolphin fays, where there is no controver or dispute touching the will, there the single oath of the executor alone is sufficient for the probate thereof in common form. God. O. L. 65.

And this, it is said, is the practice throughout the province of Canterbury. But within the province of Yor, it hath been usual (tho' now discontinued in some of the

dioceses) to swear also one witness to the will.

When the testament is to be proved in form of law, is requisite that such persons as have interest, that is to say, the widow and next of kin to the deceased, to whom the administration of his goods ought to be committed the had died intestate, are to be cited to be present at the probation and approbation of the testament; in whale presence the will is to be exhibited to the judge, and patition to be made by the party which preserves the will and enacted for the receiving swearing and examining of the witnesses upon the same, and for the publishing the witnesses upon the same, and for the publishing the witnesses upon the same, and for the publishing the witnesses upon the same, and for the publishing the witnesses upon the same, and for the publishing the witnesses upon the same and the publishing the witnesses upon the same are the published to the publishing the witnesses upon the same are the published to the publishing the witnesses upon the same are the published to the publis

orn accordingly, and are examined every one of them netly and feverally; not only upon the allegation or nicles made by the party producing them, but also upon terrogations ministred by the adverse party, and their positions committed to writing: afterwards the same published; and in case the proof be sufficient, the adge doth by his sentence or decree pronounce for the

alidity of the testament. Swin. 448, 449.

Which difference of form in proving the will, worketh his diversity of effect; namely, that the executor of the ill proved in the absence of them which have interest, have be compelled to prove the same again in due form of two; and if the witnesses be dead in the mean time, it have endanger the whole testament, especially if ten years to not past since the probation, whereby necessary solemnities are presumed to have been observed: whereas the estament being proved in form of law, the executor is not to be compelled to prove the same any more; and tho' all the witnesses afterwards be dead, the testament loth still retain its full force. Swin. 449.

But probably this word ten in figures may have been mistaken for thirty; for Dr Godolphin says, The will being proved only in common form, it may be questioned at any time within thirty years next after, by common opinion, before it work prescription. God. O. L. 62.

And this proving of the will in solemn form is for the most part at the instance of some person who desireth to invalidate the same: In which case, his proctor, at the time of exhibiting the will, ought to accept the contents thereof so far forth as it maketh for the benefit of his client; otherwise if any legacy is given to him in the will, he shall lose it for his general impugning of the will.

Ought. 21.

And in fuch case, where an executor hath been called to prove the will by witnesses, and hath fully proved it; if the party who caused him to do this, shall not, after publishing the attestation, except against the will or the witnesses, nor propose any matter to hinder the passing of sentence for the validity of the will, the judge doth not usually condemn him in costs: But otherwise it is, if he shall propose such matter and fail in the proof; for then he will be condemned in costs, at least from the time of such proposal. 1 Ought. 20.

But where the parties interested do not call the executor to prove the will in solemn form, yet the executor him-

elf

lelf may cause the will to be proved in this manner: where an executor hath the greatest part of the goods the deceased bequeathed unto himself, and he doubt after the witnesses shall be dead, that the wife or child or other kindred of the deceased will contest the value of the will, he may cite them in special, and all of pretending interest in general, (and so is the usual pretice,) to see the will proved by the witnesses; which hing done, the will shall not be set aside afterwards (privided there hath been no irregularity in the process) where witnesses are dead. I Ought. 20.

Where the executor is infirm, or lives at a great d tance, it is usual to grant a commission to some graclergyman in the neighbourhood, to administer the oath and perform the other requisites for granting probate the will. So also in the granting of administrations.

Outght. 322.

Besides these forms of proving testaments above recitor which are referred to that kind of probation which is ca led the publication of the testament; there is yet another form, which is called the opening of the testament, which form doth respect written or closed testaments, in the making whereof the civil law did require that the wit nesses should put to their seals; and after the death of the testator, at the opening of the written or closed testament the same law did also require that the same witnesses shoul be called by the magistrate to acknowledge their sea or to deny the fealing. But as we do not observe tha solemnity of the civil law in the sealing of the testament by the witnesses, no more do we observe that solemnit which the civil law requireth in opening of testament fealed; unless this may feem to have some resemblance with this third form about the opening of the testament which is enacted by the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. which faith, that the bishop, ordinary, or other person having auth rity to take probate of testaments, shall upon the delivery of the sea and sign of the testator, cause the same seal to be defaced, and thereupon incontinent redeliver the same seal unto the execut or executors, without claim or challenge thereunto to be made. f. 5. Swin. 450.

Dr Swinburne says, if a testament be made in writing, and afterwards be lost by some casualty; yet if there be two witnesses [that is, in the case of goods and chattels] which did see and read the testament written, and do remember the contents thereof, these two witnesses so de-

fing of the tenor of the will, are fufficient for the proof ereof in form of law; fo that they be otherwise as well respect of their skill, as of their integrity, greater than l exception, and specially some other likelihoods conirring therewithal to make their testimony more credible. win. 450.

If an executor proves a will of a personal estate, whereone of the legacies is forged, the executor in such case ath no remedy in equity; but ought to have proved the ill, with special reservation to that legacy. Plume and eale. I Peere W. 388. 2 Vern. 8. 17. In which case, ne forgeries are to be decreed against in the ecclesiastial court, and the will engroffed without them, and fo nnexed to the probate.

18. By a constitution of archbishop Stratford: After the Executor's oath estament shall be proved according to custom before the ordinary; to render a just he execution or administration of any goods shall not be comsitted, but to such as shall faithfully promise, to render a just ccount of their administration, when they shall be thereunto

July required by the ordinary. Lind. 177.

Shall faithfully promise And that by oath, saith Lindvood; which may be before the administration.

And Swinburne says, in what manner soever the testament be proved, the executor before he be admitted by the ordinary to execute, and before he have the will under the feal of the ordinary, is to promife by virtue of his oath, to make a true account when he shall be thereunto

lawfully called by the ordinary. Swin. 451.

By the ancient canon law, a proctor having a special proxy, might make oath instead of the executor or administrator, and swear upon the soul of his client; but now by Canon 132, it is ordained, that for a fmuch as in the probate of testaments and suits for administration of the goods of persons dying intestate, the oath usually taken by proctors of courts in animam constituentis is found to be inconvenient; therefore from henceforth every executor or suitor for administration shall personally repair to the judge in that behalf or his surrogate, and in his own person (and not by proctor) take the oath accustomed in these cases. But if by reason of sicknefs or age or any other just let or impediment, he be not able to make his personal appearance before the judge; it shall be lawful for the judge (there being faith first made by a credible person, of the truth of his said hindrance or impediment) to grant a commission to some grave ecclesiastical person, abiding near the party aforesaid, whereby he shall give power and

authority

authority to the faid exclepational person, in his flead, to mifter the accustomed eath abovementianed to the excusor futer for such administration, requiring his faid for the state by a faithful and trusty masses or he certify the fold in the trusty and faithfully what he had have therein.

Which oath, to be administed to the executor, is ally in this form: "You shall swear, that you belt is this to be the true and last will and testament or deceased; that you will pay all the debts and legaces of the deceased, as far as the goods will extend, the law shall bind you; and that you will exhibit true, full, and perfect inventory, of all and every goods, rights, and credits of the deceased, toget with a just and true account, into the registry of court of — when you shall be lawfully called.

" thereunto. So help you God."

Bond to the like 19. By the same constitution of archbishop Stratfore after the testament shall be proved according to custom before ordinary, the execution or administration of any goods shall be committed but to such as are able, and if need be fusion, when they shall be thereunto duly required by the ordinary.

Lind, 177.

And Lindwood hereupon observeth, that it seemen hereby that the ordinary may remove the executor appointed by the testator, from the administration, escally where there is just cause, as where he cannot gue

fecurity for a due account. Lind. 177.

of the last

And Swinburne fays, The executor (if it be behoveful) shall enter into bond, to make a true account when shall be thereunto lawfully called by the ordinary. Sur

But in the case of the King and Sir Richard Raines, to IV. A mandamus was directed to Sir Richard Raines to command him to grant probate of the will of Edu. Pinfold to one Richard Watts, who was made execute of it. Sir Richard Raines makes return to it, and admits, that Edith Pinfold made her will, and Watts executor of it; but says further, that it clearly and in cially was proved and appeareth to him, that Watts worth nothing, but absconds for debt; and therefore the it is lawful to him to defer the granting of the probational Watts find sufficient security to perform the intense of the will. And it was argued by Sir Bartholomes Shower, Mr Montague, and Dr Waller the king's advecte general (a civilian), that this return was good, and

of a peremptory mandamus ought not to be granted. In Dr Waller said, that in fact the case was thus: With Pinfold made her will, and Richard Watts her neher executor, and devised to him 100 l for a legacy, ome cattle; she devised also to Baines her brother and the residue of her personal estate to the son of lines; the will was brought by Baines to the prerogacourt to be proved; and it was opposed by one Huntbut the cause was not promoted at all by Watts; tence passed in the prerogative court, for Baines; upon ich Huntley appealed to the delegates, and the sence there was confirmed; whereupon the will was rened into the prerogative court, and then Watts claimed bute; but upon examination it appeared to the judge, t he was an infolvent and necessitous man, and had reved his legacy, and therefore the judge required caun; upon which Watts obtained this mandamus, and to the judge made this return, which (by Dr Waller) is d: For if there is any default in the judge in the adnistration of his office, it is a proper subject for an ap-I; for this will, being of chattels, is altogether of Isliastical cognizance; and therefore as the spiritual Ige shall determine concerning the validity of the will, be ought to make a judgment, whether he ought to ant probate of it or administration, or if the executorbe conditional, as it may be, whether the condition performed, or the like; in all which cases, if he makes alse judgment, the proper remedy is by appeal, and not come in this manner for remedy to the king's bench. argued further, that the judge hath done nothing in is case but what he ought to do; for in such cases he ay properly require caution. In the time of the heathen sperors, the testaments were reposed in the colleges of ntifices; and from the time that the emperors became wistian, the bishops were intrusted with them. Now e civil law was, that security should not be demanded de ar d, which at that time included what we now call exutor, unless he was insolvent; and then it was lawful domand caution or fecurity. But after this, the canon w followed: and then they made use of the word exeutor, which was before included in the word heir: and them there are three forts; first, legitimus, to wit, the rdinary; secondly, datus, namely, he whom the ordi-Ty appoints, and he always gave security; thirdly, tefmentarius, who came instead of the heir, which is he from we call executor by way of pre-eminence. And VOL. IV.

then, as the heir before, if he was infolvent, always gan caution; so for the same reason, an insolvent executor of ways gives caution. To fay the truth, there is a differ ence made, when the testator knew at the time of the making his will, that the person whom he constituted w ecutor was then insolvent, and when the executor is come insolvent by matter ex post facto; but at what in Watts became infolvent, doth not appear in this call and therefore to justify the acting of a judge, the comwill intend, if it be material, that he became infolver fince the death of the testatrix, rather than at the time the will made. In Lind. 167, it is faid that no religion person shall be executor, unless his superior takes care give caution for the due execution of the will, and for the tofs that may happen by his administration; and Liwood gives the reason of it, because it appears that some a person is insolvent; which proves that insolvent person ought to give caution. So Lind. 177. before the extor be admitted by the ordinary to execute the will, ought to take an oath (which is the constant practice, a yet no mention is found of fuch oath, before that when these constitutions in Lindwood make of it; and yet fore the late statute, if quakers refused to take such out no probate of any will used to be granted to them)---if need be, says Lindwood, he shall give sufficient cauting To the same purpose Swinburne says, that the execuif it be behoveful, shall enter into bond. To which Bartholomew Shower added, that if an executor is no compos, the ordinary is not bound to grant probate him; because he hath an apparent disability to execu the will; which strongly resembles this present cal Also, he said, that if the executor refuses to take oath, this amounts to a refusal of the office, and the c dinary may grant administration with the will annex Why then shall not the refusal to give security, amount to a refusal of the office of executor; fince there is positive law, that in such case the ordinary shall admither an oath, more than in this case that he shall demicaution? Further he faid, that altho' mandamus's granted oftentimes to compel the granting of administ tion, because they are founded upon the act of parliame which appoints the granting of administrations; yet o - eannot find any precedents of mandamus, to compel t findges of the civil law to execute their law, which feel to be the present case. Against this it was argued Mr. Norther and Mr Eyre, that the prerogative co

angot in such case require caution, for the same reasons the court afterwards gave for the ground of their ment, and therefore unnecessary to be repeated. and by Holt chief justice; Wills and testaments are of relefiastical cognizance, not by force of the civil or canon ws (for they bind no farther here, than as they have een received here) but by the law of the land. Then if ne ecclefiastical courts proceed to inlarge the power of he judge, contrary to that which the common law albws, the king's bench will prevent all forts of incroachpents. As if an executor be fued in the ecclefiastical ourts to make distribution, he not being residuary legace; tho' that were allowed by the canon law, yet the ing's bench would grant a prohibition to stay any such it; for all fuits for distribution were prohibited by the ing's bench, until the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. hade them lawful. Dr Waller has not quoted any canon w, that the ordinary in such case ought to take caution; nd the common law will not permit him to exact fecurity, or the infolvency of the executor. For suppose in this ase (as the fact is) the executor will not give security, nd yet will not renounce the executorship; the ordinary cannot compel him to give fecurity. What must be lone? Tho' a refusal of the oath amounts to a refusal of he office of executor (because the oath is allowed by the rommon law, for it is proper to take a promissory oath, hat he will execute the office justly, which he is going to execute) yet the refusal to give security will not amount to refulal of the office of executor; because it is against common right, to require collateral fecurity. Then the estament will continue in force; the ordinary cannot grant administration with the will annexed; and so there will be a failure of justice, no body being capable to sue the testator's creditors. One half of what one finds in Lindwood is not the law of the land. And as to the case of religious persons, objected out of Lindwood, he said, that if a monk be made an executor, he cannot accept the office without leave of his superior; and then if the superior gives him leave to be executor, without giving other collateral fecurity, the superior by his leave given is become security; and if the monk commits a devastavit, the fuit shall be against the abbot and the monks, and the execution will be of the goods of the house.-And Turton justice agreed with Holt chief justice in every thing. -But Rokeby justice seemed to be of opinion, that the grievance in the present case would be properly remedied N 2

by appeal. And he faid, that in the province of You fecurity was always given upon the granting of the probate of a will, without any dispute made about it. Upon which a day was given to Dr Waller, to certify the king bench, by producing precedents, whether the practice had been in the prerogative court to take caution in such cale At which day no precedent of it being shewn, nor father faction thereof given to the court, Holt chief justice with the concurrence of the other judges, pronounced the opinion of the court, that a peremptory mandamul ought to be granted in this case; because the ecclesiastic court cannot require caution in this case: 1. For when man is made executor, no body can add qualifications to him, other than those which the testator has imposed but he shall be who, and in what manner, the testame shall judge proper. 2. The executor has a temporal right of which he is barred by the refusal of the probate, in asmuch as he cannot before probate sue in Westminst hall. 3. There are no precedents in the canon law in warrant this; and the practice has been always contrain And if any cases happen, in which equity may be requi fite, there is another chanel here, where it runs, without reforting to the spiritual court, namely, the chance And a peremptory mandamus was granted.—And the reporter fays, Mr Robert Eyre told him, that the low chancellor Somers well approved of this resolution. Raym. 361.

But after all, this adjudication proceeds upon a supposition, that there is no canon law which requireth succaution, and Lindwood's authority alone was not judge sufficient in this case. But the aforesaid constitution of archbishop Stratford is undoubtedly a part of the canon law, which requires that they shall give sufficient security, meed be. In the province of York, bond is and hath be always required and given. And in the other province so far as the aforesaid constitution is in force, they may require it if they shall see cause, as in this case it seemeth that there was very great cause. That no instance could be readily produced, where this had been practised might be owing partly to the want of reports of cases adjudged in the ecclesiastical courts; or (for any thing which appears from this report) perhaps no surther inquiry was

made.

And in the case of Folkes and Docminique, T. 13 G. 2. where the executor was under the age of 17 years, the court allowed a bond given by the administrator with the

WH

ill annexed, during the minority of the executor, to good at common law, and not obtained by coercion.

20. All this being done, the bishop's officers are to Probate making the will original, and certify the copy thereof in out. rehment under the bishop's seal of office; which parehent so sealed the will proved. Bacon's Use of the

160.

21. By the 2 & 3 An. c. 4. In order to render it Registry of wills ore easy for the clothiers and others to borrow money in particular places, it is en-

oon land fecurity for the promotion of trade, it is en-places, ted, that a memorial of all wills and devifes in writing, hereby any honours manors lands tenements and herediments, within the West Riding of the county of York, ay be any way affected in law or equity; may at the ection of the party or parties concerned, be registred in ch manner as by the faid act is directed: and every dele by will of the honours manors lands tenements or ereditaments, or any part thereof, contained in any meorial fo registred as aforesaid, that shall be made and blished after the registring of such memorial, shall be fjudged fraudulent and void against any subsequent purnaser or mortgagee for valuable consideration; unless a temorial of fuch will be registred as aforesaid. But this ot to extend to copyhold estates, nor to leases at rack ent, nor to any leafe not exceeding twenty one years here possession goeth along with it.

By the 5 An. c. 18. there are some further regulations

oncerning the fame.

The statute of the 6 An. c. 35. containeth regulations the like purpose for the East Riding of the said county f York: Which same regulations are also extended to the Kest Riding, in aid of the two former acts.

By the 7 An. c. 20. The like for the county of Mid-

lı sex.

By the 8 G. 2. c. 6. The like for the North Riding of the faid county of York.

And by the 25 G. 2. c. 4. Further regulations with

spect to the county of Middlesex.

22. The probate of a will, or a copy of the will out probate of a will of the register of the spiritual court, are not to be allowed of lands, not sevidence in the case of lands. Dike and Polhil. L. Raym. swidence.

H. 7 G. 2. Morfe against Roach and others. In the hancery: Before the year 1718, the method was to delicit out a will of land to be proved at trials, or on commissions.

nuitions, upon fecurity. Since that, the registers have refused to deliver out the will, but infist upon being performed to deliver out the will, but infist upon being performed to tance, their demands did run very high. In this enterporter was made (upon producing three precedents) the should be delivered out on fecurity; it being a bill broughly creditors and legatees, who were not likely to support. Str. 961.

Nov. 23. 1738. Frederick and Amscembe. A will we executed at Bullogne; and proved here in common form and deposited in the prerogative court of Canterbury. of the witnesses resided at Bullogne. On a bill bround to perpetuate the testimony to the said will, it was more that the register of the prerogative court, or the recon keeper, might be ordered to deliver out to the defendant the original will, on his giving a reasonable security return the same, after the examination of the with Bullogne. And it was directed by the lord chance Hardwicke, that the defendant should be at liberty to run out a commission to examine his witness at Bullogne; it appearing that the defendant was the only devisee could claim any real estate under the will, he ordered to will to be delivered out by the proper officer to a person be named by the defendant, on his giving security to be proved of by the prerogative court, to return the will three months. He said, if the defendant had not be the fole devicee of the real estate, but there had be other persons under the will interested in it, and they has refused their consent, he would not have made this der; because the taking a will out of the kingdom is 4 ferent from any former cases, they having gone no further than ordering them to different parts of England. Asigns. 627.

Probate of a wall of goods and chattels, how far o'sdence.

and chattels) doth authenticate the will, and is not tocontradicted; because, as there is no way in the temperal courts to prove the will relating to chattels, it must on in the spiritual court, and the determination must the be final. For the temperal court cannot make a judgmconcerning the will, contrary to what was made in ecclesistical court; and therefore if a probate is shoulder the seas of the ordinary, they cannot give in edence that the will was forged, or that another person crecuter; but they may give in evidence, that the swas forged, or that there were bone notabilia, because is not in contradiction to the real scal of the courts,

inhoits the feal, and avoids it. And fince the eccleficourt hath now the probate of wills fettled by the temporal court cannot prohibit them in their quiries whether the testator was compos mentis or not. whether the will be revoked or not, because that is ne-Mary for authenticating the will. Str. 671, 672, 672 And by Holt chief justice; the judge of the spiritual ourt is the only proper judge to determine the validity wills for things personal, and therefore the probate is ndeniable evidence to a jury : and he faid he remembred rafe in the time of lord chief justice Kelyng, where an ecutor brought an action, and at the trial produced the obate, and the defendant's council offered to prove; at the supposed testator died intestate; but Kelyng chiefstice told them, that the probate was evidence unconovertible; and with him concurred the other judges, d so it hath been always held fince. L. Raym. 262. But yet it is held, that if the probate of wills and anting administrations be traversed or denied in the ng's courts, and issue joined, that the ordinary did not mmit administration to such a one, or that the testaent is not proved before the ordinary, or that he whose ill is proved before the ordinary died intestate, or that he whose goods administration is granted as of one inteste made a will; in these and the like cases it is held. nat certificate shall not be made by the ordinary, but that shall be tried by jury: and the reason given for it is,. nat probate of wills and granting administrations originly did not belong to the ecclesiastical cognizance, but vere given to them of later times; and that therefore noning but the probate and granting administration, which rere given to them, doth appertain to their jurisdiction; ut the trial thereof is not given to them, but is left to

But before this time (in the 31 El.) in case of refusal r no refusal, how it should be tried; this distinction was aid down; where the issue is, whether the executor did efuse before such a day, or after, there the trial shall be y jury; contrary, where the issue is upon refusal geneally, because the refusal is before the ordinary as a judge. And the case then before the court being this, "That the bishop certified that he did not refuse, whereas in " truth he had refused before the commissary;" the court held, that they could not write to the commissary, fince the bishop and not he was the officer unto the court to that purpose, and that the party could not aver against the N 4

certifi-

he trial of the common law. Gibs. 468. 9 Co. 40.

certificate of the bishop any more than against the return

of the sheriffs. Gibs. 468.

M. 8 G. The King against Vincent and others. Indistment for forging a will relating to a personal element and on the trial a forgery was proved: but the defendant producing a probate, that was held to be conclusive and

dence in support of the will. Str. 481.

T. 12 G. The King and Rhodes. The defendant ehibited a will in doctors commons as executor, and manded probate. After a long contest there, it was the termined in favour of the will; and upon appeal to the delegates, the fentence was confirmed. Afterwards, the parties who had been concerned in cooking up the will fell out amongst themselves about the division of the tate; and thereupon it came out, that the will was forged and upon full affidavits of the forgery, a commission review (which it was agreed was the only method to bring the matter over again) was granted by the lords justice. and an indictment was also found for the forgery, and flood ready for trial in the king's bench. Upon motion for a habeas corpus ad testificandum, Raymond chief justine declared, that he would not try the cause. For there being yet a fentence subsisting in favour of the will, and the validity of that being now put under a proper examination; he did not think it fitting to determine the property by an indictment, which would come on more properly after the sentence was reversed. Str. 703.

In the case of St Leger and Adams; Holt chief justing said, Without doubt the register's book in the spiritual court is good evidence to prove that there was a will,

tho' it be loft. L. Raym. 731.

And in the case of Shepherd and Shorthose, H. 7 Where the probate is lost, an exemplification of it from the act of the spiritual court hath been allowed as en-

dence of the will being proved. Str. 412.

H. S W. Hee and Neithrepe. It was held by Holt charjustice, that the copy of the probate of a will is good evidence, where the will itself is of chattels, for there the probate is an original taken by authority, and of publick nature; otherwise, where the will is of things in the realty, because in such case the ecclesiastical combave no authority to take probates, therefore such probate is but a copy, and a copy of it is no more than the copy of a copy. 3-Salk. 154.

For the copy of an original is evidence, wherever the original is evidence, if proved a true copy; but the copy

the probate of a will of lands is no evidence, because e probate in such case is not an original taken by authoand therefore is only a copy of a copy. Comb. 337. By the statute of the 4 An. c. 16. institled, An act for e amendment of the law, and the better advancement of tice, No advantage or exception shall be taken of or for the fault of alledging the bringing into court letters testamentary; at the court shall give judgment according to the very right of e cause, without regarding any such imperfections omissions and reels, or any other matter of like nature, except the same shall specially and particularly set down and shewn for cause of deurrer.

24. By the 31 Ed. 3. ft. 1. c. 4. Whereas the ministers Fee for probate. bishops and other ordinaries of holy church, take of the peogrievous and outrageous fine, for the probate of testaments nd for the making of acquittances thereof; the king hath barged the archbishop of Canterbury and the other bishops, hat they cause the same to be amended; and if they do not, it s accorded, that the king shall cause to be inquired by his justices of such oppressions and extersions, to hear them and deterrine them, as well at the king's fuit, as at the fuit of the party,

rs in old time hath been used.

By a constitution of archbishop Mepham; For the instnation of the testament of a poor perjon, the inventory of, chofe goods shall not exceed 100 s, nothing shall be demanded.

And by a constitution of archbishop Stratford: We orlain, that for the probation, or approbation, or infinuation of my testaments whatsoever, nothing at all shall be taken by the sishops or other ordinaries: but we permit 6 d and no more to be taken by clerks writing fuch insinuations, for their labour. But if the inventory of the goods of any person deceased do exceed the sum of 30 s in the computation, and do not extend to 100 s; the bishops, or ordinaries, or persons deputed by them and auditing the accounts, or other ministers affifting them in the auditing of such accounts, shall not take for the account, and doing all things concerning the same, and letters of acquittance, or other letters what soever, above 12d. And if the inventories contain the sum of 100s or more, and less than 201; they shall not take above 3s. But if they contain the sum of 201 or more, and less than 601; they shall not take above 5s. If they contain the fum of 60 l or upwards, and lefs than 1001; they shall have 10 s and no more. If they contain the sum of 100 lor more, and less than 1501; they shall not take above 20 s. And so for every 50 ! further, they shall take, besides the faid fum of 20s, the fum of 10s and no more. But we permis the clerks, for every letter of asquittance which they Thall

write in this behalf, to take 6 d above the premisses for their labour. And if any person in any the cases aforesaid shall take above the sum before ordained, in money or other things; he shall pay double within a month to the fabrick of the cathedral church. And hishops neglecting to pay the same within the time limited, shall be prohibited ab ingressive ecclesse; and the other inferiors neglecting the same as aforesaid, shall be suspended ab officio et beneficio, until they shall pay the same.

Probation] That is, taking the proofs. Lind. 181.

Approbation] That is, the decree for the validity of the testament. Lind. 181.

Instruction.] That is, publication thereof amongst the acts of the judge. Lind. 181.

Do exceed the sum of 30 s in the computation] Lindwood feems to refent this constitution, as arbitrary and unreasonable; and observes, that the officers of the court were left at liberty to demand what they would, when the inventory was under 30s. Lind. 181.

-For their labour] But if it shall happen that witnesses are to be examined, and their depositions to be taken in writing; it seemeth that for this they shall be rewarded besides, according to the quantity of their labour. And the same is to be understood, if the testament be long in writing; and it be to be registered, that then the register shall receive a reasonable satisfaction. Lind. 181.

By the 21 H. 8. c. 5. it is enacted, that Nothing shall be demanded received nor taken, by any bishop ordinary archdeacon chancellor commissary official or any other person or persons who shall have power to take or receive probation insimuation or approbation of testament or testaments, by himself or themselves, nor by his or their registers scribes praisers summoners apparators or by any other of their ministers, for the probation insinuation and approbation of any testament or testaments, or for writing, fealing, praising, registring, fines, making of inventories, and giving of acquittances, or for any other cause concerning the same, where the goods of the testator or person so dying do not amount clearly over and above the value of 100 s, except only to the scribe to have for writing of the probate 6 d, and for the commission of administration of the goods of any man dying intestate not being above the like value of 100 s clear, 6d; and that nevertheless the bishop ordinary or other person having power to take the probation or approbation of testaments refuse not to approve any such testament, being lawfully tendered or offered to them to be proved or approved, fo that Tuch

16 restament be exhibited to him or them in writing, with wax munto affixed ready to be fealed, and that the same testament lawfully proved before the same ordinary (before the fealing) be true whole and the last testament of the same testator, in b form as hath been commonly accustomed in that behalf. f. 2. And when the goods of the testator do amount over and above clear value of 100 s, and do not exceed the sum of 40 l; at then they shall not for the probation infinuation and approtion of any testament or testaments, or for the registring, sealg, writing, praising, making of inventories, giving of acittances, fines, or any other thing concerning the same, take cause to be taken of any person but only 3 s 6 d, and not? ove; whereof to be to the bishop or ordinary or other person ving power to take the probation or approbation of such testaent, for him and his ministers 2 s 6 d, and not above; and 2 d residue of the said 3 s 6 d, to be to the scribe for regising the same. And where the goods of the testator, or person dying, do amount above the clear value of 401; that then ey shall not take for the probation insinuation and approbation any testament or testaments, or for the registring, sealing, vriting, praifing, making of inventories, fines, giving of acsittances, or any thing concerning the same probate of a testaent, but only 5s, and not above; whereof to be to the said Thep ordinary or other person having power to take the proation of such testament, for him and his ministers 25 6 d, and ot above; and 2 s 6 d residue of the said 5 s, to be to the scribe r registring the same; or else the same scribe to be at his lierty to refuse the 2s 6d, and to have for writing of every en lines of the same testament, whereof every line to contain en inches, one penny. f. 3.

And that every such bishop or ordinary, or other person having nower to take probation of testaments as aforesaid, their registers scribes and ministers, shall approve infinuate seal and register from time to time the said testament, and deliver the same sealed with the seal of their office, to the executor or executors named in any such testaments, for the said sum or sums above said, and in manner and form as is above rehearsed, with con-

venient speed, without any frustratory delay. S. 3.

And if any person shall require a copy of the said testament so proved, or of the said inventories so made; that then the said ordinary or other persons having authority to take probate of testaments or their ministers, shall from time to time with convenient speed, without any frustratory delay, deliver or cause to be delivered a true copy or copies of the same; to the said persons so demanding the same; taking for the search and for the making of the copy of either of the said testament or inventory, but

only such see as is before rehearsed for the registring of the san testament; or else the scribe or register to be at his election and liberty, to have for every ten lines thereof, being of the proper-

tion before rehearfed, one penny. f. 5.

Provided, that where any person or persons having power take probate of testaments, have used to take less sums of money than is above said, for the probation of testaments, or commissions of administrations, or other cause concerning the same they shall take and receive the same as before this act they have used to take, and not above. 1.6.

And every bishop ordinary archdeacon chancellor commissar official and other person having authority to take probate of testaments, their registers seribes praisers summoners apparate and all other their ministers whatsoever they be, that shall do or attempt or cause to be done or attempted against this act in a thing, shall forfeit for every time so offending to the party gristed in that behalf so much money as any such person aboves shall take contrary to this present act; and over that shall forfeit 101, subereof one moiety shall be to the king, and the other moiety to the party grieved that shall sue for the same in any of the king's courts: but that every of them, which shall incur or fall into the danger of such penalty or forfeiture, shall be charge only for himself, and none of them to be chargeable to that penalty for other's offence. 1.7.

And it maketh no difference, whether the probate be written upon the testament it self, or upon a transcript ingrossied; and in this latter case, if a greater see be taken by the judge on account of ingrossing, this is within the prohibition of the statute, as was adjudged in the case of Rouse and Real, where the see taken did amount to 4s rod; and it was said, that if the executor requireth any to ingross the testament, he may agree with him, whom he requireth to do it, as he can; but the judge ought not to exact any see on that account due to him. 4 Inst. 336. Gibs. 485.

Upon the whole, Dr Gibson observes, that it is agreed on all hands, that the sees given by this act are become much too small, by the great alteration of the value of money, and the prices of things; and therefore now the rule is, the known and established custom of every place, being reasonable: which, as he hath been informed (he says), hath been adjudged a good rule. Gibs. 487.

By Can. 132. it is ordained, that no judge or register, shall in any wife receive for the writing drawing or fealing of any such commission (as in the said canon before is men-

for the judge, and the other for the register of the

And by the statute of the 26 H. 8. c. 15. For asmuch divers of the king's subjects inhabited within the archdeacon-T of Richmond in the county of York, have been of long me fore and grievoully exacted and impoverished by the parsons nears and others such as have benefices and spiritual promotions within the same, as by taking of every person when he dieth, n the name of a pension or of a portion, sometime the ninth art of all his goods and sometime the third part, to their open appropriatement; it is enacted, that no manner of spiritual peron or others who shall have any manner of benefice or other spitual promotion within the faid archdeaconry, shall in no wife ik levy demand or take after the decease of any person, any uch portions or pensions, nor any other demand or duty in the ame or lieu of the same, on pain of a præmunire; but that Il the king's subjects of the said archdeaconry, and their execuors and administrators shall be ordered intreated and used for heir goods and chattels after their decease, in like manner as is ntained in the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. for probate of estaments, and none otherwise: any use, custom, bull, compotion, prescription, or ordinance heretofore obtained or used to

the contrary notwithstanding.

And by the 31 G. 2. c. 10. No ecclefiastical court, or any person whatsoever, under any pretence, shall take more than is, for the seal, parchment, writing and suing forth of the probate of any will, or any letters of administration, granted to the widow or children, father or mother, brother or fifter, of any inferior officer, seaman, or marine, dying in the pay of his majesty's navy, and for the pains trouble and expence attending the suing forth of such probate or letters of administration, unless the goods do amount to the value of 201; nor more than 2 s, unless the goods do amount to the value of 40 l; nor more than 3 s, unless the goods do amount to the value of 601: And in all cases where it shall be necessary to issue commissions, to fivear the widows or children, father or mother, brother or sifter, being executors or administrators of such inferior officers, feamen, or marines; no ecclefiastical court, or any person whatfever, under any pretence, shall take more than is, for the fal, parchment, writing and fuing forth of any such commisfin, and for the pains trouble and expence attending the same, unless the goods do amount to 201; nor more than 2s, unless the goods do amount to 40 l; nor more than 3 s, unless the goods do amount to 601: On pain of forfeiting 501 to the party grieved; to be recovered, with full costs, by action of debt bill plains

plaint or information, in any of his majesty's courts of record at

Stamps.

Westminster, or elsewhere. 1.23.

25. By the several stamp acts; for every skin or piece of vellum or parchment or sheet or piece of paper on which shall be written any probate of a will, for any estate above the value of 201, shall be paid a stamp duty of 10s: except of common seamen or common soldiers stain or deal in the service.

Inventory, or any copy thereof, one shilling.

Copy of a will, two pence.

Executor dying. 26. If the executor die intestate, the testator also from that time shall be deemed intestate, and administration may be committed in this case of the goods not administred. Swin. 382. 1 Roll's Abr. 907.

But if the executor maketh an executor, and diet is his executor shall be executor to the first testator, in case

there be no executor. Swin. 329.

And if the executor of an executor assume the administration of the first testator's goods, he cannot afterward refuse the administration of the goods of the latter testator; but he may accept the latter, yet refuse the former. T. 17 7. Wolfe and Heyden. Hutt. 30.

But an executor's executor shall not be admitted to administer the goods of the first testator, where the first executor resulted to administer, or died before probate; unless the residuum bonorum, after the debts paid, be given

by the will to the first executor. Dyer 372.

to millione was noticed to the born them to

E. 4 & 5 P. & M. Two executors, one of them proved the will, the other refused before the ordinary, who thereupon granted administration to the other, who made his executor, and died; and that executor alondorought an action of debt, for a debt due to the first testator; and adjudged, that the action did lie; for the who refused might administer at any time, yet it must be in the life time of his companion; and he being dead, that election is gone. Dyer 160.

can a man he are Man a to it means a to encount of an in the second of t

made was in charles yourself the many to thought

, m

19 m es 2

II. Of the administration of intestates effects.

THIS matter concerning the administration of intestate's effects, so far as the same hath respect into peculiar jurisdictions, bona notabilia, process in the ing's name, the oath in animam constituentis, administration by commission, and the sees of administration of camens effects, hath been treated of already in the law oncerning the probate of wills.

1. As to the disposition of intestates effects, and grant- power of the or-

nd before the statute of the 13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 19. here ollowing, the ordinary had the absolute disposal of in-

testates effects. 2 Bac. Abr. 398.

But lord Coke thinks, that this was granted to him by some particular constitutions; and therefore says, that anciently the kings of England, by their proper officers were wont to take the goods of intestates into their hands.

• Co. 36.

And there are several instances, in Madox's history of the exchequer, where the king issued a mandate to his officers, to attach the goods of divers persons who died

intestate. Mad. Exch. 237.

But this feemeth to have been only in case where they were indebted to the king; who by the law was to be satisfied before the other creditors; according to the statute of magna charta, c. 18 which enacteth as follows: If any that holdeth of us lay fee do die, and our sheriff or bailist do shew our letters patents of our summons for debt, which the dead man did ove to us; it shall be lawful to our sheriff or bailist to attach and inroll all the goods and chattels of the dead, being found in the said see, to the value of the same debt, by the sight and testimony of lawful men, so that nothing thereof shall be taken away, until we be clearly paid of the debt.

But so much as remained over and above the king's debt, or if nothing was owing to the king, then the whole was in the sole power of the ordinary to dispose. And therefore if a man died intestate, neither his wise, child, or next of kin, had any right to a share of his estate, but the ordinary was to distribute it according to his conscience to pious uses; and sometimes the wise and children might be amongst the number of those whom he appointed to receive it; but however, the law trusted

him with the fole disposition. 2 Bac. Abr. 398.

The first statute that abridged the power of the pray herein, was the aforementioned statute of the 13 state. It. 1. c. 19. by which it is enacted as sollowed Where a after the death of a perfect fing in tent, and to be affected; the scalary from the of the state to be affected; the scalary from the of the state and the state as for forth as the grade of the lead scalars, in state fort as the executors of the same tent, has been dead, if he had nade a telement.

Ding interest. There be divers kinds of interests one, that maketh no will at all; another, that maketh will and executors, and they refuse, in this case he can interest, and these are within the purview of act. Therefore the ordinary is the person whom the lappointeth to have the charge or administration of agoods and chattels of the party that dieth intestate, quasi intestate. And justly did the law in this case point the ordinary; for the law presumed, that he had the care of his soul in his life time, would after death have care of his temporal goods and chattels, to them well disposed and administred. 2 Inst. 397.

Which is inceden to force other for did! This is not continued of an obligation or deed in writing, but home ever he was charged in law, as for rent upon a leafe, a upon an assumption, or the like, 2 luft. 397.

For ideal This act is not only intended of that which is properly a debt, but of all duties, comenants, or increases of action, such as might be brought against executors. 2 Inst. 397.

The goods come to the ordinary to be disposed. So that the statute doth not give this power of disposing, but supposeth it in the ordinary; the statute being as to this,

affirmance only of the common law. 5 Co. 53.

But unless some of the goods or chartels came to the bands and possession of the ordinary, he was not to be charged by the common law; but if they came to bands, and he should neither administer and pay the dibtiand duties himself, nor commit them over to the kin and friends of the intestate that would, the common law of charge him, and so doth this act which is made in affirmance of it. 2 Inst. 307.

Goods come to the ordinary) If a man die intestate, and a stranger taketh the goods; the ordinary shall not have a action of trespass for taking of them (unless he had

13 32

tren them into his possession.) But the executor or adrussificator before scizure may have an action of trespass. 2/ust. 397.

Come to the ordinary] Neither can the ordinary have any a ion of debt, covenant, or any other action which behaded to the intestate; but those to whom the ordinary committeth administration may have all these actions by that the statute of the 31 Ed. 3. (hereafter following); but force that statute, there was no remedy by law given to administrators, to recover those things in action. 2

But by the common law, an action of debt did lie uinft the administrators, but it was by the name of excutors until the said statute of the 31 Ed. 3. 2 Inft. 398.

To the ordinary If the ordinary take goods of the intrate, being out of his diocese, he shall not be charged a ordinary by this act; because he taketh them of his on wrong, and not as ordinary, in which right he is to be charged by this act. 2 Inst. 398.

Ordinary That is, not only the bishop, but every one tit is in stead of the bishop, in this matter of taking care d cognizance of the goods of intestates; as archdeacon, lancellor, commissary, official, and those who have peliar jurisdiction. Some of whom having, from time to ne, accidentally omitted their title or stile of jurisdicn in the letters of administration by them granted, have casioned various contests in the courts of common law, ncerning the validity of administrations executed in tue of such letters; as the judgments upon the validity invalidity of them have been also various. The enuration of which is not material; fince there is one fafe ort and plain rule (viz. the inferting in all fuch letters e stile of jurisdiction, as well as the name of the orvary) which being observed, is a security for ever against fuch contests. Gibs. 478.

And not only an ordinary or guardian of the spirituales or others that be in the place of the ordinary of right, e within this act; but also such as usurp the place, and e in possession by wrong, are to be charged by this act:

Int. 398.

To be disposed If it be demanded what interest the ornary hath in the goods of the person intestate, which me to his hands; it is answered, that he hath such an terest, as the administrator to whom administration is answered during the minority of an executor, to the below L. IV.

hoof and profit of the executor, and not otherwise, no in other manner. So as the ordinary may administer to the good of the intestate, but cannot give the goods of the intestate, or do any thing to his prejudice. 2 less 398.

The ordinary from henceforth shall be bound.] If goods of the intestate come to the hands of the ordinary, and he dieth, altho' the words be that the ordinary shall be bounded in a action of debt; for when this act bindeth the ordinary by consequence his executors or administrators are bounded but if the ordinary commit administration to one, and he taketh the goods into his possession and dieth, no action lieth against his executors. 2 Inst. 398.

If the ordinary take goods into his hands of the intestate, and after commit administration, and the ordinar retaineth the goods; he shall be charged, notwithstanding the committing of administration. 2 Inst. 398.

shall be bound to answer] At the common law, the order many might have had trespass for goods taken out of possession; but no action did lie against the ordinary but now by this statute, an action lieth against him; the cannot have action by this statute. I Roll's Abr. 901

2. If administration is denied by the ordinary, to a person who is intitled to it; a mandamus will go so the temporal courts to grant it; except a controversy depending, whether there is a will or not; for then Holt chief justice said) suppose the will should prove good what will the granting of administration signify?

478.

H. 3 G. 2. K. and Bettesworth. In the case of a will a mandamus was granted to Dr Bettesworth, as judge the prerogative court of Canterbury, to grant probate the earl of Londonderry's will, to the executors there named. The doctor returned, that it is the custom as practice of the prerogative court, that if any creditor the deceased enters a caveat against granting probate, a swears himself to be a creditor, there goes out a comm sion of appraisement, till the return whereof the jud hath not used nor ought to grant any probate: then sets out, that two creditors, who swore to their debentered a caveat, and prayed a commission of appraisement; which was decreed and issued, but is not yet r turnable; and for that cause he cannot as yet grant probate. Upon argument, the court held the return

Ordinary may be compelled.

if

be ill; for that the judge can only stay the probate, where there is a contest about the validity of the will. This commission of appraisment can be of no use but to spend money, and delay the executor from getting in the effects of the testator. And by the 21 H. 8. c. 5. the probate is to be granted with convenient speed, without any frustratory delay; and the ecclesiastical court shall never be suffered to set up their practice against the law of the land. And a peremptory mandamus was granted. Str. 857.

H. 4 G. 2. Smith's case. It was moved for a mandamus to Dr Bettefworth, commanding him to grant administration to Smith of the goods of his deceased son, during the minority of his grandson. Against this it was infifted, that the father hath not an equal right with the fon; and that the spiritual court hath always considered these administrators only as trustees for the infant, and have never kept to any rule in granting them, but according to the circumstances of the family: where there are feveral in equal degree, as children, they have always chosen which they pleased. And by the court, when we grant a mandamus, it is to oblige the judge to do right to the party who fues the writ; but as there is no law which fays, to whom these administrations during minority shall be granted, there is no law to be put in execution. In the case of the next of kin, he is intitled de jure, and therefore in his case we grant a mandamus of course. We will grant no mandamus in this case. Str. 892.

M. 7 G. 2. K. and Bettesworth. John Kynaston, esquire, made his will, and two persons executors, and left the refidue of his personal estate to his youngest som Edward. The executors renounced; and the refiduary legatee moved for a mandamus to be admitted to prove the will, and have administration with the will annexed. And a rule was made to shew cause. On shewing cause, it was infifted, that this case differed from lord Londonderry's, where the commission of appraisement was set up against the immediate grant of the probate, which the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. requires shall be without any frustratory delay; and the ordinary hath no election there: whereas in the present case, he is not bound to grant the administration to the residuary legatee, none of the statutes mentioning him; on the contrary, the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. which takes notice of the renunciation of executors, leaves the matter to the election of the ordinary. And of this opinion was the court; who faid,

if the commission of appraisement was a grievance, it would be proper matter of appeal, but they could not break into the practice of the court below. And lord Hardwicke mentioned a case in chancery before lord Macclessield, between Wheeler and the archbishop of Canterbury, where it was held, that these fort of administrations are not within the statute of distribution; which brings it to Smith's case, where a mandamus to grant administration during the minority of an executor, to the state of the executor, was refused; because there was no law obliging the spiritual court so to do. And the rule for a mandanus was discharged. Str. 956.

H. 4 G. 2. K. and Bettejworth. Mandamus, to grant administration to John Cullom, of Joan his wife. Return; that by articles before marriage it was agreed, that the wife should have power to make a will, and dispose of her leaschold estate; that pursuant to this power, she made a will, and her mother executrix, who hath duly proved the same. To this return it was objected, that she might have things in action not covered by the deed, and the husband was in all events intitled to an administration as to them. Which was agreed to by the court; and a peremptory mandamus was granted. Str. 801.

T. 12 G. 2. K. and Bettefworth. Mandamus to grant administration to Mr Bridgen, husband of the late lady Bellamont deceased. The dean of the arches returned, that a fuit had been commenced before him, between Mr Bridgen and a son of the deceased, who claimed to be her executor under a will made by her pursuant to a deed executed before marriage; whereby the husband agreed fhe should have power to make a will, and dispose of her estate; which deed Mr Bridgen had confessed, and thereupon sentence had been given for the validity of the difposition, but not for any executorship created thereby: and thereupon a new fuit was instituted by the daughter against the son and Mr Bridgen, for administration with the will annexed; which is still depending. And upon confideration the court declared, that no peremptory mandamus ought to go: for tho' generally the husband is intitled to the administration as next of kin; yet that is in respect of the interest he has in the estate, and because no body is in equal degree: and that is the reason. why administrations are so often granted to a residuary legatee: and tho' ftrictly speaking this is no will, but rather an appointment which is to operate in equity; yet the true question is, whether this is such an intestacy, as

is

s within the meaning of the statute. And the law, paricularly the 29 C. 2. c. 3. confiders femes covert as haring some right to dispose of their effects, which can only be by the agreement of the husband, which appears in his case; and this differs greatly from the case of Culom, where the power was only as to a leafehold effate, whereas she might have other effects. The matter is properly under the confideration of the spiritual court to whom to grant the administration, and there is no reason or us to interpose; and therefore the return must be alowed. Str. 1112.

3. The person to whom administration is granted, may Refusal of admin efuse to take it upon him if he will; for the ordinary niftration. hath not power to compel him to accept it. Swin. 384.

4. By the statute of the 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 11. In case To be granted to where a man dieth intestate; the ordinary shall depute the next next of kin. and most lawful friends of the deceased, to administer his goods.

The ordinary shall depute] Before this statute, the ordinary was not compellable to grant administration; but now by this act he is commanded, and thereby compellable to grant administration; and a refusal to do it, is a contempt to the king, and an injury to the party. 9 Ca. 40.

To the next and most lawful friends] Before this act, the ordinaries might have granted administration to whom they pleased; but hereby they are restrained, to the next and most lawful friends. 9 Co. 40.

Most lawful friends] That is, to the next of blood, who are not attainted of treason, felony, or have other lawful

disability. 9 Co. 40.

As, by the 9 & 10 W. c. 32. Persons denying the trinity, or afferting that there are more gods than one, or denying the christian religion to be true, or the holy scriptures to be of divine authority, shall for the second offence be disabled to be administrators.

And by the feveral acts for qualifying for offices, perfons executing their offices not being qualified, after the time limited for their qualification shall be expired, shall

be disabled to be administrators.

If a bastard dies intestate, without wife or issue, leaving a personal estate; in such case, the king shall be intitled. and the ordinary shall grant administration to the king's patentee. 3 Peere Will. 33.

And by the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. In case any person die intestate, or the executors named in any tostament

refuse to prove the said testament; then the ordinary or other person having authority to take probate of testaments, shall grant the administration of the goods of the testator, or person deceased, to the widow of the same person deceased, or to the next of his kin, or to both, as by the discretion of the same ordinary shall be thought good. And in case where divers persons claim the administration as next of kin, which be equal in degree of kindred to the testator or person deceased; and where any person only desireth the administration as next of kin, where indeed divers persons be in equality of kindred; in every such case, the ordinary to be at his election and liberty, to accept any one or more making request, where divers do require the administration: or where but one, or more of them, and not all being in equality of degree, do make request; then the ordinary to admit the widow, and him or them only making request, or any one of them, at his pleasure. 1. 3, 4.

To the widow of the same person deceased, or to the next of his kin] T. 9 G. It was moved for a mandamus to the official of the bishop of Gloucester, to commit administration to the widow of an intestate. But by the court: That will be to deprive the ordinary of his election, in granting it to her, or the next of kin; therefore take your mandamus generally, to grant administration of the goods of the intestate. Str. 552.

Or both] And this, either jointly or separately: for the ordinary may grant several administrations of several parts

of the goods of the intestate. 1 Roll's Abr. 908.

Thus in the case of Fawtry and Fawtry, H. 3 W. A man died intestate, leaving a wise and a brother. The ordinary had granted the administration of some particular debts to the brother, and of the residue to the wise. And a mandamus was moved for to grant administration to the wise. But by the court; the ordinary may grant administration to the brother as to part, and to the wise for the rest; in which case neither can complain; since the ordinary need not have granted any part of the administration to the party complaining. But if the intestate leave a bond of 1001, the ordinary cannot grant administration of 501 to one person, and 501 to another, because this is an intire thing. I Salk. 36.

To the hestand, 5. If a seme covert die intestate; administration of her of the wife's ef. goods of right appertaineth to her husband, as her next sells, and most lawful friend within the statute. r Roll's Abr.

در البلاغ قيد الداد عدة وجيد اللودان

910.

And this is confirmed by the statute of the 29 C. 2. c. which enacteth, that the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. 10. concerning the distribution of intestates effects, all not extend to the estates of semes covert that shall die instate, but that their husbands may demand and have adminitation of their rights credits and other personal estates, and scover and enjoy the same, as they might have done before the taking of the said act. 29 C. 2. c. 3. s. 5.

And if the husband die before administration taken by

ext of kin, shall be intitled. 1 P. Will. 381.

But if the wife was executrix to another; then, as to e goods which she had in that capacity, administration use the granted to the next of kin to the testator. 3 Salk.

6. Administration may be granted of the goods of the To the father of n or daughter, to the father or mother as next of blood. mother, of their aw of Test. 466.

7. If one dies intestate, leaving a grandmother and To the grandnoles and aunts; the grandmother is intitled to the ad-mother before unclessandaunts. Pre.

ba. 527.

8. If there be grandfather, father, and fon; and the To the fon beather dies intestate: the fon shall have the administra- fore the father.

on, and not the grandfather. 2 Vern. 125.

9. Administration must be granted to the brother of Half blood, ne half blood before the uncle; for he has the immediate lood of the father, which the uncle hath not. I Ventr.

And the half blood in this respect is esteemed as near s the whole. But if there is a brother, and a sister of he half blood, and the sister is married; then it must be granted to the brother, and not to her and her husband; because in effect it makes the husband administrator, who s not of kin to the intestate: and if she die, the husband would still continue administrator, and so might possess himself of the whole personal estate. 3 Salk. 21.

10. Generally, by the statute aforesaid, administration in general, to the shall be granted to the wife or next of kindred; and who next of kindred, these next of kindred are, will fall in more properly under

the head concerning distribution.

of kin, in case where the executor refuseth, or accepting the principal dies intestate; and that is, with respect to the residuary legatee: who being intitled to what remains after debts, and legacies paid, hath the first and best title to be ad-

ministrator

0 4

pro

ministrator of the estate; as was agreed in the case Thomas and Butler; T. 24 C. 2. For this taketh aw the presumption of the statute, that the testator was have given it to the next of kin. Gibs. 479. 1 Val. 217.

And by King lord chancellor, M. 1725. Notwin flanding the statute of Hen. 8. administrations have be granted to the principal creditor from the next of kin the opinion of both civil and common lawyers; where is visible, that the next of kin cannot have any advantor benefit of the estate. And this hath been always ken to be out of the statute. Viner. Executors, K.

But this, as it feemeth, should be understood only case where the kindred resuse to accept the administration. And the practice is usually for the ordinary first to issue citation for the next of kin in special, and all others general, to accept or resuse letters of administration, shew cause why the same should not be granted to a conditor. And in case there are several creditors; the congenerally obliges them to enter into articles and bond average. And such creditor must make an affidavit his debt, and therein set forth how much it is, and ho due.

Administration during absence out of the kingdom.

12. There are also other administrations, which a not within the statutes aforesaid: As, administration or ring absence out of the kingdom. Concerning which, the case of Clare and Hedges, E. 3 W. it was held cledly by the court, that such administration is grantable law, and that it may be a great conveniency so to defor if the next of kin be beyond sea, and such administration could not be granted, the debts due to the intestamight be lost. I Lutw. 342.

And in the case of Slater and May, M. 3 An. Ho chief justice said, that it was reasonable there should fuch an administrator, and that this kind of administration stood upon the same reason as an administration dring the minority of an executor, namely, that the should be one to manage the estate of the testator, till the person appointed by him is able. 2 L. Raym. 1071.

Pendente lite.

13. Also, administration pending a suit; or, if there to no controversy, then until the executor comes in; which as well as the last before mentioned, do fall of course, a soon as the consideration ceaseth upon which they were first granted. Gibs. 574. 2 Bac. Abr. 415. 2 P. Will. 576.

During the minority of an in-ecutor; yet the execution of the will shall not be comfant executor or
mitte

unto him, until he attain the age of seventeen; for administration granted durante minori ætate afech, when the infant executor attains to that age of

wenteen years. Swin. 331.

And Dr Swinburne fays, If it be a female infant, and auried to a man of seventeen years of age or more, it is non as if herself were of that age, and her husband shall ave the execution of the will and administration thereof.

And in Prince's case, 5 Co. 29. it is said to have been djudged, that if administration is granted during the minority of a woman, and she takes a husband of age; he administration ceaseth: for that she hath a husband

who may administer as executor.

But in the case of Jones and the earl of Strafferd, M. 30. It was determined, that where administration is ranted during the minority of an infant executrix under eventeen, and she marries an husband of full age; this doth not determine the administration. By King lord chancellor, and Raymond chief justice. 3 P. Will. 88.

But altho' an administration during the minority of an infant ex cutir ceaseth at his age of seventeen years; yet on administration during the minority of an infant aimiultrat r ceaseth not until his age of twenty one. As in the case of Freke and Thomas, E. 13 W. Debt upon bond brought by an administrator during the minority of an administrator. Upon demurrer to the declaration, exception for the defendant was taken, that it appeared upon the declaration, that he, during the minority of whom auministration was granted to the plaintiff, was above the age of feventeen, and so the administration determined; that this case doth not differ in reason, from the case of an administrator during the minority of an executor. which determines at the age of seventeen; nor from the cale where a woman executrix under the age of seventeen marries a husband above the age of seventeen: for the only thing that the law confiders, is the ability of the person to administer the estate of the dead, who ought to have the administration of it, which ought to be the same in both cases; and in Vaugh. 98. the rule of averment of the age of an administrator or executor to be under seventoen, is equally put of both; and the statute of distribution will make no difference, because an infant may find fureties, tho' he cannot be bound himself. But not allowed: For by Holt chief justice, there is a difference between administration during the minority of an executor, and of another person; for an administrator during the minority of a refiduary legatee, ought to be understood to be during his legal minority. For the authors that the administrator hath, is given to him by the attet; and an infant hath not been adjudged a legal fon, to be intrusted with the management of an about an executor, who comes in by the act of the phimself, hath been adjudged capable to administrate a venteen. But the law in the exposition of a statute not make such construction. And care is taken of administration, by the commission of administration durantis minority to his next friend. And this is the opin of the civilians, and it hath been held accordingly by a commissioners delegate. And therefore judgment

given for the plaintiff. L. Raym. 667.

And this is by construction of the statute of distrition, which requireth that the administrators shall en into bond. 1 Salk. 39. And the like was determined, in the case of Askinson and Cornist, E. 10 W. L. Rappel 338. And afterwards, in the case of Edmand and Ships T. 7 An. wherein this distinction was taken, that the age of seventeen years allowed to be the age when an are ecutar may take the executorship upon himself, is in conformity to the spiritual law, which allows an infant seventeen years to be proctor or agent for another; administration is granted by the authority of the state of the 31 Ed. 3. and therefore the person who has ministration granted to him ought to be capable by common law, by which the legal age is twenty one, consequently administration granted to another during minority, does not determine till his age of twenty years. Compus. 159.

If an action be brought by an administrator during tominority of an executor, he must aver, that the executor is within the age of seventeen years, otherwise it is error; but if an action be brought against such an admissrator, there need no such averment, because the plantiff is a stranger to the defendant's power. H. 13

Carver and Hallerigg. Hab. 251.

There were two executors, and one of them was infant; and whether he must be joined in the action with the other as plaintist, was the question: It was objects that he must not, because an infant cannot make a warrant of attorney; and if he could, he cannot instruct his Adjudged, they may both sue by their attorney, because they both represent the person of the testator, and sue

2

th right of another, and therefore the infant must be ed with the other. Foxwith and Tremain. H. 21 & .C. 2. 1 Mod. 47. 1 Sid. 449. 1 Ventr. 102.

Where administration is granted during the minority of irs executors; he that comes first of age shall prove the w, and the administration ceaseth. Law of Test. 473,

o if one maketh two executors, one of the age of seeteen, and the other under; administration during the mority of him that is under age is void: because he It is of the age of seventeen may execute the will. Enwal. 46.

And it is faid, that the ordinary may grant adminiaction during the minority of an infant to whom he pases; for the next of kin, in respect to administrations, y concerneth the infant, and not the person who is ployed for the infant until he comes of age. Fitz-Gib.

15. If a feme covert, as next of kin hath a right to Feme covert adninister, the administration ought not to be granted to ministratrix. husband and wife; for then if she should die before n, he would continue administrator, against the meang of the act. Brown and Wood. H. 23 Car. Aleyn 36. yle 74, 75.

But it was faid, that if it had been granted to them only oring the coverture, perhaps it might be good; because, granted to the wife only, the husband might, during

e coverture, have administred. Aleyn. 36.

If the wife as a residuary legatee, hath a right to take lministration, but refuseth, and prays it may be granted another, and not to her husband; yet it may be granted her husband. Vanthienen's case. Fitz-Gibb. 203.

16. If an administrator die, his executors are not ad- Administrator iniftrators, but it behoveth the ordinary to commit a dying,

ew administration. I Roll's Abr. 907.

Where administration is granted to two, and one of nem dies; the administration surviveth to him who is ving. Hudson and Hudson T. 1735. Cas. Talb. 127.

17. If none of the kindred will take administration, Where none will hen it shall be granted to those who shall desire it: And administer. f none will take the administration, the ordinary may grant letters ad colligendum bona defuncti, and thereby take he goods of the deceased into his own hands, wherewith he s to pay debts and legacies, fo far as the goods will reach: for which himself becomes liable in law, as other executors or administrators. Swin. a. 448.

May be granted out of the jurifdiction.

18. Letters of administration are not of necessity to granted within the limits of the jurisdiction; the granta thereof being not a judicial, but a ministerial (and therefore not a local) act; wherein the bishop acts, as a particle of the property of the law. Gibs. 478.

Cannot act before administra19. But an administrator cannot act before letter administration granted to him. 1 Salk. 301.

But he may bring a bill in chancery; though to would be an exception in an action at law. Barnes

Time of granting

20. The practice is, not to iffue letters of administration, until after the expiration of fourteen days from a death of the intestate; unless for special cause (as the goods would otherwise perish, or the like) the judge that think sit to decree them sooner. I Ought. 323, 324.

Administrator's oath.

21. The oath to be made by the administrator, on taking out letters of administration, is usually in form: "You shall swear, that you believe A. B. "ceased died without a will; and that you will well "truly administer all and every the goods of the deceased, and pay his debts so far as his goods extend; and that you will exhibit a true, full perfect inventory of the said goods of the deceased, render a true account of your administration into court of C. when you shall be thereunto for fully required: So help you God." 1 Ought. 324.

fond on granting administration.

F - 17

22. By the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. In case person die intestate, or the executors named in any test refuse to prove the said testament; then the ordinary or person having authority to take probate of testaments, shall the administration of the goods of the testator or person deceptaking surety of him or them to whom shall be made such mission, for the true administration of the goods chattels debts, which he or they shall be so authorized to minister.

And by the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. C. 10. erdinaries, as well the judges of the prerogative courts of Caterbury and York, as all other ordinaries and ecclesiant judges, and every of them, having power to commit administration of the goods of persons dying intestate, shall and may their granting and committing of administrations of the good persons dying intestate, of the person or persons to whom administration is to be committed take sufficient bond with or more able survives, respect being had to the value of solution, in the name of the ordinary, with the condition in formal manner following, mutatis mutandis, viz.

The condition of this obligation is fuch, that if the ithin bounden AB, administrator of all and singular the goods chattels and credits of C D deceased, do hake or cause to be made a true and perfect inventory of all and fingular the goods chattels and credits of ne faid deceased, which have or shall come to the ands possession or knowledge of him the said A B, or nto the hands and possession of any other person or erfons for him, and the same so made do exhibit or ause to be exhibited into the registry of --- court. t or before the --- day of --- next ensuing; and the ame goods chattels and credits and all other the goods hattels and credits of the faid deceased at the time of his death, which at any time after shall come to the nands or possession of the said AB, or into the hands and possession of any other person or persons for him, tho well and truly administer according to law; and further do make or cause to be made a true and just account of his faid administration, at or before the day of -; and all the rest and residue of the said goods chattels and credits which shall be found remaining upon the faid administrator's account, the fame being first examined and allowed of by the judges for the time being of the faid court, shall deliver and pay unto such person or persons respectively, as the the faid judge or judges, by his or their decree or fentence pursuant to the true intent and meaning of this act, shall limit and appoint; and if it shall hereafter appear, that any last will and testament was made by the faid deceased, and the executor or executors therein named do exhibit the same into the said court, making request to have it allowed and approved accordingly, if the faid A B within bounden being thereunto required do render and deliver the faid letters of administration (approbation of such testament being first had and made) in the faid court; Then this obligation to be void and of none effect, or else to remain in full force and virtue."

Which bonds shall be good to all intents and purposes, and

leadable in any courts of justice. s. 1, 2, 3.

The condition of the bond, as to administring truly ccording to law, is to be intended in bringing in his ccount, and not in paying the debts of the intestate; and herefore a creditor shall not take an assignment of the pond, and sue it, and for breach assign non-payment of a lebt to him, or a devastavit committed by the administrator; for that would be endless and infinite. I Salk. 316.

T. 13

T. 13 G. 2. Folkes and Docminique. The plaintiff de clares on bond in the definet, against the defendant as ac ministrator during minority with the will annexed. Ar upon over, the condition appears to be, for exhibiting an inventory and duly administring by paying debts in legacies. The performance of all which the defendant avers. The plaintiff replies, that he had not paid a ke gacy of 1500l, tho' he had more than sufficient to all the debts, to wit, 500 l. And on demurrer it we objected, that this was a void bond, not warranted the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. (nor by the statute the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. for neither of those statum extendeth to administrators during the minority of an o ecutor) nor yet by the common law; for that it requires the administrator to pay legacies according to the eccl fiaftical decision, and shall be taken to be obtained coercion. On the contrary, it was argued, that this being on an intestacy (nor in case where an executor a fuseth) is not within the statutes it is true; but it be be supported as a feasonable bond taken by the course the ecclesiastical court. And tho' formely it was dispute yet it is now fettled, that they may compel distribution that here the breach is affigned in non-payment of len cies, of which they have undoubted jurisdiction: and it be good in any part (being a bond at common law) is enough. And it differs from the case, where part the condition is against a statute, for there it is void toto. And by the court; These administrations are within the statutes; and therefore we deny a manumus: we must therefore consider it as a bond at comm law; and then it is sufficient if it be good in that part of which the breach is affigned; as we think this is, and v cannot take it to be a bond by coercion. Therefore t plaintiff must have judgment. Str. 1137.

Fee for adminifiration.

23. By the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. The ording shall take nothing for letters of administration, unless the goo of the person deceased amount above the value or sum of 100 and in case the goods of the person so deceased amount above to value of 100s, and not above the value or sum of 40l, shall take only for the same 2 s 6 d and not above. s. 4.

Here is no provision where the goods exceed the val of 401; which seemeth to have been an omission not i tended. And in 2 Roll. 233. Palm. 318. a person w indicted because he took 10s for letters of administratio against the form of the statute; but because the statu makes no provision in case the goods are above 401 (whi as casus omissus), and the indictment did not set forth at they were under 401, and by consequence that the king more than 2s 6d was extortion within the statute. erefore it was adjudged to be ill, inasmuch as without at it could not appear to the court, whether he was pushable or not. Gibs. 485.

Other matters relating to the said fees, are specified in e former part of this chapter, in treating of the fees for obate of wills; and the whole, more especially, under

ne title Fees.

24. By the several stamp acts, For every skin or piece Stamps. f vellum or parchment, or sheet or piece of paper, on hich shall be written any letters of administration (exept of common seamen or common soldiers slain or dead the service) for any estate above the value of 201, shall e paid a stamp duty of 10s; and for every inventory or opy thereof is.

25. The plaintiff could not produce any letters of ad- Letters of admininistration, yet to prove himself administrator, he pro-uced the book of the spiritual court, wherein there was dence. n order entered, that administration shall be granted to im; and this was allowed to be good evidence. I Lev.

DI. Peasty's case.

And by the 4 An. c. 16. No advantage or exception hall be taken, for the default of alledging the bringing nto court any letters of administration; but the court hall give judgment according to the very right of the ause, without regarding such omissions and desects, exept the same shall be specially and particularly set down and shewn for cause of demurrer.

26. The ordinary cannot repeal an administration at Revoking administration.

nis pleasure. Swin. a. 381.

H. 15 & 16 C. 2. Sands's case. Sir George Sands administred to his son, and afterwards a woman pretending to be his wife, fued for a repeal, but a prohibition was granted; because the ordinary had an election to grant it either to the father or wife, and had executed his power by granting it to the father. Raym. 93.

But where a feme covert died intestate, and the next of kin to her obtained administration, and the husband fued for a repeal, a prohibition was denied; because in this case the ordinary had no power or election, to grant it to any person but to the husband. 3 Salk. 22.

And the rule seemeth to be, that an administration may be repealed, altho' not arbitrarily, yet where there shall be just cause for so doing; of which the temporal courts are

to judge; as, if the administrator should become lunatick, or the like. So if the next of kin, at the time of the death of the intestate happen to be uncapable of ministring, by reason of attaint, or excommunication; and the ordinary commits it to another: if he afterwar becomes capable, the ordinary may repeal the first administration, and commit it to the next of kin. Gibs. 475.

And the same thing is much more to be said, where the administration was undue ab initio, whether as granted to other than the next of kin, or granted by an incorpetent authority, or in an irregular manner without citing those who ought to have been cited. Gibs. 479. 2 Bm.

Abr. 410.

T. 5 G. 2. Harrison and Weldon. Walker Weldon die intestate, leaving Anne his wife, and Amphillis his sister. The fifter upon the common oath, that she believed he died intestate without wife or children, obtained adminstration. And in a suit to repeal it as obtained by surprize it appeared to be the course of the court, never to grant it to the next of kin, until the wife is cited. The fifter moved for a prohibition, and infifted that the ordinary had executed his authority. But the court held, that the ordinary could not be faid to have executed his authority, having never had an opportunity to make the election which the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. gives him; that it was incident to every court, to rectify mistakes the were led into by the mifrepresentation of the parties; that if there was no furprize (of which the court below was judge) there ought to be a prohibition, because then the administration will have been duly and regularly granted. but here was a plain furprize, and therefore they denied a prohibition. Str. 911.

And it is faid, that an administration may be repealed, without any sentence of revocation to be given in any spiritual court or otherwise; as, by granting a new ad-

ministration. I And. 303. L. of T. 476.

V. Of the duty of executors and administrators in making an inventory, and getting in the effects of the deceased.

Administring be fore inventory made.

1. A T the time of probate or administration granted, it is required that the executor or administrator produce an inventory of the goods chattels and credits of the deceased; and at the same time he maketh oath, that

he

1 will exhibit fuch (further) inventory into the court, he shall thereafter be lawfully required to do.

And it is faid, that if an executor, without making an entory, shall intermeddle himself with the administraon of the goods of the deceased (except in certain cases, for the expences of the funeral, for infinuation of the thament, for making the inventory, for the necessary fervation of the goods) he shall be bound to answer to ery one of the creditors his whole debt. Swin. 228,

9. Athon 107.

Also it is said, that every legatory may recover his whole acy at his hands: for in this case the law presumeth, at there are fufficient goods to pay all the legacies, and at the executor doth secretly and fraudulently subtract e same. Whereas otherwise, the executor is presumed t to have any more goods which were the testator's, an are described in the inventory, the same being lawlly made. Swin. 228, 229. Toth. 183. 12 Mod. 346. And therefore if any creditor or legatory doth affirm, at the testator had any more goods than are comprized the inventory; he must prove the same: otherwise the dge is to give credit to the inventory, being made in ge form of law. Swin. 426.

And fuch executor is also further punishable at the difetion of the ordinary, by the constitution here next folwing; and therefore it concerneth the executor, that do not administer the goods of the deceased, until he th caused an inventory to be made: for howsoever the t of him that is named executor is faid to hold in law, fore the proving of the will and the making of the inentory; nevertheless he that so presumeth to meddle and iminister as executor before he maketh an inventory, is bject to ecclesiastical punishment; unless it be for doig fuch things as cannot be deferred till the inventory be hade; as, for intermeddling about the funeral, or dispong of fuch things as cannot be preferved by keeping, or uch like. Swin. 424.

2. By a constitution of Othobon; The executors of testa- Laws requiring vents, before they shall intermeddle with the administration of the making an inventory. be goods, shall make an inventory in the presence of some creble persons, who shall competently understand the value of the eccased's goods; and the same shall exhibit unto the ordinary:

ind if any shall presume to administer, without such inventory nade; he shall be punished by the discretion of his ordinary.

Athon 107.

And by a contlitution of archbishop Stratford, it is a deted as follows: We do enjoin, that no executor of any tylement shall be permitted to administer of the testator's gunless he first make a faithful inventory of the said gut the funeral expences, and the expences about the inventory excepted. And the same inventory shall be delivered to the or nary, within a time to be appointed by his discretion. Lind. 17

And by the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 5. The exempt and executors named by the testator, or person deceased, fuch other person or persons to whom administration shall committed where any person dieth intestate or by way of in tate, calling or taking to him or them such person or person two at the least, to whom the person so dying was indebted, made any legacy; and upon their refusal or absence, two honest persons, being next of kin to the person so dying; in their default and absence, two other honest person and in their presence, and by their discretions, shall me or cause to be made a true and perfect inventory of the goods, chattels, wares, merchandises, as well moveas not moveable what seever, that were of the said person deceased: and the same shall cause to be indented; whereof one part shall be by the said executor or executors, administra tor or administrators, upon his or their oath or oaths to be to before the said bishops or ordinaries, their officials or commit ries, or other persons having power to take probate of in ments, to be good and true, delivered into the keeping of said bishop, ordinary or other person as aforesaid; and the of part thereof to remain with the said executor or executors, ministrator or administrators. And no bishop, ordinary, or me whatsoever person having authority to take probate of testame on pain in this statute contained, shall refuse to take such im tory to him presented or tendred to be delivered as aforesaid. s.

Things to be put into the inventory:—
Goods.

Chattels.

3. By goods in the aforefaid conflitutions and statuare included all the testator's cattle, as bulls, cows, one sheep, horses, swine, and all poultry, houshold standard, plate, jewels, corn, hay, wood severed from ground, and such like moveables. Law of Test. 379.

4. Chattels comprehend all goods, moveable and moveable; except such as are in nature of freehold, parcel of it. And chattels are either personal or real: Psonal are such as belong immediately to the person of man; and for which, if they be any way injuriously with held from him, he hath no other remedy but by personaction: Chattels real are such as either appertain not a mediately to the person, but to some other thing by wo dependency; as a box with charters of land; or such as a such as a

re isluing out of some immoveable thing, as a lease, and for term of years; and chattels real concern the dr., lands and tenements, interest in advowsons, in

intes merchant, and the like. I Inst. 118.

But fishes in a pond, conies in a warren, deer in a park, on sin a dove house, where the testator had the inheance, or but for life, in the pond warren park and we house; are not chattels at all, nor go to the exector, but to the heir with the inheritance: and therefore by are not to be put into the inventory of the goods and attels of the party deceased. Went. 52. Swin. 422. But the testator have any tame pigeons, deer, rabbits, pheant, or partridges, they shall go to the executors; and o' they were not tame, yet if they were kept alive in y room, cage, or such like place: so sish in a trunk; o young pigeons, tho' not tame, being in the dove out, and not able to sly out. Law of Test. 379.

Also hounds, greyhounds, spaniels, and the like, as they ay he valuable, and may serve not only for delight, but r profit, shall go to the executors. Law of Test. 379.

be put in the inventory: because they are not the the deceased.

Tods of the deceased, but of other persons. Lind. 176.

Lind this the rather, in case the clear value of the bods and chattels (the debts owing by the deceased bededucted) shall not exceed the sum of 401; thereby

better to ascertain the mortuary.

And if these debts shall be put into the inventory; the dinary shall do well to make diligent examination, where the testator did owe any such: that thereby the letries, and children of the deceased, and others, may at be defrauded of their just due, by any false pretence recos. Swin. 423.

6. Lindwood fays, that debts owing to the deceased, of Debts owing to hich there is not any writing or obligation, ought not to the deceased.

c put into the inventory before they be received; be-

as they may be handled or taken hold of. But afterleds when fuch debts are received, they ought to be put to the inventory as goods newly accruing. Lind. 176.

But unless they be had debts, it seemeth best to insert tem; and even if they be had debts, or desperate, yet bey may be inserted, specifying them as such. And if the course of administration they shall be recovered, ten they shall be accounted for in like manner as the rest

2

Leafes.

of the personalty; and if they cannot be recovered, or much of them as cannot be recovered, shall not be ac counted for as any part of the goods of the deceased.

7. All leafes for years the executor shall have; therefore leafes ought not to be omitted forth of the in

ventory. I Roll's Abr. 915. Swin. 421.

If a devise be of land to one and the heirs of his bod for 500 years; this is a leafe for years, and therefore executor shall have it: And the reason is, because an e tate tail cannot be made of a term. I Roll's Abr. of a

8. Estates pur auter vie, that is, estates held by le during the life of another person, ought also to be in into the inventory; the same being made distributable ! the statute of the 14 G. 2. c. 20.

q. Also the executor shall have all lands extended on judgment, statute, or recognizance. Law of Test. 378

10. Also the executor shall have all arrearages of me due at the death of the testator; and therefore the shall be put in the inventory. Law of Test. 378.

11. Corn growing upon the ground, ought to be m into the inventory; feeing it belongeth to the executor but not the grass or trees so growing; which belong the heir, and not to the executor. Swin. 421.

Also hops, tho' not sown, if planted; and saffron, hemp, because sown; shall go to the executors. Law

Test. 380.

But Mr Wentworth thinks, that roots in gardens, carrots, parsnips, turneps, skirrets, and such like, in not go to the executor, but to the heir; because the cannot be taken without digging and breaking the fu Went. 61, 62.

But lord Coke says, that if the testator shall set room his executors shall have that year's crop. I Inft. 55.

If a man be feifed for life or in fee or tail in his on right, or in the right of his wife, or for years in the right of his wife, and fows the ground with corn, but dies fore it is ripe; his executors shall have it, and not t wife or heir: But grass ready to be cut for hay, app pears, and other fruit on the trees, shall not go to the e ecutors. And the reason of the difference is, because former comes not merely from the foil, without the dustry or manurance of man, as the latter doth. Law

Yet if a leffee at will fows the land with hay feed, a by this increases the grass, and the lessor enters and ejec

him, the lessee shall not have it, I Infl. 56.

Estates pur auter

Extent.

Rent.

Corn or other things growing. lut for clover, faint foin, and the like, the reason of murance, labour, and cultivation is the same as for corn; no case hath occurred, wherein these matters have the in question; this kind of husbandry having been in only of late years.

f the wife had a lease for years as executrix, and the band sows the ground with corn, and dies before it is; the corn shall go to his executors, at least so much is more than the yearly rent of the land: But if the band and wife were joint tenants of the land; she lil have the corn, and not his executors. Law of Test.

If a person sows his glebe land, and dies before severee; and after, his successor is admitted instituted and lucted before the corn is cut: it shall go to the execus or administrators of the deceased, who must pay tithes reof to the successor. I Roll's Abr. 655.

de parcel of the freehold, ought not to be put in the the freehold.

entory; because these belong to the heir, and not to

executor. Swin. 421.

And therefore the glass annexed to the windows of the use, because they are parcel of the house, shall descend parcel of the inheritance to the heir, and the executors all not have it. And altho' the lessee himself, at his on cost, do cause the glass to be put into the windows, to the same being parcel of the house, he cannot take the me away afterwards, without danger of punishment for afte. Neither is there any material difference in law, bether the glass were annexed to the window with nails, in other manner, either by the lord or by the tenant; to being once affixed to the freehold, the same cannot be moved by the lessee, but shall belong to the heir, and to the executors: and therefore the same is not to be into the inventory, as part or parcel of the goods of the deceased. Swin. 421.

The like may be concluded of wainfort; that it ought of to be put into the inventory, as parcel of the goods i the deceased: for being annexed unto the house, either the lessor of the house. And here is no difference whether it be affixed with great nails a little nails, or by screws, or irons thrust thro the posts of walls of the house; for howsoever it be affixed, either manner aforesaid, or in any other manner, it is parcel the freehold; and if the executors should remove it; may are punishable for the same. Swin. 421.

P 3

And

And not only glass and wainscot, but any other sure like thing, affixed to the freehold, or to the ground, with mortar and stone, as tables dormant, leads, mangers, at such like; for these belong to the heir, and not to the executor: and therefore they are not to be put into the in ventory of the deceased's goods. Swin. 421.

So also of mill-stones, anvils, doors, keys, window ters; none of these be chattels, but parcel of the hold, or thereto pertaining; and therefore shall not go

the executors. Went. 61.

An executor taking away a furnace, which was fet the middle of an house, and not fixed to any wall; their brought an action of trespass against him: and it wadjudged for the heir, that this should go as part of in freehold and inheritance of the heir. But in the call Day and Austin, Walmesley said, that lord Dyer's opinio was, that where the surnace is not affixed to the wall, selfee might within his term take it away; but not if it stated to the wall, for there it would strengthen the house Law of Test. 380.

Pictures and gluffes, tho' generally speaking, not path the freehold, yet if put up instead of wainscot, or who otherwise wainscot would have been put, shall go to heir; for the house ought not to come to the heir main or disfigured. 2 Vern. 508. Law of Test. 380, 381.

But in the case of Harvey and Harvey, M. 14 Gen. In trover by the executor against the heir; it was hele Lee chief justice, that hangings, tapestry, and iron backs chimnies, belonged to the executor; who recovered

cordingly against the heir. Str. 1141.

And the law feemeth now to be held not fo first as merly; and if these things can be taken away with prejudice to the sabrick of the house, it seemeth that the executor shall have them; as tables, altho' fastened to the floor; surnaces, if not made part of the wall; graviron ovens, jacks, clock cases, and such like, altho' to the freehold by nails or otherwise.

13. But if a man be seised of a house, and possessed divers heir-looms, that by custom have gone with thouse from heir to heir; it seemeth that these, although part of the freehold, shall go to the heir, and not to executor; and therefore ought not to be put into the heir.

1 Infl. 185.

So if an incumbent enter upon a parsonage house, which are hangings, grates, iron backs to chimnies, so such like, not put there by the last incumbent, but which

Heir-looms.

me gone from successor to successor; the executor of the I incumbent shall not have them, but it seemeth that w shall continue in the nature of heir-looms: but if Inft incumbent fixed them there only for his own conmence; it seemeth that they shall be deemed as furnire, or houshold goods, and shall go to his executor.

14. Writings, and evidences, which touch the inheri- Box with writings. nce, shall go to the heir, and not to the executor. Went. tings.

And Swinburne fays, that a box ensealed, or the chest ith evidence of the land, tho' the same be not affixed to e freehold, yet because they contain those things which long to the heir, they also belong to the heir, and not the executors: and therefore they are not to be put into inventory of the deceased's goods. Swin. 421.

But as to this, Rolle makes a distinction, and saith, If ne writings which concern the inheritance are in a cheft; ne executors shall have the chest, and the heir the wriwas. But if the chest be shut, the heir shall have the half also; but if it be not shut, the executor shall have

the cheft. I Roll's Abr. 915.

But the author of the Law of Testaments observeth, that his distinction seemeth not to be well taken; for if it be box purposed for the keeping of the deeds, the heir meht to have it whether locked or open: on the other and, if it be a box designed for other use, as for the ping linen; it cannot be faid to be apputtenant to vidences, altho' fome be in it, for fo may other things lso; or perhaps it may be a chest or cabinet of great alue, furely this shall not go to the heir, when perhaps were is not personal estate sufficient to pay the testator's leuts. Law of Test. 381.

If a further distinction seemeth necessary, it might be this: that if the executor will not open the box and dewer the writings, the heir rather than not have the wrivibes may take the box also; but if the executor will de-Ner the writings, and retain the box, it doth not feem that one box more than another can be faid to be appurtenent to writings, so as to devest the property thereof

out of the executor.

15. By the 21 H. 8. c. 5. s. If the person deceased Profits of lands hall devise any lands tenements or hereditaments to be to be fold, fold, neither the money thereof coming, nor the profits of the faid lands for any time to be taken, shall be accounted as any of the goods or chattels of the faid per-

nalia.

Wife's parapher- 16. But what shall we say to those goods, which we feem to belong to the wife rather than to the husband, ther apparel, her bed, her jewels, or ornaments for he person; whether are they to be put into the inventory the husband's goods, yea or nay? By the civil law, the belonging to the wife, which be called bona paraphernal are not to be put into the inventory of her husband goods, neither are they subject unto the payment of th husband's debts: But whether the wife's apparel, will her bed, jewels, and ornaments for her person be com prehended amongst those goods which the law callet bona paraphernalia, is the matter in question. And seemeth rather that they are not (faith Swinburn); convenient apparel, agreeable to her degree, only exceped. Otherwife, whatfoever goods belong to the wife, presently by virtue of the marriage become the husband's the property thereof being changed and transferred from the wife to the husband. Infomuch that without her hus band's licence or consent, she cannot dispose thereof, no ther by act in her life time, nor at her death by her le will, which she might do if they were bona paraphern lia; wherefore those goods being the husband's and no the wife's, and the property thereof being in him and me in her, it may be concluded, that in construction of law those goods abovementioned, and namely the wife's jewell chains, and borders are to be put into the inventory of the deceased husband's goods. Swin. 422.

> Rolle says, The wife after the death of her husband she have convenient apparel for her body, and not the executors of her husband; and of this convenience the cou must be the judge. But she shall not have excessive an parel; and if she takes more than is convenient, she sha be taken to be an executor of her own wrong. I Roll

Abr. 911. Law of Test. 383, 384.

And if the husband deliver to his wife a piece of clot for to make a garment, and dieth; altho' that this w not made into a garment in the life of the husband, we the wife shall have this, and not the executor of the hul band; inasmuch as it was delivered to her to this intent but against the debtee of the husband, the wife shall have no more apparel than is convenient. I Roll's Abr. 911.

But in the case of Hastings and Douglas, H. o Chi A chain of diamonds and pearl, worth 3701, usually worn by Sir John Davis's wife, who was daughter of the earl of Castlehaven, being by her husband's will devised from her; Berkeley and Jones were of opinion, that the

ring the daughter of a nobleman, and permitted to use nem frequently as ornaments of her person, and they beng convenient for her degree, she should have them as er paraphernalia; and when there are not debts to be aid (as it doth not appear that there are any in this case), ne shall have them against the executors or administrators f her husband, and the husband cannot dispose of them rom his wife by his will; but instantly by his death, the offession of them being in the wife's custody, the procrty is vested in her, and the husband cannot give them way; for it is not reasonable the husband should leave er naked of those jewels which she usually did wear, and re fit according to her calling to wear. But Richardson nd Croke were of opinion, that the will was good, and hat the may not take them contrary to the devise; but if he husband had not made his will of them, but had left hem to the disposition of the law, and the question had been betwixt the executor or administrator and the wife, where there be not any debts or legacies to be paid, or where there be affets to pay all debts and legacies besides those jewels; there peradventure, the law will allow her o take, and to enjoy them as her paraphernalia. Cro. Car. 343. 1 Roll's Abr. 911.

And in the case of Cary and Appleton, M. 26 C. 2. The husband devised the jewels, which were the paraphernalia of the wise, and died: They were decreed to

the wife. I Cha. Ca. 240.

And by Macclesfield lord chancellor: Bona paraphernalia are not devisable by the husband from the wife, any more than heir looms from the heir; so that the right of the wife to her paraphernalia is to be preserved to that of

a legatee. I P. Will. 730.

But it is said, that bona paraphernalia shall not be retained by the wise against debts. And in the case of Stubbs and Stubbs, H. 31 C. 2. it was held, that where the real estate is chargeable, together with the personal, for the payment of debts, and the personal estate is deficient, the bona paraphernalia shall be liable before the real estate shall come in. Cha. Ca. Finch. 415.

But in the case of Tipping and Tipping, M. 1721. By Macclessield lord chancellor: Bona paraphernalia are liable to debts in favour of creditors only, and not in sa-

vour of the heir at law. I P. Will. 730.

And if creditors of the testator by judgment take the jewels after his death in execution, when the heir or executor or trustees have other assets sufficient to pay such

debis;

debts; this is a default in the trustees, for which the widow ought not to suffer as to her bona paraphernalise. P. Will. 80.

But where a daughter's portion was to be paid out cher father's personal estate; the court would not allow the widow to retain her paraphernalia. Cha. Ca. Fin. 146.

And where by marriage articles it was agreed, that the wife should have no part of the husband's personal estate but what he should give her by his will; it was declare by the court, that this bars her of her paraphernalia, an from jewels given to her by her husband in his life time 2 Vern. 83.

Yet notwithstanding all that hath been said, if we sha respect what hath been used and observed, such hath eve been the general and ancient custom or rather courtely c the province of York, as thereby widows have been to lerated, to referve to their own use, not only their appa rel, and a convenient bed, but a coffer with divers thing therein necessary for their own persons; which thing have been usually omitted out of the inventory of their deceased husband's goods, unless peradventure the husban was for far indebted, as the rest of his goods would no fuffice to discharge the same; in which case the wife' jewels, chains, and borders, and fuch like, being thing of decency or ornament, and not of necessity, have bee usually prized and put into the inventory amongst other goods of the deceased, towards the payment of his debts and so they ought to be. Swin. 422.

Wife's goods or chattels. 17. Goods to which the husband is intitled in right chis wife, and as administrator to her, are not to be put in the inventory after her death; but things which are in action must be put in. Swin. 422. God. O. L. 153

In the case of Sir John St John, T. 15 Cha. the lad C was possessed of divers leases, and conveyed them it trust, and afterwards married with AB. The lady received the money upon the leases, and with part of the money bought jewels, and other part of the money shelft, and died. AB takes letters of administration of the goods of his wise; and in a suit in the ecclesiastical court the court would have compelled him to have given a account of the jewels, and for the monies, to have puthem into the inventory. But the opinion of the whole court of king's bench was, that he should not put ther into the inventory; because the property of the jewel

was absolutely in him as husband, and he had them not s administrator: but such things as be in action, and which he shall have as administrator, he shall be acountable for, and they shall be put into the inventory. And for the money received upon trust, it was resolved, hat the same was the money of the trustees, and the wife nad no remedy for it but in equity; and therefore the suspand shall have it as administrator. And in that case t was resolved, that if a woman do convey a lease in trust or her use, and afterwards marrieth, in such case it lieth not in the power of the husband to dispose of it; and if he wife die, the husband shall not have it. Mar. 44. Swin. a. 423.

18. By the aforesaid constitution of Othobon, the in-Valuation ventory shall be made in the presence of some credible persons, who shall competently understand the value of the beceased's goods: for it is not sufficient to make an inventory, unless the goods therein contained be particularly valued and appraised by some honest and skilful persons, to be the just value thereof in their judgments and consciences, that is to say, at such price as the same may be

fold for at that time. Swin. 425, 426.

But as to the value of the goods upon the appraisement, it is not binding, nor very much regarded at the common liw; for if it is too high, it shall not be prejudicial to the executor or administrator; and if it be too low, it shall be no advantage to him: but the very value found by the jury, when it comes in question whether the executor hath fully administred, or hath affets or not, is that which is binding. Swin. 426. Went. 83, 84.

19. By the aforesaid constitution of archbishop Strat- In what cases an fird, the inventory shall be delivered to the ordinary, within inventory may be dispensed a time to be appointed by his discretion. —— Not arbitrarily withal. (faith Lindwood) but in a reasonable manner, according to the exigency of persons, things, and places. Lind.

177.

And as the time for exhibiting fuch inventory, is left to the discretion of the ordinary; so may he remit the making of an inventory, for a reasonable cause: as where it may be expedient, that the quantity of the goods should

not be divulged. Lind. 176.

As was done in Boon's case, July 18. 1682. Who dying possessed of a large personal estate, made his eldest son executor, and among other bequefts, gave his fecond fon 2000 l, to be paid at three several payments. The said fecond fon took out process against the elder brother, and

caused

caused him to be cited before the judge of the prerogative court (where the will was proved) in order to compel him to bring in an inventory. But it appearing to the judge, that the two first payments were made, and the third offered to be made; he gave fentence, that there was no need of an inventory at the instance of the plaintitf: which was confirmed by the delegates, first upon appeal, and afterwards upon a commission of review. Raym. 470.

Flow far the before required, are necessary.

· 20. Altho' appraisements and inventories shall not be teact formalities made according to the ecclefiastical law, nor to the statute aforefaid; yet, by the practice of the courts, if the goods of the deceased shall be appraised by any honest persons of the neighbourhood, and reduced into an inventory, and afterwards the faid inventory shall be in due time exhibited before the judge who proveth the will or granteth the administration, upon the oath of the executor or administrator, such inventory shall receive credit in all causes and courts, and he that exhibiteth the same shall be freed from the burden of proving the truth of the inventory, that is, that the deceased had no more goods: and he retorteth the proof of any goods having been omitted, upon the legatary or other person pretending interest in the goods of the deceased. I Ought. 344.

By which oath of the executor or administrator is to be understood, the oath which he took at the time of granting the probate or administration: Unless the party be called afterwards to exhibit an inventory upon his corporal oath; for then he shall again take a special oath of the truth of the inventory, notwithstanding the former general oath that he took at the time of granting the pro-

bate or letters of administration. Id.

Stzielnels requifite in contestation of fuit.

1 21. For fometimes it is demanded, and by the judge decreed, at the instance of the party having interest in the goods of the deceased, that an inventory be exhibited upon the oath of the executor or administrator, before the issuing of the probate or letters of administration under feal: and then, notwithstanding the former general oath had been taken for the faithful execution of the will or administring the goods of the deceased, and for exhibiting a true inventory, a special oath hath been used to be taken, at the time of exhibiting the inventory, of the truth thereof; and that, either personally, or by virtue of a commission r. Ought. 344.

And fometimes, before the granting, or at least before the issuing of the probate or letters of administration, (in-

the oath of the party,) at the request of some person having interest, the judge issued a commission for the appraisement and true valuation of the goods rights and credits, and inspection of the obligations, leases, and other writings and papers whatsoever, concerning the personal estate of the deceased, at the house of the deceased, or elsewhere, wheresoever his goods rights or credits remain or be, on such day or days, with continuation and prorogation of the time and place, as shall be needful. Id.

Also in these cases, there usually issued a monition against the other party in special, and all others in general, with whom any of the goods rights or credits of the deceased remain and be, that they exhibit or shew, or cause to be exhibited or shewed, really and with effect, to the appraisers by virtue of the commission aforesaid appointed, at the time and place of the execution thereof, the aforesaid goods rights and credits of the said deceased, and also the bonds, leases, and other writings and papers, concerning the personal estate of the deceased, remaining or being with them or any of them, to the end that they may be appraised and put in the inventory: on pain of law, and of contempt. I Ought. 344, 5.

And fuch commission being duly executed, the inventory is brought in and exhibited, figned by the hands of the commissioners or appraisers or two of them at the least; without the oath of the party for the truth there-

of. 1 Ought. 345.

And in such cases an inventory also is often required upon the oath of the executor or administrator, of such goods of the deceased as have been already disposed of. Id.

22. By the 13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 23. Executors shall have Action given to a writ of account, and the same action and process in the same executors.

writ, as the testator might have had if he had lived.

By the common law, executors should not have an action of account, for an account to be made to the testator, because the account rested in privity; for remedy whereof this act was made. But by the law of merchants, an action of account did lie for executors. 2 Inst. 404.

By the 4 Ed. 3. c. 7. Whereas in times past, executors have not had actions for a trespass done to their testators, as of the goods and chattels of the same testators carried away in their life, and so such trespasses have hitherto remained unpunished; it is enacted, that the executors in such cases shall have an action against the trespassers, and recover their damages;

175

in like manner as they whose executors they be should have bar

if they were in life.

By the 25 Ed. 3. st. 5. c. 5. Executors of executors shall have actions of debts, accounts, and of goods carried away of the first testators, and executions of statutes merchants and recognizances made in court of record to the first testator, in the same manner as the first testator should have had if he were in life; and the same executors of executors shall answer to other of as much as they have recovered of the goods of the first testators, as the first executors should do if they were in full life.

Action given to administrators.

23. By the statute of the 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 11. In case where a man dieth intestate; the persons deputed by the ordinary to administer his goods, shall have an action to demand and recover as executors, the debts due to the person intestation the king's court, for to administer and dispend for the soul of the dead; and shall answer also in the king's court, to other to whom the dead person was holden and bound, in the same manner as executors shall answer: and they shall be accountable to the ordinary, as executors be in the case of testament, as well of the time past as of the time to come.

Before this act, by the common law, administrators had no property in the goods and chattels as executors had; nor could they recover debts as executors could do; but by this statute they are enabled in both those respects: and further, whereas by the common law they were charged by the name of executors, now they shall be charged by the name of administrators. Gibs. 478.

Astion in case of tent in arrear.

24. By the 32 H. 8. c. 37. For a funch as by the order of the common law, the executors or administrators of tenants in fee simple, tenants in fee tail, and tenants for term of life, of rents services, rent charges, rent secks, and fee farms, have no remedy to recover such arrearages of the said rents or fee farms as were due unto the testators in their lives, nor yet the heirs of such testator, nor any person having the reversion of his estate after his decease, may distrain or have any lawful action to levy any such arrearages of rents or fee farms, due unto him in his life time as is aforesaid; by reason whereof the tenants of the demean of such lands tenements or hereditaments, out of which such rents were due and payable, who of right ought to pay their rents and farms at such day and terms as they were due, do many times retain such arrearages in their orun hands, so that the executors and administrators of the perfons to whom fuch rents or fee farms were due, cannot have or come by the said arrearages of the same, towards the payment The debts, and performance of the will of the said testators; is enacted, that the executors and administrators of every such fon to whom any such rent or fee farm shall be due, and it paid at the time of his death, shall have an action of debt or all such arrearages, against the tenant that ought to have aid the same, or against his executors or administrators; or any distrain for the same upon the lands and other hereditatents chargeable therewith, so long as they continue in the seistant possession of the said tenant in demess, who ought immediate to have paid the said rent or fee farm so being behind, to the rid testator in his life; or in the seisin or possession of any other reson claiming the same only from the same tenant by purchase, if, or descent; in like manner and form as the testator might are done in his life time, and shall for the same distress lawully make avoury upon their matter asoresaid. 1. 1.

Provided, that this shall not extend to any such manor, lordhip, or dominion in Wales, or in the marches of the same, whereof the inhabitants have used time out of mind to pay unto he lord or owner thereof at his sirst entry into the same, any im for the redemption and discharge of all duties forfeitures and penalties, whereof the said inhabitants were chargeable to my of their said lord's ancestors or predecessors before his said

ntry. f. 2.

And if any man having in the right of his wife any estate in see simple, see tail, or for term of life, in any rents or see sams, and the same shall be due and unpaid in the said wife's life; the husband after the death of his wife, his executors and administrators, may have an action of debt for the said arrearages, against the tenant of the demesh that ought to have paid the same, his executors or administrators; or may distrain for the same, as he might have done if his wife had been living,

and make avowry upon his matter as aforefaid. f. 3.

And if any person shall have any rents or fee farms for term of life of any other person, and the same shall be due and unpaid in the life of such other person, and he dicth; then he to whom the same was due, his executors or administrators, may have an action of debt against the tenant in demos, that ought to have paid the same when it was first due, his executors and a ministrators; or may distrain for the same upon such lands and tenements out of which the said rents or fee farms were issuing and payable; in like manner and form as he might have done, if such person by whose death the aforesaid estate in the said rents and fee farms was determined and expired had been in full life; and the avowry for the taking of the same distress to be made as aforesaid. 1. 4.

And by the statute of the II G. 2. c. 19. Where where any leffor or landlord, having only an estate for life the lands tenements or hereditaments demifed, happens to die be fore or on the day on which any rent is referved or made par able, such rent or any part thereof is not by law recoverable, the executors or administrators of such lessor or landlord; nor the person in reversion intitled thereto, any other than for the use and occupation of such lands tenements or hereditament from the death of the tenant for life; of which advantage ha been often taken by the under tenants, who thereby avoid payin any thing for the same; for remedy thereof it is enacted, the where any tenant for life shall happen to die before or on the day, on which any rent was reserved or made payable upon a demise or lease of any lands, tenements, or hereditaments, whi determined on the death of such tenant for life, that the execu tors or administrators of such tenant for life shall and may, an action upon the case, recover of and from such under tenar or under tenants of such lands tenements or hereditaments, fuch tenant for life die on the day on which the same was ma payable, the whole, or if before such day, then a proportion fuch rent, according to the time fuch tenant for life lived, of the last year, or quarter of a year, or other time in which the san rent was growing due, as aforefaid; making all just allowance. or a proportionable part thereof respectively. 1. 15.

In what courts to be brought.

25. An executor may sue another in the spiritual court touching his testator's goods, in this case, viz. if a madevise or bequeath corn growing, or goods, unto one and a stranger will not suffer the executor to perform the testament: for this legacy, he shall sue the stranger is the spiritual court. Swin. 18.

But if a man take from the executor or administrator the goods of the deceased; for this they must use their action of trespass, and not sue in the spiritual court: for the cannot sue for the goods of the deceased in a court eccle staffical, but at the common law. Swin. 18. 10 Mod. 21

Also tenants may be sued at the common law by executors or administrators for rent behind, and due to the testator or intestate in his life time, or at the time of hideath; and they may for the same distrain the land charge with the rent. Swin. 18.

In what case coexeutors must all join.

26. All the executors do represent the person of th testator, and therefore they must all join in suit against others, and in suit by others they must all be made de fendants, or at least so many of them as do administer for the the executors themselves must take notice by the will how many executors there be, and must frame the

accordingly; creditors and strangers need not take we of any more than do administer, and execute the

of executors. Went. 95.

1. 6 Ja. Smith and Smith. The mother and her son finfant were made executors, and administration was inted to her during the minority of her fon; she mar-I again, and then her husband and she as executrix lught an action of debt against the defendant, who ided in abatement that the infant was not named; and n a demurrer to that plea, it was held that the plea good: but if it had been fet forth specially in the deation, that there was another executor under age, ' not joined in the action, it might have been othere. Yelv. 130. 1 Brownl. 101.

7. If one executor refuse to undertake the executor- Case where one , then is the other executor to be admitted alone, and co executor revexecute the will, or commence any fuit, or be fued ne, as if none other had been named executor. But alter his mind, and afterwards become willing, then former refusal before the ordinary notwithstanding) may join with the other executor who proved the will; Tif he release any debt due to the testator, the release s sufficient, as if he had never resused. Which is to understood, if he released before judgment; but after gment, being no party to the fuit, he cannot acknowge satisfaction, because he was not privy to the judgnt. Swin. 325.

And where there are feveral executors, and one of them iseth before the ordinary, and the rest prove the will; who refused may administer when he will, and theree they who proved it, ought to name him in every acn; but if they all refuse, and the ordinary grants adwistration to another, then it is too late, for in such they cannot afterwards prove the will. 9 Co. 38.

nslow's case.

28. Co-executors being in law but as one person, there- In what case one e the act of one is the act of them all, and the posses- may do what all n of one is accounted the possession of all, and the payof debts by or to one of them is the payment of or all of them; and the sale or gift of the testator's goods one, is the sale or gift of all; and likewise a release ore judgment of one of them, is a release of all. 11. 328.

But it is not so with administrators; for they have but c authority given them by the bishop over the goods; ach authority being given to many, is to be executed VOL. IV.

by all of them joined together. Lord Bacon's Trass. 16 Tr. Atk. 460.

Also one executor shall not be charged with the wron or devastavit of his companion, and shall be no farth liable than for the assets which came to his hands. At therefore where an action was brought against two excutors, and the jury found that the two and another we made executors, and that the third wasted the affect the amount of 600 l and died, and that only 16 l can to the hands of the two others; the court held, that the should be chargeable for no more than the 16 l; for the it was the testator's folly to trust such a person, who must not turn to the prejudice of the other executor 2 Bac. Abr. 395.

One executor cannot fue an-

Co-executor

dying.

29. Regularly, one executor cannot fue another of co-executors, touching any thing relating to his testato will; or that is within the power, interest, duty, or constant and the contract of the contract of

fice of an executor. 2 Bac. Abr. 396.

But if the residue of the personal estate, after debts a legacies, be devised to both the executors, one of the may sue the other in the spiritual court for a moiety; I this is in the nature of a gift or legacy to him, and may bring trespass against the other executor if he this tout of his possession, or detinue if he detains it so him. 2 Bac. Abr. 396.

Or, in such case, he may have relief in equity.

30. It feemeth to be now fettled, that where a maketh two executors, and devifeth to them the report of his goods after debts and legacies paid; and one them dieth, that the furvivor shall have the whole.

Lev. 209. I Vern. 482.

So where a man devised all the rest and residue of goods chattels and personal estate, to two persons, the executors and administrators, and one of them died; a bill brought by his executor against the surviving twise, it was held, that the survivor should take the waste to his own use, and should not be a trustee as to moiety for the representative of him who is dead; a that they were to be considered as jointenants, where so twivership takes place, as well in cases of chattels, as cases of inheritance. I Abr. Ca. Eq. 243.

31. The executor of an executor (where there i joint executor) is executor to the first testator, and he right to all the profit, and is liable to all the charge to the first executor had, or was subject unto. But the cestator's goods shall not stand charged for the other testor's debts, but each for his own. Swin. 329.

Executor of

If two be appointed executors, and the one maketh his testament, wherein he nameth his executor, and dieth, his co-executor surviving; in this case, the executor of the executor is not to be joined with the executor surviving, neither in the execution of the will, nor in suits or actions. And if the executor of the executor have any goods or chattels in his hand, which did belong to the first testator, the executor of the same testator surviving may have an action against the executor of the executor for the same: for the power of the executor who died first was determined by his death, the other then surviving. Swin. 324, 325.

Swinburne fays, the executor of an executor cannot fell

the land of the first testator. Swin. 329.

But in the case of Rolls and Mason, T. 10 Ja. Where the devise was, that the executor should sell; it was held, that the executor of the executor might sell, tho' not in

being at the time of the devise. 2 Brownl. 194.

So in the case of Garfoot and Garfoot, M. 15 C. 2. Lands were devised to be sold by the executor. The executor died. The youngest children, for whose benefit the sale was ordered, preserved a bill against the heir. The heir demurs; because it was but an authority in the executor, which is dead with him. But the demurrer was overruled. 1 Cha. Ca. 35.

32. If administration is granted to two, and one dies, Administrator yet the administration doth not cease; for it is not like a dying. letter of attorney to two, where by the death of one the authority ceaseth; but is rather an office; and administrators are enabled to bring actions in their own names; they come in the place of executors, and therefore the office survives. 2 Vern. 514.

33. When an administrator hath judgment and dieth, Executor of an his executors (as such) may not sue execution of the said administrator. judgment; for none shall have execution of this judgment, but he who shall be subject to the payment of the

debts of the first intestate. 5 Co. 9. Brudenel's case.

34. By the statute of the 17 C. 2. c. 8. Where any Administrator is dement after a verdict shall be had, by or in the name of any debonis non. executor or administrator; in such case an administrator of goods not administred may sue forth a scire facias, and take execution upon such judgment.

35. By the statute of the 9 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 3. In a Actions brought writ of debt brought against divers executors, they nor any of against divers them shall have but one essential before appearance, that is to say, executors, at the summons or attachment; nor after appearance, they shall

2 have

have but one essoin, as the testator should have had: so that all the executors do represent the person of the testator as on

person.

And the the sheriff do answer at the summons, that some them have nothing whereby he may be summoned; yet there shall be an attachment awarded upon them. And if the sheriff answer, that he hath nothing whereby he may be attached; the great distress shall be awarded, so that at the great distress neutrined upon them, he or they that do first appear in the cours shall answer to the plaintist. And altho' some of them have appeared in the court, and make default at the day that the great distress is returned upon the other; yet nevertheless he they shall be put to answer, that first appeared at the great distress returned.

And in case the judgment pass for the plaintist; he shall have his judgment and execution against them that have pleaded, according to the law heretofore used, and against all other nancin the writ, of the goods of the testator, as well as if they had all pleaded. And it is to be understood, that if any in such case will sue according to the law that hath been used hereto-

fore, he may freely do it notwithstanding this statute.

36. In all actions brought by executors or administrators, upon contracts, bonds, or other things made to the deceased, or for goods taken away in his life; they shall pay no costs by any statute. Law of Ex. 462. 2 Bac.

Abr. 446.

That is to fay; costs by the common law are not given in any case: and executors and administrators are not comprized within the several statutes which in order to prevent vexatious suits do require other persons to pay costs in like cases; for executors and administrators cannot so well be supposed to intend vexation, seeing that they sue only in the right of another; and have not perhaps so persect knowledge of the matter as their testator or intestate would have had if he had lived.

But an executor defendant shall pay costs; and the judgment is, of the goods of the testator, if there are sufficient; if not, of the executor's own goods. Also, when he is defendant, and there is judgment for him, he shall have his costs. 1 Bac. Abr. 517. 2 Bac. Abr. 446.

H. 12 G. 2. Marsh and Yellowly. When an executor must declare as executor, he shall pay no costs: but if the cause of action ariseth in the time of the executor, and is therefore a matter within his knowledge, and for which he may declare in his own right, and need not to declare as executor; he shall be liable to pay costs. Str. 682, 1106.

Cofts.

So where the thing in dispute is matter, not of fact, but of law, and consequently as much within the knowedge of the executor or administrator as of the testator intestate; it hath been adjudged, that where judgment is given against the executor or administrator upon denurrer; they shall pay costs. As in the case of Frazer and Moore, E. 1720. Bill by an administrator. The dendant demurs; and the demurrer is allowed; and the sill is dismissed with costs; and so said to be the constant ourse in equity, by the whole court of exchequer.

E. I. G. 2. Crutchfield and Scott. The question was, whether in an action by an executor, the defendant should be allowed to bring money into court. And on consideration, it was held he might, and that the effect of it would be, not to make the executor pay, but only lose is subsequent costs. And the same was allowed in the ase of Baker and Turberville, M. 3 G. Str. 796.

T. 7 G. 2. Caswell and Norman. An executor brought error of a judgment after a devastavit; and the court held,

ne ought to pay costs on affirmance. Str. 977.

M. 3 G. Elwell against Quash and others. There were three executors one of which gave a warrant of attorney o confess a judgment against himself and his co-executors; pursuant to which a judgment was entred against all the executors of the goods of the testator for the debt, and against the executor who gave the warrant of his own goods for the costs. Upon motion to set this asside, t was held to be ill; for executors may plead different pleas, and that which is most for the testator's advantage shall be received. And the judgment was set asside.

VI. Of the payment of debts by executors or administrators.

BY the statute of Magna Charta, ch. 18. (which Ordinary liable. lord Coke says is in affirmance of the common law) Where one indebted to the king shall die, the king shall be first satisfied for his debt, and the residue shall remain to the executors to perform the testament of the dead: And if nothing be owing unto the king, all the chattels shall go to the use of the dead (saving to his wife and children their reasonable parts).

Upon which, lord Coke fays, three things are to be observed: 1. That the king by his prerogative shall be preferred in satisfaction of his debt by the executors, before any other. 2. That if the executors have sufficient to pay the king's debt, the heir that is to bear the countenance, and sit in the seat of his ancestor, or any purchaser of his lands, shall not be charged. 3. If no thing be owing to the king, or any other, all the chattels shall go to the use of the dead, that is, to his executors or administrators, saving their reasonable parts to the wife and children as aforesaid.

And by a constitution of Othobon: Since the uncertainty of death often deprives men of the opportunity of making their last wills, buman piety acteth mercifully towards the deceased, by distributing their goods to pious uses, so that they follow and help them, and propitiously intercede for them with the heavenly judge; Therefore we, by our approbation confirming the provision heretofore made (as it is said) by the prelates of the kingdom of England with the approbation of the king and barons, concerring the goods of such as die intestate, do strictly forbid prelates, and all other whatsoever, to take or seize the goods of intestates, contrary to the provision aforesaid. Athon. 121.

Which provision John of Athen understandeth to be that which is made by the statute of the 13 Ed. 1. c. 19. but this cannot be right; for this constitution was made seventeen years before that statute. Gibs. 478. But the provision meant, seemeth plainly to be the aforesaid sta-

tute in the magna charta.

And by a conflitution of archbishop Stratford it is ordered thus: Forasmuch as it happeneth sometimes, that persons dying intestate, the lords of the sees do not permit the debts of the deceased to be paid, out of their moveable goods; we do decree, that none shall henceforth do the same, on pain of the

greater excommunication.

2. By the statute of the 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 11. The persons deputed by the ordinary to administer the goods of intestates, shall have an action to demand and recover as executors, the debts due to the person intestate, in the king's court, for to administer and dispend for the soul of the dead; and shall answer also in the king's court, to other to whom the said dead person was holden and bound, in the same manner as executors shall answer. And they shall be accountable to the ordinaries, as executors be in the case of testament, as well of the time past as the time to come.

But before this act, action laid by the common law, against the deputies or committees of the ordinary, by the

Executors and administrators liable.

of executors, but not by the name of administrators

til this act. 9 Co. 39.

By the statute of the 30 C. 2. c. 7. The executors and unistrators of any person, who as executor in his own wrong, administrator, shall waste or convert any goods chattels estate affets of any person deceased to his own use, shall be liable I chargeable in the same manner, as their testator or in-

ate would have been if he had been living.

And by the statute of the 4 & 5 W. c. 24. For a finuch it bath been a doubt whether the said statute of the 30 C. 2. lextend to the executors and administrators of any executor administrator of right, who for want of privity in law re not before answerable nor could be such for the debts due m the first testator or intestate, notwithstanding that such cutors or administrators had wasted the goods and estate of e first testator or intestate, or converted the same to their un use; it is hereby declared, that all and every the executors administrators of such executor or administrator of right, ho shall waste or convert to their own use goods chattels or tate of their testator or intestate, shall be liable and chargeable the same manner as their testator or intestate should or might we been. f. 12.

Dr Swinburne says, If a testator by his testament doth rarge his executor to pay his debts; the creditors, in spect of such charge, may sue for them in the ecclesi-

Itical court. Swin. 19.

But this (as it seemeth) must be understood, where here are special words in the will so directing it; as if ne testator leave to his creditor such a sum in lieu and tisfaction of his debt, or the like: Otherwise, the suit rust be (as for other debts) in the temporal courts.

3. By the statute of the 3 W. c. 14. Whereas it is not Devisee and heir casonable or just, that by the practice or contrivance of any at law of lands ebtors, their creditors should be defrauded of their just debts; liable. ad nevertheless it hath often so happened, that where several ersons having by bonds or other specialties bound themselves end their heirs, and have afterwards died seised in fee simple f and in manors messuages lands tenements and hereditaments, ir had power to dispose of or charge the same by their wills or testaments, have (to the defrauding of such their creditors) by their last wills or testaments devised the same, or disposed th reof in such manner, as such creditors have lost their said debts: for remedying of which, and for the maintenance of just and upright dealing, it is enacted, that all wills and testaments limitations dispositions or appointments, of or concerning any manors messuages lands tenements or hereditaments or of any Q 4 rent

rent profit term or charge out of the same, whereof any persate the time of his decease shall be seised in see simple in possibility from reversion or remainder, or hath power to dispose of the same by his last will or testament, shall be deemed and take (only as against such creditors as aforesaid their heirs successors executors administrators and assigns) to be fraudulent, a clearly absolutely and utterly woid frustrate and of none essentially pretence, colour, seigned or presumed consideration, or other matter or thing to the contrary notwithstanding. S. 2.

And in the cases before mentioned, all such creditors measure and maintain actions of debt upon their bonds and so cialties, against the heir at law of the obligor and such devisionity; and such devisee shall be liable and chargeable for false plea by him pleaded, in the same manner as any heir shave been for any false plea by him pleaded, or for not confession to the lands or tenements to him descended. S. 2.

Provided, that where there shall be any limitation or pointment, devise or disposition, of or concerning any manufacture, devise or disposition, of or concerning any manufacture, shall be the raising payment of any real and just debts, or any portions or sums money for any child or children of any person other than their at law, according to any marriage contrast or agreement in writing bona side made before such marriage, the same shall be in sull force; and the same manors messuages lands tenement and hereditaments shall be holden and enjoyed by every supperson, his heirs executors administrators and assigns, for what the said limitation appointment devise or disposition was mad, and by his trustee or trustees their heirs executors administrators and assigns, for such estate or interest as shall be so limit or appointed, devised or disposed; until such debt or portions shall be raised and paid. 1. 4.

And whereas feveral persons being heirs at law, to avoid the payment of such just debts, as in regard of the lands descending to them they have by law been liable to pay, have sold aliened or made over the same before any process was or could be issued out against them; it is enacted, that in all cases where any heir at law shall be liable to pay the debt of his ancestor, is regard of any lands tenements or hereditaments descending to him, and shall sell aliene or make over the same, before any action brought or process sued out against him; such heir at law shall be answerable for such debt, in an action of debt, to the value of the said land so by him sold aliened or made over; in which case all creditors shall be preferred as in actions against executors and administrators, and such execution shall be taken out upon any judgment so obtained against such heir, too the value of the said land, as if the same were bis

proper debt; faving that the lands tenements and hereditaics bona fide aliened before the action brought, shall not be

ble to such execution. s. 5.

Provided, that where any action of debt upon any specialty brought against any heir, he may plead tiens per descent at time of the original writ brought, or the bill filed against m; and the plaintiff in such action may reply, that he had nds tenements or hereditaments from his ancestor before the iginal writ brought; or bill filed; and if upon issue joined ereupon it be found for the plaintiff, the jury shall inquire the value of the lands tenements or hereditaments so descended, at thereupon judgment shall be given, and execution shall be varded as aforesaid; but if judgment be given against such it by confession of the action, without confessing the assets demaded, or upon demurrer, or nihil dicit, it shall be for the bt and damages, without any writ to inquire of the lands nements or hereditaments so descended. f. 6.

Provided, that every devisee made liable by this act, shall be and chargeable in the same manner as the heir at law by cree of this act, notwithstanding the lands tenements and heditaments to him devised shall be aliened before the action

rought. 1.7.

M. 12 G. Buckley and Nightingale. An heir that that lands by hereditary descent, shall not be liable for he debt of his ancestor further than to the value of the ends descended: and as soon as he hath paid his anestor's debts to the value of the land, he shall hold the and discharged; otherwise he might be chargeable ad intitum. Str. 665.

And if an heir is fued upon a bond debt of his ancestor, n which he is bound, and he pays the money; the excutor shall reimburse him as far as there are personal sleets of the testator's come to his hands, if it is not otherwise ordered by the will. I Cha. Ca. 74. 2 P. Will.

175.

So if a man mortgages lands, and covenants to pay the money, and dies; the personal estate of the mortgagor shall, in favour of the heir, be applied to exonerate the mortgage. 2 Salk. 449.

Yea, tho' there be no covenant in the deed for the pyment of the mortgage money, yet the personal estate thall be liable in the hands of the executor. 2 Salk. 449.

1 Pern. 436.

If a man dies indebted by bond, and feised in see of divers lands, part of which he devises to one, and other part he permits to descend to his heir (not mentioning

them

them in his will); the lands permitted to descend had be first applied to pay the bond debts. And the reason in because the applying the devised lands to pay the ton debts, would disappoint the will; which equity will no permit, if it can be avoided: Whereas it no way diep. points the will to fay, that the lands not mentioned should be in the first place liable to pay the debts. But it seem it would be otherwise, if the testator had devised the land to his heir at law; for tho' fuch devise were void (2006) the purpose of making the heir take otherwise than he descent), yet it shews the testator's intent, that the had should have the land; and therefore it seemeth that the lands devised to one, and the other lands devised to the heir at law, should in such case contribute in proportion to pay the bond debt. Also, for the abovementions reason, it seemeth that the lands permitted to descend we the heir at law, and not mentioned in the will, shall be applied to pay the bond debts, before a specific legacy; In otherwise the testator's intention should be disappointed

3 P. Will. 367.

How far a charge upon lands for payment of deve shall enure and be in force against purchasers of those lands from the devifee for a valuable confideration, him been made a question. As in the case of Elliot and M. riman, E. 1740. Thomas Smith became indebted to veral persons by bond, and likewise by simple contra-In three of these bonds, Goodwin was bound with him furety; and afterwards Goodwin gave his own bond along to one of the creditors, to whom Smith was bound in fingle bond. Smith being thus indebted made his will and in the beginning of it fay, "My will is, that all my " debts be paid; and I do charge all my lands with the " payment thereof." After which, by another clause in the faid will, he gave " all his real and personal estate to "Goodwin, to hold to him, his heirs, executors, admi-" nistrator, and assigns, chargeable nevertheless with " payment of all his debts and legacies." Of this will he made Gudwin executor. The testator died in 1724. Goodwin proved the will; and in that same year fold freehold estate of the testator's to Hunt; in the year following fold a leafchold of the testator's to White; and in 1727 fold another estate of the testator's, confishing of both freehold and leasehold, to Merryman. In the several deeds, by which these estates were conveyed from Goodwin to the purchasers, the will of Smith was recited; and to one of those deeds Elliot, a creditor of Smith, was

These lands were sold in the bourhood by publick auction. At the time of these the creditors all of them either lived in the town Gedwin lived, or within three or four miles of it. the time, and till the year 1730, the credirent on regularly, receiving their interest, which was per cent, of Goodwin. Goodwin was a solvent man 73, and then he became a bankrupt. In 1734, the or of Smith brought their bill, against the purchathefeland, against Goodwin, and against the afcounder his commission of bankruptcy, in order to a fit of ction of their debts out of those lands which fold by Goodwin .- By the master of the rolls: It is A impossible to make a determination in the present but that it must fall out unfortunately on the one y or the other. The dispute arising between credion the one side, and purchasers on the other, both forms of persons are intitled to the favour of this r, ud in the present case, a missortune must fall one of them. On whom it is to fall, is the quef-And this is a question, that must so frequently happened, that it is extraordinary to find no deteration directly in point. The case is this: Smith, bepollessed of a real and personal estate, was indebted leveral persons by bond; in three of which bonds, huin was bound with him as surety; and he had conled likewise some other debts; and being thus inhe makes his will, and charges his real and persoclare with the payment of his debts and legacies, and her his devisee executor. It is true indeed, the words the will do not amount to a devise of the lands to be Mor payment of the debts; and they only import a the upon them for that purpose. However, this is In a devise, as is within the meaning of the proviso of letute of fraudulent devises, and does interrupt the cent to the heir at law. The testator died in 1724. when paid interest for the debts regularly till 1730. the testator's death, three sales of this estate were de by Goodwin; one, of an estate which was intirely shold; another, of an estate intirely leasehold; and a confisting of freehold and leafehold both. The I in general is brought by the creditors of Smith against e purchaser, in order to have a payment of their debts to the lands of Smith, which were fold to them by whin.—With regard to the leasehold estate, the case is tremely plain that the fale of that must stand, and that

that the creditors cannot have a fatisfaction out of it, the it can admit of no manner of doubt. The executors the proper persons, that by law have a power to dilp of a testator's personal estate. It is indeed true, that p fonal estate may be cloathed with such a particular tru that it is possible the court in some cases may require purchaser of it to see the money rightly applied. I unless there is some such particular trust, or a fra d the case, it is impossible to say but the sale of the per of estate, when made by an executor, must stand; and after the fale is made, the creditors cannot break in up it. I will now confider the other fales that have be made, and will examine them, first, upon the genu rules of the court; and in the next place, upon the ticular circumstances which this case is attended will With regard to the first of these matters, the general in is, that if a trust directs that land should be fold for t payment of debts generally, the purchaser is not bound fee that the money be rightly applied. On the on hand, if the trust directs, that lands should be fold the payment of certain debts, mentioning in particular whom those debts were owing; the purchaser is bound fee that the money be applied for the payment of the debts. The present case indeed does not fall within eith of these rules; because here lands are not given to be so for payment of debts, but are only charged with fun payment. However, the question is, whether that of cumstance makes any difference: and I think it doth no And if such a distinction were to be made, the conquence would be, that whenever lands are charged with the payment of debts generally, they could never be di charged of that trust without a suit in this court; which would be extremely inconvenient. No instances has been produced to shew, that in any other respect th charging lands with payment of debts differs from the d recting them to be fold for fuch a purpose; and therefor there is no reason that there should be a difference elli blished in this respect. The only objection that seems to be of weight with regard to this matter is, that when lands are appointed to be fold for the payment of deb generally, the trust may be faid to be performed as foo as those lands are fold; but where they are only charge with the payment of debts, it may be faid, that the tru is not performed till those debts are discharged. And I far indeed is true, that where lands are charged with the payment of annuities, those lands will be charged in th

of the purchaser; because it was the very purpose making the lands a fund for that payment, that it hald be a constant and subsisting fund: But where lands rnot burdened with fuch a fubfifting charge, the purther ought not to be bound to look to the application othe money. And that seems to be the true distinction. - laving thus confidered the case under the general rule, Ill now confider it under the particular circumstances the attend it. And the particular circumstances are such, ore far from strengthening the plaintiffs case, but rathe contrary. One of those circumstances is, the orth of time the plaintiffs have lain by, without at all milting on any charge upon these estates. Goodwin was a plyent man till his bankruptcy in 1732. Here have three purchases of these estates, made at different les; one, in 1724; another, in 1725; and the third, 1727. The first of them was made by Hunt, the sed by White, and the third by Merryman. During all le transactions, the plaintiffs do not mention one word their charge upon this estate; but, on the contrary, rularly received their interest of Goodwin, till the year 130. 'Tis true indeed, that there is no express proof, t the plaintiffs knew of these purchases, but there is fon to imagine that they did. The purchases were ade in the neighbourhood by publick auction. Some of creditors lived in the same town that Goodwin did; I all of them lived within three or four miles of him. Ad Elliot, one of the creditors, was a subscribing witis to one of the purchase deeds. The want of notice o, on the part of the purchasers, is a considerable cirmstance in their favour. It is indeed true, that they Inotice that there were debts chargeable upon this ate; but it does not appear they knew to whom those cots were owing. Another circumstance is, that Goodn was a co-obligor in three of these bonds, and to anher of the obligees he afterwards gave his bond alone, hich may well be confidered as a satisfaction for that md. By this it appears, that the creditors greatly red upon Goodwin for their paymaster; and there is not uch reason therefore, that they should now be allowed refort to the testator's estate. Upon the whole, I am opinion, that the plaintiffs bill must be dismissed, and with costs, as against White; there being no manner pretence for the plaintiffs to come upon that estate, it ing all leafehold, and fold to White by the executor, ho by law is the proper person intrusted to dispose of the

testator's personal estate. However, with regard to rest of the defendants, I will only dismiss the bill re rally, without costs.—And so it was decreed. Cha. Ca. 78.

Lands devised to for payment of debts, one of them may fell.

4. By the statute of the 21 H. 8. c. 4. Whereas divers to be fold persons, having other persons seised to their uses of and in h and other hereditaments to and for the declaration of their w have by their last wills and testaments willed and declared, their lands tenements or other hereditaments to be fold by to executors, as well for the payment of their debts, performe of their legacies, necessary and convenient finding of their was virtuous bringing up and advancement of their children to riage, and also for other charitable deeds to be done by their ecutors for the health of their fouls; and notwithstanding trust and confidence so by them put in their said executor, hath oftentimes been seen, where such last wills and testand of such lands and other hereditaments have been declared, in the same divers executors named and made, that after the cease of such testators, some of the said executors willing to complish the trust and confidence that they were put in by faid testator, have accepted and taken upon them the charge the said testament, and have been ready to fulfil and perform things contained in the same; and the residue of the same cutors, uncharitably, contrary to the trust that they were in, have refused to intermeddle in any wife with the execut of the said will and testament, or with the sale of such land willed to be fold by the testator: And forasmuch as a barn and sale of such lands tenements or other hereditaments so led by any person to be sold by his executors after his decease, cording to the opinion of divers persons can in no wife be go effectual in the law, unless the same bargain and sale be ma the whole number of the executors named for the same; by re son whereof; as well the debts of such testators have rested paid, to the great danger and peril of the souls of such ten tors, and to the great hindrance and many times to the utter u doing of their creditors; as also the legacies and bequests me by the testator to his wife and children, and for other charit deeds to be done for the wealth of the foul of the same testal that made the same testament have been also unperformed, well to the extreme misery of the wife and children of the Ja testator, as also to the let of performance of other charitae deeds for the wealth of the foul of the faid testator, to the d pleasure of almighty God: For remedy whereof, it is enaction that where part of the executors named in any fuch testame t any such person so making or declaring any such will of a lands tenements or other hereditaments to be fold by his execut r For the death of any such testator, do refuse to take upon him them the administration and charge of the same testament and I will wherein they be so named to be executors, and the resie of the same executors do accept and take upon them the care d charge of the same testament and last will; that then all rgains and sales of such lands tenements or other hereditaments uilled to be fold by the executors of any fuch testator by fuch the executors as shall accept and take upon him or them such re or charge of administration of the same testament, shall be good and effectual in the law, as if all the residue of the me executors named in the faid testament, so refusing the adinistration of the same testament, had joined with him or them the making of such bargain and sale.

5. A man devised his land to be fold after his death, by In what case the is executor. One tenders to him a certain sum of mo- heir may enter ey for the lands, but not to the value; and the executor for the condition fterwards held the land in his own hand two years, to he intent to fell the same dearer to some other, and took ne profits all this while to his own use. Here the execuor is to make the sale as soon as he can; and if he do iot, the heir of the devisor may enter: for he took the profits here to his own use, not as affets. But if a man levise, that his executor shall sell his land, there he may sell it any time, for that he hath but a bare power and no

profit. Litt. sect. 383.

A person seised in see, deviseth the land to his executors to pay his debts, and dies: if his executors pay not every debt which the testator owed upon demand, the heir of the testator may enter for the condition broken; because in law it is a devise upon condition. I Roll's Abr.

But the chancery may relieve, upon the payment of

such debt afterwards.

6. Stratf. All who shall give away or alienate their goods Fraudulent alieand children; and all who shall counsel the same, or assist theretors. in, or receive the faid goods; shall incur the penalty of the reater excommunication: and the giver shall not have christian burial. And no other proof shall be required, that the gift or a ienation was malicious or fraudulent, but that enough doub not rimain for the purposes abovesaid. Lind. 161.

7. By the statute of the 43 El. c. 8. Forasmuch as it Fraudulent adis of en put in ure to the defrauding of creditors, that such per-ministrations to defeat creditors, for as are to have the administration of the goods of others dying intestate committed unto them, if they require it, will not as pt the free but suffer or procure the administration to be

granted

Affets.

granted to some stranger of mean estate, and not of kin to the intestate; from whom themselves, or others by their means, de take deeds of gift, and authorities by letters of attorney, where they obtain the state of the intestate into their hands, and you stand not subject to any debts owing by the intestate; it is en acted, that every person who shall obtain any goods or debts any person dying intestate, upon any fraud as is aforesaid in without such consideration as shall amount to the value of the same goods or debts, or near thereabouts (except it be in satur faction of some just and principal debt of the value of the some goods or debts to him owing by the intestate at the time of his the cease), shall be charged, so far as those goods and debts tone fatisfy, as executor of his own wrong.

8. Assets are of two sorts; the one assets by descent, to other affets in hand. Affets by defcent is, where a man in bound in an obligation, and dies seised of lands in see fimple, which descend to his heir, then his land shall be called affets (affez, fatis) that is, enough or sufficient to pay the same debt; and by that means the heir shall be charged, as far as the land fo to him descended will stretch. Affets in hand is, when a man in like manual indebted makes executors, and leaves them fufficient to pay, or some commodity or profit is come unto them to right of their testator; this is called affets in their hands

Terms of the Law.

There is also another division of assets, into legal and equitable affets: Legal affets are such as are liable to debut and legacies by the course of law; equitable affets are such as are only liable by the help of a court of equity.

So also there are real and personal affets: Real affets are fuch as concern the land; perfonal are fuch as concern the

personal estate only.

If a man deviseth land to be fold; neither the money thereof coming, nor the profits of the land for any time to be taken, shall be accounted as any of the goods and chattels of such person deceased. 21 H. 8. c. 5. s.

But if a man deviseth land to be fold by one for payment of his debts and legacies, and maketh the same perfon his executor, and dies; the money made by fuch perfon upon the fale of the land, shall be affets in his hand.

I Roll's Abr. 920.

But otherwise it is, where the land is devised to be sold by the executor and others; for there the money shall not be affets: for they are not trusted with it as executors. Roll's Abr. 920. That is, it shall not be affets at law, but it shall be affets in equity. I Abr. Cas. Eq. 141.

So land articled by the testator in his life time to be ld, is as money. 1 Salk. 154.

If there is a mortgage in fee, and two descents cast, and there is more due on it than the value of the land, and to the mortgagor says he will not redeem; yet it shall to the executor, and not to the heir, the equity of remption not being foreclosed or released. Tabor and rover. M. 1699. 2 Vern. 367.

But if a mortgagee in fee enters for a forfeiture, and ter some years enjoyment absolutely sells the land to S. and his heirs; this estate shall not be looked upon a mortgage in the hands of J S, but shall go to his ir, and not to his executor. Cotton and Isles. M. 1684.

Vern. 271.

A man having several mortgages, one in see, on which entred for a forseiture, devised those lands which were ortgaged in see to his two daughters and their heirs, at the mortgages to them their executors and adminitrators. One of the daughters died: her share of the ads which were mortgaged in see, shall go to here, and not to her executors; for it was the testator's tent that those lands should pass as a real estate, tho tween him and a mortgager they were but a mortgage. Type and Mordaunt. H. 1706. 2 Vern. 581.

If the heir of the mortgagee forecloses the mortgagor, et the land shall go to the executor, unless the heir thinks t to pay him the mortgage money; and then he may

we the benefit of the mortgage. 2 Vern. 67.

If the lands are devised to one for life, remainder to nother in fee, and the lands are charged with the paynent of a sum of money, either by a former devise, rent harge, or mortgage; the tenant for life shall contribute nd pay a proportionable part of such sum. Hayes and layes. H. 25 C. 2. 1 Ch. Ca. 223.

And in the case of Cornish and Mew. II. 27 & 28 C. 2. was decreed, that the tenant for life should contribute ne third, and he in remainder two thirds to redeem.

Cha. Ca. 271.

The fame day in another cause, where a jointress was flands mortgaged, it was decreed, that the jointress aying the mortgage, should hold over till she and her xecutors were repaid with interest. Bertue and Style. Cha. Ca. 271.

Alfo where the mortgagee devised the mortgaged lands o A for life, remainder to B. in see, and the mortgagor Vol. IV. R redeemed

redeemed the land; it was decreed, that A. Should have one third, and B two thirds of the mortgage money

Brent and Best. M. 1682. I Vern. 70.

Lands in mortgage are devised to A for life, remainder to B in fee. A dies; and a bill being brought against his executors, it was held, that tho' A in his life time might have been compelled to contribute one third towards payment of the mortgage, in respect of his estate for life, yet his executor shall be obliged to contribute only in proportion to the time that A, his testator enjoyed it. Characteristics

and Batteson. T. 1686. I Vern. 404.

When upon a mortgage, money is made payable to the heir or executor; there, before the day or at the day of payment, the mortgagor hath election to pay it to which he pleases; but after the day of payment is over, and the mortgage forseited by law; tho' equity doth give the mortgagor relief, so as upon the payment of the money he shall have his land, yet equity will not revive the election of the mortgagor to pay it to the heir or executor but then he shall be forced to pay it to the executor, because it came out of the personal estate of the testator, and thither it shall return. But if in the mortgage nether heir nor executor is mentioned; then after the deat of the mortgagee, the law determines it to be paid to the executor. 2 Freem. 20.

If a man is seised of an advowson in see, and the church doth become void; the void turn is a chattel: and if the patron dieth before he doth present, the advowson dot not go to his heir, but to his executor. Wass. c. 9.

If the grant of the next avoidance be to one, his heir and affigns; yet it is but a chattel, and shall go to the executors: for where the thing it self is a chattel, the word heirs shall not make it an inheritance. Wats. c. 10.

M. 4 G. 2. Robinson and Tonge. Decreed, that an advowson in see is assets in the hands of the heir for payment of debts. And the decree was affirmed in the house of lords. Stra. 879. 3 P. Will. 399.

In the case of Oldham and Pickering, M. 8 W. It was adjudged, than an estate pur auter vie, although it be assets (by the statute of frauds and perjuries) for the payment of debts; yet it is not distributable, nor subject to the payment of legacies. 2 Salk. 464. L. Raym. 96.

But by the statute of the 14 G. 2. c. 20. Whereas doubts have arisen on the said statute of frauds and perjuries,

rulere

we no devise of estates pur auter vie hath been made, to om the surplus of such estates after the debts of such deceased ners thereof are paid shall belong, it is enasted, that such mes pur auter vie, in case there be no special occupant thereof which no devise shall have been made according to the last, or so much thereof as shall not have been so devised, all go and be applied and distributed in the same manner as personal estate of the testator or intestate.

If an executor has a *lease* for years of land, of the vaof 201 a year, rendring rent of 101 a year; it is affets his hands only for 101 over and above the rent. *Cro.*

If an executor renew, he shall account for the new see as well as the old, for the benefit of the creditors.

Cha. Ca. 208.

Affets in *Ireland* are affets in England: and so, it hath en resolved, that if the executor hath goods of the testor in any part of the world, he shall be charged in rest of them. *Cro. Fa.* 55. 6 *Co.* 46.

So an estate in the plantations is testamentary, and assets

pay debts. 2 Ventr. 358.

Bonds and specialties are no assets, until the money is id. I Ventr. 96.

If an executor recover damages in trespass for goods on away in the life of the testator; this (when recovered) Ill be assets: because he recovers it as executor. I Roll's r. 920.

If an executor recovers (as executor) things in chany by equity; these things so recovered shall be assets. Roll's Abr. 920.

A debt due from an executor to a testator, is assets in uity to pay legacies. 3 Cha. Ca. 89.

The interest which a master hath in a fervant is not ets in the hands of an executor; for a servant whose after is dead, is legally discharged, and is not servant her to the heir or executor; but meet and honest it is, at one of them continue him in service, till a sit time providing for him a new master; and sit for him, not depart suddenly. Went. 55.

But

But the interest which one hath in an apprentice, is chattel personal, and shall go to the executors. Law Test. 378, 379. Went. 55. 2 Bac. Abr. 416, 443.

T. 17 C. 2. Walker and Hall. An action was brough against the executor, upon the covenant of the testat to teach an apprentice his trade; and after verdict for t plaintiff, it was moved in arrest of judgment, that the covenant was personal to the testator, and did not obli the executors, but only obliged the master during his I to teach the apprentice. But by the court: It oblig the executors also, and they ought to see the apprenti taught his trade; and if they be not of the trade, th ought to assign him to another that is of the trade, so th he may be taught according to the covenant. And jud ment was given for the plaintiff. I Lev. 177.

The interest in the liberty of a prisoner in executi for debt, is a chattel perfonal, and shall go to the ex cutors. Law of Test. 378. 2 Bac. Abr. 416.

If an executor puts in fuit a bond of 100 l for perfe mance of covenants, and the parties submit to an awar and it is awarded that the obligor shall pay 701 in fu satisfaction, and that the executor shall release, which done accordingly; it is faid, that the executor shall taken to have affets to the value of the whole 100 1: as tho' by the award he was compelled to release, it was I own act to submit to the arbitrament. 3 Leon. 53.

A reversion expectant upon an estate for life, is asse in the hands of the heir: but the creditor cannot comp the heir to fell it, but must wait till it falls. I Abr. E Caf. 275.

In what case the lands and the

q. If there be a debt due to the king, equity will ord it to be paid out of the real estate, that the other cree personalty shall it to be paid out of the real estate, that the other cree be charged in aid tors may have a satisfaction for their debts out of the pe of each other. Sonal estate. I Vent. 455. sonal estate. 1 Vent. 455.

> A mortgage is a charge upon the personal estate, well as upon the lands mortgaged; and the personal esta is primarily liable: for a mortgage is a general debt, ar

the land is only as a security. Tr. Atk. 487.

If one dies indebted by mortgage and simple contract and one of the fimple contract creditors gets judgme: of affets when they shall happen, and the executor a plieth the affets to pay off the mortgage; the fimple con tract creditors shall sand in the place of the mortgage to what he hath exhausted out of the personal affets; nd this being only by the aid of equity, all the simple ontract creditors shall come in equally with the creditor 1st hath judgment. Wilson and Fielding, M. 1718.

Vern. 763.

So in the case of Haselwood and Pope, T. 1734; it was ecreed, that if a man deviseth his lands to trustees to ly all his debts and dies indebted by specialty and simple ontract, and the bond creditors recover part of their ebts out of the personal estate, and afterwards they aply to be paid the rest of their bond debts out of the real tate devised for that purpose; in this case, as the testar intended all his creditors should be equally paid their this, the bond creditors shall not come in upon the nd, until the simple contract creditors have received so such thereout, as to make them equal, and upon the vel with the bond creditors, in respect of what they retived out of the personal estate. It was also decreed, nat where one gives a specific, or even a pecuniary legav, and deviseth lands to pay his debts; if a simple conact creditor comes upon the personal estate, and exrusts it so far, as to break in upon the specific or pecufary legacy, these legatees shall stand in the place of the reditors, to receive their fatisfaction out of the fund ised by the testator for the payment of their debts: But there a man dies indebted by bond, and leaves a perso-Il estate, and deviseth lands to one in see, and gives pecific legacies, and the creditor by bond comes on the ersonal estate to be paid his bond; the specific legatees will not stand in the place of the bond creditor, to charge land devised, because the devisee of the land is as ruch a specific devisee, as the legatee of a specific lega-And in this cause the lord chancellor said, that the ersonal estate is the natural fund for payment of debts, nd which as against creditors, unless they please, the Mator cannot exempt; but against the devisee of his and he may, by appropriating his land as a fund for payment of his debts; but even in that case, according to he general rule, there ought to be express words to exmpt the personal estate from the debts, or at least very hinly shewing this to have been the intention of the tesalor. 3 P. Will. 322.

So where a man devised all his freehold houses lands nd hereditaments to trustees, to hold to them in trust, the freehold estate should be subject to, and be sold and disposed of by them, for payment of his just debts;

R. 3

and after disposing of some particular legacies, he gave to his nephew the rest and residue of his goods, chattels debts, rights, credits, and personal estate not before dis posed of. Hereupon the question was, whether the per fonal estate should be first applied to the payment of the debts, notwithstanding the real estate was expresly devise. for that purpose. The counsel for the defendants (who were the trustees and residuary legatee) insisted, that the re: I estate being not only made subject, but directed to b fold for payment of the debts, the personal estate shoulnot be applied for that purpose. But by the whole cour of exchequer, Here being no negative words to exclude the personal estate from being applied for the payment o debts, that ought first to be applied for the benefit of the heir at law (who was the plaintiff); and decreed accordingly. Bunb. 302.

And by the lord chancellor Hardwicke, in the case o Walker and Jackson, Jul. 22. 1743; upon a rehearing a Lincoln's inn hall: The general rule is, that the person estate shall be first charged with payment of debts and leg cies, and the testator cannot exempt it from being liable to his debts, as against creditors; but as between heir and exe cutor, he may charge them upon any other fund which is not primarily liable, and discharge the personal estate There are several ways, by any of which a man may give his real estate for payment of his debts; as, first, to trus tees; fecondly, by way of charge in equity, which th court of chancery will decree to be performed; or, third ly, he may direct that his real estate may be fold for pay ment of his debts: but let him do which way he please none of those ways will make the real estate first charge able, if there be not in the will, either express words, o a manifest intent to discharge the personal estate, but i

shall be first liable. Bunb. 302.

In what case both executors shall be charged, where one only hath assets. 10. In an action of debt against two executors, if they plead severally by several attorneys sully administred, and the jury find that the one hath assets, and that the other hath not any assets; the judgment shall be only against him who is found to have assets, and that the other who has not assets shall go quit. I Roll's Abr. 929.

But where two executors join in an acquittance, but one only receives the money; both are chargeable for it as to creditors, who are to have the utmost benefit of the law: but the actual receiver (it is said) is only chargeable as to legatees or persons claiming under distribution for the substantial part is the actual receiving of the mo-

y, and this only is regarded in conscience. By Harburt lord chancellor. M. 12 An. Churchill and Hopson. Salk. 318.

11. Generally, if the debts are in equal degree; the exe- What debts to be utor may give the preference unto which he will. 10 first satisfied,

Tod. 496.

So that if all the goods are but 201, and debts are due two by obligation, each of 201'; the executor may pay which of them two he will. Br. Executor. 172.

But in this case the chancery will sometimes interpose; ecause this power may be an inlet to fraud. 10 Mod.

In like manner, the executor may allow unto himfelf is own debt, in prejudice of other debts in equal degree; provided that he hath made an inventory, and provided ne be not executor of his own wrong. Swin. 459. 2 Bac. Abr. 435.

And the court of chancery will not take from the exeutor himself, this preference which the law gives him.

10 Mod. 496.

But in both these cases, if the debt of the one be payable at a future day, and of the other presently; the executor cannot prefer such future debt, and pay it before the day of payment comes, and leave the other unpaid. But after the day happens, he may prefer either; unless in case of a suit commenced before the day. Went. 142.

But amongst executors themselves, or joint administrators; one executor or administrator may not prefer his own debt, before the debt of another executor or administrator, being in equal degree. Thus in the case of Chapman and Turner, in chancery, Feb. 26. 1738. Two bond creditors, A and B, took joint letters of administration. A got into his hands best part of the assets, and retained for his own debt against B. On a bill for an account, the question was, whether A by this had got such a legal advantage, as to be intitled to keep the affets, and fo B lose his debt? By the master of the rolls: The rule of this court in cases of retainer is, Unless the party can shew a legal cause to retain, we never give it him; if he can shew a legal right, we never take it from him. The question then is, whether at law this be a good retainer? At law, no doubt, an executor or administrator hath a right, in case of debts in equal degree, to prefer one to another, and to retain for his own in the first place against any other creditor; and the reason is, because if retainer were not allowed, an executor in case of a de-

R 4

ficiency of assets would have no possible way of obtaining fatisfaction for his debt; for at law there is no fuch thin as splitting of debt, or making a ratable proportion, an therefore he cannot come in upon an average with th rest of the creditors, nor has the advantage of another creditor, who by bringing his action in due time may re cover his debt, tho' there be not enough affets at last to answer all demands upon the testator; for he cannot su himself. So that this privilege of retainer is founded or the policy of the common law, that executors may no be deprived of one advantage without having another in lieu of it, and that they may not be in a worse condition than all mankind besides. But this is not a case between an executor or administrator, and a creditor; but be tween two joint administrators, who are both in the same condition in all respects. No authority hath been cite in this case, to support a retainer by one administrator against the other, nor do I see how there ever could be one; because an administrator can bring no fort of action against his companion, wherein this point might have been fettled at law. Neither doth the reason of the law justify such a retainer; for administrators are considered but as one person in law; the possession of the one is the possession of the other; the receipt of one is the receipt of the other; and therefore the retainer of one must be confidered as the retainer of the other; and must enurfor their mutual benefit in the discharge of the debts of both in proportion. Then the confequence would be very bad, were a retainer allowable in this case; for administrators must fight for the assets, if getting the sole possession would intitle either to a separate right in them. So that, as no legal right of retainer has been shewn, the rule must take place, that he who cannot retain in law cannot in equity. The plaintiff is intitled to an equal distribution of the affets, being an equal creditor, according to conscience and equity; and the defendant must be decreed to account. Viner. Executors. D. 2.

Another difference, where debts are in equal degree, is faid to be, that regularly that debt shall be paid first for which suit is commenced, and not that for which no suit is commenced; for after a suit begun, the executor (it hath been holden) may not excuse himself by any voluntary payments. 2 Cha. Ca. 201. 2 Vern. 62.

Yet it is faid, that the executor, before notice of fuch fuit, may pay any other creditor in equal degree; and then plead, that he hath fully administred before notice.

Br. Executors. 43. Went. 146.

And in the case of Mason and Williams, it was held by sowper lord chancellor, that pending a bill in equity cainst an executor, or after a decree quod computet, an executor may pay any other debt of a higher nature, or shigh a nature, if there be legal affets; but if he hath ally equitable affets, then the court of chancery will not idemnify him, and suffer him to prejudice and disappoint pe first suitor. 2 Salk. 507.

If there be two creditors in equal degree, and both fue; the executor doth by covin help that creditor which egan his fuit last to his judgment or execution first, and here be no assets lest to pay the other creditor, he must be satisfied out of the executor's own estate, if this covin the proved against him. But the confession of an action the executor, where there is a real debt, is no covin and such recovery by confession is a good plea for the

xecutor against another creditor. Swin. a. 459.

In the case of Foseph and Mott, M. 1697. A man nade his will, and died indebted to feveral persons by ond, more than his personal estate would pay. A bond reditor brought a bill against the executor, to have a licovery and account of the personal estate, and a satisaction of his debt. At the hearing, the executor made lefault; so there was a decree against him for an account nd fatisfaction out of the affets, unless cause shewed: Refore the decree was made absolute, another bond creitor of the testator brought an action at law against the xecutor, upon a bond. He appeared, and because he fould not plead this decree at law, fuffered judgment to to against him by default. And the account being carled on before the master, it was doubted whether he thould allow this judgment on the account. The master of the rolls was of opinion, that the decree must be preferred. And it coming to be reheard before the lord chancellor, he was of the same opinion. Prec. Ch. 79.

But in the case of Darston and the earl of Orford, H. 1701. After a bill filed in chancery, against an executor for a discovery of assets, and answer put in, the executor voluntarily paid a bond debt without suit. The cause proceeded to a hearing, and an account was decreed. And the question was, whether this voluntary payment, pending a suit here, should be allowed on the account. And the lord keeper Wright thought the payment ought to be allowed; but this being a point of conlequence, he ordered precedents to be searched. Afterwards, 3 June, 1702, on precedents produced on both

fides, his lordship seemed to be of the same opinion, be said the case of Joseph and Mott was a precedent again him, but thought that to be a direct change of the law. The next day (upon consideration of the precedents) he lordship said he was bound up by them, and therefore decreed the payment (being voluntary) to be disallowed but seemed to disapprove of the case of Joseph and Month where the judgment at law was fairly obtained. After wards, 21 Nov. 1702, this decree was reversed in the house of lords, and the payment allowed. Prec. Chin 188. 3 P. Will. 401.

So in the case of Waring and Danvers, M. 1715. Th plaintiff, a simple contract creditor of the testator, bring an action on a special original against the executor, i order to recover his debt. The other simple contral creditors offered the plaintiff to come in for his propor tion of his debt with them; but having first filed his ori ginal, he infifted on his whole debt, in preference to th rest. Upon which, the executor and the other simple contract creditors entered into articles, agreeing, the first the executor should be paid his debts, and then the all the simple contract creditors should equally share the affets amongst them, exclusive of the plaintiff. And order to bar the plaintiff at law, the executor gave jude ment in the feveral quantum meruits brought by the other fimple contract creditors, for the several sums which wer laid as damages in the declarations, without afcertaining the damages by writ of inquiry, but those damages wer fo laid as not to exceed the real debt. Upon this, th plaintiff brought his bill. But the master of the roll dismissed the bill, without costs, it being a hard case but afterwards, on confideration, he gave costs. the decree was affirmed by the lord chancellor. master of the rolls said, if the plaintiff defired it, h would fend it to the master to see whether the judgment confessed to the other creditors be more than their rea debts; but the plaintiff not thinking it worth his while the court decreed as above. I P. Will. 295.

In the case of Barker and Dumeres, Jan. 29. 1740. Robert Dumeres died intestate, and on his death Edward Dumeres, who was a relation of his, applied for administration. Barker, who was a creditor of Robert by bond opposed the granting of the administration to Edward, by reason of his insufficiency, and his intention of going over to Jersey. However, administration was granted to him. This administration was in some measure grantee

Elward by the leave of this court. Barker had enred a caveat against its being granted to him, tho' that aveat was afterwards withdrawn. But as there were hese objections against him, Barker filed his bill against im the 31st of October last, for the payment of his lebt; and prayed that he might give security to abide hat determination. His answer came on the 27th of the next month; and an order was made that he should find uch fecurity, which he accordingly did. After this, Merwho was another bond-creditor to the intestate, brought is action at law against Edward, and Edward confessed judgment to him in michaelmas term in that fame year n 4000 l. This occasioned Barker's bringing his action it law against Edward, upon the same bond for which he bill was brought here; in order that Edward might not confess judgments to other persons, before he could get judgment against him. As soon as this action was brought, a motion was made on the part of Edward, praying that Barker might make his election, which court he would proceed in, whether at law or in equity.—By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: It is very true, that it is the general rule of this court, that a person shall not be allowed to proceed both at law and in equity, for one and the same demand, at one and the same time. But notwithstanding that, it is as certain, that by the ancient course of the court, a person was allowed to bring his action at law against the representative of the deceased, and at the same time to bring his bill here in order to have a discovery of assets; tho' now it is established, that if the party proceeds in equity against such representative, his bill must be both for a discovery of assets, and a satisfaction for his debt; and he shall not be allowed to proceed both at law and in equity. And where the party has proceeded in both courts, feveral orders have been, requiring him to make his election. But where the court fees that the representative is confessing judgments, that is a reason (and in my judgment shall always be a reason) that the court will not require the party to make his election. The courts of law diffinguish the case of executors, in instances similar to this, from other cases. Therefore tho' the constant course of those courts is, on reafonable circumstances, to give a defendant farther time to plead, shan he is obliged to plead in by the strict rule of the court; yet when an executor applies for this favour, the time for pleading shall not be inlarged, but by his confenting not to confess judgments in the mean time.

'Tis indeed true, that an executor in some instances may honeftly confess judgments to other creditors; as when he does it to prevent his being doubly charged, or the like: but when this court fees, that he doth this in order to elade its orders, the court will never permit it. Now what is the nature of the present case? The original will was filed the 31st of October last. The answer of Ed. ward came in on the 27th of November following. And in the beginning of michaelmas term here is a judgment confessed by Edward to Merry, in 4000 l. The administration it self was in some measure granted to Edward by the leave of this court. Barker had entred a cave t against its being granted to him, tho' that caveat was a terwards withdrawn. The proper order for the court to make in this case is, that Barker make a special election, namely, to proceed at law to recover judgment there, and to proceed in this court for a discovery, and an account of assets, but that he shall not be at liberty to take out execution upon the judgment without leave of this court. And it was ordered accordingly. Barn. Cha. Ca. 277.

Forfeiture for not burying in woollen.

Funeral ex-

12. By the statute of the 30 C. 2. c. 3. The forseture for not burying in woollen shall be paid out of the estate of the person deceased; before any statute, judgment, debt, legacy, or any other duty what soever. s. 4.

13. Next the funeral expences shall be paid.

But in Shelley's case, T. 5 W. it is said, that in strictures, no funeral expences are allowable against a creditor, except for the cossin, ringing the bell, parson, clerand bearers sees; but not for pall or ornaments. I Sale. [Perhaps the expences of the shroud, and digging the grave ought also to have been added.]

Overfeer of the poor dying.

14. By the statute of the 17 G. 2. c. 38. If any over-feer of the poor shall die, his executors or administrators shall within forty days after his decease, pay out of the assets all money remaining due, which he received by virtue of his office, before any of his other debts are paid. s. 2.

Charges of probate or admistration. 15. Next to these, as it seemeth, come the charges of the probate of the will, or of the letters of administra-

Debts due to the king on record.

be discharged; and it is not in the choice of the executor, to prefer any other debt due to any subject. Swin.

Which must be understood of such debts as are due to the king only by matter of record, and not of sums of money due to the king upon wood-sales, or sales of his minerals, inerals, for which no obligation is given; or of amerceients in his courts baron or courts of his honours, which not courts of record; or of fines for copyhold estates tere; or of forfeitures to the crown of debts by contract ue to any subject by outlawry or attainder, until office ereupon found. Swin. a. 455, 456. 2 Bac. Abr.

17. By the statute of the 9 An. c. 10. Debts due to the Debts due to post Il office for letters, shall be preferable in payment before any office.

bt due to any private person. 1. 30.

18. Next, debts due to private persons upon judgments Judgments. gainst the deceased in his life; and after those, debts pon judgments (altho' by mere confession, and without efence) against the executor or administrator for the debts the deceased. Law of Ex. 39. Treatise of Eq. 112.

But it is faid, that the executor is not bound to take otice of judgments against the testator in his life, withut being made acquainted therewith by the creditors; or the executor is no way privy to his acts. I And. 159.

And if the judgment is satisfied and is only kept on pot to wrong other creditors, or if there be any defeaince of the judgment yet in force; then the judgment vill not avail to keep off other creditors from their debts.

win. a. 456. 2 Bac. Abr. 433.

And of two judgments, he who first sues execution nust be preferred; but before, it is at the election of the xecutor to pay which he will first: Only, a judgment n a foreign country, as France, is to be confidered but as simple contract. Treat. of Eq. 112. Swin. a. 436.

And it is not necessary, that the judgment be limited o the courts at Westminster; but if it be obtained in iny court of record, which hath power to hold plea by harter or prescription of debt above 40 s, it is sufficient. For tho' upon fuch a judgment execution cannot there be had, but of such goods as are within the jurisdiction of that court; yet if the record be removed into chancery by a certiorari, and there by mittimus into one of the benches, then execution may be had upon any goods in any county of England. Swin. a. 456.

But a judgment not doggeted, as by the 4 & 5 W. c. 20. shall not affect any lands as to purchasers or mortgagees; or have any preference against heirs, executors, or administrators, in the administration of the estates of their ancestors, tef-

tators, or inteffates.

Which act, in order to render more easy the finding of such judgment entred, directs in what manner alphabetical lists shall be made of judgments by confession, non faminformatus, or nihil dicit, in any of the courts of record at Westminster; to which any person may resort, on paying 4d and no more.

Decree in equity.

19. In the case of *Harding* and *Edge*, *H*. 1682. In the chancery. Upon a special report, the sole question was, how a duty decreed should take place in relation to other debts in point of priority of satisfaction; and ordered, that a decree should precede debts on simple contracts and bonds, and take place next to judgments. I *Vern.* 143.

But in the case of *Peploe* and *Swinburn*, *M*. 1719. In the exchequer. It was decreed, that creditors by judgment at law, and creditors by decree in equity, shall be

paid equally without any preference. Bunb. 48.

And it is now become the established doctrine, that a decree of the court of chancery, is equal to a judgment in a court of law. So where an executrix, whose testator was greatly indebted to divers persons in debts of different natures, being fued in chancery by some of them, appeared and answered immediately, admitting their demands (some of the plaintiffs being her own daughters), and other of the creditors fued the executrix at law, where the decree not being pleadable, they obtained judgments; yet the decree of the court of chancery, being for a jult debt, and having a real priority in point of time, not by fiction and relation to the first day of the term, was preferred in the order of payment to the judgments, and the executrix protected and indemnified in paying a due obedience to such decree, and all proceedings against her at law stayed by injunction. Case of Morris against the Bank of England. Decreed first at the rolls by Sir Joseph Jekyll, in Aug. 1735. Which was affirmed by the lord Talbot in Nov. 1736. And his lordship's decree affirmed in parliament in May, 1737. 3 P. Will. 402. Caf. Talv. 217.

Recognizances and flatutes.

20. Next, debts upon recognizances at common law.

Law of Ex. 39.

And debts upon statutes merchant or staple, or recognizances in nature of a statute staple. Law of Ex. 39.

And these recognizances and statutes standing in equal degree; it is at the executor's election, to give precedency to which he will. Swin. 2. 457. 2 Bac. Abr. 434.

Neither between one statute and another, doth the time or antiquity give any advantage as touching the goods, tho' touching the lands of the conusor it doth. But as the goods in the hands of the executor, he who first fath them by execution is preferred; and before suing execution, the executor may give precedency to which will. Swin. a. 457.

But amongst statutes and recognizances, those which is forfeited, shall be preferred before those which are for a performance of covenants, not broken. Swin. a.

And these, before they are broken, do not take place specialties. Treat. of Eq. 112.

21. In the case of the earl of Bristol and Hungerford, Mortgages.

1705. It was first decreed at the rolls, that mortges were to be paid in the first place, and then judgnts, and then recognizances: But upon an appeal to e house of lords, it was adjudged, that mortgages are it to be preferred to other real incumbrances; but that ortgages, statutes, and recognizances shall take place cording to their priority, and as they stand in order of nc. 2 Vern. 524.

22. Next, debts by specialty, as those by bonds or Rent, bonds, and her obligations, sealed by the testator. 2 Bac. Abr. other obligations.

Also rent arrear, and unpaid by the testator, is equal a debt by specialty; for this savouring of the realty, e executor can no more wage his law against such a bt, than he can to a debt by specialty. 2 Bac. Abr.

So where debt was brought against an executor for rent served on a parol lease, after the lease was determined, in the executor pleaded that the testator entred into an obligation, and that he had not assess above 51, which ere not sufficient to discharge this obligation; on deurrer it was resolved, that this rent, tho' reserved on a rol lease, was yet equal to an obligation, and that it less than the realty, tho' the term was determined. Bac. Abr. 434.

Also by the custom of London, if a citizen of London indebted by simple contrast, such debt is equal to a

bt by specialty. 2 Bac. Abr. 434.

E. 1715. Parker and Harvey. The grantor's covenant a marriage fettlement for him and his heirs, that the remisses were free from incumbrances, shall come in qually with creditors on bond. Vin. Executors. Q. a.

If two men are partners in trade, and one of them gives bond to leave his wife 1000 l, and dies; and the other partner

partner administers: If the wife would be paid out of all separate estate of her husband, on there being essects, shall have a presence before other creditors: But if there is no separate estate, and the wife would have satisfactio out of the partnership essects, then all the partnership debts must be first paid. 3 P. Will. 182.

Any voluntary bond is good against an executor or alministrator, unless some creditor be thereby deprived this debt: Indeed, if the bond be merely voluntary, a reddebt (tho' by simple contract only) shall have the preference. But if there be no debt at all, then a bond however voluntary, must be paid by an executor.

Will. 222. Comyns. 255.

A man, having a wife who lived feparate from him afterwards married another woman who knew nothing o the former wife's being alive; but it being discovered to the fecond wife that the former was alive, the husban (in order to prevail with the second wife to stay will him) fome years afterwards gave a bond to a truffee o the second wife, to leave her 1000 l at his death, and die not leaving affets to pay his simple contract debts: If the bond had been given immediately on the discovery, and they had parted thereupon, it had been good; but bein given in trust for the second wife, after such time as she knew the first was living, and to induce her to continu with the husband, this was worse than a voluntary bond and decreed to be postponed to all the simple contract debts. But if such bond had been given to the seconwife as a recompence for the injury done her, and there upon she had left the husband, it had been a good bond and to be paid before any simple contract debts. 3 P Will. 339, 349.

If there be divers obligations of the like kind, it feemeth to be in the power of the executor to discharge which obligation, and to gratify which of the creditors he will which being done, the other creditors are without remedy, if there be no affets; unless the day of payment in the one obligation (as was observed before) be expired, and the day of payment of the other obligation is not yat come; in which case, the former obligation is to be first satisfied; or unless there be suit commenced for some obligation, for then it is not in the power of the executor to discharge another obligation for which no action is brought, in prejudice of the former suit. But an executor may consess judgment on one obligation, and plead that judgment to an action brought on another obligation.

dif there be two obligations, and the two several editors bring several actions against the executor, he first obtaineth judgment must be first satisfied. Swin.

7, 458. 2 Bac. Abr. 434, 5.

Altho' the executors are not named in an obligation, at the law will charge them, for that they represent the sate of the testator. And the law is the same of admitrators. But the heir shall not at any time be charged, whout express mention of the heir. Dyer 23.

23. Debts by simple contract are postponed to all others, Simple contract.

ing debts of an inferior nature; yet an executor is und, as far as he hath affets, to pay them, as much as y other debt; and therefore a simple contract creditor d not alledge, that the executor had affets to satisfy its of a superior nature, and his also; but if the truth that the executor hath only affets sufficient to satisfy h superior debts, he must plead it. 2 Bac. Abr. 434. But by the 29 C. 2. c. 3. No action shall be brought arely to charge any executor or administrator upon any spel promise to answer damages out of his own estate, unless agreement upon which such action shall be brought, or some merandum or note thereof shall be in writing and signed by party to be charged therewith, or some other person thereto by him lawfully authorized. s. 4.

But albeit the law requires, that debts should be paid cording to their superiority, as herein set forth; yet y an executor pay a debt on a simple contract before a cialty, if he hath no notice of such specialty: for herwise it might be in the power of the obligee to ruin executor, by keeping his bond in his pocket until the cutor shall have paid away all the assets in discharging

uple contract debts. 2 Bac. Abr. 434, 5.

But of debts upon record, the executor ought to take

nice at his peril. 2 Bac. Abr. 435.

And in the case of Greenwood and Brudnish, T. 1720. man mortgaged his lands, and gave a bond to perform renants, and after died intestate. His widow, without ting letters of administration, possessed her self of his result of the self-and paid it all away in strissying debts on apple contract. About seven years after, an old dorant intail was discovered, and the heir in tail brought jettment, and recovered possesson. Whereupon the ortgagee sued the widow upon the bond. She brought hill for an injunction, having paid away all the testator's lets before any notice of this bond, and therefore alled that she ought not to be chargeable with a devented. IV.

vastavit. The defendant demurred, and the demurre was clearly allowed, the bill being an attempt to alter th course of law. But if any extraordinary fraud had bee charged on the defendant, by which she had been de ceived, or induced to pay away the affets, that migh have varied the case. Prec. Cha. 534.

In what case debts shall be paid pari passu.

24. A person indebted by bond and simple contract deviseth lands to trustees to be fold for payment of h debts: It was resolved and declared to be the conflat rule, that the creditors should have in proportion, ar not the bonds to be first satisfied; for it shall be construe that (one of them being as much a debt as the other) the testator intended they should all be paid alike; and if the value of the land fall short, they shall be satisfied in pri portion: So legatees shall have equal proportion pro rat according to the greatness or smallness of the legacy; f the land is made debtor: But otherwise it is of judgment for these do affect the land by their own strength nature, and would have had the preference whether fur devise had been made or not. 2 Freem. 49, 175.

But if a man only charge his lands with the payme of debts, so that the lands descend subject to them; bon Thall be preferred to simple contract debts. I P. M.

430.

A man deviseth lands to two persons in trust, to fold for payment of his debts, and maketh the same fons executors. The question was, whether bond de should have a preference, or all debts be paid pari pull The difference was taken, when the same persons the are trustees to sell the lands are executors likewise, a where not; for in the former case, after the land is so it is affets even at law; and therefore to decree them pay otherwise than according to the legal course, wol be to decree a devastavit. And in this case it was creed, that bond debts must be preferred. Prec. C

A leafe for years, or a bond or grant of an and taken in a trustee's name, being personal assets, shall applied in a course of administration, and not for payment of all the debts equally. 2 Vern. 764.

If a man possessed of a term for years, mortgagetl and dies, leaving debts fome by bond, and fome by fim contract; the equity of redemption is equitable affets, shall be liable to all the debts equally. 3 P. Will. 34

And the distinction seemeth to be this: Where th are legal affects, that is, affects which are liable at I

with

without the help of equity, there the executor may apply hem according to the course of law, which allows and equires a preference to be made in certain cases as hath men mentioned; but where there are only equitable affets, hat is, assets which are not liable without the help of a ourt of equity, in such case the court will direct the pplication thereof according to that course which is most quitable and just, namely, to pay every creditor his hare in proportion.

So where the affets are partly legal and partly equitable; Itho' equity cannot take away the legal preference on egal affets, yet where one creditor has been partly paid out of such legal affets, when satisfaction comes to be nade out of equitable affets, the court will postpone him ill there is an equality, in satisfaction to all the other reditors out of the equitable affets, proportionable to fo nuch as the legal creditor has been satisfied out of the

egal affets. Cha. Ca. Talb. 220. 2 Vern. 435.

25. As debts upon judgments, recognizances, mort- In what cases ingages, bonds, and other like specialties, shall carry in-terest shall be alterest; so also interest hath been allowed upon demands lowed. lue by covenant, altho' it was objected that they were not liquidated, and only found in damages. Viner. Interest. C.

Where a man prays satisfaction for a simple contract debt, merely out of personal assets; a court of equity will of course direct the debt to be paid with interest, to be computed from one year after the testator's death. Bar-

nard. 220.

But where a real estate is charged with the payment of debts, as well as the personal; the lord chancellor Hardwicke faid he did not know, that it was absolutely fixed, that simple contract debts should carry interest from that time; and he believed, if the decrees of the court were looked into, it would be found, that a great many of them are in this form, that the master should take an account of the value of the estate and of the debts, that he should compute interest upon such of the debts as carry interest, without giving any direction, that interest should be computed upon the other debts. Id.

Where a man devises his lands for the payment of his debts; it is faid, that this devise makes the land as a security or mortgage for all the testator's debts, as well those by simple contract as otherwise; and the simple contract debts shall carry interest, as the land, which is the fund, yields annual profits: By lord chancellor Maccleffield, who faid that this was the daily practice. 2 P.

Will, 26.

But where a real estate is charged only with the payment of debts; the lord chancellor Harwicke seemed to think, that this will not make the simple contract debts to carry interest. And he said, that on a general devise of lands for the payment of debts, he should think that simple contract debts ought not to carry interest. Barrard. 230.

The arrears of an annuity or rent charge are never decreed to be paid with interest where the sum is uncertain, but only where it is certain and fixed. Cas. Talb. 2.

In the case of Litton and Litton, T. 1719. Interest of an annuity was decreed by the lord chancellor from the very day it became due. But Mr Peere Williams admarkable a quæry as to this, and says, it seems the arrears should carry interest only from the first day of payment next after the arrears of the annuity became due; if payable his yearly, then from the next half year day; if quarterly, then from the next quarter day; and so has been the common rule in these cases. I. P. Will. 541.

26. T. 1707. Staggers and Welby. At the lord chancellor's house. It was held by Cowper lord chancellor, that if one by will subject his lands to the payment of his debts, debts barred by the statute of limitation shall be paid; for they are debts in equity, and the duty remains; the statute hath not extinguished it, tho' it hath taken

away the remedy. 1 Salk. 154. 2 Vern. 374.

T. 1726. Blakeway and the earl of Strafford. In 1707, Sir Henry Johnson was indebted to Blakeway in 3431. In 1714 he received 501 in part. In 1719 Sir Henry died, having made his will and devised his lands to his executors, in trust to pay his debts. The executors renouncing, the earl of Strafford administred with the will annexed. Blakeway brought his bill to be paid out of the affets. The earl of Strafford pleaded the statute of limitations; and that neither he, nor (as he believed) & r Henry, made any promise to pay the debt, within six years before the bill brought. Lord chancellor: I would be cautious of giving any relief against an act of parliament; but it is plain, the debt is not extinguished by the statute of limitations, since the statute must be pleaded, which the defendant is not bound to do; and if he afterwards will acknowledge the debt, it takes it out of the statute: and his lordship over-ruled the plea. Upon apneal brought in the house of lords, this decree was reversed, and the plea ordered to stand for an answer. 2 P. Will. 373.

Debt harred by the statute of limitation. But if the debtor by his will directs that all his debts hall be paid, or makes any provision for the payment of i debts in general; this will revive it, and bring it out f the statute, and make his executors liable. Prec. ba. 385.

So if the debtor, upon application for that particular ebt, acknowledges and promises payment (for a bare eknowledgment is not fufficient); this will bring it out f the statute: for the acknowledgment and promise is a

new evidence of the debt. Id.

But in the case of Norton and Freeker, H. 1737. It vas said by the lord chancellor Hardwicke, that an exeutor is not compellable, either in law or equity, to take dvantage of the statute of limitations, against a demand

therwise well founded. Tr. Atk. 526.

27. Where a testator is much indebted, and the exe-Executor may utor is defirous to be rid of the affets; the executor's file a bill, to de-afest way is, to file a bill in chancery against the credit of payment. ors, to the end they may, if they will, contest each others debts, and dispute who ought to be preferred in ayment. . 2 Vern. 37.

28. In debt against an executor, if the defendant plead Plea of plene ully administred, if any affets be found in his hands, al- administravit. ho' there be not to the value of the debt; yet the plainiff shall have judgment for his whole debt of the goods

of the testator. I Roll's Abr. 929.

But if it be found, that he had nothing in his hands; he judgment shall be, that the plaintiff shall take nohing by the writ, and shall not have judgment of the lebt: for he hath waived this advantage by taking of the Is and judgment is to be given upon the verdict. 1 Roll's Abr. 929.

29. If an executor plead ne unque executor, and is found Plea of ne unque executor; the judgment shall be general, to recover the executor.

debt, for his false plea. I Roll's Abr. 930.

In an action of debt against an executor, who pleadeth that he is not executor nor ever administred as executor, and this is found against him; the judgment shall be of the goods of the testator, if there are any such; if not, of his own goods; as well for the debt, as for the damages and costs. I Roll's Abr. 930. Tracy Atk. 293.

30. An executor shall not be forced to pay legacies, Debts to be paid until the legatees shall give bond to refund in proportion, before legacies. or in the whole, for the satisfaction of debts, if any shall appear unsatisfied. Cha. Ca. Finch. 136. Viner. Devise.

Q. d. 7.

For

For debts are to be paid before legacies: and if the fpiritual court will compel an executor to pay a legacy before he pay the testator's debts; a prohibition will lie

Law of Ex. 182.

But where lands are devised for payment of debts and legacies, and the debts are such as land is not liable to fatisfy, as debts by simple contract; there, it is said, the debts shall have no preference of the legacies: but, it there be not sufficient to pay all, they shall be paid in

proportion. 2 Freem. 270.

So if a man bind himself in an obligation to perform certain thing, and deviseth divers legacies, and dieth leaving only sufficient to satisfy the obligation if this shows come to be forseited; yet this obligation shall not be an bar of the legacies, because it is uncertain whether the obligation will ever come to be forseited: But the executo shall make a conditional delivery of the legacy, to with that if the obligation shall be recovered against him, the legace shall redeliver the legacy. I Roll's Abr. 928.

VII. Of the payment of legacies, and distribution of intestates effects.

And.

I. Concerning the payment of legacies.

II. Concerning the distribution of intestates effect.

I. Concerning the payment of legacies.

What persons are incapable of a legacy.

1. BY the flatutes of the 25 C. 2. c. 2. and the 16 fl. 2. c. 13. persons required to take the oaths an otherwise qualify themselves for offices, who shall a without such qualification, shall be incapable of a legacy.

By the 9 & 10 W. c. 32. Persons denying the trinity or afferting that there are more gods than one, or denying the christian religion to be true, or the holy scripture to be of divine authority, shall for the second offence incapable of any legacy.

And by the 5 G. c. 27. Artificers going out of the kingdom, and exercising their trades in foreign parts, sha

be incapable of any legacy.

2. A legacy is extinct, by taking a bond for it. Yelv. 30

In what cases legacies are taken away or extinguished.

When

Where the *flatute of limitation* was pleaded in bar to a gacy demanded, due twenty years before; it was held the lord chancellor, that a legacy is not barred by the tutte, nor ever had been fo held. 2 Freem. 22.

The father by his will gave to his daughter 1000 l, to first paid after his debts, besides a share out of the didend of his estate. Afterwards, on her marriage, an reement was made, for what she should have out of her ther's estate, and that it should be only 1100 l, and at was to be in full of what was intended her thereout, was decreed by the master of the rolls, and confirmed the lord chancellor, that this was an ademption of the gacy, and that the 1100 l was to be in full of what the ughter was to have out of the said estate. Hale and ston. 21 C. 2. 2 Cha. Ca. 35.

For if a man gives a portion to his daughter by a will, and afterwards advanceth her with the like sum; it shall

o in ademption of the legacy. Tra. Atk. 573.

A man feised in see, devised to his children 1000 I, ayable at several times, by 50 la year, with which sums e charged his lands, and then died. One payment of olbecame due; then the lands were aliened by fine and roclamations, and five years passed. The devisee sued or the whole. But it was decreed, that what became ue after the fine, was barred by the fine; but not the oldue before: For a trust is barred by fine. H. 30 & 1 C. 2. Wakelin and Warner. 2 Cha. Ca. 247.

Legacy given out of a term for years; if the term etermines, the legacy is extinct. Cha. Ca. Finch.

64.

A legacy was devised out of debts due in several counies, and they were all called in before the testator's death; let the legacy remained good. And a difference was taken between a pecuniary and a specific legacy; for in the first ase the legacy will remain, though the debt upon which t is charged be paid in: but the specific legacy may be off by being altered. So where the legacy was greater than the debt out of which it was directed to be paid did amount unto; yet such sum being expressly devised, and there being assets, it was decreed to be paid. Cha. Ca. Finch. 152. Raym. 335.

T. 1728. Ford and Fleming. One by will devised thus: I give to my granddaughter Mary Ford (the plaintiff) the sum of 401, being part of a debt due and owing to me for rent from G. M. she allowing what charges shall

S 4

be expended in getting the same: Also, I give unto m two grandfons the rest and residue of what is owing me from the faid G. M. which is about 401 more, to equally divided between them, they allowing charges aforesaid. Afterwards, the testator received the who debt owing for rent from G. M. For the plaintiff it w infisted, that there was a difference between a special and a pecuniary legacy; that tho' the disposing of a so cific might be an ademption of it, yet this being a p cuniary legacy, the paying the money to the testat would be a loss of it. On the other side, it was infine that there is a difference between a voluntary and a conpulfory payment; that tho' the first was no ademption yet the second was, and that the testator compelled G. I to pay in the money. But the lord chancellor was opinion, that there was no foundation for the different taken in the books between a voluntary and compulfe payment: for the latter might be, with an intent to f cure the legacy at all events; and decreed to the plan tiff the 401 legacy. I Abr. Caf. Eq. 302.

So in the case of Ashton and Ashton, M. 1735. who the testator deviseth a debt, and afterwards receives it, otherwise calls it in: In neither of these cases is this ademption of the legacy; seeing this might be done from an apprehension of such debt being in danger, and with design to secure it; and being personal estate, and not deminished by remaining in the testator's coster instead the hands of the debtor, it may well pass by the will

3 P. Will. 386.

M. 1736. Partridge and Partridge. The testator de vised to the legatee 1000l capital south sea stock. At the time of making his will he had 1800l of such stock; an after by sale reduced it to 200l; which he after increase to 1600l, and died. Between the making his will an his death, the ast took place, which changed three south of the capital south sea stock into annuities. This legal is not taken away or impaired, by the sale, or by the ast

of parliament. Cas. Talb. 226.

Legacy where to be fued for.

3. The legatary or devisee may not of his own heatake the goods or chattels devised to him, out of the pose fession of the executor, because the law gives him a remedy for the same, and because the law doth not appoint that the legacies shall be paid until the debts the testator be first satisfied. Swin. 19. 2 Bac. Abs

For if the executor do detain the legacy, or do flack the performance of the testator's will; the legatory must be the executor in the ecclesiastical court, for the same of cy so detained or not satisfied. Swin. 18.

For where a devise is made of goods, if the executor all not deliver them to the devisee, he hath no remedy

v the common law. Terms of the L. Devise.

For an action on the case lieth not against an executor or a legacy; unless he promise to pay it upon good conderation; for legacies are only to be recovered in the printual court, or in the courts of equity. I Sid. 46.

And in case of suit in the spiritual court, it behoveth he devisee to have a citation against the executor of the chament to appear before the ordinary, to shew why he erforms not the will of the testator. Terms of the L.

Devise.

And although certain goods in specie are given to a man by will; yet he cannot take them without the executor's assent; so if a term for years be so given to him, the cannot enter into the land without such assent: so it may be, the executor hath not assets besides, to pay the estator's debts. Law of Ex. 262.

Yet if a man do bequeath goods to another, which re in the custody of that other person; yet if he detain hem from the executor (who hath not affented to the legacy), the executor may have an action of detinue or respass, or of trover after demand of the goods, against

the faid legatee. Law of Ex. 263.

But in case of a devise of land, the devisee may enter without the assent of the executor; and if the heir at law hould enter before him, the devisee may enter and eject

him. 1 Inst. 111.

For feeing that an inheritance devised is not demandble in the ecclesiastical court, but in the temporal; therefore the legatary, according to the devise, without farther affignment or delivery, may enter into the same after the death of the testator. Swin. 19.

But if chattels real, as a lease, be bequeathed by will; a man may sue for the same in the court ecclesiastical.

Swin. 19.

If a legacy be granted out of lands in fee simple; this shall not be sued for in the spiritual court: But if land be devised to be fold for payment of legacies; the land being told, the suit for the money to be distributed may be in the spiritual court; for the money is personal, and assets

in the hands of the executors, fo as it favours not of the realty being executed. Cro. Car. 396, 397. Brown

32.

But where a man deviseth that his executors shall se his lands, and out of the money which shall be raised b sale, giveth a portion to his daughters; it hath been ac judged, that neither the land nor money is testamentar for it is not affets to fatisfy debts, but a fum arifing of land, and appointed to special uses in way of equity, an not as a legacy, and therefore not to be fued for in th ecclefiastical court, but in a court of equity: and the ecclesiastical court cannot hold plea of a legacy in equit but where it is a legacy in law indeed. Cro. Car. 300 396. Swin. a. 19.

So if a man devise lands to be fold for the payment of debts, and dispose of the surplus to several persons; the cannot be fued for in an ecclefiastical court, but only i a court of equity: because that is not a legacy merely of goods and chattels, but it arifeth originally out of land and tenements; and they have a testamentary jurisdiction

touching chattels only. Str. 672.

So where the testator devised a legacy to one, to b paid out of the profits of his land, and he devised that very lands to his executor for a term of years, and died adjudged, that this was a temporal matter, and not tell tamentary, because the legacy was to arise out of the

profits of the lands. Swin. 20.

But where the testator devised leases to his eldest some and that out of the same he should raise such a sum h money for portions for his daughters, who libelled in th spiritual court for their portions; it was adjudged, the this should not be accounted as a rent issuing out of the lands, but as a testamentary legacy, and to be recovered in that court. 1 Bulft. 153.

M. 2 An. Ewer and Jones. It was held by Holt chin justice, clearly, that a devisee may maintain an action common law, against a tertenant for a legacy devised ou of land; for where a statute, as the statute of wills, give a right; the party by consequence shall have an action a

law to recover that right. 2 Salk. 415.

But the usual remedy in such like cases, is in equity

2 Salk. 223.

It is faid, that where the ecclefiaftical court and a court of equity have a concurrent jurisdiction, which ever it first possessed of the cause, has a right to proceed: and the same of all other courts. But where the husband

he fued in the spiritual court for a legacy given to the we, the court of chancery hath granted an injunction to # proceedings; because the spiritual court cannot oblige in to make an adequate settlement on her. Prec. Cha-

So where a personal legacy was given to an infant; it is held, that the same is more properly cognizable in cancery than in the ecclesiastical court; and if the matt had proceeded to sentence in the ecclesiastical court, yt it was proper to come into chancery for the executor's ilemnity; for in the chancery legatees are to give ferity for the money, but not in the spiritual court; and e chancery will see the money put out for the children: Vern. 26.

So where there is a trust, or any thing in nature of a uft, notwithstanding the ecclesiastical court hath an liginal jurisdiction in legacies, yet the chancery will ant an injunction to stay the proceedings in the eccleaftical court; trusts being properly cognizable only in quity. Tr. Atk. 491.

Legacies may be recovered in the spiritual court against n administrator with the will annexed, or against an executor

this own wrong. I Roll's Abr. 919.

Where the executor, being fued in the spiritual court or a legacy, pleads the legatee's release, and that court ries the validity of that release, the common law will not prohibit them, provided they try it by the rules of he common law; because they have jurisdiction of the egacy, which is the original cause. 2 Roll's Abr. 307.

But where plene administravit was pleaded in the spirirual court, and proved by one witness, which they would

not allow; a prohibition was granted. Het. 87.

So where an executor, being fued for a legacy in the firitual court, pleaded the plaintiff's release, which was disallowed there, because the witnesses were dead, and that court refused to allow circumstantial proofs of the release; a prohibition was granted. 2 Roll's Abr. 302.

4. An executor may in some cases be compelled to give Security to be fecurity to pay a legacy; as where 1000 l was devised to given, when the a person to be paid at the age of twenty one years; and is distant. upon a bill exhibited against the executor, suggesting a devastavit, and praying that he might give security to ply the legacy when due, it was decreed accordingly. I Cha. Ca. 121.

The testator devised 8001 to an infant, to be paid by his executor when the faid infant should attain to the age

of twenty one years. The infant by his guardian ext bited a bill, that the executor might give fecurity for t payment of the money. And so it was decreed. Sw

a. 40. Law of Ex. 187.

The testator bequeathed his personal estate to his w for life, and what she should leave at her death to equally distributed between his own kindred and hers: the estate be so small, that she cannot live upon it with out spending the stock, it seems she shall not be obline to give security; otherwise she shall. Prec. Cha. 71.

H. 11 7a. Prowe's case. If a person, possessed of lease for years, devise that his executor out of the profi thereof shall pay to every one of his daughters 201 at the full age; the executor may be fued in the spiritual cour to put in furety to pay the legacies, and no prohitic shall be granted; for this is to iffue out of a chattel.

Roll's Abr. 285.

But in the case of Palmer and Mason, M. 1737. Who 5001 was given to the granddaughter, to be paid at 21 marriage; and if the died before either of those contin gencies happened, then to go over to another: It we faid by lord Hardwicke, as the lagacy was devised over nothing vested in the granddaughter till one of the contin gencies should happen; and therefore she was not intill to have the legacy secured. Tr. Atk. 505.

5. Mr Wentworth says, In case an infant be of the age of discretion, to wit, fourteen years, he holdeth clear, that the payment of a legacy to him made stand good, whether he who makes such payment has any acquittance or not; for if he have proof of the pay ment, he is well enough acquitted from any fecond pa ment. Went. 219.

And he thinks, on demand and acquittance tendre he ought to pay it to an infant of tender years (in pr fence of his guardian); payment according to the tells tor's appointment, being the matter which acquitteth the

payer. Went. 220, 221.

And Mr Clerke says, If a legacy be left to an infaunder seven years of age, the father (or next of kin shall apply to the judge before whom he intends to s for the legacy, and alledge, that fuch a person decense made his will, and appointed fuch a one executor, and the faid will bequeathed unto his fon being an infu (under seven years of age) such a legacy; and that reason of such age, the said infant hath not a person ab and fit to fue for the fame; and shall implore the office

Payment to an intant,

in judge in that behalf, and request that curators be asmed to the infant, to fue for and recover the faid legacy in the executor: Whereupon the judge usually affigntuch father or next of kin to be curators in that be-

.f. 1 Ought. 357.

but if the minor is above seven years of age, the judge an not ex officio constitute a curator, but the minor is schoole one, either personally, or by commission (as in where he lives at a great distance, or otherwise), or rietimes by special proxy under his hand and seal, refling that such curator may be affigned by the judge as

wefaid. Id. 358, 359, 360.

And if the executor, on fuit of the minor by fuch cufor as aforefaid, pay to the curator the legacy due to minor, he is discharged from any further payment reof to the minor when he comes of age; altho' the rator never pay it to the minor, or shall become infolent: And the reason is is, because he pays it by the dere of the judge. And therefore it is advisable for the cutor, not to pay the legacy until suit hath been comenced against him by the curator, and he the faid exntor hath been cited; and then let him offer to pay the grey judicially, that is, according to the forms of the ourt; and the fame being entred in the acts of the judge, executor is discharged. Id. 362, 3.

And in this case the judge is not wont, nor is obliged, deliver the legacy to the curator for the use of the mor, until he hath given caution for the indemnity of gludge and of the executor in this behalf, and for the yment thereof to the minor when he shall come of age.

. 363.

And in the court of chancery, in the case of Bullen and In, T. 28 C. 2. An infant exhibited a bill by his guarin, for a legacy of 1001 devised to him. The defendant his answer confessed the legacy, and that he was alays ready to pay it, fo as he might be lawfully difperged, which the plaintiff by reason of his infance auld not do; and therefore infifted that it might be paid schout interest. Which was decreed accordingly, and e defendant to be indemnified. Cha. Ca. Finch. 264.

And in the case of Dyke and Dyke, H. 25 Cha. 2. Vhere legacies were devifed to infants payable at a ceron time, which expired during their infancy, and the xecutor refused to pay the same, because the legatees could ot give any discharges by reason of their infancy; it was ccreed, that the master should put out the money at in-

terest in the name of the guardian, or of such other p fon as he should think fit, and that the desendant sho be indemnished against the infants. Cha. Ca. Finch. 95

In the case of Holloway and Collins, H. 26 & 27 C. A legacy of 1251 was given to the plaintiff, being ten years old, and at that age was paid to the plaintiff father, who died insolvent. This was held by the keeper to be good payment: but the attorney generated very much the ill consequence of this; for the must be the same if it were 1000 l, and extends to out cases of like nature, not to legacies only; and said, the executor ought to have sued in this court to have sit. And the lord keeper said, it may be so where the gacy will bear the charge of suit, but not otherwill but the executor having taken a bond to save him hardless, it was decreed that he should pay it over again, he had paid it at his own peril. I Cha. Ca. 245.

But in the case of Strickland and Hudson, E. 7 h lord chancellor Cowper said, that the master of the rowho had longer experience than himself, would no allow a child's legacy to be paid to the father or moth upon any security whatever, by reason of the strift might occasion in a samily. 3 Cha. Ca. 168.

And in the case of Doyley and Tollferry, M. 1715; legacy of 1001 was devised to an infant of about ten ye of age: The executor paid this legacy to the father, a took his receipt for it. When the infant came of a his father told him he had received the legacy, but con not pay it him immediately, and faid he would not he him trouble the executor, for he would give it he The fon rested satisfied with this for about fourteen fifteen years; and his father and he having carried on joint trade together, became bankrupts. This legac 1001 being amongst other things assigned by the commi fioners for the benefit of the creditors, the assignee brown a bill against the executor for an account and paym of this legacy. The defendant infifted on the extren hardship of his case, if he should be obliged to pay t legacy over again; that he had justly paid it to the father whilst he was in good circumstances; and that if appl cation had been made fooner, he might have had his re medy over against the father; that the father was by ture guardian to his child; and that formerly payment ! him was allowed to be good. The lord chancellor fair that if the father had not made the fon such promise of recompence, and the fon had acquiesced all that time

e case might have been more doubtful; but this proife of the father drew him to forbear applying to the recutor fooner; and fince the father had not and could ot now make good his promise, being a bankrupt, the ason of the son's forbearance was at an end; he thought he rule of this court in not fuffering parents to receive seir childrens legacies was founded on very good reason; nd therefore lest hereafter this case should be cited as a recedent, when the circumstances attending it might be orgot, and to discountenance and deter others from payig fuch legacies to the parents (tho' he did not deny the ardship of that particular case), he decreed for the plainff against the executor. I Abr. Cas. Eq. 300. 3 Bac. Ibr. 484.

6. By the civil law, a testator cannot enjoin his ex- In what case a cutor to pay interest for the non-payment of a legacy. legacy shall bear and tho' interest or usury be only forbidden by the civil from what time. aw beyond fuch a fum, yet it being intirely prohibited by the canon law, it follows a fortiori that he cannot do

t by that law. Ayl. Par. 342.

And by the laws of this realm, the receiving of interest for money was for a long time prohibited: but afterwards, from the unreasonableness of the thing itself, and the invenience thereof to fociety, these restrictions vanished by degrees, and it became lawful to receive interest within certain bounds prescribed by the legislature; and as in other matters, so also in the case of legacies, the courts both ecclefiastical and temporal have allowed interest to be paid for legacies withheld in certain instances. And, generally, it is faid, if a legacy be bequeathed to be paid divers years after the testator's death, this difference is to be observed; if the day were given in favour of the legatee being an infant, who could not fafely receive it any fooner, then he shall have the profit; but if the respite was in favour of the executor, then the legatee shall have the bare legacy without interest. Wentw. 352.

And, by the lord chancellor Hardwicke; where a legacy is given by a father to a child, as a provision for fuch child, tho' the legacy be payable at a future day, yet the child has an immediate right to the interest of the money: and this is a constant rule in equity. But if the egatee was a stranger to the testator, it would be other-

wise. Tr. Atk. 507.

M. 1737. Palmer v. Mason. Joseph Palmer by will gave 500 l to his granddaughter, to be paid at 21, or day of marriage; and if the died before either of the contin-

gencies

gencies happened, then he devised the legacy over to other. A bill was brought for interest in the mean happened by lord Hardwicke: As the legacy is given over nothing vests in the granddaughter, and therefore she is not intitled to interest. Tr. Ath. 505.

T. 1684. Churchill and Speake. Devise of 5001. to be granddaughter then an infant, to be paid at such time and in such manner, as his wife (who was his executrix, and the grandmother) should think sit and best for his such granddaughter. The executrix lived twenty years the death of the testator, in all which time this leave was never demanded; and then she having made the fendant her executrix, she died without paying this gacy. And upon a bill exhibited against the executrix of the executrix for this legacy; tho' no demand was proved, and tho' the time and manner of paying it was let to the wife; yet it was decreed to be paid with interest.

from the death of the testator. Vern. 251.

E. 1701. Folliffe and Crew. If a legacy be deviled generally, and no time afcertained for the payment, the legatee be an infant; he shall be paid interest com the expiration of the first year after the testator's dearn but it feems a year shall be allowed, for so long the shall tute of distribution allows before the distribution be conpellable, and so long the executor shall have, that it may appear whether there be any debts: but if the legatee of full age, he shall only have interest from the time of his demand after the year; for no time of payment being fet, it is not payable but upon demand, and he shall no have interest but from the time of his demand; otherwill it is in case of an infant, because no laches are imputed to him. But where a certain legacy is left payable at a day certain; it must be paid with interest from that day 2 Salk. 415. Prec. Ch. 161.

T. 1722. Maxwell and Wettenhall. In this case the following points were resolved: 1. If one gives a legacharged upon land which yields rents and profits, and there is no time of payment mentioned in the will, the legacy shall carry interest from the testator's death, because the land yields profit from that time. 2. But is legacy be given out of a personal estate, and no time of payment mentioned in the will, this legacy shall carry interest only from the end of the year after the death of the testator. 3. If a legacy be given charged upon a dry reversion, here it shall carry interest only from a year after the death of the testator, a year being a convenient time for a sale. 4. If a legacy

3

given out of a personal estate, consisting of mortgages rrying interest, or of stocks yielding profits half yearly, seems in this case the legacy shall carry interest from the death of the testator. 5. If a legacy be brought into ourt, and the legatee has notice of it, so that it is his all not to pray to have the money, or that the money buld be put out, the legatee in such case shall lose the terest from the time the money was brought into court; it if the money was put out, the legatee shall have the terest which the money put out by the court did yield. P. Will. 26.

T. 1727. Nicholls and Osborn. The testatrix having a ece an infant about the age of seventeen, devised to her e furplus of her personal estate, to be paid at the age of venty one: and if she should die before twenty one or arriage, then the testatrix devised it over to another. In the death of the testatrix the question was, who fould have the interest of this furplus during the infancy f the niece. It was objected that this interest ought to e laid up until the niece should come to twenty one or e married, and be then paid to the niece; but if she hould die before, in such case it ought to be paid to the evisee over; and that nothing was to be paid before the iece should come to twenty one or be married, because was not due till then. For the niece it was argued, hat the furplus being devised to the niece payable at wenty one or marriage, this was debitum in præsenti, ho' solvendum in futuro; and the devise over, in case he should die before twenty one or marriage, being in ature of a condition subsequent, could not defeat the first evisee of the mean profits accruing before the devise ver took effect; as if I should devise lands to an infant, be void if the infant should die before twenty one, tho' he infant should die before twenty one, yet would he be ntitled to the mean profits until the time of his decease: io if I was to devise to one 1000 l, and if he dies before wenty one, then the same to go over; the devisee, the nfant, should have the interest till his death: neither vas there any diversity betwixt a devise of a particular um, and the devise of a surplus; for this last may by omputation be reduced to a certainty: Also when the levise is of a surplus to an infant, and if he dies before wenty one then to go over, the furplus devised over is he fame furplus which was devised to the infant; whereas t would be a different and greater furplus, were it to arry the interest accruing during the life of the infant, Vol. IV.

added to what was the surplus at the time of the testator's death. And the court took it clearly, and decreed, that the infant niece was intitled to the interest of the surplus, which should incur from the death of the testatrix, and in the life time of the niece, tho' she should happen to die before, her attaining the age of twenty one or man-

riage. 2 P. Will. 419. M. 1727. Bilson and Sanders. A legacy was given w an infant, the teffator having a great deal of money in bank stock; the executor was residuary legatee; a ball was brought in the exchequer for the legacy; and the question was, whether it should bear interest, and from what time? Chief baron Pengelly and baron Hale; & is a certain rule, that where a fund is certain, as where charged on land, it shall bear interest, because it plainty appears the rents are received; fo the fund on which it charged produces a profit here, it is equally certain, male therefore should bear interest, and should be from the testator's death. But this was opposed by Carter and Comyns, barons, that it should only bear interest from year after the testator's death; for as legacies are to paid after debts, the executor has that time to inquire, to which time they are not payable, so not to bear interest which was agreed. A difference was offered to be many that as there was a legacy to an infant, it could not w fafely paid, and therefore could not bear interest; which it was answered by the chief baron, that it might be fafely paid into the hands of an infant, having proper evidence of the payment, as in Wentworth's Execute 313. And by Carter; it may be paid into the hands the guardian, having evidence; but if he takes fecurity from the guardian which should prove defective, there he doth not rely on the security the law gives, he mul depend on that taken at his peril. Select cases in chancers 72. Bunb. 240.

M. 1733. Ferrers and Ferrers. The countess dowage of Ferrers was by settlement and will of her late husband earl Robert, intitled to a jointure estate of 10001 a year but was kept out of possession by earl Washington, to some fonce of the earl Robert by a former venter; and now infinity upon the arrears, and interest, from the time of her husband's death; comparing it to the case of arrears of annuity, or a rent charge, which are decreed to be provided interest. By Talbot lord chancellor: The array of an annuity or rent charge are never decreed to be with interest, but where the sum is certain and fixed; an

211

where there is either a clause of entry, or nomine pres, or some penalty upon the grantor which he must alergo, if the grantee sued at law: and which would old him to come into this court for relief, which the cirt will not grant but upon equal terms, and those be no other but decreeing the grantor to pay the arms, with interest for the time, during which the payant was with-held; but interest for the rents and proi of an estate was never decreed yet, the same being rely uncertain. And tho' it may be said, that the wis intitled to an estate of 1000 l a year, yet that is sufficiently certain; being only a perception of the sits of an estate, which are not to be paid at any one tain time, but only as the tenants of the land bring the min, some at one time, some at another. Cas. Talb. 2.

7. M. 16 C. 2. Rennesey and Parrot. A legacy was Maintenance nde payable at the age of twenty-one years. The le-and education, how far to be ee by his guardian brought a bill against the executor allowed.

maintenance, suggesting that he had none. The cutor demurred; for that the plaintiff was under age, I the legacy was not payable till twenty-one, and there-te no cause of suit. But the demurrer was overruled.

Cha. Ca. 60.

M. 1684. Barlow and Grant, Upon a bill for 100 l acy given to a child, the defendant infifted upon an alvance of 161 a year, for keeping the legatee at school. was objected, that only the bare interest of the money ght to have been expended in his education, and not have funk the principal, as in this case the desendant d done. But the lord keeper thought it fit and reasonle to be allowed; and faid, the money laid out in the ild's education was most advantageous and beneficial r the infant, and therefore he should make no scruple breaking into the principal, where so small a sum was vised, that the interest thereof would not suffice to give e legatee a competent maintenance and education; but case of a legacy of 1000 l or the like, there it might reasonable to restrain the maintenance to the interest the money. I Vern. 255.

But if the legacy is devised over, it seemeth to be berwise; and that the court in such case will not diminish the principal, but only allow the interest thereof to first legace, until the time that the legacy shall become payable. I Cha. Ca. 249. Leech and Leech. H. 26. 27 C. 2. Prec. Cha. 195. Brewin and Brewin. E.

T

Wills. Payment of legacies.

E. 1722. Harvey and Harvey. The testator bein seised of a real estate, and possessed of a personal estat and having feveral children, deviseth all his real and put fonal estate to his eldest son, charging the same wit 1000 l a piece to all his younger children, payable at the respective ages of twenty one; but in the will no notice taken of maintenance for the younger children in th mean time. The younger children bring their bill, i order to recover interest, or some maintenance during their infancy. Upon which, the master of the rolls de creed, that the younger children should recover maint nance. He observed, that these being vested legaciand no devise over, it would be extreme hard that the children should starve, when intitled to so considerable to gacies, for the fake of their executors or administrators who in case of their deaths would have the said legacion That in this case, the court would do, what in commo prefumption the father (if living) would and ought I have done, which was, to provide necessaries for his chil dren: That a court of equity would make hard show for the provision of children; as where younger children were left destitute, and the eldest an infant, equity would make such a liberal allowance to the guardian of the eldest, as that he might thereout be enabled to maint all the children; and for the fame reason, the court would likewise take a latitude in this case; that since interwas pretty much in the breast of the court, tho' the wo were filent with regard to that, yet it should be presum that the father, who gave these legacies, intended the should carry interest, if the estate would bear it; for eve ry one must suppose it to have been the intention of the father, that his children should not want bread during their infancy: That for this reason it had been held, tha tho' a legacy were devised over in case of the legatee dying before twenty one, yet the infant legatee ought to have interest allowed him during his infancy, in order fo his maintenance; with this difference only, that wher the estate has appeared to be small, the court, in whol discretion it always lies to determine the quantum of in terest, has ordered the lower interest: And it scemeth that if one, not a parent, gives a legacy to an infant payable at twenty one, without any devise over, and the infant has nothing else to sublist on; the court will orde part of this legacy; in order to provide bread for the infant, to be paid presently, allowing interest for the same the person paying it, out of the remaining principal;

of this is done very sparingly. 2 P. Will. 21.

Nov. 4. 1684. Palmer and Trever. Morley devised Payment to a lo his daughter Eliz. Palmer, a seme covert, and seme covert.

e. The executor pays it to Elizabeth; who spends it her own maintenance. Her husband sues for it; id the question was, whether this was a good payment the wife, it being in proof that at the time of making he will, Palmer and his wife lived apart, and the hufand did not allow her maintenance, and fo it is a strong resumption that the devisor intended this for her separate ic. By the lord keeper: If it had been so given in exres terms, the payment to her had been good; but as is, the husband must have it decreed: he said, that in fe where a tenant paid his rent to his landlady, not nowing that she was married, yet the husband made him ay it over gain, and no help for it. Moreover, the will ppointing the legacy to be paid within fix months after he testator's decease; the lord keeper decreed the husand interest from that time, but if no time limited no nterest. 1 Vern. 261.

9. In the case of Grove and Banson, M. 21 Charl. It Security to said generally, that an executor is not bound to pay a refund.

efect of assets. 1 Cha. Cas. 149.

And in the case of Noel and Robinson, M. 1682, it is said, that if they give sentence in the ecclesiastical court or the payment of a legacy, a prohibition will lie, unest they take security to refund in case of insufficiency of goods to discharge debts, and the like; for a diminution of legacies is to be made pro rata, if the testator's estate will not extend to pay them all. 2 Ventr. 358. 3 Bac. Apr. 483. Ayl. Par. 343.

And in a court of equity, common justice will compel legatee to refund, altho' no security hath been given for

that purpose. I Vern. 93, 94.

And by the lord chancellor Hardwicke, legatees are not abliged to give fecurity to refund upon a deficiency of af-

1000 Tr. Atk. 4910 min sound and being in min

If the testator hath given bond with any person, for the payment of a debt after certain years to come, or for the performance of any covenants or contracts at a future day; altho' the executor in this case hath goods in his hands sufficient, to pay the legacies, yet if the said sum for which the testator was bound is not paid, or the said covenants be not sulfilled, in such case, the executor for

1 3

a is

his indemnity may offer judicially the legacy upon this condition, that the legatary first give proper security to keep him indemnissed with respect to the debts and covenants aforesaid, at least proportionably, regard being had to the other legacies. Which if the legatary shall result the executor may leave the same with the register upon the said condition. I Ought. 369, 370.

The form of the fecurity to be given as aforefaid, may

be this:

"Know all men by these presents, &c." (as in the common form of bonds.)

"Whereas E. F. late of—deceased, did on the—day
of—duly make and execute his last will and testament,
and did therein amongst other legacies give and bequeath unto the abovebound A. B. the sum of—an

"therein and thereof did name and appoint the above named C. D. executor, who hath proved the fame in

"the confistory court of—and taken upon himself the execution thereof: And whereas the said C. D. had

" at the request of the said A. B. actually paid to him the said A. B. the whole legacy of—altho' there may

the cause to apprehend a desiciency of affets for pay-

"ment of the other legacies: The condition of this obgation is such, that if such deficiency shall actually

" and bona fide happen, the faid A. B. his executors or

" administrators, shall within—days next after request in that behalf to him made, refund and pay back un

him the faid C. D. his executor or executors, admini-

" strator or administrators, his or their rateable part or share of such deficiency, Then this obligation to be

" void, otherwise of force."

10. The ancient law was, that if a man bequeath 20 I to one, and 20 I to another, and 20 I to a third, and makes his executor and dies, having goods but to the value of 20 I in all; of which goods the executor maketh an inventory: in this case he may pay which of the three he pleaseth his whole legacy, and the other two are without remedy: or he may, if he please, pay every one of them a rateable part: and in case the executor make no inventory, yet he is chargeable no surther than the value of the goods; and so if every legatary in such case should sue him, they must prove sufficiency of goods, or otherwise they shall get nothing. Curs. 186.

But Mr. Clark fays (agreeable to the rule in the courts of equity) If after payment of the debts and funeral expences there be not sufficient for all the legataries, there

If affets fall fhort, in what case legatees shall abate. r & be a proportionable distribution according to the

cantity of each legacy. 1 Ought. 366.

And Dr Swinburne fays, If the executor do make an irentory according to the laws and statutes of this realm; ten he need not pay to any legatary his whole legacy, to' he be first named in the will, in case there is not suffient to answer unto every legatary his whole legacy; at may retain a rateable part or proportionable deduction om every legacy; faving in certain cases: whereof one when some special thing is bequeathed, as the testar's fignet, or his white horse; which special legacy (as me do deem) is to be fatisfied and paid wholly without iminution, in respect of any other general legacies, or legacies which confift in quantity. Another case is, hen the father doth bequeath something to his daughter r her dower, or towards her marriage. Another is, hen the testator doth bequeath any thing in satisfaction recompence of some injury by him done, or of goods vil gotten. For those legacies are not to be diminished v reason of other general legacies, or legacies confishing quantity, which shall remain wholly unsatisfied, raher than those foresaid legacies shall be diminished; and consequently in these cases, it is not in the power of the xecutor, to gratify any other legatary at his election. Swin. 227, 228.

And he says further, that if the executor enter to the testator's goods, and will make no inventory thereof, then may every legatary recover his whole legacy at his hands; for in this case the law presumeth, that there is sufficient goods to pay all the legacies, and that the executor doth secretly and fraudulently subtract the same: whereas otherwise the executor is presumed not to have any more goods which were the testator's, than are described in the inventory, the same being lawfully made.

Swin. 228, 229.

And altho' the testator made no provision for refunding, yet the common justice of a court of equity will compel a legatee to refund; and it is certain that a creditor shall compel a legatee, and that one legatee shall compel another to refund, where there is a desect of assets. I Vern. 04.

And even if one of the legatees get a decree for his legacy, and is paid, and afterwards a deficiency happens; the legatee who recovered shall refund notwithstanding.

1 P. Will. 495.

But if the executor had a fighteneous to see the party of the subtraction of the party who has recovered by party field force one advantage of his least of a vince the advantage of his least of the contraction of the largest the party of the most energy of the interest of the contraction of the co

And only a legace final plots against color chere he nor sufficient asien to pay an the order to revise against legace, where all of them have no secure around its final never a been full our where the name of the final never have been full our when he had note assembly to it, or easily the using of the tribute in companion.

If any desiration of Fern. or 1 form 3 the

And the author of the Law of Living Table to an executor reduntarily for a legacy and aftervious appears he cannot compel the legace in equip to the

Lou of Ex 18-

Dur note particularly the author of the Law water place with the city of at execution above the all last factions of execution and reference of the city of the law means to the time of popular the law he may compare the execution of the may of compensate we a current in them execution which will have a legacies. But if an execution volume will have a legacies. But if an execution which will have a legacie to before the compensate product the compensate of the compensate factors of

But in the case of the and I ample before members it was take by the loss chancelor to be a point out to be executor timined when to an executor timined when to an executor timined when the case of the executor timined when the concest contraction affectives to a regardy dual competitude.

legater to refer to Ferr gar

And in the case of the sand Rese, I 4 G. In the in an executor equivals a sequence of crime a low voluntiability case not by the executor, he are faired from the fair of the relationships of was described for I depth [1979], makes of the work, that he office in the fair of the plantage is related as executor to bring a self-arguent a legacity of the relation of the contract of th

Wills. Payment of legacies.

So where a specific legacy is devised, the legace must be it into e, though there are not sufficient assets to pay the rest of the legacies: But if 1001 is devised to one, it is evaluated to easily the eral money legacies to others; and the testator distribute that the legacy of 1001 shall be paid in the first nee; yet if the other legacies fall short, the legace of bol must make a proportionable abatement of his legace. H. 1681. Brown and Allen. I Vern. 31.

In the case of Oneale and Meade, H. 1720. fled of an estate in see which he had mortgaged for ool, and also possessed of a leasehold, devised the mortged estate to his eldest son in fee, and the leasehold te to his wife, and died, leaving debts which would chaust all his personal estate, except the leasehold given his wife. The question was, whether there being (as iva) a covenant to pay the mortgage money, the leafepremisses devised to his wife should be liable to disharge the mortgage. It was decreed by the mafter of rolls, that as the testator had charged his real estate y this mortgage, and also specifically bequeathed the el-hold to his wife, the heir shall not disappoint her excest by laying the mortgage debt upon it, as he might we done had it not been specifically devised; and tho' he mortgaged premisses were also specifically given to the eir, yet he must take them with their burden, as probaly they were intended; and that by this construction, The devise would take effect: And that this resolution lid not in the least interfere with the case of Clifton and Birt, M. 1720. (1 P. Will. 678.) because in that case here was no mortgage. 1 P. Will. 693.

And as there is a benefit to a specific legatee that he lall not contribute, so there is a hazard the other way; instance, if such specific legacy, being a lease, be ceted, or being goods be lost or burnt, or being a debt to lost by the incolvency of the debtor, in all these cases the specific legatee shall have no contribution from the other legatees, and therefore shall pay no contribution to-

wants them. 1 P. Will. 540.

Also charities, tho' preferred by the civil law, yet they

count to abate in proportion. 2 P. Will. 25.

And if the testavor's personal estate is not sufficient to all legacies, the executors having legacies bequeathed and shall abate in proportion with the other legates, even tho' the legacies be given them for their care and comble, and not generally; for those are only words of course; and as they need not take upon them the office

unless they please, they accept the legacies subject to that contingency. 2 P. Will. 25. Barnard. Cha. Rep. 435.

In like manner land legatees and money legatees shall

ate proportionably a Cha Ca zer

abate proportionably. 2 Cha. Ca. 155.

If the executor hath only bad debts, he may offer to affign them to the legatee, and shall be quit. I Ought

370, I.

If a man by will gives a leafe, or a horse, or any specific legacy, and leaves a debt by mortgage or bond in which the heir is bound; the heir shall not compel the specific legatee to part with his legacy in ease of the real estate; for tho' the creditor may subject this specific legacy to his debt, yet the specific or other legatee shall in equity stand in the place of the bond creditor or mortgage, and take as much out of the real assets, as such creditor by bond or mortgage shall have taken from his

specific or other legacy. 1 P. Will. 730.

But if one owes debts by bond or mortgage, and deviseth his lands to another in see, and leaves a specific legacy, and dies, and the bond creditor or mortgagee comes upon the specific legacy for payment of his debt; the specific legatee shall not stand in the place of the bond creditor or mortgagee to charge the land: because the devisee of the land is as much a specific devisee as the legatee of a specific leg cy; for it was as much the testator's intention that the devisee should have the land, as that the other should have the legacy; and a specific legacy is never broke in upon, in order to make good a pecuniary one. 3 P. Will. 324. 2 Salk. 416.

But if a man, indebted by mortgage, devifeth his land to another in fee (after payment of his debts and furer charges), and also doth bequeath divers pecuniary legacies, and the personal estate is not sufficient to satisfy both the legacies and the mortgage; in such case, if the mort gagee shall not hold to the real, but shall fall upon the personal estate, the legatees shall stand in his room for so much out of the real estate, as he shall take out of the personal; that being a proper fund for their payment.

Caf. Talb. 53.

So if a man give legacies to his daughters, charging his real estate with the payment thereof; and other legacies to his brother, without charging his real estate with the payment of these: if the daughters recover their legacies out of the personal estate, then the brother shall stand in the place of the daughters, and take so much out of the land for his legacy, as the daughters had exhauste out of the personal assets. 2 P. Will. (619.)

II. Wher

11. Where there are divers executors, and some of them tre dead, the legatary must sue the surviving executors, be sued.

Ind not the executors or administrators of those that are stad. And if all the executors are dead, he must sue the executors or administrators of him that died last, and not the executors or administrators of the rest: And the reason is, because it is presumed, that the goods of the leceased not administred by the other executors, remained with the surviving executor; or if they did not, it was shrough his own default; because when the other executors were dead, he might and ought to have proceeded gainst their executors or administrators for restitution of the goods not administred.

1. Ought. 364.

II. Concerning the distribution of intestates effects.

And herein,

i. Of the statutes of distribution.

ii. Of customs in particular places.

iii. Of the custom of the city of London in particular.

iv. Of the custom of the province of York.

v. Of the custom within the principality of Wales.

i. Of the statutes of distribution.

DY the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. commonly called the fature of distribution, it is enacted as followeth: All ordinaries, as well the judges of the prerogative courts of Canterbury and York, as all other ordinaries and ecclefiastioil judges, and every of them, having power to commit administration of the goods of persons dying intestate, shall and ay and are enabled to proceed and call administrators to acment, for and touching the goods of any person dying intestate; and upon hearing and due consideration thereof, to order and make just and equal distribution of what remaineth clear (after I debts, funerals, and just expences of every fort first allowed d deducted) among st the wife and children, or childrens colldren, if any such be, or otherwise to the next of kindred to the dead person in equal degree, or legally representing their Meks pro suo cuique jure, according to the laws in such sufes, and the rules and limitations hereafter set down; and fame distributions to decree and settle, and to compel such administrators to observe and pay the same by the due course of

his majesty's ecclesiastical laws: saving to every one supposing him or themselves aggrieved, their right of appeal, as was al

ways in such cases used. 1.3.

Provided, that this all, or any thing herein contained, shall not any ways prejudice or hinder the customs observed within the city of London, or within the province of York, or other places, having known and received customs peculiar to them; but that the same customs may be observed as formerly, and thing herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding. S. 4.

And all ordinaries and other persons by this act, enabled to make distribution of the surplusage of the estate of any person dying intestate, shall distribute the whole suplusage of such estate or estates, in manner and form following; that is to say, we third part of the said surplusage to the wife of the intestate and all the residue by equal portions, to and among st the children of such persons dying intestate, and such persons as legal represent such children, in case any of the said children be the dead, other than such child or children (not being heir at law) who shall have any estate by the settlement of the intestate, shall be advanced by the intestate in his life time, by portion or portions equal to the share which shall by such distribution be allotted to the other children to whom such distribution is to made: And in case any child, other than the heir at law, who shall have any estate by settlement from the said intestate, in shall be advanced by the faid intestate in his life time by portion not equal to the share which will be due to the other children by such distribution as aforesaid; then so much of the surpline fage of the estate of such intestate, to be distributed to such child or children as shall have any land by settlement from t intestate, or were advanced in the life time of the intestall as shall make the estate of all the said children to be equal as near as can be estimated: But the heir at law, notwithstand any land that he shall have by descent or otherwise from the in testate, is to have an equal part in the distribution with the rul of the children, without any consideration of the value of the la a which he hath by descent or otherwise from the intestate. S. s.

And in case there be no children, nor any legal representation of them; then one moiety of the said estate to be allotted to the wife of the intestate, the residue of the said estate to be distributed equally to every of the mext of kindred of the inteflate, wie are in equal degree, and those who legally represent them. f. 6.

Provided, that there be no representations admitted among

collaterals, after brothers and fifters children. 1.7.

And in case there be no wife, then all the said estate to be

distributed equally to and amongst the children. 1.7.

And in case there be no child, then to the next of kindred in equal degree of or unto the intestate, and their legal representatives, as aforefaid, and in no other manner what soever.

Pro-

Provided also, and be it enacted, to the end that a due reand be had to creditors, that no such distribution of the goods
f any person dying intestate be made, till after one year be
ully expired after the intestate's death; and that such and every
ne to whom any distribution and share shall be allotted, shall
ive bond with sufficient sureties in the said courts, that if
ny debt or debts truly owing by the intestate shall be aftervards sued for and recovered, or otherwise duly made to apear, that then and in every such case he or she shall respecively resund and pay back to the administrator his or her rateble part of that debt or debts, and of the costs of suit and
harges of the administrator by reason of such debt, out of the
art and share so as aforesaid allotted to him or her, thereby to
nable the said administrator to pay and satisfy the said debt
r debts so discovered after the distribution made as aforesaid.

8.

Provided always, and be it enacted, that in all cases where he ordinary hath used heretofore to grant administration cum framento annexo; he shall continue so to do, and the will st the deceased in such testament expressed shall be performed and observed, in such manner as it should have been if this act and never been made. s. 9.

And by the 29 C. 2. c. 3. s. f. 25. for explaining the foid statute, it is declared, that nothing therein shall extend to the estates of some coverts that shall die intestate, but that their husbands may demand and have administration of their rights, credits, and other personal estates, and recover and enjoy the same, as they might have done before the making of the said aes.

And by the 1 J. 2. c. 17. If after the death of a father any of his children shall die intestate without wife or children, in the life time of the mother; every brother and sister, and the representatives of them, shall have an equal share with her, any thing in the said act to the contrary notwithstanding.

Enabled to proceed to call administrators to account] At common law, no person at all had a right to administer, but it was in the breast of the ordinary to grant it to hom he pleased, till the statute of the 21 H. 8. was made, which gave it to the next of kin; and if there were persons of equal kin, which ever took out administration was intitled to the surplus. And for this reason, this statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. was made, in order to prevent this injustice, and to oblige the administrator to distribute. Tr. Ask. 459.

Of any person dying intestate] T. 8 W. Petit and Smith. Prohibition was granted to the delegates, to stay a suit

there, because they compelled an executor to make diffibution of the surplus, he having 501 devised to him a p legacy; because, there being a will, and an executor, the spiritual court cannot compel distribution, but only where

the party dies intestate. L. Raym. 86.

And in the case of the King and Sir Richard Raines, Ito W. If an executor be sued in the ecclesiastical count to make distribution, he not being residuary legates though that were allowed by the canon law, yet thing's bench would grant a prohibition to stay any such suit; for all suits for distributions were prohibited by the king's bench, until the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. 10. made them lawful; and they are only lawful so is surranted by that statute, which is only in case of

persons dving intestate. L. Raym. 363.

E. 3 G. 2. Hatton and Hatton. Strange moved for prohibition to the prerogative court, in a fuit there infituted by the next of kin against the executor, to make diftribution of the furplus, there being a specific legacy to the executor; for that altho' there have been variety of decisions upon this point in courts of equity, where the have fometimes held the executor to be a truftee for the next of kin as to the furplus, yet there was no instance of the spiritual court's judging of a trust, or setting up any interest contrary to the common law. He infisted that in the case of a will the judge below is functus official when he hath granted probate, as to all purposes but calling for an inventory according to the statute of the 2 H. 8. c. 5. And he cited the case of Petit and Smith, reported in the 5 Mod. 247. where the testator gave 5 to the executor, and the daughter cited him to make distribution, and a prohibition was granted. And in report of the same case in Comb. 378. it is said by Holt chief justice, they never pretended to distribution in the case of an executor; and they only do it in the case of an administrator by virtue of the statute; and he denied the notion in 2 Inst. 33. that executors must divide. Dr Sayer on the contrary endeavoured to maintain, that the spiritual court had concurrent jurisdiction with the court of chancery in this case, as well as in legacies; and infisted that this is a partial intestacy, as to the surplu. But the court was clearly of opinion, that the spiritual court could not intermeddle; and faid, that in case of intestacy, they used to be prohibited, as in Carter 125. 1 Lev. 233. and that the statute of distribution inlarged, and not barely confirmed their power, as appears by the history thery of that statute in Raym. 496, &c. And the rule prohibition was made absolute. And the court ofed, that if the common lawyers on the doctor's side,
no were Reeve, Lee, and Fazakerley, would say that they
ought there was any thing in it, the plaintiff should
rulere in prohibition; but they declined it. Str. 865.

To order and make just and equal distribution T. 10 W. erke and Clerke. Clerke died intestate. His wife took ot letters of administration to him. Clerke, brother to e intestate, cited the defendant into the spiritual court, make distribution of the intestate's estate. The dendant there suggests, that the brother hath goods of the testate in his hands to the value of 2001. And upon is the spiritual court orders him to bring the 2001 into art, to the end it may be distributed. And for not inging it in they excommunicate him. Upon which moves in the king's bench for a prohibition; and it a granted as to the whole process that compelled him bring in the 2001. For by the court; The spiritual burt hath power to make distribution of the estate, when is come in, but not to fetch it in; because that is to ald plea of debt: but the spiritual court might refuse in case to proceed to the distribution, until the brother brought in the 200 l, but they cannot excommuniare him for not bringing it in. L. Raym. 585.

Distribution] Where there is only one person that can be, the statute vests the right in that person; altho' in ach case it is not strictly and literally a distribution. 3. Will. 50.

Shall not any ways prejudice or hinder the customs observed within the city of London, or within the province of York, ther places] Which customs will be considered in their uder afterwards.

One third part of the said surplusage to the wise of the inyate] And this, it is said, altho' she be a papist. For the case of dying intestate, it is the act of the law. It is the legislator that gives these distributive shares to the idow and next of kin. It is a succession ab intestate to a personal estate, similar to a descent of land, where an interpretation, tho' a papist, if above the age of 18 years and 6 maths, may inherit. T. 1730. Davers and Dewes. 3 Will. 48.

By the same reason, it should seem, that a papist is trable of taking as tenant by the curtesy, or tenant in

wer. Ibid. 49. in a note by the editor.

The residue—to and amongst the children] An infant ventre samere, at the time of the death of the father, we held clearly, by the lord chancellor, to be insided of share by the statute of distribution; for he is, in the of the law, a child, and ought to be provided for as we as the rest. M. 1698. Ball and Smith. 2 Freem. 200

Other than such child or children, not being heir at law Altho' by this statute the heir at law shall not abar respect of the land which he hath by descent or other wiftom the intestate; yet if he hath had any advancement from his father in his life time, otherwise than by lass aforesaid, he shall abate for the same, in like manners the other children.

In like manner it feemeth that coheiress shall bring to gether into hotchpot, such advancement (not being lamps as they shall respectively have received from their sale before they shall be intitled to receive their several distributive shares; agreeably to the general purport of the which is, evidently, to promote an equality as much

may be.

Note, Littleton faith (1 Inst. 176.) that hotchpot is nifieth a pudding; unto which his learned commentation affenteth: but this doth not explain to us the meaning the word, but carries us further from it; for it doth mimport that kind of food in general, but metaphorical such only as is compounded of divers ingredients. Ho is a Saxon word, not yet altogether out of use, and sufficient to shake: And pot is a word well known. An the compound hotch-pot is nothing but shaking things us gether in an urn or other vessel; and is easily transferred a commixture of the childrens portions. And this what by the civilians is called collatio bonorum.

Heir at law E. 5 G. 2. Pratt and Pratt at the roll Lord chief justice Pratt died seised of borough englisheds, leaving several children. And having made will, it became a point upon the statute of distribution whether the youngest son (to whom the lands descende by the custom of borough english) should abate for the lands, or should be considered as an heir at law, who is the statute is to have a distributive share without any lowance for lands by descent. And it was ruled by Joseph Jekyll, master of the rolls, that he should allow for these lands. For he said, the statute only intended a provide for the heir of the family, who is the commulaw heir, and not for one who is only heir by custom a some particular places. Str. 935.

Milis. Distribution.

But in the case of Lutwyche and Lutwyche, E. 1733. homas Lutwyche, esquire, died intestate, possessed of a fonal estate, and seised of a copyhold in see, at Turnm Green, which was in the nature of borough english. he question was, whether the youngest son, upon whom te copyhold descended, should have an equal share with to other children of the personal estate, exclusive of the epyhold, or only fo much as with that copyhold would take his portion equal to that of the other children. Talbot lord chancellor: The heir at law is the eldest and not the heir in borough english; and the excepn in the statute extends only to the eldest son. Yet vertheless the youngest son, who is heir in borough wlish, shall not bring the borough english estate into Inhpot. There is no law to oblige him to do this, but by this statute; and there are no words in the statute be require it: for the statute speaketh only of such te, as a child hath by settlement, or by the advanceent of the intestate in his life time. And it was deed, that the youngest son should have an equal share th the other children, without regard to the value of horough english estate.

And the case of Pratt and Pratt came after this case fore the lord chancellor Talbot; and he reversed the cree of the master of the rolls, and decreed agreeable to

in case. Cas. Talb. 276.

Who shall have any estate by the settlement of the intestate, Mall be advanced by the intestate in his life time It hath determined, that small inconfiderable sums, occawilly given to a child, cannot be deemed an advancement part thereof. Thus maintenance money, or allowance ade by the father to his fon at the university, or in tra-Illing, or the like, is not to be taken as any part of his wancement; this being only his education: and it would ete charge and uncertainty, to inquire minutely into matters. So, putting out a child apprentice, is no of his advancement; for it is only procuring the pler to keep him for seven years instead of the parent. onder and Rose, at the Rolls, T. 1718. But the father's ying an office for the fon, tho' but at will, as a genanan pensioner's place, or a commission in the army, re advancements pro tanto. Norton and Norton, 1692. By the lords commissioners. Rawlinson and huchins. 3 P. Will. 317.

Also a provision made by a marriage fettlement, althous is in nature of a purchase, yet is such an advance-

ment, as that a child claiming a distributive share shall first bring the said advancement into hotchpot. As in the case of *Phyney* and *Phyney*, *H.* 1708. The father, on his son's marriage, covenanted in case of a second marriage, to pay to the first son by the first wise 5001. There was a son, and several other children of the said marriage. The father of these children died intestate by the court: The heir must bring the 5001 into hotch pot, altho' in nature of a purchaser under a marriage.

settlement. 2 Vern. 638. So in the case of Edwards and Freeman, M. 172 Before King lord chancellor, affifted by Raymond chancellor, justice, and the master of the rolls, and Price and Fore scue justices. Mr. Freeman, on his marriage, entred in articles, in confideration of the faid marriage, and 40001 portion, to settle an estate to raise portions for day ters, in case there were no sons, that is to say, if but or daughter the fum of 5000 l, if two or more then the of 6000 l equally amongst them, to be paid at their n spective ages of 18 years, or days of marriage, which should first happen; and 801 a year maintenance in a mean time to each daughter. The marriage took eff and they had iffue one daughter only, and no fon. The the wife dies. Afterwards Mr Freeman married a feco wife; and had by her a fon and a daughter; and intestate, leaving a personal estate to the amount 20000l. The daughter by his first wife, at that time was about 12 years of age; and fome time after, many the plaintiff Mr Edwards: And they brought their to have an account of the personal estate of Mr Free and their distributory share thereof. And the only tion was, whether this 50001 should not be looked was to be so far an advancement of the plaintiff the will Mr Edwards, that if she would have any farther share her father's personal estate, they must bring this some into hotchpot.—For the plaintiffs it was argued, to they were intitled to a distributory share, without reto this 5000 l, which was no advancement, either will the words or meaning of the act, which intended only advancement of children after they are in being, when they are about being married or disposed of in world; but this, if any, was an advancement long fore the plaintiff was born, and when it was wholly known and uncertain whether there ever would be ful a daughter: That it was likewife contingent and u certain, after she was born, whether she would ever intitled to this fortune or not; for if the had died before

18 or marriage, it would have funk into the inheritance, the benefit of the heir; and she was but 12 years of at the time of her father's death, and therefore might we died before the was intitled to this 50001: That the ntute must operate, either at the time of the father's seth, or within a year after at furthest; but in this e the plaintiff was not intitled to her 50001, either n her father's life time, or within a year after; and the listribution was not to wait, till it should appear wheher she would attain 18 or be married: That this 5000 l was not a voluntary provision moving from the father, ut the plaintiff was a purchaser thereof, in confideration f her mother's portion; and suppose a child had money of his own, and agreed with his father, in confideration hereof, to have a portion from his father, after his death; if a collateral relation had purchased such a portion now the father for his child, certainly this would not an advancement; and the intent of the statute was, make them all equal out of the father's personal estate, or out of what was purchased for them by others, or by me mother, as in this case. On the other side, it was regired for the defendants, that the 50001 thus provided or by the fettlement, was an advancement within the eaning of the statute; which appears throughout to inand preserve an equality between the children: That tatute makes no distinction, whether it was a voluntary wision of the father, or arose from the contract of the ries; and a child provided for either way, is provided p; and it is not like the cases put, where a child, ther with his own or a relation's money, purchases an inte, or a fum of money from the father, but a direct as much as it would have been to any stranger: That this portion, tho' not payable till after the father's th, was nevertheless a provision for her by him, in his We time, as the act speaks; as the principal part of to wit, the fecurity, was executed by him in his time; and as he was not at liberty to controul it; ad suppose he had given such a portion payable at his this would certainly have been a good provision min the statute; and here the portion is payable as inen as possibly it can be wanted, namely, at 18 or marhave, and a maintenance of 801 a year in the mean time; tho' it is true, that a portion out of lands finks in the inheritance, if the party dies before it becomes paywhich if it were a personal estate it would not, yet that is not material here, fince the statute makes no distinction whether the portion is payable out of the real or personal U 2

personal estate: That if a bill had been brought immediately after the father's death for a distribution, there could be no inconvenience in fetting apart a fum to anfwer the contingency, when it should happen, no more than in the case of debts, which is every day done; and there are some whose estates are not got in till several years after their deaths; and a distribution may very properly be made thereof from time to time, as they come in. —And the court were all clear of opinion, that this was an advancement by the father in his life time, within the meaning of the statute tho' contingent and future, so that she could not have that and her distributory share likewise. And the master of the rolls said, that the civil law made no difference between a real and personal estate, but only moveable and immoveable; and the words of the act, which speak of a provision made by the father in his life time, are very proper to distinguish between that and a provision made by his will. And the chie justice said, suppose the father had lest but 20001 personal estate, it would be extremely hard, that the elder daughter should have her 5000l, and a share of the 2000 also. And the lord chancellor said, he thought any settlement in or out of lands, either by annuity, rent, or portion, would be a provision within the statute; and that fuch provision might be valued and brought into the collatio bonorum, if they think it worth their while; that the 5000 l, whether called contingent or not, is an interest, and such a one as would happen within a reasonable time, to wit, fix or feven years after the father death; that the distribution must be made as the estate ftands at the father's death, and the parties are to give bond to refund, if debts afterwards appear; and future debts due to the intestate must be distributed as they can be got in; that here the contingency has happened, and fhe is now at liberty to fay, whether she will stick to that provision, or bring it into the computation of collation bonorum, in order to have an equal share with the rein But as to the 801 a year maintenance, that is not to be brought in, being only for the education and maint nance of the daughter, which the parents were bed judges of.—And accordingly the decree was pronounced. I Abr. Eq. Caf. 249.

So in the case of Lloyd and Twitsham, H. 1715; the lord chancellor Cowper was of opinion, that the word partien in the statute, with respect to younger children includes an estate in land as well as in money; and that

this land, in the computation of the estate to be distributed, is to be added to, and computed with the other parts of it: but with respect to the eldest son, whatever land came to him from his father, by descent, or otherwise; he is to have his share, without any consideration of the value of such land. Viner. Executors. [Z. 10.] 3.

So if the father settles a rent out of his lands upon a younger child, this is an advancement. 2. P. Will. 441.

Likewise if the father by deed settles an annuity upon a child, to commence after his death; this is an advancement pro tanto: and by the same reason, a reversion settled on a child, as it may be valued, is an advancement

160. 2 P. Will. 442.

But whatever a child receives out of the mother's estate, it is faid, shall not be brought into hotchpot. As in the case of Holt and Frederick, T. 1726. A man married, and had three children, two fours and a daughter. His wife survived him; and having, out of her own estate, given 1000 l to her daughter in marriage, died intestate, leaving those three children. The question was, whether the daughter, who had received this 1000l, ought to bring it into hotchpot, before the should receive any further share of her mother's personal estate. The lord chancellor King said, it weighed with him, that the act of distribution was grounded upon the custom of London, which never affected a widow's personal estate; and that the act feems to include those within the clause of hotchpot who are capable of having a wife as well as children, which must be husbands only. And so in this case (the' without much debate) his lordship ruled, that the daughter should not bring the 10001, which she had received in her mother's life time, into hotchpot. 2 P. Will:

And if a child who has received any advancement from his father shall die in his father's life time, leaving children; such children shall not be admitted to their father's described their share, without bringing their father's advancement into hotchpot: As in the case of Proud and Turner, M. 1729. A father had several children, and in his life time advanced in part one of them. The child thus advanced in part died in his father's life time, leaving issue. Afterwards the father died intestate, possessed of a considerable personal estate. It was ruled, that the issue of the dead child must bring into hotchpot what their father received in part of advancement, as he, if living, bush have done; in regard the issue stands in the place

U 3

and stead of the father, claims under him, and cannot be in a better condition than the father if living would have been, and had claimed his distributive share. 2 P. Will.

60.

And the reason is, because such children do not take in their own right, but as representing their father deceased.—But whether those grandchildren, having been advanced some more some less by their father in his lifetime, shall bring their several advancements into hotchpot one with the other, before they shall distribute their deceased father's share of their grandfather's personal estate, doth not appear to have been determined. If their father also died intestate, then it seemeth that they shall be required to bring into hotchpot; for in such case they take, not from their grandfather, but from their father: and this brings it within the general rule asoregoing.

But where there are only grandchildren, their fathers or mothers respectively having died in the life time of their grandfather; in such case, they take in their own right, and not-by representation of their father or mother deceased. Whether these also shall bring into hotchpot, either all together, or those descended from the same stock amongst themselves respectively, may upon the like grounds be matter of doubt. But it seemeth that this case is farther off from the rule than the former. For here they do not take by representation, but each in his own right. And the statute doth not seem to require that the collatio bonorum shall extend further than to children, or the representatives of such children: In like manner as the custom of London doth not extend in grandchildren (as will appear afterwards); so neither doth the custom of the province of York.

A doubt likewise may arise, and the solution thereof will be the same, where a grandchild hath received some advancement, not from his sather, but from his grandsather, whether or no such grandchild shall bring his said advancement into hotchpot with the brothers and sisters of his sather deceased. The grandchild in this case taketh not in his own right, but as representative of his sather; and therefore, as it seemeth, should not bring his own portion, but only his sather's portion, into hotchpot—But concerning these points, no adjudication hath occurred.

By portion not equal to the share which will be due to the other children] A child partly advanced shall bring in its advancement only amongst the other children; but the wife shall have no advantage of it. H. 1701. Ward and Lant. Prec. Cha. 182, 184.

Ta

To every of the next of kindred to the intestate, who are in qual degree. Here it is very material to inquire, who are these next of kindred in equal degree. For the perfect understanding whereof, it is to be observed, that kindred we distinguished either by the right line, or by the collateral: The right line is of parents and children, computing by ascendents and descendents: The collateral line is between brothers and sisters, and the rest of the kindred

among themselves. Ayl. Par. 327.

And forasmuch as proximity between two persons proceeds either from this, that they are descended one from the other (which makes the connexion between ascendents and descendents), or from their being both descended of one and the same person (which makes that of collaterals); we judge therefore of the proximity between two persons, by the number of generations which make both the one and the other of the said connexions. And these generations are called degrees, by which we step from one person to another, in order to make the computation of their kindred, in the manner hereaster explained. I Strab. Dam. 631.

Those of the right line are reckoned upwards, as parents; or downwards, as children: those of the collateral line are reckoned ex transverse or side-ways, as brothers and sisters, uncles and aunts, and such as are born from

Viem. Ayl. Par. 327,

And there is no difference between the civil and canon aw in the ascending and descending line; but every generation, whether ascending or descending constitutes a different degree: Thus the sather of John is related to him in the first degree, and so likewise is his son; his grandsather and grandson in the second; his greatgrandsather and greatgrandson in the third. This is the only attural way of reckoning the degrees in the direct line, and therefore universally obtains, as well in the civil and therefore universally obtains, as well in the civil and therefore universally obtains, as well in the civil and the common law. Blacks. Descents. 8.

But there is a difference in reckoning the collateral line. Thus, if we would know in what degree of collateral andred two persons stand according to the civil law; we wish begin our reckoning from the one of them, by defending to the person from whom both are branched, and then by descending to the other to whom we do count, and it will appear in what degree they are: For xample, In brothers and sisters sons; take one of them, and ascend to his sather, there is one degree; from the other to the grandsather, that is the second degree; then descend

descend from the grandfather to his son, that is the third degree; then from his son to his son, that is the sourth

degree. I Inft. 23.

But by the canon law, there is another computation. For the canonists do ever begin from the stock, namely, from the person of whom they do descend, of whose distance the question is :. For example, if the question be, In what degree the fons of two brothers stand by the canon law, we must begin from the grandfather, and defcend to one son, that is one degree; then descend to his fon, that is another degree; then descend again from the grandfather to his other fon, that is one degree; then descend to his son, that is a second degree. So in what degree either of them are distant from the common stock, in the same degree they are distant between themselves. And if they be not equally distant, then we must observe another rule, viz. in what degree the most remote is distant from the common stock, in the same degree they are distant between themselves; and so the most remote makes the degree. I Inst. 24.

Collateral kinimen agree with the lineal in this, that they descend from the same stock or ancestor; but they differ in this, that they do not descend from each other. Collateral kinimen then are such as lineally spring from one and the same ancestor, who is the stirps, root, or common stock, from whence these relations are branched out. As if John has two sons, who have each a numerous issue; both these issues are lineally descended from John as their common ancestor; and they are collateral kinsmen to each other, because they are all descended from this common ancestor, and all have a portion of his blood in their veins, which denominates them consanguinei.

Blackst. Desc. 9, 10.

And the very being of collateral confanguinity confifts in this descent from one and the same common ancestor. Thus John and his brother are related; why? because both are derived from one father: John and his first coufin are related; why? because both descend from the same grandfather: And his second cousin's claim to confanguinity is this, that they both are derived from one and the same greatgrandfather. In short, as many ancestors as a man hath, so many common stocks he hath, from which collateral kinsmen may be derived. And as we are taught by holy writ, that there is one couple of ancestors belonging to us all, from whom the whole race of mankind is descended; the obvious and undeniable consequence

consequence is, that all men are in some degree related to each other. Id, 10, 11.

The different manner of calculating the degrees, may perhaps be better apprehended by the following table: Wherein it is to be observed, that the numeral roman letters at the top, express the degrees by the civil laws and the figures at the bottom, express the degrees by the control law.

college (15.0), positive letter to the first term of the college o



And here it is evident, that the degrees in the descendg and ascending lines are by both laws the same. Thus e son is in the first degree, the grandson in the second, id the greatgrandson in the third, by both laws, in the seeding line. So the father is in the first degree, the andfather in the second, and the greatgrandsather in the lird, and so on, by both laws, in the ascending line.

But in the collateral line the calculation is different, Thus the cousin german is in the fourth degree by the vil law, and in the second degree by the canon law, or by the civil law, we ascend first to the father, which one degree; from him to the common ancestor the andfather, which is the second degree; from the grandther we descend to the uncle, which is the third degree; nd from the uncle to the coufin german, which is the urth degree. But by the canon law, we begin at the ommon ancestor the grandfather, and reckon downards from him to the father, which is one degree; from he father to the intestate is the second degree: so, on te other fide, from the grandfather to the uncle is the rst degree; and from the uncle to the cousin german is e second degree: And by what degree they are distant om the common ancestor, by the same degree they are Islant from each other, that is, in the second canonical egree.—So in reckoning to the fon of the nephew, or tother's grandson: By the civil law, we ascend to the ther, which is one degree; from the father we descend the brother, which is the second degree; from the broher to the nephew, which is the third degree; and from he nephew to the son of the nephew, which is the fourth egree. But by the canon law, we begin at the common ncestor the father, and reckon down from him to the nestate, which is one degree: Then, on the other fide, fom the same common ancestor the father to the brother one degree; from the brother to the nephew is the feand degree; and from the nephew to the fon of the nehew is the third degree: And by the rule before laid down, n what degree the further of them is distant from the comnon ancestor, in the same degree they are distant from ach other; so that here the intestate and the son of his when, or brother's grandson, are distant by the canon w in the third degree of kindred.

And the reason of the different methods of computing the degrees of consanguinity in the collateral line, better the civil law on the one hand, and the canon law on the other, seemeth to be this: The civil law regards

confanguinity

confanguinity principally with respect to successions, and therein very naturally confiders only the person decealed to whom the relation is claimed; if therefore counts the degrees of kindred according to the number of person through whom the claim must be derived from him, and makes not only the fon of his nephew, but also his cour fin german, to be both related to him in the fourth de gree, because there are three persons between him in each of them. The canon law regards confanguing principally with a view to prevent incessuous marriage between those who have a large portion of the same blow running in their respective veins; and therefore looks up to the author of that blood, or the common ancestor reckoning the degrees from him: so that the son of the nephew is related in the third canonical degree to the person proposed, and the cousin german in the second the former being distant three degrees from the common ancestor, and therefore deriving only one fourth of his blood from the same fountain with the person proposal the latter, and also the person proposed, being each o them distant only two degrees from the common ancesto and therefore having one half of each of their bloods the same. Blackst. Desc. 41, 42.

For persons descended from one common ancestor, the first degree, have the whole blood of their said common ancestor; in the second degree, they have but half the blood of the said common ancestor; in the third degree, they have but half of that half, that is, one sourch in the sourch degree, only half of that fourth, that is, one eighth; in the fifth degree, one sixteenth; and so on in

infinitum.

The common law regards confanguinity principall with respect to descents; and having therein the same object in view as the civil, it may seem as if it ought to proceed according to the civil computation. But as it also respects the purchasing ancestor, from whom the estawas derived, it therein resembles the canon law, and therefore counts its degrees in the same manner. Indeed the designation of person, in seeking for the next of kin will come to exactly the same end (tho' the degrees will be differently numbered) which ever method of computation we suppose the law of England to use; since the right of representation in the descent of real estates (of the father by the son, and so on) is allowed to prevail in infinitum. This allowance was absolutely necessary, else there would have frequently been many claimants in exactly

ily the same degrees of kindred, as (for instance) unes and nephews of the deceased; which multiplicity, to no inconvenience in the Roman law of partible intritances, yet would have been productive of endless insustances, where the right of sole succession, as with us, established. The issue or descendents therefore of the other of John, are all of them in the first degree of indred, with respect to inheritances, as their father when ving was; those of his uncle in the second; and so on; it are called to the succession in right of such their

presentative proximity.

The right of representation being thus established, the ile with regard to the descent of real estates amounts this; that, on failure of issue of the person last seised, the inheritance shall descend to the issue of his next impediate ancestor. Thus if John dies without issue, his late shall descend to his brother, who is lineally demanded from his next immediate ancestor, their father. In failure of brethren, or sisters, and their issue, it shall escend to the uncle of John, the lineal descendent of their common ancestor, the grandsather; and so on. lackst. Ibid.

But this representation in infinitum amongst collaterals, not admitted in the succession to personal estate, the me being restrained and limited by the statute, (as will

ppear afterwards).

In the case of Wingate and Fitch, M. 21 Ja. Adminiration upon the statute of Hen. 8, was granted to the rother of the half blood. The brother of the whole lood appealed to the delegates, alledging that he was carer of kin by the ecclesiastical law; and the delegates relining to repeal the administration, and to grant it to he brother of the whole blood, a prohibition was granted o try the matter thereupon by the common law: for this ring ordained by statute, it was said, that it ought to the interpreted according to the common law. 2 Roll's

And in the case of Blackborough and Davis, E. 13 W. lost chief justice said, that the construction of the state of distribution, on the proximity of degrees, must according to the common law. 12 Mod. 616.

But the more modern cases seem to suppose, that the ad statute, being made in an ecclesiastical matter, shall be construed according to the rules of the civil law.

Upon which account, the learned Dr Harris observes, that the three first chapters of the 118th Novel of Justi-

nian, deserve the reader's attentive consideration; no only because they contain the latest policy of the civilaw, in regard to the disposition of intestates estates; because they are the foundation of our statute law in this respect. And they are still (he says) almost of continuuse, by being the general guide of the courts in England which hold cognizance of distributions, in all those concerning which our own laws have been either silent or not sufficiently express. Harr. Justin. ad sinem.

And therefore it is judged requifite to infort the faithree chapters here at length, and in the progress to offerwe what alterations have been made by the statute and said, and by the other laws of this realm, and how the said Novel with respect to this matter seemeth to be

still a rule and direction.

I

CHAPTER THE FIRST.

Of the succession of DESCENDENTS.

F a person dieth intestate, leaving a descen dent of either fex, or of whatfoever degree " fuch descendent is to be preferred to all ascen " dents and collaterals. And if any of the defeat dents of the deceafed should die, leaving sons o daughters or other descendents, they shall success " in the place of their parent, and shall be intitled " to the same share of the intestate's estate, which " their parent would have had if fuch parent had " lived. And this kind of succession is termed " fuccession in stirpes; for in the succession of de " scendents we allow no priority of degree, bu " admit the grandchildren of any person by a de " ceased son or daughter to be called to inherit the " person together with his sons or daughters, with "out making any distinction between males and fe " males, or the descendents of males and semales."

And what the civil law distributes in this manner a mongst the children and other descendents, the statute clearly enough apportioneth amongst them, taking in to gether with them the wife of the deceased where there is

wife furviving. And herein the civil, canon, common, and statute laws do all agree, in giving this preference to escendents, exclusive of all ascendents and collaterals.

Only with respect to grandchildren, these by the civil w, even when alone, altho' they descend from various ocks, and are unequal in their numbers, will take the state of their deceased grandfather per stirpes, and not per ipita; as suppose a man should die, leaving grandchilren by three different fons, already dead, to wit, three, y one fon, fix by another, and twelve by another, each, f these classes of grandchildren would take a third of the state, without any regard to the inequality of the numers in each class. But as to this point in England, the ourts in which distributions are cognizable, will order he division of an estate in such case to be made per capita; nd this, partly from a motive of equity, and partly from consideration of the intent of the statute, which directs in equal and just distribution: and when the act mentions epresentation, it must be understood to refer to it, in hose cases only, where representation is necessary to prevent exclusion, but not to refer to it in those cases, where all the claimants are in equal degree, and therefore can take each in his own right. Hwr. Just. ibid.

And if in the case of the succession of a father, who caves behind him one or more children, his widow should happen to be big with child, the child in the mother's womb would be reckoned among the children of the descended. And if the other children should proceed to a, partition of the estate, it would be necessary to lay assessment for the child that is to be born, and to name a curator to it, who may take care of its interest; unless they should think it more convenient to delay the partition until the birth of the child, either by reason of the uncertainty whether the child will be born alive or not, or because it may happen that there may be more children

than one of this birth. I Strah. Dom. 624.

But this provision is rendred more effectual by the statute aforesaid, which requires that no distribution shall be made till after the expiration of one year from the interace's death, within which time such child or children will be born.

Today white the last few different factor former

with made it should be that it would now out by

The company of the co

CHAPTER THE SECOND.

Of the succession of ASCENDENTS.

"HEN the deceased leaves no descended dents, if a father or mother or other as-66 cendent survive him, we decree, that they shall 66 be preferred to all collateral relations; except 66 brothers or fifters, as shall be hereafter more or particularly declared. And if divers ascendent " are living, we prefer those who are in the near the " degree, whether they are male or female, pateror maternal. And when feveral ascendents concur in the same degree, the inheritance of the " deceased must be so divided, that the ascenden's " on the part of the father may receive one half, " and the ascendents on the part of the mother the other half, without regard to the number of per-" sons on either side. But if the deceased leaves " brothers or fifters of the whole blood, together " with ascendents, these collaterals of the deceased 66 shall be called with the nearest ascendents; and " altho' the furviving parents are a father and mo ther, the inheritance must be so divided according 66 to the number of persons, that each of the afcendents, and each of the brothers and fifters " may have an equal portion."

If a father or mother] By the law of England, when a child dieth intestate leaving a father; the father is solely intitled to the whole personal estate of the intestate, exclusive of all others; and anciently, that is, in the reign of king Henry the first, a surviving father, or mother, could have taken even the real estate of their deceased child. But this law of succession was altered soon afterwards; for we find by Glanville, that in the time of king Henry the second, a father or mother could not have taken the real estate of their deceased children, the inheritance being then carried over to the collateral line. And it hath ever since been held as an inviolable maxim, that

inheritance cannot ascend. But this alteration in the w made since the reign of king Henry the first, did not attend to personal estate; so that before the statute of the 1 Ja. 2. c. 17. if a child had died intestate, without a wife, child, or father, the mother would have been intitled to the whole personal estate; but by that statute, every brother and sister, and their representatives, shall have an equal share with her. Harr. Just. ibid.

Or other ascendent] Here it is manifest by the civil law, that ascendents, of whatever degree, shall be preferred before all collaterals (except in the case of brothers and sisters as as aforesaid). But by Holt chief justice, in the case of Blackborough and Davis, it was holden, that this is altered by the statute; which prefers the next of kin tho' collaterals, before one tho' lineal that is more remote,

1 P. Will. 51.

In the said case of Blackborough and Davis, E. 13 W. Administration being granted to the grandmother, the unt moved for a mandamus to have it granted to her, urging that the first administration was void, she being nearer in degree. But by Holt chief justice: In such e it is not void, but only voidable; and it is a matter properly contestible in the spiritual court. And if they re in equal degree, the spiritual court hath election. And the grandmother is as near as the aunt, because the descent to either would be a mediate descent, the medium of which is the father. But the court thought the advantage on the grandmother's side, in this respect, that the stands in the right line. Afterwards the aunt moved for a mandamus to have distribution, being in equal dcgree. On the contrary, it was argued, that she was not intitled to it, being not so near as the grandmother, for the grandmother stands in the place of the mother, and is in the second degree to the intestate; the aunts are the daughters of the grandmother, and the daughters cannot be in equal degree with their mother. And by Holt chief justice: No mandamus ought to be in this case. And he faid, as by the common law, father and mother were nearer than brother and fifter, so grandfather and grandmother are nearer than uncle and aunt. And the grandmother in this case is the root of the kindred, whereas the aunt is only a branch. I Salk. 38, 351. Prec. Cha. 527. 12 Mod. 623. 1 P. Will. 51. L. Raym. 684. So in the case of Woodroffe and Wickworth, in the court

So in the case of Woodraffe and Wickworth, in the court of chancery, T. 1719, it was clearly agreed, that if one dies intestate, leaving a grandmother and uncles and Vol. IV.

aunts; the grandmother is intitled to the personal estate, in exclusion of the uncles and aunts. Prec. Cha. 527.

If divers ascendents are living, we prefer those who are in the nearest degree, whether they are male or semale, paternal or maternal] And conformable hereunto are the words of the statute, that in such case the distribution shall be made amongst the next of kindred who are in equal degree. So in the case of Moor and Barkham, May 13, 1723, where the next of kindred to the intestate were a grandsather by the father's side, and a grandmother by the mother's side; it was decreed, that they shall take in equal moieties, as being in equal degree; for tho' the grandsather by the sather's side may in some respects be more worthy of blood (as in case of the descent of lands); yet in this respect, dignity of blood is not material. I P. Will. 53.

And when feveral afcendents concur in the famedegree, the inheritance of the deceased must be to divided, that the ascendents on the part of the father may receive one half, and the ascendents on the part of the mother the other half, without regard to the number of persons on either side. By the custom of France (Mr. Domat tells us), in pursuance of the rule paterna paternis, materna maternis, the remotest ascendents are preferred to those that are nearer, with respect to the goods descended from their stock. And this he says, seemeth to be more equitable and natural; and there seemeth even to be something of a hardship in the

contrary rule. I Strah. Dom. 639.

And with us, in respect of the descent of lands, the rule holdeth, that lands which came by the father shall descend to the heirs on the part of the father, and the lands which came by the mother shall descend to the heirs on the part of the mother. But with respect to the distribution of personal estate, the statute requires an equal distribution amongst all such ascendents as are in equal

degree.

If the deceased leaves brothers and sisters---together with ascendents, these collaterals of the deceased shall be called with the nearest ascendents. If it should here be asked, whether the brother of an intestate would exclude the grandsather by the civil law the novel appears at first fight to answer it very sully it the negative, by enacting, that if the deceased leave

others and fisters, together with ascendents in the right ne, these collaterals shall be called with the nearest asdents. And the generality of writers have understood is passage, as admitting ascendents and brothers to take intly: Yet a contrary interpretation hath been given by me civilians, and for this, amongst other reasons, that a benefit is hereby intended to the brothers and fifters, is benefit to them would be so much the less, as the asindents are farther distant from the person deceased, hereas on the contrary in reason it ought to be so much e more. As for example; suppose there are two broers, and a father and mother, in this case each brother ould receive a fourth part; but if there be no father or other, it may happen that there shall be four grandthers and grandmothers, and then each brother would we but a fixth part; so there may be eight greatgrandthers and greatgrandmothers, in which case each brorr would receive but a tenth part; and fo on.—But this Inflion feems now to be fettled in England, in confedence of three determinations; the first of which was ven in the exchequer, in the case of Poole and Wilhaw, 1708; the second, in the case of Norbury and Vicars, efore Fortescue, master of the rolls, M. 1749; and the fird was delivered by the lord chancellor Hardwicke, in e case of Evelyn and Evelyn, H. 1754. Harr. Justin.

Which case of Evelyn and Evelyn was this.—By the rd chancellor Hardwicke: This case is between the andfather and the brother of the deceased. It is insisted n the behalf of the grandfather, that he is in equal deee of consanguinity with the brother of the deceased, and intitled to an equal share of his estate under the statte of distribution. The statute says, that the ordinary n case there shall be no wife, children, or childrens wildren) shall make a just and equal distribution among. next of kindred to the dead person in equal degree, legally representing their stocks pro suo cuique jure, according to the laws in such cases, and the rules and limitations hereafter set down." Which limitation is lly a particular specification in what cases representation III be allowed; and there is nothing more expressed in it statute, than that the estate shall be distributed equally every the next of kin to the intestate, who are in equal

This point has been already twice determined in courts equity. First, in the case of Poole and Wilshaw; and

Herwards in the case of Norbury and Vicars.

But it has been infifted on for the grandfather, the both these decrees are erroneous; and that according t the computation by the civil law, the grandfather on brother are in equal degree, and consequently are equal intitled.

And I do agree, that in this case the computation c degrees ought to be according to the rules of the civ. law; and that those of the common law are only to regarded in matrimonial cases. Notwithstanding which I shall adhere to the determination of the case of Poole in Wilshaw. I have seen the lord chief baron Ward's, an Mr baron Price's reports of this case, and also that of M Dodd (afterwards chief baron). The last of which, to but short, is the clearest of the three. It was a hi brought by the grandmother, for a share of her grandson estate equally with his brother. And it was infisted of for her, that she was in equal degree of consanguing and equally intitled. But the reporter fays, " All " court contrary; and there has been no fuch usage sim " the making of the statute." And I know of no fince; tho' it is 83 years fince that statute was mad The fubsequent decree at the rolls was conformable this. And therefore I shall not attempt to overthro these determinations.

But if this was res integra, I think there are flrogrounds both from the common and civil law, to protect the brother to the grandfather. The words in the flat for pro fuo cuique jure, according to the laws in such cases refer to certain precedent rules and methods of expount

ing the law.

The civil law is no part of the laws of England, ar further than it has been received here in certain case In descent of lands there is but one degree between bu ther and brother. See lord chief justice Hale's argume in the case of Collingwood and Pace, 1 Ventr. 423. fays, that according to the computation of degrees according ing to the laws of England, brother and brother mak one degree. And the brother is distant from his broth and fister in the first degree of confanguinity. And adds, that tho' the brother is by the civil law in the I cond degree from the brother, yet they fay, in collater. nullus est proximior fratre, ideoque in collateralibus nullus primus gradus, sed secundus obtinet vicem primi. In t case of Blackborough and Davis, I P. Will. 50. the lo chief justice Holt delivered the opinion of the court, faid, that the laws of England, and not any foreign la oug

ught to govern in this case, and he cited the Saxon laws and others to the point then in question, which was con-

erning a personal estate.

What I have faid would, I think, alone be fufficient o support the determination in the case of Poole and Willaw; as it shews, that the construction of the statute ught to be according to the law of England. But I furer think, that according to the Roman law alone, there ould be fufficient ground to support the resolution. low the matter of succession of collaterals stood upon the iw of the twelve tables, and what alteration it received y the constitution of the emperor Claudius, and the inatus-consultum Tertullianum made in the time of the mperor Antoninus Pius the adopted son of Adrian, so to let in the mother who was before excluded, may be en at large in Vinnius's commentary on the Institutes*. the text itself of the Institutes +, it appears, that the randmother was not to take as well as the mother. The vords are, Postea autem senatus-consulto Tertulliano, quod Mi Adriani temporibus factum est, plenissime de tristi succesmatris non etiam aviæ deferendum, cautum est. See /innius's comment on this passage, page 543, who shews hat it was in the time of Antoninus Pius. But Heineilus's Syntagma, lib. 3. tit. 3. examines all the arguvents, and inclines to Justinian's opinion. By the Code ‡, he fifter is preferred with the grandmother or grand-

But the whole has been altered by the Novels of Justiinn.—It has been said, that the Novels were never reeived in the western empire. But this is not so in the
merality in which it was said down. For they have
een received in all countries where the civil law has
seen received, as much as other parts of that law; which
as never, since the declension of the western empire,
seen taken for the absolute rule of law in any nation.
All the commentators on the civil law, consider the Noels as part of the Roman law. They call them jus nofimum. Vinnius, in his commentary on the Institutes s,
as a differtation which he calls ratio succedendi ab intestato
is jure novissimo. Now by the 118th Novel, the brothers
we let in to take an equal share with the father and mo-

^{*} Lib. 3. tit. 2. par. 3. † Lib. 4 Lib. 6. tit. 58. 1. 9. § 4to edition 2

ther. It is true that Mr Domat is of another opinion * But Voet maintains the former opinion with great clarness: Illud non satis expeditum est, &c.+. In this puller Mr Voet shews, that the emperor in the above class Novel has emphatically said, fratres et sorores cum provini gradu ascendentibus vocari; which mention of the next in degree would be intirely superfluous, if it was not intended to denote those who are in the first ascending denie fince it is a certain rule of law, that there is no reprefentation in the afcending line. He afterwards flow what abfurdities follow, by letting in remoter perfors in the afcending line to share with the brothers; fince the more remote they were, the more in number they mind be, and consequently would carry away a great share from the brothers, as the estate is to be divided into many portions as there are persons. He then argues from the words of the Novel, which fays, that the brother shall be called to succeed equally with the ascendents in the next degree. (See the critique on these words, in De mat before cited) - Si aut pater aut mater fuerint. Whence it follows, that they are not to come in promiscuous with all ascendents, but SI pater aut mater fuerint. An he gives some additional reasons to support his opinion Si aut pater—these are the words of the Novel as cite by Voet; but in the Novel itself it is Si et pater (" bu παlη: η μηλης ειησαν). Upon which Mr. Domat argue that the words st et, being translated from the Green fignify et st. However Mr Voet's general reasoning m be preferred to Domat's, it is not fair in him to alter to words of the Novel, on purpose to favour his own inter pretation; when he could not but know, that a con trary one had been founded on the words as they stand is the Novel.

The lord chief justice Hale in the place above cite (1 Ventr. 423.) says, that the brothers is the first degree in collaterals. And the text of the Institute ‡ says, superquidem et inserior cognatio a primo gradu incipit; at ea, quo transverso numeratur, a secundo.

Vinnius, who is a very acute commentator, in the ratio succedendi ex jure novissimo above cited, has an argu

^{*} Folio edition at Paris, 1713. vol. 1st. part 2d. book 2d. til 2d. sect. i. paragr. 7th. Or Strahan's Translation 2d edition page 639. † Hague edition 1731. vol. 1st. book 38th. tit. 17. paragr. 13. page 587. ‡ Book 3d. til 6th. part 1st.

cording to the proximity of degrees. In which (p. 56.) are these words, Conclude igitur sieri posse, ut aliqui, i gradu constituti jure proximitatis, soli succedant, exclusis qui eodem gradu aut etiam propinquiore sunt, si illerum jus t potius. This shews, that one person may be preserved

another in equal degree, si ejus jus sit potius.

In the present case,——Ipsa utilitas, justi prope mater et equi, inclines to the preserring the brother to the grandather; since there is in young persons a natural spes accessed. This alone indeed would not be sufficient to round a determination upon; but, joined to other reasons, it has its weight. And fince not only the reasons are on this side the question, but the determinations have en that way, and to overthrow them would tend to introduce inconveniences, as it might disturb distributions heady made, which is an argument of the greatest weight in the law, I shall determine this point in favour of the prother, to the exclusion of the grandsather.

CHAPTER THE THIRD.

Of the succession of COLLATERALS.

TF a person leaves neither descendents nor ascendents at the time of his death, we first " call his brothers and fifters of the whole blood, whom we have also called to inherit with the fa-" thers of deceased persons. And when there are no brothers of the whole blood with the deceased, we call those, who are either by the same father only, or by the same mother. And if the deceased leaves brothers, and also nephews by a " deceased brother or fister; those nephews shall " be called to succeed with their uncles and aunts " of the whole blood to the deceased: but however numerous those nephews are, they shall be intitled only to that share, which their parent " would have taken, if alive. From whence it " follows, that if a man dies, and is furvived by " the children of a deceased brother of the whole " blood, and also by brothers of the half blood, then his nephews (that is, the children of his X 4

" brother by the whole blood) are to be preferred " to their uncles and aunts; for altho' fuch neof phews are themselves in the third degree, ver "they are preferred, as their parent would have " been, if living. And on the contrary, if a man " dies, and is survived by a brother of the whole " blood, and by children of a brother of the half blood deceased, these nephews are excluded, as "their father would have been, if he had lived "But among collaterals, we allow the privilege of representation to the sons and daughters of brothers and fifters, and no farther; and we grant " it only to brothers and fifters children, when they concur with their uncles or aunts, paternal or " maternal: for when ascendents are called to in-" herit, we by no means permit the children of " deceased brother or fister to share in the success " fion; altho' the father or mother was of the " whole blood with the deceased brother. But we " have fo far allowed the right of representation to " brothers and fifters children, that being only in the third degree, they are called to inherit with " those who are in the second: And this is evident, " because brothers and sisters children are preferred to the uncles and aunts of the deceased, " paternal as well as maternal; altho' they are all " in the third degree of cognation .-- But if a deceased person leaves neither brothers nor sisters, " nor brothers nor fisters children; we then call all "the other collaterals, according to the prerog-" tive of their respective degrees, preferring the " nearer to the more remote: and if feveral are " found in the same degree, the inheritance must " be divided according to the number of persons. 44 And this manner of dividing an inheritance is " called a division in capita." Harr. Justin. ibid.

Of the whole blood] We must here observe in relation to the distinction between the whole blood and the half blood, that the law of England is different in this particular, according as the succession regards lands of inheritance, or personal estate. In the case of inheritan-

ces,

, the whole blood is always preferred, and the half lood is no blood inheritable by descent. In succession personal estate, the law hath been more uncertain; nafmuch as the statute takes no notice of this distinction etween the whole blood and the half blood, but directs he distribution to be made amongst the next of kindred n equal degree to the intestate. But it being certain that rothers and fifters of the half blood are in the same deree with brothers and fifters of the whole blood, it hath een the general opinion, that according to the said star ute, brothers and fifters of the half blood are intitled to n equal share of the intestate's estate, with the brothers nd fifters of the whole blood; altho' there are several recedents of judgments given, fince the statute, allowng the half blood to have but an half share. But the w in this particular is now become fixed and certain, ver fince the decree of the house of lords, in the case of Vatts and Grooke, upon an appeal from a decree in chanry, which had been given in favour of the half blood, and which was affirmed by the house of lords. I Strah. Domat. 658.

If the deceased leaves brothers and also nephews] In the case of Walsh and Walsh, M. 1695. A man had three prothers; one of them died, leaving three children; another died, leaving two; and the third died, leaving five children: after which, he himself died intestate. It was resolved, that distribution should be per capita, and not er stirpes; and that all the children should have equal: because none of them take by way of representation, but all as next of kindred in equal degree. Prec. Cha. 54.

So in the case of Fanson and Bury, H. 1723. Lord chief baron Bury had several brothers and sisters, some of the half, and some of the whole blood, who all died in his life time, all leaving feveral children. And now upon a bill exhibited for the distribution of his estate, it was decreed by the whole court of exchequer, that the distributton should be per capita, and not per stirpes; for now they do not take by representation, but as next of kin to the intestate. But if one of the brothers or sisters of the chief baron had furvived him, the children of the rest must have taken only by representation, that is to say, per flirpes. And the case in this court, between Wall and Theedham, was cited, which was on Jun. 28. 1711. Dr Wall, the intestate, had two sisters; Susanna, of the half blood, who left Samuel; Elizabeth, of the whole blood, who left John, Mary, and Dorothy. Both the fifters

died in the life time of Dr Wall. His wife, as adminifratrix, preferred a bill for direction in the distribution and the court decreed one moiety of the intestate's estate to the wife; and the other moiety to be divided into sour parts, one part for the issue of Susanna, and three for the issue of Elizabeth. And no distinction was made between the whole and the half blood. Bunb. 157.

And so much for the 118th Novel of Justinian. We now proceed with the explanation of the other parts not the statute of distribution, and of the other statutes continued in the statute of distribution.

fequent thereupon:

No representatives admitted among collaterals, after brother and sister's children. In the case of Maw and Hardle T. 1691: The question was, Whether these words at the statute are to be intended of brothers and sisters the intestate; or whether, when distribution salls of amongst brothers and sisters, the remote relations to the intestate, representation shall be admitted amongst them. And the court held, that the representation should be only between the brothers and sisters to the intestate.

Vern. 233.

In the case of *Pett* and *Pett*, *T.* 1700. The person claiming distribution were a deceased brother's daughter and the grandchildren of another deceased brother. And it was held by the court, that the deceased brother daughter only was intitled; and that a deceased brother' or fister's grandchildren shall not come in with a decease brother's or sister's children. I P. Will. 25. I Salve

250.

So in the case of Bowers and Littlewood, M. 1710 A man died intestate, leaving no wise or child, brothe or sister, but his next of kin were an uncle by his not ther's side, and a deceased aunt's child. The latter brough a bill against the uncle for a share of the intestate's estate To which the desendant demurred; and the demurre was allowed. And the lord chancellor said, that the cut of Pett and Pett was in point; and that what had burged in regard to the hardship of the case, was nothing for so it may seem hard, that if an intestate leaves a deceased brother's only son, and ten children of a decease half sister, the ten children shall take ten parts in elever with the son of the deceased brother, and yet the law is so, because they all take per capita, and not by way or representation. I P. Will. 594.

In case there be no child, then to the next of kindred equal degree] In the case of Durant and Presuvoid, Jun

nd a niece (children of a brother deceased): By the lord thancellor Hardwicke; the surplus must be divided into our parts equally amongst them, they being all in equal degree, and therefore the children do not take per slirpem, but per capita; but if the father of the nieces had been living, he would have taken the whole. Tr. Ath. 455.

That no such distribution of the goods of any person dying intestate be made till after one year] But the right to the distributive share vests immediately on the intestate's death. As in the case of Grice and Grice, H. 1708. Where a person, intitled to a distributory share of an intestate's estate, died within a year after the intestate, it was decreed, that altho' by the statute no distribution is to be made within a year, yet the share of the deceased person is an interest vested, transmissible to his executors or administrators; for in this sense the statute makes a will for the intestate, and it is as if a legacy was bequeathed, payble a year hence; which would plainly be an interest vested presently. Nay, where one died without wife or issue, and intestate, leaving a father, who also died before taking out administration, or altering the property of the estate; yet by the statute the right to the intestate's personal estate vested in the father, and consequently belonged to his executors or administrators, and not to the next of kin to the first intestate, who in this case happened to be a different person. 3 P. Will. 49.

Husband may demand and have administration] By this explanatory act of the 29 C. 2. the right of husbands is saved, of administring to their wives rights, credits and other personal estates.—In the case of Cary and Taylor, M. 1693. The wife intitled by the statute of distribution, died before any distribution was made, and the husband died soon after without taking administration to his wife: It was decreed, that the wife's share should go to the husband's administrator, and not to the administrator

of the wife. 2 Vern. 302.

M. 1718. Squib and Wynne. A wife intitled by the death of her fifter, to a personal estate consisting of things in action, died; her husband married again, and died intestate, without having taken administration to his first wife. The second wife took out administration to him, and also to the first wife of the goods not administred by the husband. And it was decreed, that the first wife's share of her sister's personal estate, should go to the administratrix of the husband. And the lord chancellor

Cowper

Cowper said, that the exception in the statute of the 29 C. 2. doth not confine it to the life of the husband, of to the circumstance of his having reduced any part of the wise's personal estate into possession, but provides that no part of her estate shall be distributed among her relations.

after her. 1 P. Will. 378.

M. 1718. Cart and Reeves. A wife died possessed of things in action. The husband survived, and died, without taking out letters of administration to his wife. After which, the next of kin to the wife administred to her. And the lord chancellor Macclesfield held, that the wife's administrator was but a trustee for the executor of the husband. And he said, that this clause in the act was made in favour of the husband, and not to his prejudice; so that it was intended by the parliament, that the holband should be within the statute of distribution so as to take the wife's things in action as to his benefit, but should not be within the same as to his prejudice; for were the confirmation to be otherwise, the husband of the wife intestate would be in a worse case than the next of kin, tho' ever so remote, which was not the intent of the statute. 1 P. Will. 381. And the reporter adds, that Mr. Vernon cited the case of lady Aiscough, wherein he faid, lord Cowper's opinion was the same with lord Macclessield's, that the wife's things in action did vest in the husband by the statute of distribution; so that since this refolution, the right of administration follows the right of the estate, and ought, in case of the husband's death after the wife, to be granted to the next of kin to the husband, in the same manner as it is granted to a refiduary legatee. Id. 382.

For if a hufband furvive his wife, all interests vested in her belong to him; and altho' he dies without getting them in, or taking out administration to her, yet they belong to his representatives, and not to her's. 2 Abr.

Eq. Caf. 424.

So in the case of Humphreys and Bullen, T. 1737. The wife had a legacy left her by her husband; and after married a second husband, and died. Her second husband took out administration to her, but died before he received the legacy. His next of kin took out administration to him, and received the legacy. Another person took out administration to the wife of the goods not administred, and brought a bill against the husband's administrator to repay the money. The question was, whether it belonged to the plaintist in that right, or to the defendant

-fendant as representative of the husband. The lord hancellor Hardwicke thought it so clear for the defenlent, that he would not suffer it to be argued. He faid; This is a plain case, taking it as it stood on the old stautes of administration, for thereby the husband was initled to administration if he survived his wife. And as t stood on these statutes, no body could call him to an account for the effects, for the party was to administer or the good of the foul, but not to make a distribution. But by the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. administrators are liable o make distribution, one third to the wife of the intefate, and fo on. Yet upon the penning of that statute, ho' no notice was taken of the husband being administraor of his wife, it was held not to be within the act; for no person could be in equal degree to the wife with the husband, and so he was not subject to the statute of difcribution. Which matter is explained by the 29 C. 2. c. 3. f. 25. which fays, the hufband may demand administration of his deceased wife's personal estate, and recover and enjoy the fame, as he might have done before that act, which was (before that act) as his own propertv. And if before the statute of distribution, the hufband had died before he had called in the effects of his wife, and any other person had taken out administration to the wife, he would have been a truftee for the hufband. So in the case of Cart and Reeves in lord Macclesfield's time, it was held, that an administrator de bonis non of the wife was a trustee for the representative of the husband. Therefore, tho' in point of law the plaintiff may be representative of the wife, yet he is only a trustee for the next of kin to the husband; and then the plaintiff, by bringing this bill against the person for whom he is intrufted, has been guilty of a breach of trust; so his bill must be dismissed, with costs. 2 Abr. Cas. Eq. 445.

Shall die intestate without wife or child, in the life time of the mother] Before this statute of the 1 %. 2. c. 17. If one died without wife or child, his mother had all, and his sisters and brothers nothing; as the father surviving hath all at this day. And the reason of making this statute was, because the mother might marry, and carry

all away to another husband. 1 Salk. 251.

But if there be no brother or fifter, or representative of brother or fifter, then it is out of the statute, and the mother shall have the whole, as she had before the making of it. As in the case of Jackson and Proudehome, T. 2 G. The son died intestate without father, brother, or sister;

his mother living. She makes her will, and therei makes an executor and refiduary legatee; and dies with in a week after her fon, and without having taken administration to him. The brother of the mother takes ou administration to the fon, as his uncle and next friend The mother's executor brings a bill against the uncle who was the fon's administrator, to have an account o the personal estate of the son in right of his testatrix who was intitled to it by the statute or distribution. Lord chancellor Cowper said, that the administrator of the sor is only trustee for the next of kin to the intestate who are intitled to a distribution by the statute, and that in the case was the mother, the son dying without father brother or fifter; and it is an interest vested in the mother tho' she died before administration taken out to the for and shall go to her executor and residuary legatee: And decreed accordingly. Viner. Execut. (Z. 12.) 1.

Without wife or child] T. 12 G. 2. Keilway and Keilway R. Keilway died intestate possessed of a considerable perfonal estate, and without iffue, leaving a wife and several brothers and fifters, and his mother living. The wife under the statute of C. 2. takes a moiety; and a question arising upon the statute of J. 2. how the other moiety should be distributed, whether the mother should have the whole, or only a distributive share with the brother and fifters, a bill was brought in order to have the opinion of the court. Upon hearing, the lord chancellor King was clearly of opinion, and decreed, that the mother should have no more than a share of the other moiety with the brothers and fifters of the intestate; for the intent of the statute was, to put the mother (who before ftood upon the same footing with the father) in the same state and condition only with these collaterals; so that whenever she is intitled, they shall have an equal share with her. Str. 710.

May 14. 1739. Stanley and Stanley. Hoby Stanley died intestate, leaving a wise, and a mother living, and children of a brother deceased. These children, as representatives of their father, bring a bill to have one half of the moiety of the intestate's estate; the wise being intitled to the other moiety, and the mother (as they insisted) to have only an equal share with them. It is true, in this case there is a wise lest; but the intent of the act was to put the intestate's brothers and sisters, and their representatives, in the same light and condition with the mother; so that whenever the mother was intitled, the

brothers

buthers and fifters, and their representatives (per stirpes), ere to have an equal share with her; and cited the case Kellway and Keilway, which is exactly the same with present, except that in the present case the intestate d no brother or fifter living at his death, which is not sterial, in regard that the children of the brother take way of representation. For the mother, who claimed e whole moiety, it was infifted, that these statutes are receive a favourable construction, to exclude representives in a remote degree, in respect of collaterals; and e words in the statute of James are in the conjunctive, d require a brother or fifter to be in effe, as well as reesentatives of brothers and sisters, to make a case withthis statute. It has been determined, in the case of uls and Walsh, that when the intestate leaves brothers fifters children, and no brother or fifter, fuch children ke per capita, as next of kin, and not by representaon; and, in the case of Durant and Prestwood, that the instruction of the statute was the same, if a man died aving aunts and nieces, and no brother or fifter, fuch ants and nieces would all take per capita, and the nieces buld not take per stirpes; and yet if the father of the icces had been living, he would have taken the whole. and from hence it was argued, that as there was no broper or fifter of the intestate living, if the plaintiffs in his case took any thing, it must be necessarily per capita, nd not by representation; that when brothers children ake per capita, they must necessarily take as next of kin, ecause as they are not in equal degree with the intestate's nother, they could not otherwise take at all. And it vas further urged, that if they were intitled by repreentation, it might be carried to the fourth or fifth genetion, for there is nothing to restrain it in this act, as here is in the statute of distribution; which would create creat confusion and fractions in the estates of intestates. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: There are two questions in this case; First, Whether the plaintiffs, who are children of the intestate's brother, shall share with the mother of the intestate, there being a wife of the said intestate? Secondly, supposing they may, notwithstanding that there is a wife; whether they can come in, in respect that there is no brother or fifter of the intestate living? As to the first, it is directly within the case of Kulway and Keilway; and I am fatisfied with the reason of that case. It depends upon the construction of the proviso in the statute of James, which is very incorrectly penned,

penned, and so is the statute of distribution; and there fore a construction is to be made upon the second status according to the intent and meaning of the legislature Upon the statute of distribution, the descending line cluded all collaterals, and afterwards went to the next kin, fo that the father or mother would take all; then fore the subsequent statute intended, that the moth should have a provision only equal with the brother fifter of the intestate. As to the second question, it is new one; for the intestate has left no brother or silve for the mother to collate, or share equally with. case of Walsh and Walsh is grounded upon the statute Charles. The words of that act do suppose, that the must be some persons to take in their own right, in others in right of representation; but the statute of James is of a different kind, and lets in another period Here is a mother takes an original share in her on right, and the brothers and fifters children take as if 11 brother and fifter were living; for the word and, imm diately preceding the words the representatives, must construed in the disjunctive. As to the objection, fuch reprefentation might be carried to feveral gener tions, I think that consequence doth not follow; for t proviso in the statute of James is to be incorporated in the statute of Charles, which expresly says, that represent tation shall not be carried beyond brothers and sisters chil dren; and this is agreeable to the rule laid down by Hale, that statutes made pari materia shall be constru into one another. I think the statute of James intend to let in the rule of the civil law, which contained the lives, afcending, descending, and collateral; the descen ing line absolutely excluded all others; the ascending cluded all collaterals, except brothers and fifters, they took alike. His lordship therefore ordered the redue of the intestate's estate, after satisfaction of debts, be divided into four equal parts; two fourth parts there to go to the widow, one fourth to the mother, and fourth to the brother's children. Tracy Atkyns 458.

Every brother and sister Jan. 24. 1740. Wallis and Hodson. James Wallis died intestate, leaving a wife entent with a daughter, and one son Towers Wallis. Towe Wallis died soon after his father, and then the moth was delivered of a daughter. A bill was brought by the daughter for a moiety of the personal estate of Tower Wallis. A cross bill was filed by the mother, praying that the whole personal estate of Towers Wallis might

decre

creed unto her. At the hearing of this cause, lord ancellor Hardwicke thought it was a matter of some ficulty, and directed it to stand over till he had conled with the civilians upon it; and now delivered his omion: That the daughter, born after the death of her wher, was intitled to a moiety of his personal estate. his is a question which depends upon the statute 1 7.2. 17. If the fifter had happened to have been born bethe death of her brother, without doubt she would we been intitled to a share of her brother's personal este equally with her mother. The only doubt is, inaf-uch as she was a posthumous sister, and born after the ath of her brother. But that circumstance, I am of inion, will make no difference. It was admitted by e counsel for the defendant, that upon the statute of la. 2. a posthumous child shall have the benefit of a are of the personal estate of his father, equally with the her children; for this is agreeable to the intent of that stute, and to that debt of nature which parents owe to eir children: Nor can any inconvenience arise from is; because the event of there being such children, ust happen in nine months at furthest. But it was ob-Eted, that in collateral successions ab intestato, as between others and fifters, uncles and nephews, there is no fuch bt of nature: That the distributary share must vest in ie party at the time of the death of the intestate, or not all; and that great inconveniences must follow from a fferent determination; and that the vesting might be oftponed, and broken in upon, and varied by a fubseuent event: And in order to specify an inconvenience of is fort that might happen, the case of the half blood as been put that they are equally intitled to a distributary nare with the whole blood; that a mother might marry a cond husband, and by that means there may be more rothers and fifters born at great distances of time, and erefore the distribution of the party's estate might perctually vary. As to the first of these objections, that tere is no debt of nature in collateral fuccessions, that is circumstance of no weight; for in the case of lineal occessions, where that objection has been made use of as argument for giving a share to the posthumous child, hat has been only an additional corroboratory reason, nd the primary reason has been the intention of the staute to preferve the estate of the father among his own hildren. As to the second objection, that the estate must est immediately upon the death of the intestate; it is VOL. IV. true,

true, that this is generally laid down in 1 Fern. 403. and 2 Vern. 274. but this objection is of equal weight in the case of lineal successions ab intestate, as it is in collection fuccessions; yet there it has never been allowed and this appears by the opinion of lord Raymond, 2 P. Will 446. Edwards and Freeman. As to the third objection that according to this doctrine, a posthumous brother a fifter of the half blood would be able to take, with would introduce many inconveniences; it is very true that on the flatute of Charles the fecond, the half blue fhall take equally with the whole; for that was final fettled in Shower's parliamentary cases, 108. where all it learning on this head is collected together; but I do me find, that it was ever determined on this statute of James the second, that a brother of the half blood should all as representative of his brother deceased. In the called Watts and Coveton, this point was argued, and men probable reasons given for that side of the question; there is no determination, and I will give no opion upon it. The principal reason upon which I scund opinion in the present case is, that the posshumous solution was in ventre sa mere at the death of her brother, and con fequently was a person in rerum natura. Upon this grown it is, that by the rules of the common and civil law, the is capable of taking in succession. By the rules of the common law, an enfient of this kind may be youched in a common recovery; and in behalf of fuch an enfient bill may be brought, and an injunction granted to waste. So in the construction of wills and uses, an il fant of this fort is capable of taking. For notwithflue ing the case of Slow and Cutler, it is now settled, the fuch an infant is capable of taking by devife. And this opinion were two judges, in the case of Scatt and Edge, according to a manuscript report which I have of that case. But tho' this is so at common law, end body knows, that that which gave birth to the statute Charles the second, was the contention then depending between the temporal and ecclesiastical courts, in relation to the power which the spiritual courts then exercised. compelling diffributions, and taking bonds for that pu The rife and progress of this dispute is mentione in 3 Mod. 58. and Raym. 496. That statute hath asce tained that point in favour of the ecclefiastical courts and the most material clauses in it are relative to the law, and to the course of proceedings in their court And the several expressions in the third section shew, th

the main defign of the act was (as hath been mentioned) o make the exercise of that jurisdiction in the ecclesiastial courts legal, which before that time was condemned n the temporal courts. And in the case of Smith and Fracey, lord Holt who was then one of the counsel argued frongly, that that statute was not to be construed by he rules of the common but of the canon and civil w; and a consultation was thereupon awarded. In the afe of Carter and Crawley, it was likewise held, that that latute was directory to the spiritual courts, and was rounded principally on their law and the practice of their tourts. In a case at the rolls, the master of the rolls was of opinion, that the statute was to be construed according o the canon and civil law; and afterwards, upon an apreal, lord King agreed to that opinion. This statute of Charles the second therefore confirms the jurisdiction of he ecclesiastical courts which hath been mentioned, and enlarges it. It fets up the collatio bonorum which the civil aw allows of. As this is so, the statute of James the second must be construed by the civil and canon law likewife. In I Ventr. 244. lord Hale was of opinion, that latutes made in pari materia were to be taken into the confruction of one another; and that the statute of the 14 Eliz. relating to church leases, is a kind of an appendix to the 13 Eliz. relating to the same matter. And this rule of construction holds more strongly in the present case, than it did in that; for this statute of James the second is a continuance of that of Charles the second, with three additional clauses; and therefore it is to be confidered, as if the statute of Charles the second was repealed, and reenacted in it. And if this is so, it is considerable what the civil law is in this particular; and by that law, an infant in ventre sa mere is considered as in esse, in those respects which are for the benefit of the infant, tho' not in those which are to his prejudice. This appears by many passages in the Digest. In the present case, the question is de commodo ipsius partus merely, and no way relates to the prejudice of this infant; therefore the decree must be accordingly.

ii. Of customs in particular places.

By a clause in the statute of distribution, as hath been observed, these customs are specially reserved and excepted. What these customs are, particularly within the city London and the province of York, which comprehend

Y 2

fo large and considerable a part of the kingdom, it is somewhat strange that so sew authors have taken any pains to inform their readers or themselves. The civil law acknowledgeth not these customs; nor yet doth the common law: and therefore perhaps neither civilians nor common lawyers have judged them a proper subject for their inquiries. And yet the general notion thereof seemeth to have sprung from the civil law, which establisheth what the civilians call the legitime, or legal portion, altho' much different from these customs: And these customs are so ancient, and of ancient times were of such general and almost universal extent; that some of the greatest lawyers have doubted whether they were not part of the common law.

The matter in short seemeth to have been plainly thus: Before the conquest, lands were devisable by will; of which the gavelkind lands are a standing instance. And in more ancient times still, all the children, both male and female, inherited alike; and the estate, whether real or personal, descended to all equally. (1 Salk. 251. Hale's H. C. L. 220. Dalrymp. Feud. 201, 202.) And this wa agreeable to reason and nature; altho' not to the policy of government which succeeded. After the conquest chiefly, came in the military tenures with the feudal law. The eldest son was fittest to bear arms; and to the end that during the fervice he might be able to sustain the dignity of the military profession, he succeeded to the whole estate of land. And that the other children might not be destitute, a portion was provided for them out of the personalty, which the father might not give from them by will, nor the ordinary by distribution in case of intestacy.

All antiquity speaks of this as the general and established law, except only in some sew boroughs and particular places, where the people lived probably by trade, and there was not lands sufficient for the support of arms. And this accounts for it, why the lands holden in burgage tenure continued still devisable by will, when no other lands were so, except only those of gavelkind in Kent and in divers parts of Wales, which received not

the laws of the conqueror.

And this order continued during all those reigns, whilst the kings were supplied with soldiers by the lords of manors and others at a price and for a time agreed on. Until at last the kings and people coming into other measures for raising of soldiers, these military services dwindled

iway, and were changed into pecuniary compensations. Lands in the reign of king Henry the eighth became again devisable by will. The restraints upon the personilty vanished by degrees, and only some footsteps thereof emain in particular places. In the province of York, hese military services were the longer necessary, by reason of the continual incursions of the Scots *; and to this lay a great part of the lands within that province are not levisable by will; and until the reign of king William he third, a man there by his will might not dispose of is personal estate from his wife and children, further han his own proportionable part; and one would think by most, if not all, of the books which have been writen upon the subject of wills, that have taken any notice of this matter at all, that the same law continueth still; is indeed it doth in the case of intestacies, altho' not in he case of last wills and testaments. For by the statute

* In the county of Westmorland, there are many footsteps of his institution, in the customs of the several manors. In the manor of Ravenstonedale, wherein the customs were ascertained by and the determined by a modern the betwixt the lord and tenants, in the 3d and 4th of Philip and Mary, one article is, that the tenants should break or divide no sambolds; or, as the same is explained by a further indenture in the 22d year of queen Elizabeth, that none of the tenants shall divide or sever their ancient and customary tenements, without special agreement with the lord or his steward for that purpose. Which custom, being rivetted by the indentures, continues to this day, altho' the cause hath long since vanished. But, by permission of the lords from time to time, so many severances have been made, that it is difficult to estimate within the said manor, what might have been the value of an original military tenement.

In the manor of Kentmire, in the faid county, there are remains of an establishment, which seem to lead nearer to that point. It appears that the manor was anciently divided into sour quarters; each quarter into sisteen tenements; that each tenement consisted of a proportionable quantity of inclosed ground, with pasture for ten cattle in a common pasture lying within each quarter respectively, and privilege for 80 sheep in another pasture common to the whole manor; that for each tenement a man served the office of constable, paid 2s a year to the curate of the chapel, and now pays 13s 4 d rent to the lord of the manor. By which distinctions the tenements have been kept so far separate, that it is easy to calculate what the soldier's estate within that manor might be supposed to be worth by the year: for one of those ancient tenements, well husbanded, seemeth to be of the value at this day of about 81 a year.

100

of the 4 W. c. 2. power is given to the inhabitants of the province of York, to dispose of their whole personal estate by will, notwithstanding their custom to the contrary; except only in the cities of York and of Chester: And by the 2 \mathfrak{S} 3 An. c. 5. the like power is given to the inhabitants of the city of York also. By the 7 \mathfrak{S} 8 W. c. 38. the like power is given to the inhabitants of the principality of Wales. And by the II G. c. 18. the like power is given to the freemen of the city of London. But the law concerning the distribution of intestates effects, in all the said places, continues as it was before.

Lord chief justice Coke, who might seem well able to give a good account of these customs, had a fair opportunity in his commentary on the great charter, where the reasonable part is mentioned; but he passeth it over slightly, contrary to his wonted manner, and says dryly, that it is not by the common law: and quotes Bracton for the same; whereas Bracton doth not say so, but rather the

contrary.

The author of the Law of Testaments (which seemeth to be a book not altogether destitute of merit) professeth expressly to treat of the customs of the city of London and province of York. And he hath collected a confiderable number of cases relating to that subject. But with regard to the city of London, by some fatality, he hath recited the statute of the 11 G. c. 18. so imperfectly, that if he did understand it himself, it is impossible the reader should understand it from his manner of expressing it: but it is plain he did not understand it; for all which he delivers, tendeth to prove, that the custom o the city of London extends to wills as well as to the distribution of intestates effects, and to wills especially. All which is in contradiction to the statute, as will appear. And of the statutes which give to the inhabitants of the province of York the like power to dispose of their whole personal estates by their last wills and testaments. he taketh not the least notice, nor ever mentions them.

Dr Gibson was a native of the province of York; and received his patrimony by the law and custom of tha province. He recites the statutes faithfully which take away the custom as to wills within the province at large and within the city of York in particular, and also within the principality of Wales; but when he comes to speak of the aforesaid reasonable part, having recited briefly the words of Bracton and Fleta, and just mentioned the writs de rationabili parte bonorum in the register, he

1wy

Lets a bare reference thereto may be sufficient, since the sud right is so far abolished; and he adds, that it is now lettle more than matter of speculation, what we find in the magna charta itself concerning the same reasonable part. And when he comes to treat particularly of the distribution of intestates effects; altho' the clause of exception of these customs in the statute of distribution seemed to render an explication thereof in some fort ne-

ceffary, vet he passeth it in silence.

Dr Swinburne was judge of the prerogative court of York. He had great abilities, and opportunities more than any other person of his time, and inclination likewise, to obtain a competent satisfaction in this matter. His book, for the time in which it was written, is a most excellent book. And one can scarcely pardon the continuators of his work (altho' upon the whole of the better fort of this kind of authors) for attempting, as they pretend, to correct his style. It needeth no correction. Swinburne lived at a time, namely, in the latter end of queen Elizabeth's reign, when the style of writing was elegant and easy, according to the true standard of the ancients and of nature; and utterly abhorrent from that formal bombast, which (from the royal example) succeeded in the next reign. But if they could have amended Swinburne's expression, they ought not to have done it; but in an edition of Swinburne, to have exhibited Swinburne: and where he might be judged to be wrong, or where the law fince his time is altered (as it is in many cases), there was scope enough for animadversion other ways. But they have mangled Swinburne, and made no distinction betwixt what is his, and what is not. By breaking the connexion in improper places, and not always understanding him themselves, they have rendred even Swinburne sometimes unintelligible. All which is only intended as an apology, for having separated Swinburne throughout this title, from his editors or continuators; by inferting what is Swinburne's, in his own words, and under his own name; and by distinguishing what is an addition to Swinburne, at the end of the quotation, by the letter a.

As to the matter before us, we must despair of obtaining a more perfect delineation of the custom of the province of York, as it was in Swinburne's days, than Swinburne hath exhibited. He was a diligent searcher into antiquity; was nearer to the fountain head than we are by almost two hundred years; was acquainted personally

Y 4 with

with the most learned men of that time; and made it his employment, to examine minutely into this particular custom; and above all, was master of the acts and records of the court of York, and availed himself of that treasure. But what is most astonishing is, that even in the very last edition of Swinburne, where he is treating of this same custom, there is no notice at all taken (by the editors) of the statutes or acts of parliament, which at one stroke utterly abolished at least one half of this custom: but for any thing which appears, the reader must judge, that the inhabitants of the province of York cannot make their testaments of their personal estates, further than to the extent of the testator's own rateable part, which was, and in the case of intestates is still called the death's part. Indeed there is a sketch of one of the faid acts, in a corner of the book elsewhere; inserted where it hath no manner of connexion, by those who did not understand, or did not consider, its importance and use.

There is some shadow of this custom, as was said before, in the civil law; and the proportions were thus: If there were four children or under, they had a third part equally amongst them; if five or more, they had a moiety. And the civil law allows a legitime to parents, but not to widows; whereas, on the contrary, the customs we speak of do allow a legal portion to widows, but not to parents. 2 Domat. 119, 121.

By the statute of magna charta, c. 18. in the ninth year of king Henry the third, it is said, generally; If nothing be owing to the king or any other, all the chattels shall go to the use of the dead (that is, to his executors or administrators), saving to his wife and children their reasonable parts:

[Or rather, their proportionable parts;—" salvis uxori ejus & pueris ipsius rationabilibus partibus suis."]

Bracton, who wrote foon after this statute, delivers it in few words as the general law of the realm, that after debts and other necessary charges deducted, the whole residue shall be divided into three parts; of which the children, if there be any, shall have one part; the wife, if she survive, shall have another part; and the third part the testator shall have free power to dispose of. If the testator hath no children, then one moiety shall be to the deceased, and the other moiety shall be reserved to the wife. If he shall die, having no wife, and having children surviving; then the deceased shall have one moiety, and the children shall have the other. If he shall die without

without either wife or child, then the whole shall remain to the deceased. Brast. 60, 61. And it is to be observed, that these proportions generally do govern the

customs to this day.

And the same is delivered by the author of Fleta, almost in the same words; and he saith, as Bracton had said before, that this is the law, unless there be a custom to the contrary, as in cities, towns, and villages. Fleta b.

By a constitution of archbishop Stratsford, which was in the 16 Ed. 3. it is ordered thus: Forasmuch as it happeneth sometimes, that persons dying intestate, the lords of the sees do not permit the debts of the deceased to be paid out of their moveable goods, nor the said goods to be distributed to the use of their wives children or parents, or otherwise by the disposition of the ordinaries, according to that portion which by the custom of the country appertaineth to the deceased; we do decree, that none shall henceforth do the same, on pain of the greater excommunication.

According to that portion which, by the custom of the country, appertaineth to the deceased Lindwood says, The portion of the deceased was, what was assigned by the ordinary for the supposed benefit of the soul of the deceased; which was to be determined by custom: sometimes (says he) it was the whole personal estate, as when there was neither wise nor child; sometimes an half, as where there is a wife surviving, but no children; sometimes a third part, as where there is both wife and children. Lind. 178.

By the custom of the country] He doth not say, of the realm; and that for this reason (saith Lindwood) because perhaps throughout the whole realm one and the same custom as to this matter doth not prevail; but there are different customs according to the diversities of countries: for there may be a general custom of some province; also a special custom of some city, territory, or place. Lind. 172.

Fitzherbert faith, The writ de rationabili parte bonorum lieth, where the wife or sons and daughters of the deceased cannot have their reasonable part of the deceased's goods, after the debts are paid, and suneral expences sa-

tisfied. F. N. B. 284.

And it feemeth, he fays, by the statute of magna charta, c. 18. that this was the common law of the realm; and so (he says) it appeareth by Glanvil. F. N. B. 284.

And

And in the 31 Ed. 3. A woman did demand the moiety of her husband's goods because he had no children, and counted upon the custom of the realm. F. N. B. 284.

But in after times, and by degrees, they came to count for the same upon the particular custom of such and such

places. F. N. B. 284.

And accordingly the writs in the register do rehearse the customs of particular counties; and are of this form:

"The king to the sheriff &c. If W, who was the " wife of D, shall make us secure &c. that then you " fummon E and E, executors of the testament of the so aforesaid D, that they be &c. to shew why, sceing that according to the custom in the county aforeful hitherto obtained, wives after the death of their hul-66 bands ought to have their reasonable part of the goods and chattels of their husbands, they the same executors

46 aforesaid from her the said W her reasonable part to the " value of ten marks of the goods and chattels which

were of the aforesaid D heretofore her husband they do detain, unjustly, and refuse to render them unto her;

to the great damage and grievance of her the faid W, " and against the custom aforesaid. And have you there

" the fummons and this writ &c."

"The king &c. Forasmuch as B of ____ and s is his fister have made us secure &c. that you summon K and E executors of the testament of D of ———— that "they be &c. to shew why, seeing that according to the custom in the county aforesaid hitherto obtained and approved, children after the death of their fathers, who are not their heirs, nor were promoted in the life of their fathers, ought to have their reasonable parts of "the goods and chattels which were of their fathers, "they the same executors aforesaid from the aforesaid B and S after the death of the aforefaid D their father, "whose heirs they are not, and who were not promoted in the life of the same their father, their reasonable " parts to the value of ten pounds of the goods and chattels which were of the aforesaid D their father they do detain, unjustly, and refuse to render the same unto

them; to the great damage and grievance of them the 1 4 said B and S, and against the custom aforesaid. And " have you there the summons and this writ &c."

In the case of Stapleton and Sherrard, H. 1684. (I Vern. 305.) It was faid, that the custom of the province of York is the same with the custom of the city of London, unless in the case where the eldest son bath lands by de-

scent :

frent: but it will appear when we come to treat of them teparately, that there are other differences.

And first of the custom of the city of London.

iii. Of the custom of the city of London in particular.

1. By the 11 G. c. 18. Whereas great numbers of wealthy Statute enabling persons, not free of the city of London, do inhabit and carry to dispose by will. on the trade of merchandize and other employments within the faid city, and refuse or decline to become freemen of the same, by reason of an ancient custom within the said city, restraining the citizens and freemen of the sume from disposing of their personal estates by their last wills and testaments; to the intent therefore that persons of wealth and ability, who exercise the business of merchandize and other laudable employments within the faid city, may not be discouraged from becoming free of the same, by reason of the said custom, it is enacted, that it shall be lawful to all persons who shall after June 1, 1725, be made free, or become free, of the said city; and also for all persons who are already free, and on the said first day of June 1725 shall be unmarried and not have issue by any former marriage, to give and devife will and dispose of their perfonal estates, to such uses as they shall think fit; any custom or usage in the said city, or any by-law or ordinance made or

Provided, that in case any person, who shall at any time after the said first day of June 1725 become free of the said city; and any person who is already free, and on the said first day of June 1725 shall be unmarried, and not have issue by any former marriage, -hath agreed or shall agree by any writing under his hand, upon or in consideration of his marriage or otherwise, that his personal estate shall be subject to or be distributed or distributable according to the custom of the city of London; or in case any person so free, or becoming free as aforefaid, shall die intestate: in every such case, the personal estate of such person so making such agreement, or so dying intestate, shall be subject to, and be distributed and distributaable according to the custom of the said city; any thing herein

observed within the same, to the contrary thereof in any wife

notwithstanding. f. 17.

contained to the contrary notwithstanding. S. 18.

So that as to intestates, the custom continueth as it was before: which feemeth to be as follows.

2. If a freeman of London dies, in London or else- Custom of distriwhere, leaving a widow and a child or children; his per-bution in case of fonal estate (after his debts paid, and the customary allowance for his funeral, and for the widow's chamber,

being first deducted thereout) is by the custom of the said city to be divided into three equal parts, and disposed of in the following manner; to wit, one third part thereof to the widow, another third part to the children, and the other third part (being taken out of the custom) is now made subject to the statute of distribution; and so dividing the whole into nine parts, four ninths belong to the wife, and five ninths to the children. 2 Salk. 426. Raym. 1328. 1 Vern. 180. Note, in the case of Biddle and Biddle, 18 Mar. 1718. before lord Parker, it was faid, that the widow is intitled to the furniture of her chamber; or in case the estate exceeds 2000 l, then to 531 instead thereof. Vin. Tit. Customs of London. B. 2.

If a freeman hath no wife, but hath children; the half of his personal estate belongs to his children, and the other half (being the dead man's part) is in like manner dif-

tributable by the statute. 1 P. Will. 341.

So if he hath a wife, and no children; half of his perfonal estate belongs to his wife, and the other half is diftributable; and in this case one moiety of the dead man's part distributable by the statute as aforesaid, belongeth unto the wife by the said statute: so that in the whole she will have three fourths of the personal estate, besides her widow's chamber. 2 Salk. 246. Law of Test. 211.

If he hath neither wife nor child at the time of his death then the whole belongs to the deceased, and is distribut-

able by the statute. Law of Test. 192.

3. Concerning which death's part, to be distributed by the statute, it is enacted by the 1 7. 2. c. 17. as folstatute of distri- loweth: For the determining some doubts, arising on the slatute of frauds and perjuries, it is enacted and declared, that the clause therein, whereby it is provided that that act or any thing therein contained should not any ways prejudice or hinder the customs observed within the city of London and provine of York, was never intended nor shall be taken or construed to extend to such part of any intestate's estate, as any administrator, by virtue only of being administrator, by pretence or reason of any custom, may claim to have to exempt the same from distribution; but that such part in the hands of such administrator shall be subject to distribution, as in other cases within the faid act. 1.8.

> It was called the dead man's part, because the ordinary, or he to whom the ordinary should commit administration, was to dispose of the same to pious uses, for the benefit of the foul of the deceased; but administra-

The death's part diffributable according to the

tals, under pretence of concealed debts, did frequently keep the greatest part thereof to their own use: And after this statute of the 1 7. 2. in the case between the widow and the fon of Sir Richard How, knight, the widow as administratrix claimed to herself the death's part by virtue of the letters of administration granted to her of her husband's estate; but this, being thought unreasonable, was contested by the son in chancery with his mother in law; and upon hearing the cause, it was settled and decreed to be observed for ever, that the deceased's part should be divided according to the statute of distribution, in pursuance of this explanatory clause of the 1 J. 2. c. 17. Green's Privil. 49, 50.

4. The court of orphans is held by custom time out of Superintendency memory, before the lord mayor and aldermen of the city of the court of of London; who are guardians to the children of all freemen of London, that are under the age of twenty one years at the time of their father's decease. Privilegia

Londini. 288.

And if a freeman or freewoman die, leaving orphans within age unmarried; the court of orphans shall have the custody of their body and goods: and the executors or administrators shall exhibit inventories before them. and become bound to the chamberlain to the use of the orphans to make a true account upon oath, and if they refuse, shall commit them till they become bound. Priv. Lond. 280. And their being bound so to do in the spiritual court, excuseth them not from this custom. Law of Ex. 252.

For if the father is a freeman of London, he cannot devise the disposition of the body of his child; and if he do, yet the infant shall remain in the custody of the

mayor and aldermen. Privil. Lond. 287.

5. The children of a freeman of London are intitled Children intito their share of his personal estate, tho' they were born out of the city. out of the city; and tho' their father did not inhabit or die in London. Law of Test. 202.

And also, tho' their estate doth not lie in the city, but

elsewhere. Privil. Lond. 288.

6. An after-born child shall come in with the rest for Child intitled, a customary share of the father's personal estate. T. 1718, the born after the father's Walsam and Skinner. Prec. Cha. 499.

7. T. 1706. Wilcocks and Wilcocks. A child intitled to Child dying, the an orphanage share of his father's personal estate, dying orphanage part under twenty one, and unmarried, cannot devise it by furvives. his will; for by the custom it survives to the other chil-

dren: But he may devise the share which he hath unde

the statute of distribution. 2 Vern. 559.

In the case of Fouke and Lewen, M. 1682; it is said. that if a man marries an orphan, who dies under twenty one; her orphanage part shall not survive to the other

children, but shall go to the husband.

But in the case of Merriweather and Hester, T. 5 G. a cafe was cited between Ambrofe and Ambrofe, and another between Rawlinson and Rawlinson, where it had been certified to be the custom of London, and was accordingly decreed by the lord chancellors Harcourt and Cowper forceffively, that if a city orphan dies before 21, his orphanage part furvives to the other orphans, and that he make no disposition by will to contradict it; but if In dies after 21, at which time he might by will have diposed of it, there, tho' he die intestate, it shall go according to the statute of distribution, between his mother and furviving brothers and fifters; and that in the other case, where he dies before 21, the survivorship holds only as to the orphanage part belonging to himself, so that it he had by survivorship the part of any other of his brothers or fifters, that should go according to the statute of distribution. It was also said, that if a man marries ar orphan, yet till twenty one his right is not so vested as to prevent his wife's share from surviving, in case she die before twenty one. Prec. Cha. 537.

So if a man marries an orphan, and dies; his representatives are not intitled to any part of what was he wife's customary share, but the whole belongs to the wife. Viner. Custom of London. (B. 10.) 18.

Wife divorced.

8. A wife divorced for adultery, shall not have her customary share. Bunb. 16.

Husband attainted.

dren advanced.

q. Where the husband was attainted of felony, and pardoned on condition of transportation; and afterwards the wife became intitled to some personal estate as orphar to a freeman of London; this personal estate was decreed to belong to the wife, as to a feme fole. T. 1729. New Some and Bowyer. 3 P. Will. 32.

Wife or chil-

10. If a freeman, having feveral children, or but one child, doth fully advance all his children or his fingle child; this fatisfies the custom, and is the same as if he had no child, and his personal estate shall go as if there was none. So if a freeman compound with his wife before marriage, for her customary part; it is the same as if there was no wife. 2 P. Will. 527.

M. 1710. Hancock and Hancock. Where the wife of a resman of London is con pounded with before marriage, ttling a jointure, altho' of land; the wife is taken and the children by the custom of London hall have a moiety as if the wife was dead. So if all he children are advanced, the wife shall have a moiety. 2 Vern. 665.

H. 1718. Babington and Greenwood. A jointure by a reeman on his wife in bar of dower, will not bar her of her customary part: otherwise it is, if said to be in bar

of her customary part. I P. Will. 530.

M. 1727. Lewin and Lewin. If a woman, before marriage with a freeman of London, accepts of a fettlement upon her, to take effect after her husband's death in case the furvives him, of part of his personal estate (without taking notice of the custom of London), she is thereby barred of her customary part of his personal estate. 3 P.

T. 1734. Pufey and Desboverie. Lord chancellor Talbot, taking notice of the contrary determinations made by the court in this point, said it had been of late settled, that where a wife was compounded withal, it should be ken as if there was no wife; and consequently that the husband should have one moiety, and the children the other. The like was held by the lord Hardwicke, in the case of Morris and Burrow, in the year 1737. I P. Will. 644.

11. It is faid, generally, by the author of the Law of What shall be Testaments, that any provision made by the father in his deemed a suffilife time for his children, is advancement within the cuf- ment. tom; but a settlement of a real estate on a child is no advancement, nor to be brought into hotchpot. Law of

Test. 204.

But Mr. Vernon questioneth, whether every provision made by the father for his child be an advancement, or whether only fuch a provision as is made on the marriage of a child? And he answers, that it seemeth to be only such a provision as is made on marriage, or in pursuance of a marriage agreement. I Vern. 80.

And in the case of 'fenks and Holford, M. 34 C. 2. The plaintiff exhibited his bill, fetting forth that his wife's father was a citizen of London, and that he had not advanced her in his life time, and demanded her cuftomary part, and prayed an account. It was infifted on the defendant's part, that the plaintiff's wife was advanced by her father in his life time, he having given

her 400 l. But the lord chancellor was of opinion, that it could not be any advancement, unless it had been given her as a marriage portion, or in pursuance of a marriage agreement; and the 400 l were not given till a long time after her marriage, and without any agreement that the same should be for her marriage portion, and was a free gift, great part of the fums that made up the 400 l being given at christenings and lyings in. Next, it was infilted for the defendant, that these several sums, howsoever given, ought (if the plaintiff will come in for his wife's customary part) to be cast into hotchpot: But the plaintiff's council denied it; and took a difference betwixt a free gift subsequent to the marriage, and where the fame is given in marriage; and compared it to the case of an heiress, where she has lands given her in frank marriage, those must be cast into hotchpot; but otherwise it is of lands conveyed or given to her by her father or other ancestor after the marriage. But not allowed by the lord chancellor. And the plaintiff not consenting to cast into hotchpot the 400 l given unto his wife as aforesaid, the bill was dismissed. I Vern. 61.

H. 1683. Civil and Rich. The custom of the city of London touching orphans was certified to be; that where an heir or coheir had a real estate settled on him by the father, the same was out of the custom of the city of London; and tho' the father should afterwards declare the fame to be a full advancement for fuch child, yet that was no bar to his orphanage part, neither was it to be brought into hotchpot; but was clearly out of the custom: And it was faid, that by the custom of the city of London, where a child is married with the father's confent, and there is a portion given in marriage; fuch child is debarred from claiming any benefit of the orphanage part; unless the father shall, by writing under his hand and feal, not only declare that fuch child was not fully advanced, but likewife mention in certain, how much the portion given in marriage did amount unto; that so it may appear what sum is to be brought into

hotchpot. 1 Vern. 216.

M. 1685. Annand and Honeywood. The question was, whether money given by the father to be laid out in land to be settled on the son and the intended wise for their lives, with remainders in tail, should be reckoned to be an advancement by part of the personal estate of the sather, so as that the son ought to bring the same into hotchpot, to intitle him to a share of the personal estate. Lord chan-

cellor:

Mor; There is no colour to reckon this any part of the

fonal estate. 1 Vern. 345.

T. 1600. Chace and Box. If any freeman's child be nerried in the life time of his or her father, by his conent, and not fully advanced to his full part or portion of is father's personal or customary estate, as he shall be worth at the time of his decease; such freeman's child so narried as aforefaid, shall be excluded and debarred from having any farther part or portion of his or her faid father's personal or customary estate to be had at the time of his decease; except such father, by some writing by him written and figned with his name or mark, shall declare and express the value of such advancement: And then every such child, after the decease of his father, producing fuch writing, and bringing fuch portion fo had of his father into hotchpot, shall have as much as will make up the same a full child's part or portion of the customary estate which his father had at the time of his decease; notwithstanding such father shall by any writing under his hand and feal declare fuch child was by him fully advanced. L. Raym. 484.

Note, it is said to be sufficient if he declare the same by any writing under his hand, or by any thing written by him, altho' it be in an almanack, or elsewhere. Green's

Privil. of L. 53.

H. 1708. Dean and lord Delaware. The father's declaring, that the child was fully advanced or not advanced, was of no avail, unless it appeared what the advancement was in certainty; to the intent it might be known, whether such advancement did amount unto as much as would have belonged to the child by the custom. 2 Vern. 630.

T. 1729. Cleaver and Spurling. If a freeman hath advanced his child on marriage, and the certainty of that advancement doth not appear under the freeman's hand; this is to be taken as a full advancement: but the freeman's declaration alone that he hath fully advanced his child, is not of itself sufficient; for at that rate it would be in the power of every freeman, by making such declaration, to bar his child of the orphanage part. 2 P. Will. 527.

12. The child of a freeman of London, when of age, Child of age may in confideration of a present fortune, bar herself of may release the her customary part. As in the case of Lockyer and Savage, customary part. M. 6 G. 2. The father, on his daughter's marriage, a-

grees to give her 30001; which she, being of age, cove-Vol. IV. Z nants to receive in full of her customary share as a secman's daughter: and tho' it was objected, that such a suture right cannot be released, and that parents might make an ill use of the power they have over their children, in forcing them to give such discharges; yet this was held a good bar of the custom, there being no fraud in the transaction. 2 Abr. Cas. Eq. 272. Str. 947.

But such release, without a valuable consideration, is not good; for in such case, at the time of the release the children having neither jus in rz, nor jus ad rem, the whole being in the father during his life, there is nothing

for any release to operate upon. Tr. Atk. 402.

Whether the husband can release.

13. In the case of Kemp and Kelsey, M. 1720. The plaintiff's wife was a freeman's daughter; and after he marriage, her father gave her 100 l, and the plaintiff executed a release for the 100 l, in full of all his wife's customary part or share which was or might be due to her by the custom of London. The father died. A bill wa brought for a discovery of the personal estate, and that upon the plaintiff's bringing the 1001 into hotchpot they might be let in to a customary part of the father's estate. The defendant pleaded the release in bar. And by the lord chancellor Macclesfield: The husband had no power to release a future interest of his wife's. She migh furvive him; and would then be intitled to it in her own right. Besides, this release is suggested to be fraudulently obtained. And therefore his lordship ordered the plea to stand for an answer, with liberty to except, so as to have an account of the freeman's personal estate, and the bene fit of the release to be faved to the hearing, when the question would come more properly, whether the release by the custom was good or not. 2 Abr. Eq. Cas. 267.

E. 1725. Cax and Belltha. A freeman of London had two daughters and one fon. One of the daughters murried; and on receiving a fuitable portion, the husband released all right and interest which he had or might have to any part of the father's personal estate by the custom of otherwise; and covenanted that at any time after the death of the father, he would do any further act for the releasing of any right which he might have by the custom. Jekyll and Gilbert, commissioners, inclined to think, that the release being for a valuable consideration, purporting an agreement to quit the right to the orphanage part, to be binding in equity; but tho' this might not be so clear, yet the covenant for a valuable consideration to release the future right is good: And so they decreed on

the execution of the release. 2 P. Will. 272.

Tune

June 18, 1737. Medcalfe and Ives. A bill was brought to have a specific performance of articles made on the marriage of the defendant, whereby the defendant and his wife covenanted, in confideration of 2000 l, the wife's marriage portion, to release all the right and interest that might accrue to them out of her father's personal estate by the custom of the city of London, he being a freeman of the said city. The defence made for the defendant was, that the cultomary part being a mere possibility and contingency, which might or might not happen, it could not be released; and if it could, that at the time of the articles, the wife was an infant, and so not bound by them; besides that the 2000 l was no consideration for releasing fuch an interest, the wife's father having died worth upwards of 20,000 l. By the lord chancellor Hardwicke: Tho' hardships may happen on my determination, yet these are considerations too loose either for a judge at law or in this court to lay any weight upon; and I must determine according to the facts, by the rules of law, and of this court. In this case there appears to have been a valuable confideration for the agreement in the articles, because at the time when the 2000 l was given, the defendant's wife was intitled to no part of the estate of her father, and it was given for her advancement in the world, and it is highly reasonable that such kind of articles should be carried into execution, and that when a father is bountiful to his children in his life time, he should have his affairs settled to his own satisfaction. As to the objection of the customary part being a possibility, and merely in contingency, it is of no weight; for there is no doubt but it might be released in equity: But here is a covenant, which the defendant is bound by in all events. And it is no objection to fay, that the wife was under age; for tho' in this respect, if the husband were dead, the articles would not bind her, and she would by survivorship be intitled to the customary share, as a chose in action not recovered, or received by the husband; yet he being alive, it is a matter that accrues to him in right of his wife, and he may release it, and his release will bind her; and therefore it was reasonable he should perform his covenant. I found my opinion too on an old law well known in the city, by the name of Jud's law; whereby a husband was authorized to agree with the father for the wife, tho' she was under age. Tr. Atk. 63.

Whether the hare released shall fall into the dead man's or the orphanage part.

14. In the last mentioned case of Medcalfe and Ives, 2 question arose, whether the orphanage part, covenanted to be released by the husband, should fall into the deadman's part, and go wholly according to his disposition of the residue of his estate, as a thing purchased by him; or whether it should fall into his personal estate, and be distributed with it according to the custom: And the lord chancellor faid, that as in equity things covenanted to be done are as things actually done, it must be considered as if the husband had actually released, and so is an extinguishment of his wife's right to the orphanage part; and being an extinguishment of the right, it leaves the estate of the father as if it had never been charged with it, and must therefore be considered as a part of his general perfonal estate, and not to go wholly to the executor of the father, as a part of the dead-man's share. Tr. Atk. 64.

Whether marriage without content bars the cuftom.

15. In the case of Hill and Blanket, H. 28 C. 2. It is faid, that there is no such custom, as that a child marrying under the age of eighteen, without the father's confent, shall lose her orphanage part. Cha. Ca. Finch. 248.

But in the case of Foden and Howlett, H. 1 7. 2. By Tefferies lord chancellor: If the daughter of a citizen of London marries in his life time against his consent, unles the father be reconciled to her before his death, she shall not have her orphanage share of his personal estate: and it would be unreasonable to take the custom to be otherwise. 1 Vern. 354.

And if any person intermarry with an orphan without consent of the court, such person may be fined by them, according to the quality and portion of the orphan; and unless such person pay the fine, or give security to pay it, they may commit him to Newgate to remain there till he fubmit to their orders. Priv. Lond. 282, 283.

And he that marries an orphan without confent of the court, must make a jointure before he receives the portion. Priv. Lond. 286.

C ftom extends

16. E. 1686. Fowke and Hunt. A freeman of Lonnot to grandend-don dies, leaving a widow and no children, but hath feveral grandchildren living at the time of his death. And the question was, whether they were within the custom of the city of London or not. The lord chancellor took time to confider of it; and having confulted the recorder and feveral of the aldermen, delivered his opinion, that grandchildren were not within the custom of the city of London. 1 Vern. 397.

H. 1716.

H. 1716. Northey and Strange. It was admitted by onnsel, and said to have been so determined, and settled; but a freeman's grandchild (where the grandchild's father was never advanced in the freeman's life time, and died efore the freeman, leaving a child) was not within the ustom; and that only the freeman's children were within the custom, to come in for an orphanage part. I P. Vill. 341. 2 Salk. 426.

17. If a freeman of London hath but one child, and Hetchpot only to has acceived fome portion from his father, and the fa-amongst childer dies, leaving this child and a wife; the child shall ave his full orphan's part, without any regard to what he ath already received: for that advancement in part is only to be brought into hotchpot with children, and not with

thers: By Sir Edward Northey. 2 Salk. 426.

M. 1685. Beckford and Beckford. The only point was, spon the custom of the city of London, where a child hat had a portion, but was not fully advanced, and was o bring her portion into hotchpot; whether the portion hould be brought into the personal estate in general, that to the widow might come in for part of it, or whether it should be brought into the orphanage part only: Lord chancellor; It is beyond all doubt, that it must be brought into the orphanage part only. 1 Vern. 345.

T. 1691. Fane and Bence. Where an only child is in part advanced by the father in his life time; such child shall not bring his part into hotchpot, there being none

in equal degree with him. 2 Vern. 234.

T. 1729. Cleaver and Spurling. A freeman of London having but one child, advanceth that child in part only; the child shall take a full share, without bringing what he had before received into hotchpot: for the only meaning of bringing the child's share into hotchpot is, to make an equality among the children; and not for the benefit of the mother, or to increase the dead-man's share. 2 P. Will. 526.

18. A lease for years attending the inheritance of a Lease. freeman of London, is not affets within the custom.

Vern. 2, 102.

19. So if a citizen of London has a trust of a term Trust of a term, attending his inheritance, and dies; the trust of the term shall not be subject to the custom of London, to be divided between the wife and children, as other personal estate and chattels shall. 2 Freem. 66.

20. A mortgage in fee shall be counted part of a free-Mortgage, man's personal estate, and subject to the custom. 1 Cha. Ca. 285.

 Z_3

A mort-

A mortgage shall be paid out of the personal estate, in preserve to the customary or orphanage part by the custom of London; because the custom of London cannot take place till after the debts paid. 2 P. Will. 335.

iv. Of the custom of the province of York.

By the statute of distribution 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. it is provided, that the said act or any thing therein contained, shall not any ways prejudice or hinder the customs observed within the city of London, or within the province of York, or other places, having known and received customs peculiar to them, but that the same customs may be observed as formerly; any thing therein contained to the contrary notwithstanding. s. 4.

And by the 1 J. 2. c. 17. For the determining some doubts arising upon the said statute, it is thereby enacted and declared, that the clause therein by which it is provided, that the same statute or any thing therein contained should not any ways prejudice or hinder the customs observed within the city of London and province of York, was never intended, nor shall be taken or construed to extend to sach part of any intestate's estate, as any administrator, by virtue only of being administrator, by pretence or reason of any custom, may claim to have to exempt the same from distribution; but that such part in the hands of such administrator shall be subject to distribution as in other cases within the said statute. 1. 8.

By virtue only of being administrator.] The part which the administrator hath by virtue only of his being administrator, is the deadman's part; which being taken out of the custom, this statute of the 1 J. 2. c. 17. provides that the same shall be distributed according to the statute of distribution.

And agreeable hereunto was the case of Stapleton and Sherrard, Feb. 5th in the 3 J. 2. which cause was depending when this statute was made: Between John Stapleton, esquire, and Thomas lord Meryon, plaintists; Bennet Sherrard, esquire, and Dorothy his wise, administratrix of the goods of Robert Stapleton, esquire, defendants:——This cause having been first heard, on the 13th day of June, in the 35th of Cha. 2. and it being then referred to a master to take an account of the personal estate of the said Robert Stapleton, and to make exact distribution of the same according to law, amongst the plaintist Stapleton, and the child of the lord Meryon, and also the brothers and sisters of the said Robert Staple-

ton, as well those of the whole blood, as of the half blood, and their respective representatives; the defendant, in right of the defendant Dorothy as she is widow of the hid intestate Robert Stapleton, claiming a moiety of the clear personal estate by the custom of the province of York, and also by the late act for settling intestates estates half of the other moiety thereof; and the faid mafter being thereby to report specially to this court, as he should think fit, what should appear doubtful as to the interest of any of the parties concerned therein, the faid master made his report dated the 9th day of June 1684, whereby he certified, that by the custom of York, a moiety of the faid clear personal estate was of right due and belonging to the defendant Dorothy, as the widow and relict of the faid Robert Stapleton, and that the other moiety he had divided amongst the said intestate's brothers and fisters, and their legal representatives, in such proportions as is therein mentioned. And exceptions having been put in to the faid report, and the fame coming to be heard the 24th day of February in the first year of king James the 2d, before the right honourable the lord keeper of the great feal of England; his lordship desired his grace the then lord archbishop of York to certify, when a man dies intestate within the province of York without issue (after his debts and funerals paid) how the refidue was to be divided by the custom of the province of York, and what part remained by the ordinary to be distributed. And his grace the then archbishop of York having, pursuant to the faid defire, on the 18th day of March in the 1st year of James the 2d, certified that in such cases aforesaid, the widow of the intestate by the custom of the said province, hath usually had allotted to her one moiety of the clear personal estate, and that the other moiety had been distributed amongst the next of kin to the deceased intestate, and that had been the constant practice of the ecclefiastical court at York. To which certificate the faid defendants took exceptions. Upon debate whereof on the 17th of May in the faid first year of James the second, it was ordered, that the exceptions should be overruled; and the defendants were ordered to pay unto the plaintiffs, and bring into court respectively, the several and respective sums of money therein in that behalf mentioned within two months, or in default thereof, or if the plaintiffs should not acquiesce therein, then they were to pay costs. And the defendants being not fatiffied with the faid order, did afterwards petition the right Z 4

honourable the lord high chancellor of England for a rehearing of the faid cause, upon this point only, namely, whether the defendant Dorothy, being the widow of the faid Robert Stapleton, who died an inhabitant of the province of York, and without iffue, and also his administratrix, ought not by virtue of the custom of the said province, to have one moiety or half of the clear personal estate of the said intestate Robert Stapleton her late husband, and also according to the rules of distribution mentioned in the late act for settling intestates estates to have half of the other moiety as widow of the faid Robert Stapleton, who died without issue as aforesaid. And his lordship having ordered the said cause to be reheard upon that point only, and the same coming to be reheard accordingly before his lordship in the presence of the defendant's counsel, none attending for the plaintiffs, albeit due notice of the faid last order for rehearing was given to them and the other parties concerned, as by affidavit then produced did appear; and the case on the pleadings in the cause being opened by the defendant's counsel, and upon consideration thereof, and of the said late act for fettling of intestates estates, and of the statute made in the 1st year of his said majesty king James the 2d, intitled, an act for reviving and continuing several acts of parliament therein mentioned; his lordship declared, that notwithstanding the said certificate of the said lord archbishop of York, his lordship was fully satisfied, the defendant in right of the defendant Dorothy as widow of the faid intestate Robert Stapleton her late husband ought to have the one moiety or half of his clear personal estate by virtue of the custom of the province of York, and also half of the other moiety of the said clear personal estate by virtue of the said statute and rules of distribution therein mentioned; and did order and decree the same accordingly. And it being alledged, that the defendants in pursuance of the said former decree, and to avoid any contempt for not yielding obedience thereto, had paid and fatisfied unto the plaintiffs and others the brothers and fifters of the said intestate Robert Stapleton, or their respective representatives or some of them, the respective proportions to them respectively allotted by the master's report, whereas they ought but to have paid one moiety thereof, and prayed that the plaintiffs and the faid other persons that were so overpaid might refund and pay the defendants the moiety or half of the money fo paid or satisfied unto them, his fordship did order and decree the fame

some accordingly, and the 51 deposited with the register mon the granting of the faid rehearing to be paid back the defendants or their clerks in court. Afterwards on the 8th day of June in the 3d year of the reign of his majesty king James the 2d, the plaintiffs being diftisfied with the faid order made, petitioned his lordship to hear the cause again; and the same coming to be reheard accordingly on the 5th day of February in the year aforesaid, before his lordship, in the presence of counsel learned on both sides, upon long debate of the matter, and hearing what could be alledged on either fide, his lordship declared the defendant's wife is well intitled to one moiety of her late husband's estate by the custom of the province of York, and to a moiety of the other moiety by the act of distribution, and therefore saw no cause to alter the former order; and therefore did order, that the said former order should stand confirmed.

By the 4 W. c. 2. Whereas by custom within the province of York, or other usage, the widows and younger children of persons dying inhabitants of that province, are intitled to a part f the goods and chattels of their late husbands and fathers (called her and their reasonable part) notwithstanding any disposition of the same by their husbands and fathers last wills and testaments, and notwithstanding any jointures made for the welihood of the said widows by their husbands in their life time, which are competent, and according to agreement; whereby many persons are disabled from making sufficient proission for their younger children: for remedy thereof, it is enacted, that it shall be lawful for any person inhabiting or residing, or who shall have any goods or chattels within the province of York, by their last wills and testaments to give be queath and dispose of all and singular their goods chattels debts and other personal estate, to their executors, or such other perjons as they shall think fit, in as ample manner as by the laws and statutes of this realm any person may give and dispose of the some within the province of Canterbury or elsewhere; and the sidows, children, and other the kindred of fuch testator, shall barred to claim or demand any part of the goods chattels or other personal estate of such testator, in any other manner than as by the faid last wills and testaments is limited and appointed. 1. I, 2.

Provided, that nothing in this act shall extend to the citizens of the cities of York and Chester, who shall be freemen of the faid respective cities, inhabiting therein or within the suburbs thereof at the time of their death; but that every such citizen's widow and children shall have such reasonable part and pro-

portion

portion of the testator's personal estate, as they might have but the custom of the province of York before the making of this

ast. f. 3.

Note, the mentioning of the city of Chester here was a mistake; for this custom of the province of York did not extend to that city, nor to any other part of the whole archdeaconry of Chester: and the reason is, because until the erection of the see of Chester in the time of king Henry the eighth, that archdeaconry was not within the province of York, but was part of the diocese of Litchsteld and Coventry within the province of Canterbury. And therefore afterwards, when this proviso was taken off by the statute here next following, with respect to the city of York; there was no need for any application to parliament to repeal the same proviso, in relation to the

city of Chester.

But as to the city of York, it is enacted by the 2 & 3 An. c. 5. as followeth: Whereas in the statute of the 4 W. c. 2. there is a proviso, that nothing in the said act contain d should extend or be construed to extend to the citizens of the cities of York and Chefter, who should be freemen of the said respective cities, inhabiting therein, or within the suburbs thereof, at the time of their death; but that every such citizen's widow and children should have such reasonable part and proportion of the testator's personal estate, as they might have had by the custom of the province of York before the making of the faid act: And whereas notwithstanding, the mayor and commonalty, on behalf of the inhabitants of the faid city of York, have requested that the said proviso may be repealed, so that the freemen of the said city may have the benefit of the said act, as well as all other persons inhabiting within the said province; it is enacted, that the said proviso, so far as the same concerneth the citizens of the city of York, shall be and is hereby repealed; so that it shall and may be lawful for all and every the citizens of the said city of York, who shall be freemen of the said city, inhabiting therein, or within the fuburbs thereof, at the time of their death, by their last wills and testaments to give bequeath and dispose of their goods chattels debts and other personal estates, to their executors or such other persons as they shall think fit, as any other persons inhabiting or residing within the said province of York may lawfully do by virtue of the said act; and that the widows, children, and other kindred of fuch testator, shall be barred to claim or demand any part of the goods chattels or other personal estate of the testator, in any other manner than as by the said last wills and testaments is appointed; any thing in the said 17, or any other law, statute, or usage to the contrary not-

withstanding.

So that the ancient law and custom restraining the inhabitants of the province of York from disposing of their whole personal estates by will, is now utterly abolished out of that whole province.

But with respect to the distribution of intestates effects, the custom continues as it hath been for ages past: which, taking Swinburne for our guide, we come now to trace

out and delineate.

It is to be understood then, that within the province of York generally, there hath been an ancient custom, and divers famous writers long ago have made mention of the faid custom in their works to have been observed long before their days, and the fame also appeareth from the acts and other very ancient instruments of undoubted credit faithfully preserved in the registry of the archbishop of York; by which custom there is due to the widow and so the lawful children of every man being an inhabitant or an bousholder within the said province of York, and dying there or elsewhere intestate, being an inhabitant or housholder within that province, a reasonable part of his clear moveable goods: unless such child be heir to his father deccased; or were advanced by his father in his life time, by which advancement it is to be understood, that the father in his life time bestowed upon his child a competent portion whereon to live. Swin. 220, 230, 233.

Reasonable part | Which is as followeth:

(1) If the intestate hath neither wife nor child at the time of his death, his whole personal estate (the suneral expences and other necessary charges being sirst deducted) shall be disposed in the due course of administration; the same heretosore having been wholly at the disposal of the deceased, and consequently salling now under the direc-

tions of the statute of distribution. Swin. 220.

(2) If the intestate at the time of his death leave behind him a wife and no child, or else some child or children but no wife; in this case, by the custom observed not only throughout the province of York, but in many other places besides within this realm of England, the goods are to be divided into two parts; of which, one part is due to the wife, or else to the children, by virtue of the said custom. Swin. 220.

But if by settlement a jointure is limited to the wise, in bar of all her demands out of the personal estate of her husband by virtue of the custom, in such case it is as if

there

there were no wife, with respect to the said customery part; so, if it is in bar of all her demands by virtue of the said custom or otherwise, she shall be deharred also of

any distributive share by the statute. 1 Vern. 15.

And if the intestate have a wise, and a child or colladren which child is beir to the intestate, or which conladren were advanced by the sather in his life time; in this case it is as if he had no child: and therefore in like manner the goods shall be divided into two parts; where of the wise is to have the one part to herself, and by other half is distributable by the statute. Swin. 223, 221.

So in the case of Goodwin and Ramsden, M. 168 The plaintiff exhibited a bill, to have an account, and her share of her father's personal estate, who died intest tate. The defendant pleaded, that the estate in question lay within the province of York, and that the interest died there, and that the plaintiff being one of his daught ters was advanced by him in his life time; and that by the custom of the province of York, a daughter being once advanced by her father in his life time, was exclude from all further benefit of her father's personal estate. But in this case it appearing, that all the children of the intestate were advanced by him in his life time, and for the estate wholly exempted out of the custom, it ought to go now in a course of administration, and be distributed according to the act for fettling intestates estates; and thereupon the plea was overruled. I Vern. 200.

And in the case of Collinson and Trotter, Jun. 24. 1727. Richard Collinson died intestate, leaving a widow, woo was the present defendant, Anne Trotter; and an only child, Thomas Collinson, the plaintiff in this cause. Si Joseph Jekyll, master of the rolls, directed two issues to be tried at York assizes: 1. Whether the defendant Ande Trotter, who was the widow, is intitled by the custom of the province of York to a moiety, or any and with part, of the intestate's estate. 2. Whether the plaints Thomas Collinson the infant, who is intitled to lands in fee simple by descent, on the death of his said sather, ! by the custom of the said province intitled to any and what part of his said father's personal estate. These two issues were tried accordingly at the summer assizes following. And after long evidence given, the jury found, as to the first issue, that the wife is intitled by the same custom to a moiety of the intestate's personal estate: And, as to the second, that the son is excluded, by the descent

the fee simple estate, from claiming any part of the ersonal estate by the said custom. And consequently, with evidow would receive one half of the personal estate y the custom; and one third of the remaining half by the statute: So that taking the whole together, she would be ceive two thirds of the said intestate's personal estate.

(3) If the intestate at the time of his death had both a life and also a child or children; in this case, the deceased's hare is no more than the third part of the clear goods: or the faid goods shall be divided into three parts; whereof ne wife ought to have one part, the child or children aother part, and the third part (which is called the death's art) is distributable by the statute. Yet so, as that the hild or children be not heir to the intestate their father, or avanced by him in his life time: for then it is as if there vere no child; and consequently the goods of the deceased re to be divided into two parts, whereof the intestate's vife is to have the one part, and the other is distributable y the statute. And if the intestate have a wife, and chilren whereof one is heir, another advanced, and some not d anced by their father in his life time; in this case, the goods of the deceased shall be divided into three parts, vhereof the wife shall have one, the child or children not dvanced another, and the third (being the death's part) is listributable as aforesaid. Swin. 221.

But here ariseth a very important question, in case where child hath received in his father's life time an advancenent, not only sufficient to debar him of his customary part, but so large as to extend into, or to overbalance, what would be his proportionable share also of the deadnan's part; whether in this case such child shall receive iny share of the dead-man's part, unless he will bring over into hotchpot in the said dead-man's part, so much of his said advancement, as exceedeth his just proportion of the customary part. And concerning this, the opinions of learned men are various. Some hold, if a child is fully advanced, so as that his advancement doth exceed not only what would be his just proportion of the customary part, but of the dead-man's part likewise; that in such case he is excluded from any further share whatsoever of his father's personal estate, either by the custom, or by the statute. Others are of opinion, that whatsoever his advancement shall have been, so as to debar him of his customary part; yet that advancement shall have no consideration in the dead-man's part, but thereof he shall receive his full share without any retrospect to his preceding

advance-

advancement. The former opinion is more agreeable to equity and reason; but whether it is consistent with the construction of the statutes, is the question. For this is a point respecting not the custom, but the acts of parliament: the custom itself in this case is clear enough; but it is questioned, how far the statutes do infringe the customark.

tom in this particular. Before the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. the custom was this: The children received their own customary part, and no more; and of this customary part a child fully advanced could have no share, the advancement being effeemed as a fatisfaction for his filial portion: And no child could fue for any share of the dead-man's part; the same (according to its original institution) being to be disposed for the foul of the deceased. This statute left the custom intire. And the statute of the 1 7. 2. c. 17. doth not touch thereupon, further than to distribute the said deadman's part. Nevertheless, in such distribution it is required, that the children (exclusive of the heir at law as aforesaid) shall bring their respective advancements into hotchpot. But in this case, the advancement is supposed to be already funk in the customary part; and confequently nothing remaineth to be brought over into the dead-man's part: And therefore (upon this supposition) a child, how largely foever advanced by his father in his life time, shall only thereby be excluded from receiving any further filial portion by the custom, but shall not be excluded from receiving a full distributive share of the deadman's part by the statute.

And with this feemeth to accord the case of Gudgen and Ramsden, T. 1692. which was thus: The intestate, being an inhabitant in the province of York; left iffue a fon and daughter only, and no widow. The daughter had a portion given her in marriage, in lieu and full fatiffaction of what she might claim by the custom of the province. The fon was also advanced, by a settlement The question was, How this estate should be distributed. For the heir it was infisted, that now the custom of the province of York is to be quite laid out of the case, and the same distribution made of the estate, as of any other intestate's estate, and by consequence the daughter to bring her portion into hotchpot; but the heir to have a full share, without regard to what lands had been fettled upon him. By the court: The daughter must not bring back her portion into hotchpot; for that came in lieu of the customary part, and was as the price the father thought fit to give her for the same. 2 Vern. 274.

But

But here it feemeth, that a distinction ought to be made, between an advancement given and accepted expressly in lieu and satisfaction of the filial portion, and an advancement given generally without any such agreement or stipulation. In the former case it seemeth, that no respect shall be had of such advancement in the distribution of the dead-man's part, the same being to be considered not so properly an advancement by the father, as a purchase by the child: and which, by possibility, might have sallen short of, as well as exceeded, the true value of the child's portion*. And upon this principle, the said case of Gudgeon and Ramsden seemeth to have been determined. But where there is no such special contract or agreement,

* For a child of age, for a valuable confideration, might release his future filial portion. Of which kind of release there feems to have been a special precise form; according to the following example, which is brief, and yet full withal and comprehensive; much in the style and manner of those most accurate forms of composition, the writs in the register: viz. " Be it known unto all men by these presents, That I Thomas Whitehead of Byebeck in the county of Westmorland, husbandman, for and in confideration of a sum of money, by Richard Whitehead my father of Byebeck aforefaid in the faid county, yeoman, to me well and truly contented and paid, have remised, released, and quit claimed, and by these presents do absolutely remit, release, and quit claim, unto the faid Richard Whitehead my father, his executors and administrators, all manner of filial or child's portion of goods, which I the said Thomas, my executors or assigns can or may hereafter have, claim, or demand of, in, and to the goods or chattels of the Said Richard Whitehead, by any manner of ways, means, title, or claim how soewer. In witness whereof, I the said Thomas Whitehead have hereunto f t my feal, the first day of May, in the eighth year of the reign of our sovereign lord James, by the grace of God, king of England, France, and Ireland, defender of the faith, and so forth, and of Scotland the forty third. 1610.

Sealed and delivered in the prefence of us

Thomas Whitehead.

George Sharp.

Edward Branthwaite.

Thomas Potter.

Philip Winster.

And here it is observable, that the particular sum, which was the consideration, is not specified; so that there is no room here to dispute about the quantum of the advancement; for it must be taken in such case as an advancement in toto.

but the advancement is general, without any particular respect either to the customary or distributive share; feemeth, that the same shall be applied either to one of both of them, according to the amount of such advancement, and so as best to answer the intent of the status, which expresses, that the estate of all the said children is the made equal as near as can be estimated. And by this distinction, the two contrary opinions seem to be recunciled.

Of his clear moveable goods] Where the wife or children ought to have a rateable part of the goods of the deceased be it a third part or half, as the case yieldeth; there also they ought to have a like part of the debts due unto the intestate, after they be recovered by the administrators for then they are numbred or accounted amongst the good

of the intestate, but not before. Swin. 221.

But of *leafes* (Swinburne fays) the wife and children cannot have any rateable part within the province of York, or other places where they have been accustomed to have their rateable part of the moveable goods and debts recovered, unless the faid wife or children, demanding their rateable part of leases, do prove that by special custom of that place (namely, of that city, county, deanry, or parish where the intestate dwelled, and had such leases) the wives and children were accustomed to have their rateable part, as well of the leases, as of the moveable goods of the intestate; which special custom being proved, they may recover the rateable part as before. (But not by the general custom of the province.) Swin. 221.

But concerning estates pur auter vie, that is to say, estates held by lease during the life of another person, it is specially provided by the statute of the 14 G. 2. c. 20. that where there is no devise thereof, they shall go and be distributed in the same manner as the personal estate of the intestate.

Unless such child be heir to his father deceased] Which li-

mitation is diversly extended: As,

(1) Not only the heir of lands holden in fee simple, is thereby barred from the recovery of a filial portion; but he also that is heir in fee tail, either general or special. Swin. 231.

(2) Albeit the lands be of very small revenue, peradventure not past a noble yearly rent, and the goods very great in comparison of so small a rent (be it 1000) or

more);

of a filial portion. And the this may feem hard to the sir, if we consider that same right of primogeniture; if we shall consider on the other side, that if the lands worth 1000 l a year, and the goods little or nothing so the (the debts being paid), and so little or nothing left the rest of the children (which case is more frequent han the former); the custom, we see, is not void of equity, when both cases are equally balanced. Swink

(3) Not only that heir is excluded from a filial portion, which doth enter upon the lands immediately after his faher's death, but he also which is heir in reversion, is seir: and being heir, can have no filial portion. For in he writ de rationabili parte bonorum, it is contained, that e which demandeth a filial portion neither is heir, nor was advanced in the life of his father: now he that is heir in rerefion cannot fay fo, and therefore can recover no filial portion, according to the custom of the country. Otherwife, if he should recover a portion, and the land afterwards; the final intent of the custom should suffer preindice, which would that the lands and goods should not both one way, but the one to the heir, and the other the rest of the children. And yet the case may fall out very hard with the heir in reversion: for what if he should de in the mean time, before he could lawfully enter to note lands which be his only in reversion, and so reap no enefit either of his father's lands or goods? howfoever hall fall out, he must be content with his lot; and tho' not he, yet his shall enjoy the land at the time appointed. Swin. 232.

(4) Albeit the heir receiveth the lands by settlement made upon his father's marriage; yet he is heir so as to be excluded thereby from a filial portion. As in the case of Conflable and Constable, T. 1700. Upon a former hearof this cause, a question arising upon the custom of province of York, touching the distribution of the conal estate of the father; an issue was directed to be tried at law, whether the father having by settlement on his marriage settled his real estate to himself for life, part his wife for her jointure, and the remainder of the whole to his first and other sons in tail, remainder to his own right heirs, the eldest fon was thereby excluded by the cultom of the province of York, from having any have of his father's personal estate; and it being found the was thereby debarred and excluded, and the cause Vol. IV. A 2 coming coming now to be heard on the equity reserved, it was de-

creed accordingly. 2 Vern. 375.

(5) Albeit the heir hold lands by deed or feoffment in mortgage, or with clause of redemption, that is to say, upon condition that if the feoffor pay unto him a sum of money at a certain day, that then the feoffor may re-enter, and the deed or grant to be void; yet nevertheless in the mean time, until the condition be performed, and the land redeemed, if he should demand any filial portion, he is barred, because as yet he is heir to the deceased. But if the lands should be redeemed, and the money satisfied, then it is thought that he may recover a filial portion; because then he is not heir to the deceased, nor the advancement certain which was made by the father in his life time. Swin. 232.

(6) Likewise if a man purchase lands in see, and by will devise the same lands to his eldest son and to the heir of his body, and for default of such issue to his younge son and to the heirs of his body, and so on; in this case Swinburne says, the eldest son is not barred from the recovery of a filial portion, as heir to the deceased; because he is not as heir to his father according to the course of the common law, but according to his father's will. Swin 232. [But whether this devise shall bar him as an advancement is another question, which will be considered.

afterwards.]

But where a man is heir at law of lands in fee fimple and his father also deviseth those lands to him; it seemet that he shall take by his better title, as heir at law, an not as devisee: and consequently thereby shall be exclude from a filial portion. For no man can by his will mak his heir a purchaser of a fee simple; for the descent an will take effect at the same time, and the elder title shall be preferred.

(7) In like manner, the youngest son, who is heir in borough english, seemeth not to be heir, so as thereby to be debarred from a filial portion; for he is not heir according to the course of the common law, but by a particular

custom.

(8) Note also, that if the child should have any copy hold land, after his father's death; in this case he is no reputed his father's heir to the effect aforesaid, and so bar red from the recovery of a filial portion, due by the general custom of the said province. Swin. 232.

Note, the word copyhold, altho' of itself it conveys a idea distinct enough, yet from the different acceptation of

it amongst learned men, it becometh equivocal; some using it to fignify all lands which are not freehold; others, more properly, lands only which are holden by copy of court roll. It is of very much importance to determine in which of the two senses it is here to be understood. For a great part of the lands within the province of York are neither freehold nor copyhold, but are included under

For customary is the general denomination of lands holden by the custom of the manor; of which, copyhold is but one species: so that altho' all copyhold lands are customary, yet all customary lands are not copyhold. Andit seemeth that the word copyhold here shall be understood in the less proper sense, so as to signify, that customary lands in general as well as those which are strictly copyhold, inherited from the father, shall be no hindrance to the child from obtaining a filial portion. For at the time when this custom of the province of York took place, these customary lands were not inheritable.

Or were advanced by his father in his life time] Here it comes to be confidered, what manner of preferment or advancement that is, which doth debar the child from a filial portion.

By his father] For if another than the father bestow any preserment or advancement, tho' never so much; this preserment by another is no bar to the child, from the recovery of the filial portion of his father's goods: much less, where the child hath advanced his estate by his own industry. Swin. 233.

In his life time] Here the case aforesaid is considerable, where the father by his last will deviseth lands to his son (for this he may well do, and yet nevertheless die intestate as to his goods), whether this shall be such an advancement as shall debar the son from the recovery of his shill portion; and it seemeth that it shall not: for this was no advancement to the child in the life time of his sather; but he may resuse or wave the bequest, and recover a silial portion due according to the custom of the country. Swin. 233.

Howbeit, if the father in his life time bestow a lease upon his child, or grant unto him an annuity for life out of his lands, yet in such manner as the child shall not reap any benefit thereby, so long as the father liveth, but after his death; this is holden for a preferment or an advancement, because it was assured unto him in his father's

life time. Nor is this case contrary to the former: for the child had no assurance of his devise until his father was dead, because he might have revoked the same at any time whilst he lived; which he could not do in the other case. Swin. 233.

That the father in his life time bestowed upon his child] For if the father bestow any thing upon another for his child's sake, or for the good of his child; nevertheless this is no such preferment as will hinder the child of his filial portion. Swin. 223.

And therefore if the father bestow any thing upon a man of trade, to take his son for an apprentice, and to teach him his mystery; this is no advancement to the ef-

fect aforesaid. Swin. 233.

Or if he bestow any thing upon a schoolmaster, or tutor in the university, for the increase of his knowledge in learning, or for any degree there to be obtained; this is no advancement to exclude the child of a filial portion.

No more is it (faith Swinburne) if the father buy the advowson of an ecclesiastical benefice or dignity, and af-

terwards present his son thereto. Swin. 233.

So if the father buy an office, and bestow it upon his son; this seemeth (he says) not to be an advancement to bar him of his portion. Swin. 233.

Or if the fon be much indebted, and the father difcharge the debt; yet this feemeth not to be a preferment.

Swin. 233.

But if the father bestow a competent portion with his daughter in marriage, upon him that shall marry her; this, without question, is such an advancement as will bar her from the demand of a filial portion. Swin. 233.

A competent portion] By the word portion is to be underflood, not only a fum of money, or part of the father's goods and chattels, but also lands and annuities, bestowed by the father upon the son. Swin. 234.

Competent I Competent fignifieth equal, or not far inferior, to that quantity, which otherwise according to the custom of the province, should fall to be due to the child, after the rate and proportion of the father's estate, at the time when he doth bestow any such thing upon his child; for the same being equal, or not much under the rate which should belong to the child by the custom aforesaid, if his sather had then died, shall stand for a sufficient preferment and advancement, to exclude him from a sistial portion.

For

For confidering the equality, or small inequality, betwixt the one and the other; it is to be presumed, that it was the father's purpose, that the one should stand instead of the other. Infomuch that if the father after this preferment should live many years, and increase his substance; vet it feemeth, that the father's former gift would bar the child from recovery of any farther filial portion; and the reason is, because as the father did grow richer (in which case the son's preserment should be less), so it might fall out that the father might have grown poorer, and then the son's preferment should have been more than otherwise it would by the custom of the country. So that the father's gift being at the first competent, in regard of his estate at that time; the same is not made effectual or ineffectual by the increase or decrease of his future estate. Swin. 233, 234.

But if the father's gift were not competent, or far under the rate of that which otherwise should belong to the child by the custom; as, for instance, if the father should give his child 5 l to put in his purse or bestow at his pleafure, whereas otherwise his silial portion would extend to divers hundreds; this gift of the father doth not seem to be such an advancement, as will exclude the child from his silial portion, neither in the construction of law, nor in the intention of the father; and that is rather to be termed a mere benevolence, than a preferment or advancement exclusive of a silial portion: and if the son have deserved a good turn at his sather's hands, this is no advancement, but a recompence of that which was formerly

deserved. Swin. 234.

But here ariseth a question; what if the thing which the father bestoweth upon the child, be so indifferent between competent and incompetent, that it may be justly doubted whether the same shall stand for an advancement. or a mere benevolence, over and besides which, he might expect a filial portion? Now whether may the child cast in that gift of the father, and so recover an equal portion with the rest of his brethren and sisters? It seemeth at the first that he may. For if a man seised of thirty acres of land in fee finiple, have iffue two daughters, and giveth with one of them in marriage ten acres of the fame land in frank marriage, and dieth seised of the other twenty ecres; the that is thus married may (if the will) have part of the twenty acres, whereof her father died feised; but then the must put her land given in frank marriage in hotchpot, that is to say, she must refuse to take the sole

profits

profits of the land given in frank marriage, and fuffer the land to be commixed and mingled together with the other land whereof her father died seised, so that an equal division be made of the whole, betwixt her and her sister; and thus, for her ten acres, the shall have fifteen; whereas otherwise, her fister shall have the twenty acres of which their father died feised. And as in lands, so in goods: which is also agreeable to the civil law. And Swinburne fays, he hath feen it fometimes so observed, by the confent of the children not advanced, being then of lawful years; but he hath not known it at any time fo overruled by law, without their consents. And therefore he concludeth, that considering the strictness of the writ de rationabili parte bonorum, this gift of the father shall either be found to be a preferment or not; if it shall be found to be a preferment, then is the child excluded from recovery of a filial portion; if it shall be found not to be a preferment, then may the child recover the filial portion according to the custom of the province of York, as in the faid writ is contained. Swin. 235.

But nevertheless, the words of the writ do not seem necessarily to infer this consequence, being only general, that children not promoted in their father's life time ought to have their reasonable part: for this they may have, and yet notwithstanding be required to bring into hotchpot with their brothers and fisters what they shall have received less than their due proportion; and it will be fo much the more reasonable upon that account. And therefore what Swinburne intimates was in his days recommended to the parties by the judge, seemeth, at least since that time, generally to have prevailed as the custom of the province; that children (exclusive of the heir at law) not advanced to their full proportion of the childrens part, shall be admitted to come in for their share of the said childrens part, bringing thereunto their partial advancements into hotchpot: agreeable to what Swinburne acknowledgeth to be the rule of the civil law; in conformity also to the custom of the city of London, and to the measures of the statute of distribution, and to the rules observed by the courts of equity in all fuch like cases.

Whereon to live If the father bestow any thing upon his child to any other end, as money in his purse to spend among his equals, or to buy him suits of apparel, or books, or armour for the service of his country; yet this (as it seemeth) is not to be holden for an advancement, the perad-

peradventure the sums of money given for these particular ands, were not very much inferior to that which otherwise might belong to the child for his filial portion according to the custom, and otherwise would have been taken for an advancement: but it must be a provision of some competent thing for the maintenance of his child, whereby he may be the better enabled to live after his father's death. Swin. 234.

It is faid by the editors or continuators of Swinburne, that it hath been much controverted, whether the ordinary hath power to compel the administrator to give portions to children, or to allot and distribute filial portions to the deceased's children out of his estate. If the ordinary attempt this, either before or after the granting of letters of administration, it hath been held, that the administrator might have a prohibition; and that the ordinary hath not any power to make distribution of the surplusage, nor to take any bond to answer the same: for that if the ordinary might distribute, then the administrator might be charged of his own goods; for there may be dormant debts, and which are unknown: yet notwithstanding, they add, that it is usual for the ordinary to order and allot distribution of filial portions, and therein prohibitions are not often granted. Swin. 233. a.

But whether this is spoken of the times before the statute of distribution, doth not appear. As to the deadman's part, there seemeth to be no doubt, but the ordinary by the said statute may cause distribution thereof. And as to the widows and childrens portions, the statute provides that the custom shall be observed as before: And it seemeth that the ordinaries within the said province, even as all other ordinaries, before the making of the said act, did exercise a power of compelling distribution, altho' the temporal courts would not allow the same to be lawful, and that was the cause of the act being made. And the act says, that "All ordinaries, as well the judges "of the prerogative courts of Canterbury and YORK, as "other ordinaries, shall have power to order and make just "and equal distribution."

There is a case in 2 Vern. 47, 82. wherein the customs of the city of London and of the province of York did interfere; which was thus: E. 1688. Chomley and Chomley. A freeman of London died within the province of York, leaving a widow, and issue two sons and a daugh-

ter :

ter; and an estate of about 501 a year within the province of York descended on the elder son; and if the custom of the province of York should prevail, he would thereby be excluded from having any share of the pursue nalty, which was about 20000 l. A bill was brought for the direction of the court, how and in what many the personal estate should be disposed of. And the court was clearly of opinion, that the deceased being a free man of London, the custom of the city for the distribution of the personalty should prevail and controll the custom of the province of York; and that, notwithstanding the custom of the province to the contrary, the heir should come in for a share of the personal estate: for the customer of the province is only local, and circumscribed to a comtain place; but that of London follows the person, the never so remote from the city.

Upon the whole, so far as can be estimated from the premisses, the course of distribution of intestates essentially within the province of York seemeth to stand thus:

r. If a person dieth intestate, leaving no wise, but conly child; which child is heir at law, or advanced, or partly advanced, or not advanced: In all these cases, it maketh no difference; for one way or other such child shall have the whole clear personal estate. For supposing such child to be heir at law; he shall have nothing by the custom, but by the statute he shall have the whole as next of kindred. If he is advanced; he shall likewise have nothing by the custom, but by the statute in like manner he shall have one half by the custom, there being no other child with whom to bring his partial advancement into hotchpot; and the other half by the statute. So in like manner, if he is not at all advanced; he shall have one half by the custom, and the other half by the statute.

2. If fuch person hath divers children; one of whom is bein at law, and the others are advanced. In this case, with respect to the custom, it is as if he had no children none of them can claim any thing by the custom; and (the younger children being supposed to be fully advanced), the heir at law by the statute shall have the whole.

3. If fuch person hath divers children, the first of which is heir at law, the second advanced, the third partly advanced, and the fourth not advanced: In this case, the child partly advanced, and the child not advanced shall have one half equally betwist them by the custom, the child partly ad-

vanced

Wills. Distribution.

unced first thereunto bringing his partial advancement into hotchpot; and the other half (which is the deadman's part) shall be distributed by the statute, equally amongst all the said children (the second only excepted, who is supposed to be sully advanced already) share and share alike. But if the heir at law hath been advanced by his father, otherwise than by lands or as heir at law; he shall bring such his advancement into hotchpot with his brothers and sisters, otherwise he shall have no distributive share by the statute.

And note, that the representatives of children dead are admitted by the statute to a distributive share of the deadan's part in the place of the deceased child or children whom they represent; but not so of the customary part,

by the custom.

4. If a man hath a wife and no child; she shall have (besides her convenient bed and apparel) one half by the custom, and the other half (being the deadman's part) shall be distributed by the statute; of which deadman's part by the said statute she shall have one half, and the other half shall go to the next of kindred to the deceased in equal degree: So that dividing the same into sour; she shall have three, and they shall have one.

But if altho' there be no child, yet there hath been a child, and there are any legal representatives of such child deceased; then of the deadman's part by the said statute the wife shall have but one third, and the said representatives shall have the other two thirds: So that dividing the whole into six parts, she shall have four and

they shall have two.

5. If a man hath a wife and also a child or children, one of which children is heir at law, and the others are advanced. In this case, with respect to the custom, it is the same as if he had no child; and consequently the wife shall have one half by the custom, and the other half (being the deadman's part) shall be distributed by the statute: of which deadman's part by the said statute she shall have one third, and the other two thirds shall go to the heir at law as aforesaid: So that dividing the whole into six parts, she shall have four and he shall have two.

6. If a man hath a wife and also a child or children, any one or more of which children are not advanced: by the custom she shall have one third part, and the children not advanced shall have another third part, and the remaining third part (being the deadman's part) shall be distributed by the statute: of which deadman's part by the said sta-

tute she shall have one third, and the other two thirds shall be distributed amongst the children in manner as is aforesaid: so that dividing the whole into nine, she shall

have four and they shall have five.

As for example: A man inhabiting within the province of York dieth intestate, leaving a clear personalty of goool; and leaving a widow, and four children; the fift being heir at law to freehold lands, and having received likewise of his father in his life time 400 l to set him up in trade; the second advanced, to the amount of 30001; the third partly advanced, to the amount of 600 l; and the fourth not at all advanced. The question is, How this personalty shall be distributed? First of all. The widow shall have one third part by the custom, as her widow's portion, to wit, 3000 l. Another third part, by the faid custom, shall be distributed amongst the children; of which, the heir at law (as fuch) by the faid custom is excluded from receiving any share; the second son also, as being fully advanced, is excluded; but hereunto the third fon shall bring his partial advancement of 600 l into hotchpot, and then the third and fourth sons shall divide the 3600 l equally between them; but the real benefit thereof to the third fon will be but 12001, and to the fourth fon 18001. The remaining third part of the faid personalty, which is the deadman's part, shall be distributed by the statute; of which, by the faid statute, the widow shall have one third, to wit, 1000l; and the refidue, being 2000l, shall be distributed equally amongst the said three children, namely, the heir at law and the third and fourth fons (the heir at law being let in for so much by the statute; and the fecond fon being still excluded, as having received more than his just proportion of his father's whole perfonal estate); but hereunto the heir at law shall first bring his partial advancement of 4001 into hotchpot, and so the faid three children shall divide the whole 24001 equally amongst them; but the real benefit thereof to the heir at law will be but 400 l, and to the faid two youngest children 8001 each. So that of the whole clear personalty down Chall reasing

goods, the widow	man receive	-11	4000	
The heir at law		77	4001	
The fecond child	The second or	71.77	0001	
The third child	1 -0 1		2000 l	
The fourth child	THE RESERVE		26001	1
			-	

Total good !.

. If a person dieth intestate, leaving neither wife nor child, a father living; the same is out of the custom, and Appoing there is no representative of any child deceased) then the father, by the statute, as next of kindred, shall n ve the whole.

But-if, altho' there be no child, yet there hath been a. shild, and fuch child deceased hath left any child or other descendent; then such representative of the child deceased It il receive the whole, in exclusion of the father.

- 8. If the deceased leaveth neither wife nor child (nor representative of such child as aforesaid), nor father, but a mother living; the same also is out of the custom, and by the statute of the 1 J. 2. c. 17. every brother and fister, and the representatives of them, shall have an equal share with the mother: if there be no brother nor fifter, nor representative of any of them, then by the statute of distribution the mother shall have the whole, as next of
- g. If the deceased leaveth neither wife, nor child (nor representative of such child as aforesaid), nor father, nor nother; but leaveth brothers and sisters, and children of other brothers and fifters deceased; this case also is out of the custom; and by the statute, the brothers and sisters, and the children of the brothers and fifters deceased, shall take per stirpes, and not per capita; for the children of the deceased, being not equal in degree with their uncles and aunts, do take in this case not in their own right, but by way of representation of their parents deceased.

10. But if a person dieth intestate leaving neither wife, nor child (nor representative of such child as aforesaid), nor father, nor mother, nor brother, nor sister, but children of brothers and iffers; in this case by the statute they shall all take equally per capita and not per stirpes, because they do not come in by the right of representation, but all as next of kin-

d ed in equal degree.

11. If a person dieth intestate leaving neither wife nor child, nor representative of such child, nor father nor mother, nor brother nor sister, nor representative of brother or sister, but hath a grandfather or grandmother living; fuch grandfather or grandmother shall come in before uncles and aunts by the statute, as next of kindred to the deceased.

12. If a person dieth intestate leaving neither wife nor child, nor representative of such child, nor father nor mother, nor brother nor fifter, nor representative of brother or sister, nor grandfather nor grandmother, but uncles or aunts, and children of uncles or aunts deceased: These children are

amongst

amongst collaterals, and out of the statute in the right of representation, and shall take nothing; but the surviving uncles and aunts shall have the whole as next of kindred.

13. If a person dieth intestate leaving none of these r lations; the general rule by the statute is, that the same

shall go to the next of kindred in equal degree.

14. If such person hath no kindred, it is out both of the custom and the statute; and the same shall escheat to the king, or to the lord of the manor or other to whom the king hath granted it: for where no person can claim any property, there the king shall be intitled by his prerogative.

FINALLY, To all that hath been said upon this abstruse subject, it may afford some light to set forth what is the law of SCOTLAND in this particular; especially the whole kingdom of Scotland, when this custom of the province of York took place, was within and a part of that province. Now the law of Scotland, with regard to wills, continues to this day, as it was in England within the said province before the statute of the 4 W. c. 2. viz. that the widow and children shall have a portion out of the personalty, which the husband or father cannot devise from them; and which in both places alike, the law still gives to them in case of intestacy. And the general proportions are the same in both places; only there is some difference in distributing the childrens portion amongst themselves; wherein the Scotch regulations incline more to the principle of equality, agreeable to what is one of the chief objects of our statute of distribution. Briefly. the law of Scotland is this: If a man dies, leaving a widow, and no child; his whole clear personal estate, otherwife called free gear, divides into two; one half goes to the widow, the other is the dead's part, that is, the absolute property of the deceased, of which he can make his will, and which falls to his next of kin if he dies intestate. Where he leaves a child or children, but no widow; the children get one half as their legitime, legal portion, or bairns part of gear; the other half is the dead's part, which falls also to the children, if he has not otherwise disposed of it by his will. If he leaves both widow and children; the division is tripartite: the wife takes one third by herfelf; another falls to the children, and the remaining third is the dead's part. If he leaves neither wife nor child; the goods suffer no divifion, but all is dead's part.

Hitherto

Hitherto the customs agree. But in dividing the chiltrens portion amongst themselves, there is a difference: whereas by the custom of the province of York, the sair at law, if his inheritance be never fo small, is exstuded from any share of the filial portion; on the conmany, in Scotland, if the heir finds it his interest to resounce his exclusive claim to the inheritance, and beke himself to his share amongst the rest of the children. he may collate or communicate the inheritance with the other children, who in that case must collate the chilfrom portion with him; fo that the whole is thrown into me mass, and divided in capita amongst all of them. Upon which ground, if there is only one child, who is heir at law; he shall receive the childrens portion: beonfe the law admits him to come in, where there are other children, on collating his inheritance.

And for the like reason, Swinburne's notion of advancent, namely, that it shall be deemed either a sull advancement, or else no advancement at all, so as to intitle a child either to an intire distributive share, or else wholly to exclude him, can here have no place. But in order to preserve an equality, a child who has received a provision from his father, be it more or less, shall be admitted, if he thinks it for his interest, to cast his provision into hotchpot, and receive his proportionable share of the

dividend with the other children.

But if from the deed of provision, the father's intention shall evidently appear, to continue the receiver as a bairn in the house; the provision is interpreted to be granted as a præcipuum, without the necessity of collation. So also a child is not obliged to collate an estate in lands given to him by his father; because the childrens portion

is not impaired by fuch provision.

accorded 1

But no filial portion is due to children foris-familiated, that is, to fuch as, by having renounced the filial portion, are no longer confidered as bairns of the family, and so are excluded from any farther share of the personal estate than they have already received. But as the right of legitime, or childrens portion, is strongly sounded in nature, the renunciation of it is not to be inferred by implication; neither by the child's carrying on an imployment by himself; nor by marriage; nor even by his accepting a provision from his father, unless it specially bear to be in satisfaction of his filial portion.

If he has renounced his share of the filial portion, it has the same effect in favour of the other children intitled

thereto,

thereto, as if he were dead; and consequently, the share of the renouncer divides among the rest: But he does not thereby lose his right to the dead's part, if he does not renounce his share in that likewise; nay, his renunciation of the silial portion, where he is the only younger child, has the effect to convert the whole subject thereof indead's part, which will therefore fall to the renouncer himself as next of kin, if the heir be not willing to collate the inheritance with him.

Also, no legitime is due to grandchildren, upon the death of their grandfather: perhaps because the immediate father is presumed to have already received his just share out of the effects of the deceased.

And this collation, or bringing into hotchpot, takes place only amongst children: so that the widow is not obliged to collate any thing that hath been given to her by her husband, in order to increase the childrens portion; as, on the other hand, the children are not obliged recollate their provisions, in order to increase her share.

With regard to the deadman's testamentary part, where he makes a will, and therein appoints an executor, and doth not otherwise dispose of the said testamentary part; if the executor nominated be a stranger, that is, one who has no legal interest in the personal estate, he is merely a trustee, accountable to the next of kin, but he may retain a third of the dead's part, for his trouble in executing the testament; in payment of which, any legacy that is lest to him must be computed. The heir, in like case, if he be named executor, has right to the third as a stranger. But if one be named who has an interest in the personalty, he has no allowance, unless such interest be less than a third.

As to the payment of debts; there are some which are called privileged debts: because they are preserable in payment to any other. Under which name are comprehended, medicines furnished to the deceased on his death bed; physicians sees in that period; funeral charges, which include whatever is necessary for the decent performance of the suneral; the rent of his house; and his fervants wages, for the year or term current at his death. As to the rest; all creditors who shall, within six months after the death of the debtor, enter a legal claim, shall be preferred pari passu with those who have done more early diligence: which prevents collusion, and confessing of judgments, in favour of some creditors, and to the exclusion of others. (Erskine's Law of Scotland. Book 3. Tit. 9.)

v. Of the custom within the principality of Wales.

By the 27 H. 8. c. 26. whereby lands and other hereditaments within the principality and dominion of Wales, ere made to be inheritable after the manner of the english tenure, it is provided nevertheless, that lands tenements and bereditaments, lying within the said principality and dominion, which have been used time out of mind, by the laudable customs of the country, to be departed and departable among st issues and beirs male, shall so continue and be used, in like form fashion and condition, as if this ast had never been made.

And this is to be understood, as it seemeth, of the lands in those parts of Wales, where the conqueror never came.

But by the 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 26. All lands tenements and hereditaments within the dominion of Wales, shall be taken used and holden as english tenure, to all intents, according to the common laws of England; and shall not be partable among heirs male after the custom of gavelkind, as heretofore in divers parts of Wales hath been used and accustomed. (In like manner as gavelkind lands in Kent had been disgavelled by the statute of the 31 H. 8. c. 3. and a private

statute made in the 2 & 3 Ed. 6.)

And by the 7 & 8 W. c. 38. it is enacted as followeth: Whereas in several counties and places within the principality of Wales and marches thereof, the widows and younger children of persons dying inhabitants therein have often claimed and pretended to be intitled to a part of the goods and chattels of their late husbands or fathers, called their reasonable part, by virtue of a custom or other usage, notwithstanding any disposition of the same by their husbands and fathers last wills and testaments, or by deed in their life time, and notwithstanding a competent jointure made for the livelihood of the said widows, whereby great troubles disputes and expences concerning such custom have been occasioned; for remedy thereof, it is enacted, that it shall be lawful for any person inhabiting or residing, or who shall have any goods or chattels within the principality of Wales or the marches thereof, by their last wills and testaments to give bequeath and dispose of all and singular their goods chattels debts and other personal estate to their executors or to such other persons as they shall think sit, in as large and ample manner as by the laws and statutes of this realm any person may give and dispose of the same within any other part of the province of Canterbury or elsewhere; and that the widows, children, and other the kindred of such testator, shall be barred to claim or demand any part of the goods

chattels

chattels or other personal estate of such testator, in any other manner than as by the faid last wills and testaments is limited and appointed; any law, statute, custom, or usage to the con-

trary notwithstanding. f. I.

Provided, that nothing in this all shall extend to take acres any right or title which any woman now married, or younger children now born, may have to the reasonable part of their husband's or father's estate, by virtue or colour of the fill custom or usage. 1. 2.

VIII. Account.

to account.

T is for the most part every where within this realm observed, that the executors promise to the ordinary by their oath, to make a true and perfect account, whenfoever they shall be thereunto called by the laid ordinary. Swin. 466, 467.

And in the province of York, it is usual for the execu-

tor to give bond also to the like purpose.

Administrator's

2. By the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. c, 10. The adbend to account. ministrator shall give bond to make or cause to be made a true and just account of his administration, at a day in such bond to be expressed; and all the residue of the goods chattels and credits which shall be found and remaining upon the faid administrator's account, the same being first examined and allowed of by the ecclesiastical judge or judges for the time being, to deliver and pay unto fuch person or persons respectively, as the faid judge or judges by his or their decree or fentence shall limit and appoint.

Before whom the account shall be.

3. An account must be passed before the same judge, or his furrogate or fuccessor, that grants the administra-

tion: By Dr Bettefworth. Floy. 37.

Ordinary's power to compel the executor.

4. Dr Swinburne fays, Albeit it seemeth, that the executor is not tied to make an account to the legataries or creditors extrajudicially; yet he supposeth that at the instance or promotion of such legataries and creditors, he may be compelled to render an account to the ordinary judicially. Swin. 466.

But that an executor may exact an account of his coexecutor extrajudicially, but not in judgment [that is, in the spiritual court;] but the ordinary may call them both, or either of them, to a judicial account. Swin.

5. By the statute of the 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 11. In case Ordinary's power to compel the where a man dieth intestate, the ordinary shall depute the next administrator. and most lawful friends of the deceased person to administer his

goods ;

which deputies shall have an action to demand and recover executors the debts due to the person intestate in the king's that, for to administer and dispend for the soul of the dead; and shall answer also in the king's court to other to whom the faid dead person was holden and bound, in the same manner as excutors shall answer. And they shall be accountable to the andinaries, as executors be in the case of testament, as well of the time past as the time to come.

And by the statute of the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. The ordinaries shall and may proceed and call administrators to account, for and touching the goods of any person dying intestate; and upon hearing and due consideration thereof, order and make just and equal distribution of what remaineth clear, (after all debts, funerals, and just expences of every sort first allowed and deducted); and the same distributions decree and settle, and compel fuch administrators to observe and pay the same by the due course of his majesty's ecclesiastical laws: saving to every one Supposing him or themselves aggrieved, their right of appeal, as was always in such cases used.

But by the statute of the 1 J. 2. c. 17. it is provided, that no administrator shall be cited according to the said act of the 22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. to render an account of the personal estate of his intestate (otherwise than by an inventory or inventories thereof) unless it be at the instance or prosecution of some person in behalf of a minor, or having a demand out of such personal estate as a creditor or next of kin, nor be compellable to account before any the ordinaries or judges by the faid act impowered and appointed to take the same, otherwise than as is aforesaid, any thing in the said act to the contrary notwithstanding. f. 6.

6. The creditors to whom the testator did owe any Parties interested thing, and the legataries to whom the testator did be- to have notice. queath any thing, and all others having interest, are to be cited to be present at the making of the account; otherwise the account made in their absence, and they never called, is not prejudicial unto them. Swin. 468.

And forafmuch as proofs made upon the account, at the instance of some one or more persons having interest, do not bind others who are no parties to the fuit; therefore, to prevent multiplicity of actions, it behoveth the executor or administrator, when he is cited by any one of the parties to render an account, to cite the next of kindred in special, and all others in general, having or pretending to have interest in the goods of the deceased, to be present if they think fit at the rendring and passing of the account. And then, upon their appear-VOL. IV. ance,

ance, or contempt in not appearing, the judge will proceed to give fentence, and the account thus determined will be final. And this is expedient to be done, whether at the inftance of any party or not; because the witnesses otherwise might be dead before calling for the account; and hereby the executors or administrators of the accountant are freed from giving any further account, which they might not be so well able to do, because they me not supposed to have been privy to the receipts and disbursements of their testator or intestate. I Ought. 354, 5, 6.

Manner of paffing the account.

7. If any person having interest (as, for instance, the son of the deceased, a legatary, creditor, or the like) shall call the executor or administrator to exhibit a true sull and persect inventory of the goods of the deceased which have come to his hands, and to give an account of his administration thereof; he who is called in such case, is bound personally to exhibit such inventory and account, and (if the adverse party demand it) to take a corporal oath of the truth thereof; notwithstanding that at another time perhaps an inventory hath been exhibited ex officio mero of the judge, and in the absence of the party, and an account given upon oath. 1 Ought. 345, 6.

And this inventory is not to be exhibited under protestation (as when an inventory is exhibited in common form, and not at the instance of the party) but absolutely and directly, for a sull true and persect inventory of all and every the goods of the deceased, which have come to the said accountant's hands since the death of the deceased. And if he shall exhibit a salse or impersect inventory or account upon his said oath, he shall be

guilty of perjury. Id. 346.

And the adverse party shall be at liberty to disprove or object against such inventory and account. Id. 347.

And he shall make due proof of every payment, that is to say, of lesser sums by his oath, and of greater sums by other proofs, such as the ordinary shall allow. Swin.

407.

Particularly, for sums under 40 s his own general oath as aforesaid shall be allowed as sufficient; provided that there shall appear no falshood, or fraudulent division of sums; for sometimes accountants (knowing that all such small sums will be allowed to them upon their said oath) will divide greater sums into less: But if there appear no fraud, such small sums shall be allowed to them as aforesaid, to avoid expences in proving the same, and because

it

it is prefumed that the accountant will not forswear himfelf for obtaining the allowance of fuch little matters.

1 Ought. 347, 8.

But after the death of the executors or administrators, fuch leffer fums as aforesaid shall not be allowed upon the oath of their executors or administrators; for this can only be done on the oath of those who laid out the money.

1d. 347.

8. The executor or administrator shall be allowed all Expences to be reasonable expences, as well in law suits, as for other allowed. honest purposes: and this reasonableness of expences to be fuch, as that he may receive thereby neither profit nor loss. Lind. 178.

And therefore he shall be allowed his expences in secular courts, over and above fuch costs as were allowed

there. Floy. 37.

9. Where an executor puts out money upon a real se- Money loft. curity, which at that time there was no reason to object to, and afterwards fuch fecurity proves bad; he shall not

be accountable for the loss. I P. Will. 141.

So if the executor pay the affets into the hands of a banker his co-executor, whom the testator used to intrust with his money; after which the banker fails; the executor shall not be chargeable with the loss. I P. Will.

10. After due examination of the account as aforesaid, Discharge, the ordinary finding the same to be true and perfect, may pronounce for the validity thereof; and the executor or administrator ought to be acquitted and discharged from further molestation and suits, neither ought they to be called by the ordinary to any farther account. Swin. 469.

And by the statute of the r Ed. 6. c. 2. All acquittances, of and upon accounts made by the executors administrators or collectors of goods of any dead person, shall be made in the name and with the stile of the king, as it is in writs original or judicial at the common law: and the teste thereof shall be in the name of the archbishop or bishop or other having ecclesiastical

jurisdiction.

11. A party praying an account, having an interest, is Costs. not to be condemned in costs; unless he object thereto,

and fails in his proof. Floy. 38.

12. M. 35 C. 2. Brown and the archbishop of Canter- Whether the adbury against Willis. An action of debt was brought upon ministration a bond conditioned for the payment of 3001, wherein in suit for deb s one Brown was bound to the archbishop, that the admi- not paid.

nistrator

nistrator of T. S. should truly administer, and exhibit a true inventory of the intestate's estate, and give a just account of his administration. The defendant pleaded, that he had exhibited a true inventory, and given a just account. The plaintiff replied, that the intestate owed 2001 to EG by bond, and that his goods to that value came to the administrator's hands, and assigns breach in not paying that debt. And upon a demurrer to this replication, the plaintiff had judgment. But it was reversed in the exchequer chamber; because the breach was not within the meaning

of the condition of that bond. Lutw: 882.

H. 6 An. Archbishop of Canterbury and Willis. debt upon a bond entred into by an administrator to the ordinary, upon taking letters of administration, the queftion was, Whether an administrator by virtue of this obligation was bound to go, and give in his account in the spiritual court, without being cited? And by Holt chief justice, who delivered the opinion of the court, 1. It appears by the statute of Ed. 3. that an executor was compellable to account before the ordinary, and fo was an administrator: but that the ordinary was to take the account as given in, and could not oblige them to prove the items of it, nor swear to the truth of them. So it was if a creditor fued in the ecclesiastical court; for he had a proper remedy at common law. But if a legatee had fued for an account in the ecclefiaftical court, the defendant before the statute was compellable to prove the whole account; for the legatee had no other remedy, and the ecclefiastical court which had a jurisdiction of legacies could not otherwise do right: Yet in such a case, if the executor would pay him, he could not fue farther, for he had right done him, and the executor was not liable, but of necessity that right might be done. 2. A person intitled to distribution on the 22 C. 2. is in consequence intitled to fue for an account as a legatee was; for the next of kin is a legatee by the statute, and as a statutelegatee shall have the same remedy as the other legatee might before the statute. The condition of an arbitration bond was, to account when required: therefore he was not to account before he was legally cited, which could not be ex officio; and therefore the statute of 7. 2. whereby the ordinary is prohibited from citing him in ex officio, had really no effect at all, for the law was so before: But fince the statute of C. 2. the condition of administration bonds being, that he account at a day certain, he must account accordingly at his peril, and that

without citation or suit, and this account must be in court; and if he comes at the day, and no court is held, he shall be excused; for he may plead he was there ready, and no court held. But then this account is not examinable, unless a party interested comes in and controverts it. And whereas by the words of the condition he is to administer well and truly, that shall be construed in bringing in his account, and not in paying the debts of the intestate; and therefore the creditor shall not take an assignment of the bond and sue it, and assign for breach the nonpayment of a debt to him, or a devastavit committed by the administrator, for that would be endless, and the bond doth not extend to that. I Salk. 315, 316.

Form of an inventory.

A true and perfect inventory of all the goods, chattels, wares, and merchandifes, as well moveable as not moveable, of A B late of C in the county of — and diocese of — yeoman, deceased, made by us whose names are hereunto subscribed, the — day of — in the year of our lord —

				1	s d
His purse and appare	1	-		15.	0.0
Horses and furniture		- 6	-	20 .	0.0
Horned cattle .		7	-	27 .	0.0
Sheep -		-	-	20.	0.0
Swine -		- (4)	-	0.	13.0
Poultry -	-	- 1	-	0.	3 · 4
Plate and other housh	old goods		- "	18.	0.0
One lease of &c.	T.	-	-	30.	0.0
Rent in arrear	-	-		25.	0.0
Corn growing at the	time of	his death	6	12.	0.0
Hay and corn	10.00	-	-	IO.	0.0
Ploughs and other im	plements	of husb	anary	6.	10.0
Debts -	-	-	-	100.	0.0
			Total	- 284 .	6.4

Other debts supposed to be desperate Debts owing by the deceased 2501.

Appraised by us, the day and year abovewritten:

A. B. C. D.

Form of a will of lands.

IAB of — in the county of — yeoman, do make this my last will: First, I give and devise unto CD of — all and every my messuages, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, with the appurtenances, whereof I am seised in see, situate lying and being in — In the county of — and now or late in the several tenures or occupations of — and — or one of them, their or one of their assigns, lesses, or under-tenants; To have and to hold all and every the said messuages, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, with the appurtenances, to him the said CD, his heirs and assigns for ever.

Also, I give and devise to my son GB, all that my freehold land lying in a field called —— field, near unto —— To hold unto the said G for term of his life, and after his decease to

my granddaughter E B her heirs and assigns for ever.

Also I give and devise unto JK of — all my copyhold mefsuages, lands, tenements and hereditaments (and which I have
surrendred to the use of my will) situate lying and being at
— and which now are or lately were in the several tenures
or occupations of — and — or one of them, their or one
of their assigns, lesses, or under-tenants: To have and to hold
to the said JK and to the heirs of his body lawfully begotten;
and for default of such heirs, then to the right heirs of me the
said AB for ever.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal,

the — day of — in the year of our lord —

AB.

Signed, declared, and published, as and for his last will and testament, in the presence of us who subscribed our names as witnesses in the testator's presence, and at his request:

C. D. F. G.

H. J.

Of goods.

In the name of God, amen. IAB of — in the county of — yeoman, being mindful of my mortality, do this — day of — in the year of our lord — make and publish this

this my last will and testament in manner following: First, I destre to be decently and privately buried in the church-yard belonging to the parish in which I shall happen to die, without any suneral pomp, and with as little expence as may be; and I give and bequeath unto the poor of the same parish the sum of to be distributed in such proportions and manner as my executrix berein after named shall think sit.

Also, I give and bequeath unto my eldest son JB the sum

I give and bequeath unto my second son W B, the sum of

Also, I give and bequeath unto my daughter M B, the sum

To be paid unto them respectively so soon as one year after my

decease shall be expired.

Also, I do forgive unto L M the sum of - out of the

principal sum of - which he owes to me upon bond.

Asso, I give to my granddaughters A and B, children of my daughter C, the sum of —— a piece, to be paid to them respectively at their respective ages of twenty one years, or days of marriage, which shall first happen; the same to be put out to interest at the discretion of my executrix, and the interest according thereof to be applied to their education and maintenance respectively, until their said respective ages or marriage. And in case either of them shall die before the age of twenty one years or marriage, then I give the share of her so dying unto the survivor of them. And if both of my said granddaughters shall happen to die before the attaining the age of twenty one years or marriage, then I give and bequeath the whole of the said several sums unto my daughter D, if she shall be then living.

Also, I give to my wife E B, during her life, the use of all my plate and houshold goods, hedsteads, hedding, and other furniture; and after her decease to remain to my son

J. B.

All the rest and residue of my personal estate whatsoever and wheresoever, and of what nature kind and quality soever the same may be, and not herein before given and disposed of (after payment of my debts, legacies, and suneral expences) I do give and bequeath unto my wife EB, her executors, administrators, and assigns; to and for her and their own use and benefit absolutely: And I do hereby constitute and appoint my said wife EB, sole executrix of this my last will and testament.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and scale the day and year sirst abovewritten;

A. B.

Signed, declared, and published, as and for his last will and testament, in the presence of us:

C. D. E. F.

Of lands and goods.

In the name of God, amen. IAB of — equire, do make and declare this my last will and testament in manner following: First, I bequeath my soul into the hands of almighty God, hoping and believing a remission of my sins, by the merits and mediation of Jesus Christ; and my body I commit to the earth to be buried at the discretion of my executor herein after named: And my worldly estate I give and devise as follows;

First, I give and devise to my younger son B B, all that my whole freehold messuage and tenement, situate lying and being at

To have and to hold to my said son B B, his heirs

and assigns for ever.

Also, I give and devise all that my messuage and tenement, with the appurtenances, situate lying and being at — unto my daughter CB; To have and to hold to my said daughter CB, and her assigns, for and during the term of her natural life, without impeachment of waste; and from and immediately aster her decease, I give and devise the same unto my said son BB, and the heirs of his body lawfully to be begotten; and for default

of such heirs, then to my own right heirs for ever.

Also, I give and devise unto my grandson GB, all that my messuage and tenement, with the appurtenances, situate lying and being at ---, commonly called --- tenement; To have and to hold (fubject nevertheless to, and charged and chargeable with the annuity, yearly rent, or sum of ---- herein after mentioned) to him the faid G B, his heirs and affigns for ever: And I do hereby give devise and bequeath unto my wife E B and her assigns, for and during the term of her natural life one annuity or clear yearly rent or sum of 60 l, of lawful money of Great Britain, free of all taxes and other deductions, parliamentary or otherwife, to be issuing and payable out of the said messuage and tenement, and to be paid and payable by equal half yearly payments, at the feast of the annunciation of the blessed virgin Mary, and of St. Michael the archangel; the first payment thereof to be on such of the same feasts, as shall first and next happen after my decease; and I do hereby charge and subject the said messuage

9110

and tenement to and with the payment of the said annuity, yearly rent, or sum of 60 l accordingly: And my will is, that in case the said annuity, or any part thereof, shall be behind or unpaid by the space of twenty days next after either of the aforesaid feasts, whereon the same is herein before directed to be paid as aforesaid (being lawfully demanded); that then and so often it shall and may be lawful for my said wife and her assigns, to enter upon the said premisses charged with the said annuity as aforesaid, and distrain for the same, or for so much thereof as shall be so in arrear; and the distress and distresses then and there found, to detain and keep, until she shall be fully paid and fatisfied all fuch arrearages, with costs and charges in and about the making and keeping thereof. And in case the said annuity, or any part thereof, shall be behind and unpaid for the space of forty days next after any of the said days of payment, whereon the same ought to be paid as aforesaid; that then and so often it shall and may be lawful for my said wife and her assigns, into all and singular the premisses, charged with the said annuity as aforesaid, to enter; and the rents, issues and profits thereof to receive and take, until she be therewith and thereby, or by the person or persons who shall be then intitled to the immediate possession of the premisses, paid and satisfied the same and every part thereof; and all the arrears thereof incurred before, and that shall incur during such time as she shall receive the rents if-Jues and profits thereof, or be intitled to receive the same by virtue of such entry to be made as aforesaid, together with her costs damages and expences laid out and sustained, by reason of the non-payment thereof, or any part thereof.

Also, I give and devise unto DF all that my messuage and tenement, with the appurtenances, which I hold by or under a lease from ————, and all my estate, right, title, term, and interest of and in the same premises, with the appurtenances; To have and to hold to him the said DF, his executors administrators and assigns, to and for his and their own use and be-

nefit.

Also, I will and ordain, that the executor of this my last will and testament, or his executor or executors, for and towards the performance of my said testament, shall with all convenient speed after my decease, bargain sell and alien in see simple all those my lands called ——; for the doing, executing, and perfect sinishing whereof, I do by these presents give to my said executor and his executor or executors, full power and authority to grant, alien, bargain, sell, convey and assure all the same lands called —— to any person or persons and their heirs for ever in see simple, by all and every such lawful ways and means in the law, as to my said executor or his executor or executors, or to his or their counsel learned in the law, shall seem sit or necessary.

And

And I do hereby appoint my trusty friend E E executor of this my last will and testament; and do give unto him the sum of —— in consideration of the pains and trouble he will have in the execution of this my will.

Also, I give unto P Q of — the sum of one hundred

pounds.

Also, I give unto R S of — the like sum of one hundred

pounds.

Also, for the better education of my children A, B, and C; I do give and dispose of the tuition and custody of them, and every of them, unto my wife EB, for such time as they or any of them respectively continue unmarried, and under the age of one and twenty years, and my said wife remains my widow; but if my said wife shall die or marry, during the single life and nonage of any of my said children, then I give the custody and tuition of such of my children, so being unmarried and under the age of one and twenty years at the marriage or death of

my wife, unto my said executor E E.

Also, I do hereby authorize, impower, and direct my said executor and his executor or executors, from and after my decease until the aforesaid G B shall attain his age of one and twenty years, to manage and improve the estate and fortune of him the said E G, by me hereby given him, for his use and benefit; and to lease all or any part of his freehold, copyhold, or leasehold estates, and to lend and place out upon security or securities at interest, or otherwise improve according to his or their discretion or discretions, all or any part of the monies belonging to or arising from the said estates and fortune of the said E G, and to pay unto and account with him the said E G, for all such rents, interests, produce and improvements, as shall arise from, or be made of, and produced by the said estates, monies, and fortune hereby given and devised to him, when he shall attain his age of twenty one years.

And my will is, and I do hereby expressly declare, that my said executor, his executor or executors, shall not be charged or chargeable with or accountable for more of the aforesaid monies and estates, than he or they shall actually receive, or shall come to his or their respective hands by virtue of this my will, nor with or for any loss which shall happen of the said monies or estate hereby by me given to the said EG, or of any of the aforesaid sums by me bequeathed, or of any part of my personal estate; so as such loss happen without his or their wilful default

and neglect.

And also that it shall and may be lawful, for him my said executor, and his executor or executors, in the first place, out of the said premisses respectively, and out of the residue of my personal estate, to deduct and reimburse him and themselves respec-

tively,

ively, all fuch lofs, costs, charges, and expences, as he or they call fustain, expend or be put unto, for or by reason of the performance of this my will, or the management or execution thereof espectively, or any other thing in any wife relating thereunto.

And finally; all the rest, residue, and remainder of all my state and effects, real and personal whatsoever, and wheresover, not herein before otherwise effectually disposed of (after sayment of my debts, legacies, and funeral expences, and other barges and deductions as aforesaid) I do give devise and bequeath unto my eldest son A B.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal,

the --- day of --- in the year of our lord ---

A. B.

Signed, declared, and published, as and for his last will and testament, in the presence of us who subscribed our names as witnesses in the testator's presence, and at his request:

C. D.

E. F.

G. H.

Codicil.

Whereas I A B of — have made and duly executed my last will and testament in writing, bearing date — now I do hereby declare this present writing to be as a codicil to my said will, and direct the same to be annexed thereto, and taken as part thereof: And I do hereby give and bequeath to C D of — the sum of — And whereas by my said will I did give and bequeath unto E F the sum of — now I do hereby revoke the said legacy, and do give unto him the said E F the sum of — and no more. In witness whereof I the said A B have to this codicil set my hand and seal the — day of — in the year —

Signed, declared, and published, as and for a codicil to be annexed to his last will and testament, and to be taken as part thereof, in the presence of

C. D.

E. F.

Nuncupative will.

The last will and testament of A B of — in the county of — deceased, dectared by him by word of mouth, the — day of — in the presence of us who have hereunto subscribed our names as witnesses thereof. My will is, that [here insert the very words]. In witness whereof we have hereunto set our hands the — day of — in the year —

C. D. E. F.

Precedents of long intails, and remainders, and contingencies, and limitations are here purposely omitted; not only because they are above the author's skill (for this he could have supplied from books of acknowledged reputation), but also and chiefly because they ought to be drawn pro re nata, and by the advice of counsel learned in the law. For altho' the law favours wills, yet it is when wills favour the law. The common law abhors a perpetuity: and the reason is, because if one person might indeseisibly limit his estate, so also might another, and consequently by the same rule the present generation might dispose of all the lands in the kingdom for ever; which would be full of intolerable inconvenience: and therefore the law interferes. and herein checks the vanity and pride of man. And whoever shall examine the reports of cases adjudged in the high court of chancery, will observe that scarcely any thing creates to the courts of equity so much trouble, as long intails, vainly imagined to perpetuate names and families; which altho' generally drawn by the ablest advice, yet always meet with discouragement and contradiction. For they are struggles against the bent and inclination of the law: and we may add also, against the course of providence; which from its effects and appearances doth not feem to intend, that any thing here should be perpetual.

Witnesses. See Evidence. Woollen; burying in. See Burial.

THE END.

A TABLE of the PROVINCIAL and LEGATINE CONSTITUTIONS: The first column expressing the names of the chapters; the roman numerals, and figures annexed, setting forth the volume and page of the Ecclesiastical Law; and the last column denoting the page in Lindwood or John de Athon respectively, Oxford edition, 1679.

LANGTON.

PISCOPI inecclesiis, i. 190, 259. iii. 293 Statuimus, i. 190 Cum secundum, i. 39, 136 Ne lepra, iii. 324 Quia juxta, i. 151 Præsenti, iii. 325 Ut fingula, iv. 27 Ut archidiaconi, iv. 15, 27 50, 221 Firmiter etiam, i. 107, 244. ii. 376, 419 278 Cæterum districtius, iii. 258 312 Ut clericalis, ii. 396 125, 166 Ecclesiarum, ii. 252 149 Cum viris religiosis, i. 197, 358 269 Cum hostis, iii. 296 64, 131 WETHERSHED. Quia quidam, iii. 34 Si qui clerici, ii. 396 Nulli liceat, iii. 324 281 Cum anima, iii. 83 EDMUND. Eos qui de non legitimo, iii. 30, 34 26 Item commoneant, i. 103 Inhibemus ne pignus, iv. 38 160 Baptisterium, i. 100, 103. ii. 250 Fontes baptismales, ii. 251 247 Si rector alicujus, ii. 125 250 Ubi non est consensus, ii. 381 272 Sint in quolibet, i. 208. iv. 19 277 Fæminæ commoneantur, i 289 - -307 Si mulier fuerit mortua, i. 289 307 Monemus rectores, ii. 64

THE L

A TABLE of the Provincial

BONIFACE.

the property of the same of the same	index
Irem si aliquo, i. 40	217
Præterea contingit, ii. 206	351
Ad hæc fi clerici, ii. 294	311
Evenit etiam, i. 371. iii. 4, 74. iv. 21	
Item contra gravamina, i. 48	, 3-1 111
A nothris majoribus, iii. 63, 64	143
A Loguis majoribes, an O3, O4	100
PECCHAM.	
Audiftis fratres, ii. 2. iii. 87	133
Cum malum, ii. 109	351
Quod in constitutione, i. 103	43
Altisamus de terra, ii. 370	2 11
Circa sacramentum, i. 101, 103	246
Præterea cum juxta, ili. 74	337
	43- 14
Præterez cum facro, iii. 231	131
Quidam ruralium, i. 393	81
Exhorrenda quorundam, ill. 199 —	100
Cum a jure sit inhibitum, i. 130	45
Per noûram provinciam, i. 23	237
Veloces ad audiendum, i. 2	73
V 0,0000 nd nd 0,000	-
WINCHELSEY.	
Ut parochiani, i. 120, 317, 321, 339, 343,	ii
289. iii. 60 ———	251
Quoniam propter, ii. 482. iii. 413, 444, 451, 45	d.
460, 463, 465	191
Quoniam ut andivimus, iii. 445, 447, 450, 451	197
Presbyteri stipendiarii, ii. 58, 59 — 6	9, 110
Quoniam inter rectores, ii. 261, 482	184
Sancta ecclefia, iii. 423	199
200,000 000,000, 100 42 3	
REYNOLD.	
KEINULD.	
	47, 37
Cum quanta, ii. 56. ii. 30, 33	47, 37
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33	47, 3
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclesiarum, i. 336 Archidlaconi, i. 325. iv. 16	47, 3 5- 53 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclesiarum, i. 336 Archidiaconi, i. 325. iv. 16 Matrimonium, ii. 400, 404, 409	47, 3° 5'- 53 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclesiarum, i. 336 Archidlaconi, i. 325. iv. 16	47, 3 5 5 5 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclesiarum, i. 336 Archidiaconi, i. 325. iv. 16 Matrimonium, ii. 400, 404, 409 MEPHAM.	51 53 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclefiarum, i. 336 Archidiaconi, i. 325. iv. 16 Matrimonium, ii. 400, 404, 409 M E P H A M. Item omnes illi. i. 384	5- 58 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclefiarum, i. 336 Archidiaconi, i. 325. iv. 16 Matrimonium, ii. 400, 404, 409 M E P H A M. Item omnes illi. i. 384 Item quia locorum, iv. 185	51 53 271
Cum quanta, ii. 56. iii. 30, 33 Sint ecclefiarum, i. 336 Archidiaconi, i. 325. iv. 16 Matrimonium, ii. 400, 404, 409 M E P H A M. Item omnes illi. i. 384	5 5 271 85 172

and LIGATINE CONSTITUTIONS.

STRATFORD.

	- Lindw.
Exterior having, iii. 192	122
Securium bonæ memoriæ, iv. 45, 97, 175,	
210, 230, 329	171
Cordis dolore, iv. 239	191
Humana concupilcentia, ii. 409	275
Seculi principes, ii. 202	206
Quia divines, i. 318	267
Frequent perversorum, iii. 318	304
Quantum ex solven bus, ii. 423	190
Excustes i. 382	- 60
Lun quia archidiaconi, i. 155	140
Murienti a articiæ, ii. 297	143
Adea quorundam, iv. 185	181
Seen et mileratilis, 1. 151, 155, ili. 39.	322
Quamyiu lex naturæ, i. 326. iv. 17, 28, 30.	223
Licet, iii. 259	313
Cum appenierum, i. 48	225
fit mboueflum, i. 271, 273 -	233
Licer parachiani, i. 349 —	254
Quentam news, 191. 75	323
141777	
ISLEPE.	
Riffrenzo, il. 61	240
SUDBURY.	
Effransta, ii. 61	240
ABUNDE	
ARUNDEL.	
Reverendiffimæ fynodo, ii. 56. iii. 45, 252	48, 288
Mailus quoque, ii. 163, 289. iii. 4	297
CHICHELEY.	
Cum ex eo, ii. 39	123
0 7 11 0	
ОТНО.	Ashan
Defiliance : as6	Athon.
Ballicarum, i. 296	7
Ad hoptimum, i. 103	10
Sacer 5740, iii. 28, 30, 40 ———	16
Ad vicentum, i. 146. iii. 296	24
An elidendum, ii. 293	- 29
Com fit, iii. 278 — — — —	33
Lice ad, ii. 396 — — —	I cet

A TABLE of the PROVINCIAL, &c.

	Athon
-Licet adeo, i. 130	46
De archidiaconis, ii. 109, 110. iv. 16, 53	52
Quid ad, i. 190, 259. iii. 293. iv. 13	55
Jusjurandum calumniæ, iii. 6	23
Usum procuratorum, iii. 199 ——	61
Tanto calliditatis, i. 47, 382, 383, 393	
Quoniam tabellionum, ii. 46	63
Clamorem :	67
Clamorem, i. 2, 4	70
OTHORON	
OTHOBON.	SA
Domus dei, i. 297	87
Cum honestatis, iii. 180	- 8
Juxta facrorum, i. 197. iii. 180	91
Quam indecorum, ii. 396	- ó
Sacrorum canonum, iii. 296 —————	95
Amoris proprii, ii. 296	- 93 - 91
Libertatem extremi, iv. 209, 219	
Gratia quæ, i. 276	107
Improbam quorundam, i. 325. ii. 127	111
Naturalis, iv. 28	112
	- 11
Pastor bonus, i. 190, 259. iii. 293	118
Cum mortis, iv. 230	- 121
Ita mortalium, i. 382, 393	123
Laudabile, i. 3	124
Sicut ecclesiasticæ, iii. 74	- 125
Christianæ religionis, iii. 87	126
Miserabilis, ii. 2	130
Pastoralis sedis, iii. 133	- 133
Ambitionis vitium, iii. 299	134
Quia plerumque, iii. 325	135
Omnipotens, i. 357	137
and the second s	3/

ATABLE

- - - - 10

211312

A TABLE of the Cases adjudged; wherein the roman numerals denote the volume respectively, and the figures express the page.

ACTON, iii. 286. Acton v. Smith, iii. 424. Adams v. Rush, i. 378. Aiscough (lady) iv. 316. Albany v. Bp. of St. Asaph, i. 139. Aldrich v. Stratford, i. 402. Alsop v. Bowtrell, i. 111. Ambrose v. Ambrose, iv. 334. Amhurst v. Dawling, i. 15. Andrews v. Sympson, i. 247. Angier v. Angier, Ii. 434.

Annand v. Honeywood, iv. 336. Annet, iii. 203. Anstey v. Dowsing, iv. 76, 81. Archer v. Mosse, iv. 52. Argyle v. Hunt, ii. 118. Armiger v. Holland, ii. 139. Arthington v. Coverley, i. 123. Ashburnham v. Bradshaw, ii. 477. Ashby v. White, iv. 37.
Ashton v. Ashton, iv. 264. Atkinson v. Cornish, iv. 202. Atkinson & Prodgers v. Peasley, iii. 292. Atterbury & the chapter of Carlifle, ii. 91. Attorney general v. Stephens, i. 288.

v. Talbot, i. 430.

v. Wood, iii. 473.

Auberry v. Barton, ii. 115.

Auften v. Pigot, iii. 210.

Aylesbury (lord) iv. 85. Ayry v. Lovelace, i. 133.

B.
Babington v. Greenwood, iv. 335.
v. Warner, iii. 106. Bacon v. Bishop of Carlisle, i. 160, 161. Bagge, i. 403, 405. Vol. IV.

Bagwell

A TABLE of the Cases adjudged.

	, ,
Bagwell v. Dry, iv. 136.	Markey water in the
	THE PERSON ST
Bailey v. Cornes, iii. 79. Baker v. Rogers, iii. 206.	
v. Sweet, iii. 447.	or salasti a malasti
	Total Control of the
v. Turberville, iv. 229	and the same of th
Bales, iii. 310.	A STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.
Ball v. Cross, i. 279, 324.	Sales and the sales and the sales are sales and the sales are sale
v. 5mith, iv. 288.	
Balmerino, lord, i. 199.	
Barker, i. 269, 367.	
v. Dumeres, iv. 250.	ACCUMULATION AND AND
Barksdale v. Smith, i. 74.	a sharp in tell the same
Barlow v. Grant, iv. 275.	THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.
Bartholomew v. Meredith, iv.	Marcel Content Bris Works
Basket v. University of Cambrid	8% 4. 45 <i>5</i> °
Batt v. Watkinson, iv. 8.	
Baugh v. Holloway, iv. 85.	Market Barrier
Bawderock v. Mackaller, iii. 33	30.
Bean, iii. 401.	W-STORIES AND SERVE
Beckford v. Beckford, iv. 341.	of Management of the Company
Becle v. Becle, iv. 109.	and the second second
Bellamy, i. 318.	THE PERSON OF PERSONS ASSESSED
Bennet v. Davis, iv. 107.	and all the
Benson v. Olive, iii. 394.	Charles which will be
v. Watkins, iii. 403, 4	28. The shirt of Taxonia
Bentley, i. 407, 418.	BEET II ABOUT THE BEET
Bernard v. Beale, ii. 116.	A STATE OF STREET
70 1 11	Something of the contract of the
	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.
Bertue v. Style, iv. 241.	The state of the state of
Berwick v. Swanton, iii. 318. i	
Betsham v. Barnardiston, iii. 30	
Bettesworth & K. iv. 51, 194,	
Bibey v. Huxley, iii. 427, 431.	
Biddle v. Biddle, iv. 332.	distribution of the second
Bigge, ii. 15.	distribution Veryone
Bilson v. Sanders, iv. 274.	and providing the second
Bishop v. Sharp, iv. 42.	A SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
Bithorne, i. 363.	lationed or R. A. Belleville
Blackborough v. Davis, iv. 301	305, 308.
Blacket v. Finney, iii. 401.	ACT OF THE PARTY O
Blair v. Cholmly, iii. 397.	ALCOHOLD BY MICHAEL STATE
Blakeway v. earl of Strafford, iv	260.
Blinkhorn, v. Teast, iv. 148.	Man James C. A. Patrick
Blinkhorn, v. Teast, iv. 148. Bolton, ii. 330.	Chertifica
—— v. Bolton, i. 390.	in anomaly was
v. Prentice. ii. 436.	A real political Car.
Bond v. Tricket, iii. 89.	MATERIAL STATES AND ADDRESS.
The state of the s	Bonfey
Jacobski 3	Bonley

A TABLE of the Cases adjudged.

The second second	
Bonsey v. Lee, i. 68.	Chargers and a second
Boon, iv. 219.	1 6-mi mapage a month
Booth v. Southraie, iii. 472	Same and the same
Boulton v. Hursler, iii. 422	TOTAL UT ANDREAL ST
Bowers v. Littlewood, iv. 3	14,
Bowry v. Wallington, ii. 1	5, 16.
Box v. Woolleston, ii. 162.	the collection of the
Boycot v. Cotton, iv. 140.	
Boys v. Ellis, iii. 446.	California de la companya della companya de la companya della comp
	. 113. Center The Control of the U
Bransby v. Kerridge, iv. 53	Coop or month.
Breary v. Manby, iii. 393.	ORGANIZATION CONTRACTOR
Breedon v. Gill, ii. 197.	Chestion as Addition to controlly
Brent v. Best, iv. 242.	a right of the (troth)
Bretton v. Bretton, iv. 109	
Brewin v. Brewin, iv. 275.	Mark J. S. W. Comb.
Bridgen, iv. 196.	28 M T 10
Bridges, ii. 383.	Dispersion in the second
Dilleman - Uale : 404	
Bridgman v. Holt, i. 484. Briggs v. Martin, iii. 425,	
Briggs v. Martin, III. 425,	430.
Brinklow v. Edmonds, iii. 4	47 , 453 .
Bristoll (countess of) v. Hun	igerford, iv. 118.
(dean and chapter)	ii. 99.
(earl of) v. Hungerfo	ord, iv. 143, 255.
Britton v. Wade, i. 87.	
Brook v. Rogers, iii. 426.	I Surregulario
Brotherton and K. ii. 362.	
Broughton v Gousley, ii. 73	iii aga
Brown v. Allen, iv. 281.	. 111, 2024
Drown v. Allen, iv, 201.	THE THE PERSON IN THE
v. Heath, iv. 71.	Notice that the second of the
v. Spence, i. 159, 1	(00.
v. Thompion, iv. I	53-
v. Turner, 111. 214.	
v. Willis, iv. 371.	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY.
v. Wood. iv. 203.	
Brudenell, iv. 227. Buckle v. Vanacre, iii. 424.	AND DESCRIPTION OF PERSONS ASSESSED.
Buckle v. Vanacre, iii. 424.	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS.
Buckley v. Nightingale, iv.	233
Buckfal, i. 346.	Planting Send Stone on the
Bullen v. Allen, iv. 269.	
Bunker v. Cook, iv. 64.	
Durdeaux V. Lancaiter, 1. 10	7.00 11 170 100 11
Burdet v. Newell, iii. 210.	
Bury, ii. 429.	The second
v. Cross, iii. 214.	
v. Evans, iii. 394.	The second second
Butler v. Baker, iv. 82.	TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PARTY O

A TABLE of the CASES adjudged.

Calthorp, ii. 194. Calvert v. Kitchyn, iii. 334. Cambridge university, i. 493. ---- & Baskett, i. 453. ____ & K. i. 408. Campion, iii. 137. Canterbury (archbishop of) ii. 330. v. Willis, iv. 372. (commissary of) & Raines, iv. 160. Carleton v. Brightwell, iii. 455, 456. v. Griffin, iv. 66.

v. Hutton. i. 331. Carlin P. Mill, i. 50. Carlisle, Bp. of, i. 261. _____ & Bacon, i. 160, 161. — & Richardson, ii. 101. & Todd, ii. 91.

& Wilfon, iii. 443.

Earl of, & Peele, iii. 331.

Prior of, iii. 377.

Carr v. Bedford, iv. 107. Cart v. March, i. 342. v. Reeves, iv. 316. Carthew v. Edwards, iii. 20, 447, 451. Carver v. Hallerigg, iv. 202.

Cary v. Appleton, iv. 217. ---- v. Taylor, iv. 315. Castell v. Bambridge, ii. 173. Castle v. Richardson, i. 371. ii. 188. Cafwell v Norman, iv. 229. Catten v. Barwick, i. 370. Caudrey, i. 212. ii. 38. iii. 242, 345. Chace, v. Box, iv. 337. Chamberlain v. Hewitson, ii. 121. Chandler v. Bliss, iii. 435. Chandos, lord, i. 482. Chapman v. Monfon, iii. 402. · v. Turner, iv. 247-Charlton v. Charlton, iii. 394. Chaunter v. Chaunter, iv. 163-THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. Chedwick, iii. 306. Cheiney (lord) iv. 101. Chefter, i. 349. Bp. of, and his Chancellor, iii. 77. Chichester, Bp. of, v. Freedland, iii 33115 wood was ----- & K. iii. 92. Child v. Hardyman, ii. 437.

Chomley

A TABLE of the CASES adjudged.

ADJ A THOUGH B. Chomley v. Chomley, iv. 359. Christian v. Wren, iii. 444. and the same Churchill v. Hopson, iv. 247. OLD TON THE PARTY AND ASSESSMENT OF v. Speake, iv. 272. Civil v. Rich, iv. 336. Clare v. Hedges, iv. 200. Clare-hall, Cambridge, i. 430. Clarke v. Bp of Sarum, ii. 77. Cleaver v. Spurling, iv. 134, 337, 341. Clerke v. Clerke, iv. 287. Clyat v. Batteson, iv. 242. Colefatt v. Newcomb, ii. 188. Coleman v. Barker, iii. 420. Collet, ii. 173, 432. Collingwood v. Pace, iv. 308. Collinson v. Trotter, iv. 348. Colt v. Glover, ii. 139. Combe, iv. 44. Compost, iii. 391. Comyns v. Boyer, ii. 365. Constable, i. 112. v. Constable, iv. 353. Cook v. Wingfield, ii. 119. Cooke, ii. 120. Cornforth & K. iii. 265. Cornish v. Mew, iv. 241. Corpus Christi college v. Vincent, iii. 403. Cort v. Bp of St. David's, i. 151. Corven v. Pym, i. 248, 261, 314, 329, 341. Cory v. Pepper, iii. 304. Cotton v. Iles, iv. 241. Coveney, i. 404, 5. Covert, i. 204. Cowper v. Layfield, iii. 424, 427. Cox, ii. 26. iii. 305. ----- v. Belitha, iv. 338. ____ v. Seymour, ii. 14. Coxeter v. Parsons, ii, 116. Coxeter v. Parlons, 11, 110.
Croft v. Pawlet, iv. 68, 172.
Crofts, iii. 441.
Cromartie, earl of, i. 199.
Crompton v. Waterford, iii. 214.
Crow v. Stoddart, iii. 438.
Crutchfield v. Scott, iv. 229. Cucko v. Starre, ii. 195. Culliford v. Cardonell, ii. 43. C c 3 in Callon,

Cullom, iv. 51, 196. Cundict v. Plomer, iii. 64. Cunningham v. Millish, iv. 118. Curl & K. iii. 202.

D.

Dacosta v. Villa Real, ii. 428. Darston v. Earl of Orford, iv. 249. Dartford, vicar of, iii. 180. Davers v. Dewes, iv. 287. Davie, i. 323, 351. Davies v. Gardiner, ii. 115. --- v. Williams, ii. 222. Davis v. Baily, iv. 108. ---- v. Davis, iv. 280. Davison, iii. 306. Davy v. Cockam, ii. 15. Day v. Austin, iv. 214. v. Hollington, ii. 131. Dean v. Lord Delaware, iv. 337. Denny v. Ashwell, ii. 425. Dent v. Prudence & Bond, i. 379. Denton v. Clanriccard, ii. 16. Dethick, i. 360. Digges, iv. 70. Dighton v. Holt, iii. 5. Dike v. Polhil, iv. 181. Dodson v. Oliver, iii. 451, 456. Dolley v. Davis, iii. 460. Dormer, iii. 217. --- v. Ekyns, iii. 277. - v. Thurland, iv. 65. Dorwood v. Brickinden, ii. 14. Douse & K. iii. 307. Doyley v. Tollferry, iv. 270. Drake v. Hill, iii. 89. ——— v. Taylor, i. 73. Draper v. Crowther, i. 401. Drury v. Smith, iv. 75. Dulwich college v. Johnson, iv. 170. Dunkin v. Mun, iv. 171. Durant v. Prestwood, iv. 314. Durston v. Sandys, iii. 331. Dutton v. Dutton, ii. 435. Dyke v. Dyke, iv. 269.

E.

East Ham, i. 288.

Ecclefton v. Speke, iv. 149. Eden v. Foster, iii. 312.
Edgworth v. Smallridge, i. 391.
Edmund v. Shaler, iv. 202. Edwards v. Freeman, iv. 290. _____v. Vesey, i. 480. Egerly v. Price, iii. 397. Egerton v. Egerton, iv. 163. v. Still, iii. 441. Ellerton v. Gastrell, ii. 395. Elliot v. Davenport, iv. 137. v. Merriman, iv. 234. Ellis v. Smith, iv. 150.
Elwell v. Quash, iv. 22g.
Ely, Bishop of, ii. 328, 9.
Etherington v. Parrot, ii. 436.
Evans v. Ascuith, i. 188, 195. ii. 139. ---- v. Chamberlain of London, ii. 152. Evelyn v. Evelyn, iv. 307. Ewer v. Jones, iv. 266. Exeter college, i. 402. Beer to replace at the little of the little Eyre, ii. 76. v. Eyre, iv. 153. Delver fate mile Fare Carl Continue Fairchild v. Gayre, ii. 379. Fane v. Bence, iv. 341.
Farrington v. Keetly, iv. 147.
Fawtry v. Fawtry, iv. 198. Fell v. Lutwidge, iv. 170. Fereyes v. Robertson, iv. 123. Ferguson v. Cuthbert, ii. 114.
Fernes, dean & chapter of, i. 477. ii. 336.
Ferrers v. Ferrers, iv. 274.
Fielding, ii. 417.
Fisher v. Lemen, iii. 464.

v. Wig, iv. 111, 113, 116.
Fitzherhert v. University of Oxford. Fitzherbert v. University of Oxford, iii. 155. Fleming, iii. 242.
Foden v. Howlett, iv. 340.
Foliambe, ii. 431.
Folkes v. Docminique, iv. 180, 206.
Ford v. Fleming, iv. 263. Ford v. Fleming, iv. 263. C c 4 Ford

Do F

C C . Truck 450 c 250. Ford v. Weldon, i. 387. Forse v. Hemblinge, iv. 46. Foster v. Munt, iv. 143, 145, 146. Fouke v. Lewen, iv. 334. ALT TOTAL D. ACCOUNT. Angulati Maring Fowke v. Hunt, iv. 340. THE THE PARTY OF THE Fowler & K. ii. 213. Fox v. Ayde, iii. 399, 414. --- v. Bardwell, iii. 391. Foxwith v. Tremain, iv. 203. Frances v. Ley, i. 234, 314. Franklin v. Jenkins, iii. 404.

v. Jones, iii. 424. Franklin v. St. Cross, i. 45, 74, 75, ii. 373. iii. 416, 435, 439. Frazer v. Moore, iv. 229. Frederick v. Aynscombe, iv. 182. Freeke v. Thomas, iv. 201. Fuller, ii. 12, 13, 261. THE REST OF SHEET, MAKE

EST A MG. LIPE SEMINE VILLE Galizard v. Rigault, iii. 206. the Made and the second Gallisand v. Rigaud, ii. 352. Gardiner v. Griffith, i. 15. Car-tradiction Gardiner v. Booth, iii. 212. PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY Garfoot v. Garfoot. iv. 227. Garland v. Burton, iii. 284. Gaudy v. Anstis, i. 288. Gayton, iii. 299. Gegge v. Jones, iii. 215. the result of freeze Gibb v. Goodman, iii. 402. Gibson v. Styles, iv. 105. ---- v. Wright, i. 334. Gifford, ii. 222. Gloucester, dean of, ii. 95. dean and chapter, ii. 101. Glover v. Hind. iii. 246. Gobbet, i. 368. ii. 114. Godard v. Kable, iii. 402. Godolphin v. Tudor, ii. 43. Gomez Serra v. Munez, ii. 288. Gooch v. Bp. of London, iii. 79. Goodday v. Michell, iii. 60. Gooodman, i. 55.
v. Turner, ii. 72. Goodtitle, v. Stoakes, iv. 114. Goodwin v. Ramsden, iv. 348. Goslin v. Ellison, ii. 221.

Gosse v. Tracy, iv. 53.
Goulfon v. Wainwright, iii. 5. Graves v. Blanchet, ii. 114.

Green, ii. 432.

—— v. Piper, iv. 494.

—— v. Pope, ii. 179.

—— v. Rutherforth, i. 427, 432, 434.

Greenaway v. Earl of Kent. ii. Greenaway v. Earl of Kent, in. 424, 5, 6, 430. Greenwood v. Brudnish, iv. 257.

Grice v. Grice, iv. 315.

Griffiths v. Rogers, iv. 144, 148. Grofvenor & K. ii. 152.

Grove v. Banfon, iv. 277. Gudgeon v. Ramíden, iv. 350. Guilford town v. Clark, ii. 152. Gully v. Selby, i. 15. Here will be the same of the s Haines, i. 248, 362.

Haines v. Jephcot, ii. 395.

Hale v. Acton, iv. 263. v. Burrodale, iv. 125. v. Hale, iv. 126. Hall v. Ellis, i. 332.
Halle, i. 400. Hancock v. Hancock, iv. 335.
Hands v. James, iv. 68. Harding v. Edge, iv. 254. Harris v. Hicks, ii. 352. Harrison v. Burwell, ii. 394, 424. ---- v. Sharp, iii. 421. --- v. Weldon, iv. 208. Harrow on the hill, churchwardens of, i. 236. Hart v. Jerom, ii. 175. Harvey v. Harvey, iv. 127, 214, 276. Harwood & K. i. 373. ---- v. Railston, iii. 438. Haslewood v. Pope, iv. 245. Hastings v. Douglass, iv. 216. Hatcherley, prebend of, i. 269. Hatfield v. Hatfield, ii. 428. Hatton v. Hatton, iv. 286. J. 32 A AUGUSTAN _____ v. Mansel, ii. 403. Haughton v. Prince, iii. 455. Haydon v. Gould, ii. 416, 423. Hayes v. Hayes, iv. 241. Haynes v. Holingbridge, ii. 342,

Hawes v. Cornwall, iii. 425, 430. Heale v. Spratt, iii. 472. Heaton v. Regal, iii 441. Hele v. bp. of Exeter, i. 140, 145. Henchman & K. iii. 64. Hender v. Rose, iv. 289.

Henslow, iv. 225. Herbert v. dean and chapter of Westminster, ii. 54. Hereford, bp. of, and K. i. 140. Win and the land Hern v. Brown, iii. 5. Hervey v. Aston, iv. 132. Hesket v. Grey, iii. 301, 332.

Hickcock v. Hickcock, iii. 333. Hicks v. Woodson, iii. 421, 2. Hide v. Parrot, iv. 124. Higgon v. Coppinger, i. 233. Hill v. Blanket, iv. 340.

v. Good, ii. 393, 425. Hilliard v. Cox, iv. 158.

v. Jeffreson, i. 320. ---- v. Jennings, iv. 81, 84. Hitchcot v. Thornburgh, i. 75. Hoe v. Nelthorpe, ii. 198. iv. 184. Hollingshead, ii. 115. Holloway v. Collins, iv. 270. Holt v. Frederick, iv. 293. ---- v. Holland, i. 34.

---- v. Ward, ii. 401.

Horton v. Wilfon, ii. 221.

Hoskins, ii. 12. Houghton v. Starkey, iii. 214. House v. Ld. Petre, iv. 168. How, iv. 333. Hoyle v. Clarke, iv. 151. Hubbard v. Penrice, i: 374. Hudson, iv. 69. --- v. Hudson, iv. 203. Huet, i. 55. Hughes, i. 391. Humphreys v. Bullen, iv. 316. Hunt v. Ellesden, i. 483.

Huntley iv. 17. Hutchins v. Glover, i. 18, 263. Hyat, ii. 438. Hyde v. Hyde. iv. 42.

11 2 th m = - of cite attache rele	-6
July 17 a gentlemen	Dispensed M.
Jackson v. Farrand, iv. 140.	
v. Proudehome, iv. 317.	I Linkship or Val
Janson v. Bury, iv. 313.	Town or the
Jeffrey, i. 348, 350, 351.	Same and
Jenks v. Holford, iv. 335.	Fig. at 18
Jenkin v. Whitehouse, iv. 49.	
Jermyn, iii. 64.	
	التساسي و
Johnson, ii. 280.	
v. Bewick, ii. 117.	
v. Lee, ii. 221.	
v. Ley, i. 53.	
v. Oldham, ii. 487.	WHEN W. P.
Jones, i. 266.	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
v. Barret, iii. 319. iv. 4.	a named
v. Bean, ii. 331.	modified III
v. Boyer, i. 388.	1
v. Boyer, 7. 300.	100
v. Hill, ii. 131.	1
v. Jones, i. 390.	
v. Pugh, ii. 45.	a new Local Division in
v. Stone, iii. 79, 208.	
v. Earl of Strafford, iv. 95, 201.	
v. Westcomb, iv. 148.	0
Jordan v. Colley, iii. 422.	I wanted
Jory v. Cox, i. 15.	
Joseph v. Mott, iv. 249.	
Joseph 4. 1420cc, 14. 249.	
I.	of march
Inchiquin, earl of, v. Obrian, iv. 103.	
Ingram, ii. 44.	10 mm
Irod v. Hurst, iv. 153.	11
Ives v. Wright, iii. 62.	
ivos v. vv iigitt, iii. oz.	
K.	to a second
Keilway v. Keilway, iv. 318.	
Kemp v. Kelfey, iv. 338.	Maria Laboratoria
Kercheval v. Smith, i. 380.	a - wdarft
Kibbet v. Lee, iv. 114.	1 Transport
Kilmarnock, earl of, i. 199.	9 4 12 17
King v Bettefworth iv ex vot vor voh	Particular to
King v. Bettesworth, iv. 51, 194, 195, 196. v. Brotherton, ii. 362.) worker
v. Cambridge university, i. 408.	Web Carry
v. Chester, bp of, i. 420.	post wheels
v. Chichester by of iii ca	1 3
v. Chichester, bp of, iii. 92. v. Cornforth, iii. 265.	
y, Cormorn, in. 205.	

18 T N. A. O.
King v. Curl, iii. 202.
v. Douse, iii. 307.
v. Eyre, ii. 214:
v. Fowler, ii. 213.
v. Grofvenor, ii. 152.
v. Harwood, i. 373.
v. Henchman, iii. 64.
v. Hereford, bishop of, i. 140.
v. Lewis, iii. 82, 327.
v. Litchfield, & Coventry, bp of, iii. 308.
v. Morpeth School, iii. 312.
v. Rhodes, iv. 184.
v. Raines, iv. 176, 286.
v. Rice, i. 372, 374.
v. Sanchee, iii. 468.
v. Simpson, i. 373.
v. Thame, churchwardens of, iii. 320.
v. Theed, ii. 214.
v. Turner, ii. 174.
v. Vincent, iv. 184.
v. Ward, iii. 270.
v. Whaley, i. 418.
v. White, i. 373.
v. Woolston, iii. 203.
v. Wych, ii. 174.
Knight v. Dauncer, iii. 153.
Kynaston, iv. 195.
401 47 16 68 H 14 6 18 E
L. SHI'M SWING WA
Ladd v. Widows, ii. 190.
Lake, ii. 221.
Lambert v. Cumming, iii. 391.
Large v. Alton, i. 359.
Larwood, ii. 152, 170.
Laund, prior of, v. Lee, ii. 117.
Lawson v. Lawson, iv. 75.
Layfield v. Cooper, iii. 427.

Lake, ii. 221.

Lambert v. Cumming, iii. 391.

Large v. Alton, i. 359.

Larwood, ii. 152, 170.

Laund, prior of, v. Lee, ii. 117.

Lawfon v. Lawfon, iv. 75.

Layfield v. Cooper, iii. 427.

— v. Euknap, iii. 404.

Lea v. Libb, iv. 69.

Leech v. Leech, iv. 275.

Legate, ii. 261.

— v. Wright, ii. 113.

Leigh, iii. 199.

— v. Brace, iv. 116.

Lemain v. Stanley, iv. 65, 151.

Lewin v. Lewin, iv. 335.

Lewis, iv. 46.

A T A B L E of the CASES adjudged.

Lewis & K. iii. 82, 327. Limberry v. Mason & Hyde, iv. 71.00 M. Li & N. 1802 Lincoln, bp of, v. Smith, iii. 406. Litchfield & Coventry, bp of, & K. iii. 308. –
Litton v. Litton, iv. 260.
Lloyd v. Small, iii. 403. Lioyd v. Small, ni. 403.

v. Twitsham, iv. 292.

Lockey v. Dangersield, ii. 115.

Lockyer v. Savage, iv. 337.

Lomax v. Holmden, i. 108.

London, city of, v. Garaway, iv. 118.

Londonderry, earl of, iv. 194.

Longmore v. Churchyard, i. 355.

Longworthy v. Hockmore ii. 425. Longworthy v. Hockmore, ii. 435.

Loveday v. Claridge, iv. 71. all painted and the Lowfield v. Stoneham, iv. 103. Lowther v. Condon, iv. 141. Lucy v. bp of St David's, i. 209. ii. 46, 211, 214, 216. iii. 82. SCHOOL STREET, SQUARE, Lugg v. Lugg, iv. 153. STREET, A. OCCUPANT AND DESCRIPTION OF STREET Lutterel, iv. 126. Lutwyche v. Lutwyche, iv. 289.

Les DL

ger in proposity towards Machin v. Molton, i. 388, 391. iii. 477.

Mainwaring v. Sands, ii. 438.

Man v. Somerton, iii. 425, 430.

Market Bogworth, 1200. Market Bosworth, rector of, iii. 207. Marks v. Marks, iv. 120. Marlborough, duke of, v. earl of Carlisse, iv. 49. Marsh v. Yellowly, iv. 228. Marston v. Clepole, iii. 473. Marston v. Clepole, iii. 473. Martin v. archbp. of Canterbury, i. 425.

Martindale v. Martin, ii. 472. Mason v. Holton, iii. 473. V. Williams, iv. 249 Matthews v. Burdett, n. 26. Maw v. Harding, iv. 314. Sea william or free Maxfield, iii. 166. Maxwell v. Wettenhall, iv. 272. Legals, is page. Meadhouse v. Taylor, iv. 494, 5. Medcalf v. Ives, iv. 339, 340. Telephone No. 100 Merriweather v. Hefter, iv. 334. Merton college, i. 425. Michelot, i. 55.
Middleton, i. 210. ... Middleton

Middleton v. Croft, i. Pref. p. xvi. ii. 26, 413, iii. 214. Mills v. Etheridge, iii. 292. Molyn, i. 478. Moor, iii. 265.

_____ v. Barkham, iv. 306.

Morgan v. archdeacon of Cardigan, i. 373.

v. Clerk, ii. 255.

Morpeth, i. 287.

Morris v. Bank of England, iv. 254.

v. Burrow, iv. 335.
v. Martin, ii. 438.
Morse v. Roach, iv. 181.

Mosely v. Warburton, ii. 66. iii. 188.

Musgrave v. Bovey, ii. 117.

N.

Nailer, iii. 202.
Naylor v. Scott, i. 290.
Needham v. Vernon, iv. 134.
Newcastle, duke of, v. archbishop of York, iii. 400.
Newsome v. Bowyer, iv. 334.
Newson v. Bawldry, i. 337.
Newstead v. Johnson, iv. 145.
Newte v. Chamberlain, iii. 456.
Nichols v. Osborn, iv. 128, 273.
Nicholson v. Masters, i. 379.
Nix, i. 216.
Noel v. Robinson, iv. 277, 280.
Norbury v. Vicars, iv. 307.

Norfolk v. Guildford, iv. 140. Northey v. Strange, iv. 341. Northley v. Colbe, iii. 430.

Norton v. Brigs, iii. 415.

v. Fermer, iii. 429. v. Freeker, iv. 261.

---- v. Norton, iv. 289. Norwich, dean and chapter of, ii. 82.

Norwich, dean and chapter of, ii. 82. Noys v. Mordaunt, iv. 241.

Nutt v. Burrel, iv. 134.

0.

Oldam v. Pickering, iv. 242.
Oldfield, iii. 306.
Olive v. Ingram, iii. 319.
Omichund v. Barker, ii. 379. iii. 13.
Oneale v. Meade, iv. 281.

Onyons v. Triers, iv. 150.
Orpington, curate of, ii. 64, 131.
Ofborn v. Chapman, iv. 92.
Owen v. Owen, iv. 110.

v. Stainoe, i. 122, 257.
Oxford city, i. 398.

Page v. Page, iv. 137.
Paget v. Crumpton, i. 350, 354. Palmer v. bishop of Exeter, i. 342. v. Mason, iv. 268, 271. Palmes v. bishop of Peterborough, i. 137. Parker, ii. 195.

v. Clerke, iii. 66, 212.

v. Harvey, iv. 255. --- v. Harvey, iv. 255. Parsons, ii. 394. Partridge v. Partridge, iv. 264.
Parry v. Banks, i. 81.
Paxton v. Knight, iii. 213. Peake v. Bourne, iii. 67.
Peate v. Ougley, iv. 100. Peasley, iv. 207. Peele v. Capel, iii. 331. v. earl of Carlisle, iii. 331. Peg v. Burton, i. 433.
Pelling v. Whiston, i. 390.
Pemberton v. Sparrow, iii. 403.
Pendrell v. Pendrell, i. 108.
Penhallo, i. 361. Penrice v. Dugard, iii. 403. Penson v. Gooday, ii. 116. See to Marie Committee Peploe v. Swinburn, iv. 254. Perne v. Manners, i. 412. Petit v. Smith, iv. 285.
Petre, lord, iv. 168.
Pett v. Pett, iv. 314. Pew v. St Mary Rotherhith, i. 320. Philips v. Bury, i. 402. v. St Clement Danes, iv. 73. v. Stringer, iv. 116. v. Symes, iii. 442.
Phillybrown v. Ryland, iv. 7.
Phyney v. Phyney, iv. 290.

Phyficians, college of, v. Levett, iii. 85.

v. West, iii, 85.

Pickover, i. 387. Pierson v. Atkinson, ii. 63. Pitt v. Webley, iii. 184. Pitts v. Evans, iii. 66. Plume v. Beale, iv. 175. Pollard v. Armshaw, ii. 114. ____ v. Gerard, ii. 222. Poole v. Wilshaw, iv. 307. Pratt v. Pratt, iv. 288, 9. Price v. Pratt, ii. 65. Pricket, i. 342. ii. 289. Prince, iv. 95, 201. Pringle v. Child, i. 71. Prinsor, ii. 367. Proud v. Turner, iv. 293. Prowe, iv. 268. Prudam v. Philips, ii. 428. Pusey v. Desboverie, iv. 335.

Queen v. bp of Salisbury, iii. 94. ---- v. Sangway, ii. 213. ---- v. Twitty, i. 374. Quilter v. Lowndes, iii. 292.

R. Radnor parish, i. 380. Radwell, i. 111. Raines & K. iv. 176, 286. - v. commissary of Canterbury, iv. 160. Rapley v. Lloyd, iii. 425. Ratcliffe, iii. 168. Rawlinson v. Hutchins, iv. 289. ____ v. Rawlinfon, iv. 334. Read, ii. 162. Rennesey v. Parrot, iv. 274. Rennington, ii. 393. Reynolds, iii. 414, 440. ---- v. Green, i. 74. v. Vincent, iii. 442 Rhodes & K. iv. 184. Rice & K. i. 372, 374. Richardson v. bishop of Carlisle, ii. 66. v. Chapman, i. 217. v. Desborough, ii. 197. Ridley v. Pownall, ii. 331. Rigden v. Valier, iv. 110.

-012 ls 2.

Rivers.

Rivers, iv. 107. Roach v. Hammond, iv. 107. Roberts, ii. 196. v. Pain, iii. 27. Robinson v. Godsalve, i. 52, 87. iii. 70. v. Greinold, ii. 435. --- v. Pett, iv. 168. v. Sayward, ii. 173.
v. Tong, i. 17. iv. 242.
v. Wolley, i. 153. Rockingham, marchioness of, v. Griffith, iii. 300. Roffe v. Harding, iii. 419. Rollfe v. Budder, iv. 107. Rolls v. Mason, iv. 227. Roper v. Streater, i. 464. Ross v. Ewer, iv. 49. Rouse v. Real, iv. 188. Rudge v. Thomas, ii. 340. Rugby school, i. 286. Rush v. University of Oxford, i. 495. St Anne's, Westminster, lecturer of, ii. 348. St Asaph, dean and chapter of, i. 75. St Bartholomew's, churchwardens of, ii. 347. St Clement's, parson of, iii. 331. St Devereux v. Muchdewchurch, ii. 426. St George's, Hanover Square, v. Steuart, i. 320. St John's college, Cambridge, i. 417. v. Toddington, i. 426. St Leger v. Adams, iv. 184.

St Luke's hospital, ii. 286.

St Magnus, parish of, i. 369.

St Mary's, York, abbot of, v. Bp of Norwich, ii. 308. Salisbury, bishop of, ii. 330. v. Philips, i. 14. Sanchee & K. iii. 468. Sands, ii. 80. iv. 207. v. Pinder, iii. 282. Sanderson v. Clagett, iv. 29. Sangway & Q. ii. 213. Savage v. Harding, iv. 500. Saul v. Wilson, i. 54. it a Supply to public Sayer v. Bland, i. 276. Scoles & Lowther, iii. 450, 452. Scorrier. Not, IV.

Scortier, iii. 306. Scurr v. Burrell, ii. 356. Searle, ii. 48. Seaton, i. 128. Selby, iv. 25. Selwin v. Brown, iv. 102, 3. Seymour, i. 466. Shackleton, ii. 152. Shaftesbury, earl of, v. Hannam, iv. 89. Shapter v. Mitchel, iii. 401. Sharington v. Fleetwood, iii. 379. Sharpe v. Sharpe, iv. 42. Shelley, iv. 252. Shepherd v. Shorthofe, iv. 184. Shires v. Glaffcock, iv. 67. Shirley v. Underhill, i. 71, 122. Shorter v. Friend, iii. 205. Shotter v. Friend, ii. 197. Sibley v. Crawley, ii. 13. Simpson & K. i. 373. Sims v. Bennet, iii. 409. Skidmore v. Eire, iii. 494, 5. Slater v. May, iv. 200. Slipper v. Mafon, ii. 212. Smartle v. Penhallo, ii. 324. Smith, iv. 195. ----- v. Bingham, iv. 160. ----- v. Casen, iv. 75. v. Johnson, iv. 112: v. Bp of Lincoln, iii. 406. ---- v. Roocliff, iii. 421.
---- v. Smith, iv. 140, 225.
---- v. Wood, ii. 114. Smyth v. Clerk, i. 160. Snell v. Dee, iv. 136. Snowden v. Herring, i. 378. Snowling v. Nursey, ii. 393. Sorefby v. Hollins, ii. 477. Sparrow v. Hardcastle, iv. 152. Spencer, iv. 129. Spratt v. Nicholfon, ii. 190. Squib v. Wynne, iv. 315. Staggers v. Welby, iv. 260. Stainhoe v. Owen, i. 122, 257. Stampe, i. 367. Stanley v. Stanley, iv. 318. Stapleton v. Sherrard, iv. 330, 342.

A TABLE of the CASES adjudged!

Starkie v. Berton, i. 377. Stationers company v. Partridge, i. 463. Stephens v. Berry, i. 401. Stephenson v. Wood, i. 54. Stephenton v. Gardiner, iv. 51. Stockdale, i. 477. Stockwell v. Terry, iii. 391. Stodden v. Harvey, iv. 169. Stoke v. Sykes, i. 130, 133. Stone v. Fowler, ii. 118. Stonehouse v. Evelyn, iv. 66. Stoniwell, iv. 74. Story, iii. 266. Stoughton v. Reynolds, iv. 7. Strange, lord, v. Trussel, i. 361. Strata Marcella, abbot of, i. 483. Strickland v. Hudson, iv. 270.
Stroud v. Hoskins, ii 14. Strutville, iii. 106. Stubbs v. Stubbs, iv. 217. Stutter v. Freston, i. 370. Styrrop v. Stoakes, i. 378. Sutton, i. 266, 269. Sutton Colfield, i. 287. Swetnam v. Archer, iii. 214. Swinfen v. Digby, iii. 420, 438. Syms v. Selwood, ii. 357. Tabor v. Grover, iv. 241.

Talbot & Attorney general, i. 430. Tarrant v. Haxby, iii. 67. Taylor v. Brown, ii. 356. Teshmaker v. Edmington hundred, ii. 369. ——— v. Mawr, &c. Tey v. Cox, ii. 14. Thame, churchwardens of, iii. 320. Theaker, i. 113. Theed & K. ii. 214.

Thomas v. Butler, iv. 200.

—— v. Hole, iv. 107.

—— v. Sorrel, i. 476. Thompson v. Davenport, ii. 420: Thomson v. Batty, iv. 75. Thorp v. Bendlowes, iii. 420. Thwaites v. Smith, iv. 70. D d 2

Titboth,

Tibboth, iii. 265. Tipping v. Tipping. iv. 217. Todd v. Bp of Carlisle, ii. 91. _____ v. Stokes, ii. 435. Topsal v. Ferrers, i. 245, 6, 7. Torrent v. Burley, ii. 487. Townshend v. Thorpe, iii. 67. Tracey, i. 242. Trafford v. Berridge, iv. 129. Trelawney v. Bp. of Winchester, ii. 328. Trevor, ii. 43. Trimmer v. Jackson, iv. 100, 114. Tuffnell v. Page, iv. 69. Turner v. Andrews, i. 44. ---- & K. ii. 174. v. Vansdal, iv. 160. Turther, ii. 255. Twisleton v. King, iii. 265. Twitty & Q. i. 374. Tyte v. Willis, iv. 104.

V.

TT

Udal v. Tindal, iii. 418.
University college, i. 433.
Upwell v. Halsey, iv. 125.

W.

Wainright v. Bagshaw, i. 378.

Waite v. Hundred of Stoke, ii. 369.

Wakefield v. Smith. iii. 483.

Wakelia v. Warner, iv. 263.

Walbrook parish of, i. 369.

Walker v. Hall, iv. 244.

v. Jackson, iv. 246.

v. Lamb, ii. 41.

Wall v. Theedham, iv. 313.

Wallis v. Hodson, iv. 320.

v. Pain & Underhill, iii. 416.

v. Wallis, iv. 97.

Walsam v. Skinner, iv. 333.

Walsh

A T A B L E of the CASES adjudged.

Walsh v. Walsh, iv. 213.
Waltham church, iii, 312.
Walton v. Tryon, iii. 424, 453.
v. Tyers, iii. 435.
Ward & K. iii. 270.
v. Lant, iv. 294.
Waring v. Danvers, iv. 250.
Warneford, v. Warneford, iv. 65.
Warner, i. 369.
Waters v. Ebral, iv. 92.
VV aters V. Eural, IV. 92.
Wats v. Crooke, iv. 313.
Wax chandlers company i. 381.
Webb v. Batchelor, iii. 191.
v. Cook, ii. 120.
v. Hartfell, ii. 221.
v. Herring, iv. 105.
v. Warner, iii. 413.
Whole in the
Webber v. Taylor, iii. 401.
Webber v. 1 aylor, in. 401.
Wenmouth v. Collins, i. 359.
West, iii. 85.
Westcote v. Harding, i. 388.
Whaley & K. i. 418.
Wharton v. Lisle, iii. 375.
v. Wharton, i. 288.
V. VV Harton, 1. 200.
White v. Lowgher, i. 400.
Whitehall v. Offley, iii. 402.
Wiche, i. 248, 341.
Wigmore, ii. 417.
Wilcocks v. Bradell, i. 399.
v. Wilcocks, iv. 333,
Williams v. Osborne, iii. 9.
Willing v. Baine, iv. 137.
Willoughby, i. 113.
Willymot, i. 349.
Wilmett v. Lloyd, 1. 389.
Wilfon, i. 366.
v. Bp of Carlisle, iii. 443.
v. Carter, ii. 320.
v. Fielding, iv. 245.
v. Greaves, il 360.
Winchelsea, earl of, v. Norcliff, iv. 92.
Winchester, Bp. of, iii. 210.
v. Warcupp, i. 484.
Marquis of, iv. 44.
Wingate v. Fitch, iv. 301.
Wolfe v. Heyden, iv. 190
Julia Di
D a 3

Wood, i. 210.

—— v. Birch, ii. 64, 66.

Woodcock v. Smith, i. 162.

Woodford v. Crosse, iii. 402.

Woodward v. Fox, ii. 44.

—— v. Makepeace, i. 348, 350, 388.

Woolston & K. iii. 203.

Worlick v. Pollet, iv. 71.

Worsley v. Johnson, iv. 108.

Wortley v. Watkinson, ii. 393.

Worts v. Clyston, iii. 213.

Wright v. Walthoe, iv. 71.

Wych & K. ii. 174.

Wyndham v. Chetwynd, iv. 79.

Yeoman v. Bradshaw, iv. 158. Yielding v. Fay, iii. 442. York, archbishop of, v. Duke of Newcastle, iii. 400. Young v. Fowler, ii. 331. PROPERTY OF A TOTAL OF

A TABLE of the statutes or acts of parliament; specifying the titles under which they are inserted.

HEN. III.

9 H. 3. c. 5. bishops.

9 H. 3. c. 13. advowson.

9 H. 3. c. 14. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

9 H. 3. c. 18. wills.

9 H. 3. c. 36. mortmain.

20 H. 3. c. 2. wills.

20 H. 3. c. 9. bastards.

52 H. 3. c. 10. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

52 H. 3. c. 12. advowson.

52 H. 3. c. 28. bishops.

Edw. I.

3 Ed. 1. c. 2. benefit of clergy.

3 Ed. 1. c. 5. cathedrals.

3 Ed. 1. c. 13. rape.

3 Ed. 1. c. 21. bishops. 3 Ed. I. c. 28. advowson.

3 Ed. 1. c. 51. advowson.

4 Ed. 1. st. 3. c. 5. bigamy.

6 Ed. 1. c. 4. glebe lands.

7 Ed. 1. st. 2. church. mortmain.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 5. advowson. indicavit. usurpation.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 19. wills.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 23. wills.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 30. advowson. 13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 32. mortmain.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 34. lewdness. marriage. rape.

13 Ed. 1. st. 1. c. 41. glebe lands.

13 Ed. 1. ft. 1. c. 49. advowion.

13 Ed. 1. st. 2. c. 6. church.

13 Ed. 1. st. 4. church. defamation. lewdness. mortuary. penance. pension. perjury. privileges and restraints of the clergy. prohibition, tithes.

24 Ed. 1. confultation.

34 Ed. 1. st. 1. advowson.

35 Ed. 1. bishops.

35 Ed. 1. ft. 2. church.

Edw. II.

o Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 1. tithes.

9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 2. advowson. penance.

- 9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 3. penance. privileges and restraints of the clergy.
- 9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 4. defamation.

9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 5. tithes,

9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 6. courts.

- 9 Ed. 2. ft. 1. c. 8. privileges and restraints of the clergy. residence.
- 9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 9. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 12. excommunication.

9 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 13. benefice.

o Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 14. cathedrals.

12 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 4. advowson.

17 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 8. lapse.

17 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 14. bishops.

17 Ed. 2. st. 1. c. 15. advowson.

17 Ed. 2. st. 3. monasteries.

Edw. III.

1 Ed. 3. st. 2. c. 2. bishops.

1 Ed. 3. st. 2. c. 10. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

1 Ed. 3. st. 2. c. 11. defamation. tithes.

4 Ed. 3. c. 7. wills.

4 Ed. 3. c. 7. wills. 9 Ed. 3. ft. 1. c. 3. wills.

14 Ed. 3. ft. 1. c. 16. advowson.

14 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 17. juris utrum.

14 Ed. 3. st. 4. c. 3. bishops.

14 Ed. 3. st. 4. c. 4. bishops.

14 Ed. 3. st. 4. c. 5. bishops.

18 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 2. bigamy.

18 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 3. mortmain.

18 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 6. wills.

18 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 7. tithes.

25 Ed. 3. st. 2. bastards.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 1. benefice.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 3. benefice. 25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 4. benefit of clergy.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 6. bishops.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 7. lapse.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 8. avoidance.

25 Ed. 3. st. 3. c. 9. fees.

25 Ed. 3. ft. 5. c. 2. bishops.

25 Ed. 3. st. 5. c. 5. wills.

BIL SIR

- 25 Ed. 3. ft. 5. c. 22. popery. 25 Ed. 3. st. 6. bishops. popery.
- 27 Ed. 3. c. 1. courts. popery.
- 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 4. wills.
- 38 Ed. 3. ft. 2. popery.
 45 Ed. 3. c. 3. tithes. 31 Ed. 3. st. 1. c. 11. wills.

- 50 Ed. 3. c. 4. confultation.
- 50 Ed. 3. c. 5. church. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

Ric. II.

- 1 R. 2. c. 13. tithes.
 1 R. 2. c. 14. tithes. 1 R. 2, c. 15. church. privileges and restraints of the clergy.

 2 R. 2. ft. 1. c. 5. bishops.

- 3 R. 2. c. 3. popery.
 6 R. 2. c. 6. rape.
 7 R. 2. c. 12. popery.
- 12 R. 2. c. 11. bishops.
- 12 R. 2. c. 15. popery.
- 13 R. 2. st. 1. c. 1. benefice.
- 13 R. 2. st. 1. c. 13. privileges and restraints of the clergy.
- 13 R. 2. st. 2. c. 2. popery. 13 R. 2. st. 2. c. 3. popery.

- 13 R. 2. It. 2. c. 3. popery.
 15 R. 2. c. 5. church. mortmain.
 15 R. 2. c. 6. appropriation.
 16 R. 2. c. 5. courts. popery. supremacy.

Hen. IV.

- 2 H. 4. c. 4. tithes.
- 2 H. 4. c. 15. oaths.
- 4 H. 4. c. 2. benefit of clergy.
 4 H. 4. c. 12. appropriation, curates,
 4 H. 4. c. 22. benefice.

Hen. V.

- 2 H. 5. c. 1. hospitals, 2 H. 5. c. 3. libel.

HEN. VI.

- 8 H. 6. c. 1. convocation. 9 H. 6. c. 11, baffards.
- 27 H. 6. c. 5. holidays. lord's day.

CHEST OF STREET

CONTRACTOR OF MA

Ric. III.

IR. 3. c. 9: colleges.

HEN. VII.

1 H. 7. c. 4. lewdness. marriage. privileges and refraints of the clergy.

3 H. 7. c. 2. rape.

4 H. 7. c. 13. benefit of clergy. ordination.

HEN. VIII.

3 H. 8. c. 11. colleges. physicians.

14 & 15 H. 8. c. 2. colleges.

14 & 15 H. 8. c. 5. colleges. physicians. plurality.

14 & 15 H. 8. c. 8. marriage.

21 H. 8. c. 4. wills. 21 H. 8. c. 5. wills.

21 H. 8. c. 6. mortuary.

21 H. 8. c. 13. colleges. ordination. plurality. privileges and restraints of the clergy. residence.

23 H. 8. c. 1. benefit of clergy.

23 H. 8. c. 9. citation. wills.

23 H. 8. c. 10. mortmain.

24 H. 8. c. 12. appeal. archdeacon. convocation. courts. popery. fupremacy. fynod.

25 H. 8. c. 6. buggery.

25 H. 8. c. 14. oaths. 25 H. 8. c. 15. colleges.

25 H. 8. c. 16. residence.

25 H. 8. c. 19. appeal. arches. convocation. oaths. peculiar. .

25 H. 8. c. 20. bishops. first fruits and tenths.

25 H. 8. c. 21. bishops. courts. dispensation. marriage. peculiar. popery. fupremacy.

25 H. 8. c. 22. marriage.

26 H. 8. c. 1. appeal. popery. fupremacy.

26 H. 8. c. 3. benefice. cathedrals. first fruits and tenths. refigration. popery.

26 H. 8. c. 14. bishops.

26 H. 8. c. 15. mortuary. wills.

26 H. 8. c. 17. first fruits and tenths.

27 H. 8. c. 8. first fruits and tenths.

27 H. 8. c. 10. wills.

27 H. 8. c. 26. wills.

27 H. 8. c. 28. monasteries.

28 H. 8. c. 7. marriage.

28 H. 8. c. 11. benefice. deans and chapters. first fruits. glebe lands, vacation, wills.

28 H.

- 28 H. 8. c. 13. residence.

- 28 H. 8. c. 16. popery. 31 H. 8. c. 3. wills. 31 H. 8. c. 9. cathedrals.
- 31 H. 8. c. 10. bishops.
- 31 H. 8. c. 13. monasteries. peculiar. tithes.
- 31 H. 8. c. 14. marriage.
- 32 H. 8. c. 1. wills.
- 32 H. 8. c. 2. advowfon.
- 32 H. 8. c. 7. tithes.
- 32 H. 8. c. 10. marriage. 32 H. 8. c. 16. colleges.
- 32 H. 8. c. 24. monasteries. 32 H. 8. c. 28. leases.
- 32 H. 8. c. 37. wills.
- 32 H. 8. c. 38. marriage.
- 33 H. 8. c. 27. colleges, deans and chapters. leafes.
- 33 H. 8. c. 28. residence.
- 33 H. 8. c. 31. bishops.
- 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 2. first fruits and tenths.

- 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 5. wills. 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 8. physicians. 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 14. benefit of clergy.
- 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 19. pension.
- 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 21. deans and chapters.
 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 26. wills.
 35 H. 8. c. 3. popery, fupremacy.
 37 H. 8. c. 4. monafteries.
 37 H. 8. c. 12. tithes.

- 37 H. 8. C. 17. courts, marriage. 37 H. 8. c. 21. union.

EDW. VI.

- 1 Ed. 6. c. 1. lord's supper. 1 Ed. 6. c. 2. bishops. wills.
- 1 Ed. 6. c. 12. bigamy. marriage. supremacy.
- 1 Ed. 6. c. 14. chantry. monasteries. stipendiary priests.
- 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 1. chapel. publick worship.
- 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 13. bruera. excommunication. offerings. tithes.
 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 19. holidays.
- 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 20. first fruits and tenths.
- 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 21. marriage. 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 23. marriage. 2 & 3 Ed. 6. c. 23. marriage.
- 3 & 4 Ed. 6. c. 10. bishops. colleges. images. ordination. popery. publick worship.
- 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 1. bishops. ordination. publick worship.
- 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 3. holidays.

- 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 4. church.
- 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 12. marriage. 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 16. courts. 5 & 6 Ed. 6: c: 20. usury:

- 7 Ed. 6. c. 4. first fruits and tenths.
- 7 Ed. 6. c. 5. colleges.

MARY.

- Mar. fest. 1. c. 1. supremacy.
- 1 Mar. sess. 2. c. 1. marriage.
- 1 Mar. sess. 2. c. 2. marriage.
- Mar. feff. 2. c. 3. publick worship.
- 1 Mar. sess. 2. c. 5. advowson.
- Mar. sess. 3. c. o. cathedrals.
- 1 & 2 P. & M. c. 8. marriage.
- 4 & 5 P. & M. c. 8. rape.

ELIZ.

- I El. c. 1. appeal. benefice. colleges. curates. donative. herefy. marriage. oaths. ordination. popery. fchools. fupremacy.
- 1 El. c. 2. chapel, church, dissenters, holidays, popery. publick worship.
- r El. c. 3. bishops.
- I El. c. 4. colleges. first fruits and tenths.
- I El. c. 19. advowson. courts. first fruits and tenths. leases.
- I El. c. 22. cathedrals.
- 5 El. c. 1. dissenters. popery. schools. supremacy.
- 5 El. c. 5. holidays. 5 El. c. 9. perjury.
- 5 El. c. 23. excommunication.
- 5 El. c. 28. publick worship.
- 8 El. c. 1. bishops. ordination.
- 13 El. c. 2. dispensation. popery.
- 13 El. c. 4. first fruits and tenths.
- 13 El. c. 8. usury.
- 13 El. c. 10. advowson. appropriation. colleges. court. dilapidations. glebe lands. leases. plura ity.
- 13 El. c. 12. articles. benefices. curates. deans and chapters, diffenters, donative, ordination finecure.
- 13 El. c. 20. curates. leases. plurality. residence.
- 13 El. c. 29. colleges.
- Smith of the last 14 El. c. 7. first fruits and tenths.
- 14 El. c. 11. dilapidations. leases.
- 18 El. c. 3. bastards. lewdness.

18 El. c. 6. colleges. leases.

18 El. c. 7. benefit of clergy. purgation. rape.

18 El. c. 11. leases. 18 El. c. 20. colleges.

23 El. c. 1. chapel. dissenters. popery. publick worship. 27 El. c. 2. popery.

27 El. c. 3. first fruits and tenths.

27 El. c. 11. holidays. 29 El. c. 6. dissenters. popery.

31 El. c. 6. benefice. cathedrals. colleges. exchange. hospitals. ordination. publick worship. refignation. fimony. 35 El. c. r. church, dissenters.

35 El. c. 2. church. popery. 35 El. c. 3. deans and chapters.

35 El. c. 7. colleges. holidays.

39 El. c. 5. hospitals. 39 El. c. 9. rape.

43 El. c. 2. churchwardens, hospitals, schools.

43 El. c. 4. charitable uses. colleges. hospitals. schools. A DESTRICTION OF STREET

43 El. c. 8. wills. 43 El. c. 9. leafes.

JAMES I.

J. c. 4. popery. publick worship. schools.

I J. c. o. colleges. drunkenness.

I J. c. 11. polygamy.
I J. c. 22. lord's day.

J. c. 25. marriage.

3 J. c. 1. holidays.

3 J. c. 4. diffenters. popery. publick worship.

3 J. c. 5. advocate. baptism. burial. colleges. dissenters. popery. proctor. publick worship.

3 J. c. 21. profaneness. 4 J. c. 5. colleges. drunkenness.

7 J. c. 4. bastards. lewdness. popery.
7 J. c. 5. churchwardens.
7 J. c. 6. popery.

7 J. c. 10. drunkenness.
21 J. c. 1. hospitals.
21 J. c. 3. colleges.
21 J. c. 4. diffenters. popery.
21 J. c. 7. drunkenness.
21 J. c. 12. churchwardens.

21 J. c. 16. defamation.

21 J. c. 17. ulury. 21 J. c. 27. bastards.

21 J. c. 28. church. popery.

CHARLES I.

1 C. c. 1. lord's day.

1 C. c. 4. drunkenness.

3 C. c. 1. lord's day.

3 C. c. 2. popery.

3 C. c. 3. churchwardens.

3 C. c. 4. baitards.

16 C. c. 11. church. herefy.

16 C. c. 19. churchwardens.

CHARLES II.

12 C. 2. c. 13. ufury.

12 C. 2. c. 14. holidays.

12 C. 2. c. 24. wills.

12 C. 2. c. 25. wills.

12 C. 2. c. 30. horidays.

13 C. 2. R. I. c. 12. oaths. purgation. fimony.

13 C. 2. ft. 2. c. 1. diffenters. popery.

13 & 14 C. 2. c. 1. dissenters.

13 & 14 C. 2. c. 4. archdeacon. articles. benefice. bifhops. cathedrals. church. colleges. curates. diffenters. donative. lecturer. ordination. publick
worship. schools.

13 & 14 C. 2. c. 12. bastards. lewdness.

13 & 14 C. 2. c. 39. colleges.

15 C. 2. c. 6. benefice. curates. donative. lecturer. publick worship.

17 C. 2. c. 2. diffenters. schools.

17 C. 2. c. 3. appropriation. mortmain. union.

17 C. 2. c. 8. wills.

22 C. 2. c. 1. diffenters.

12 C. 2. c. 8. churchwardens.

22 & 23 C. 2. c. 10. wills.

22 & 23 C. 2. c. 15. tithes. 25 C. 2. c. 2. colleges, differers, oaths, popers, wills.

29 C. 2. c. 3. benence. lezses, wills.

29 C. 2. c. 7. lord's day.

29 C. 2. c. 8. appropriation.

29 C. 2. c. q. herefy.

30 C. 2. A. 1. c. 3. burial, chusch, regilter book wilk.

30 C. 2. ft. 1. c. 7. wills.

30 C. 2. ft. 2, c. 1. diffenters, caths. popery.

32 C. 2. c. 1. burial.

32 C. 2. c. 1. burial. 32 C. 2. c. 2. churchwardens.

James II.

1 7. 2. c. 17. wills.

WIL. III.

1 W. feff. 1. c. 6. church of England. supremacy.

I W. seff. I. c. 8. benefice. colleges. curates, donative. ordination, popery, publick worthing. fupremacy.

1 W. seff. 1. c. 9. popery.

I W. fest. 1. c. 15. popery.

W. fest. 1. c. 16. simony.

I W. sess. 1. c. 17. popery.
I W. sess. 1. c. 18. articles. churchwardens. d'scenters. holidays. caths. popery. publick worship. schools.

1 W. fest. 1. c. 26. plurality. pocery, residence.

I W. sess. 2. c. 2. moremain. plurality. popery. supremacy.
W. c. 9. benefit of clergy.
W. c. 12. churchwardens. publick worthip.

W. c. 14. wills.
W. c. 2. wills.
W. c. 12. union.

W. c. 2. wills.

4 & 5 W. c. 20. wills. 4 & 5 W. c. 24. wills.

W. c. 21. marriage. flamps.

6 W. c. 4. churchwardens.

6 & 7 W. c. 6. marriage.
7 W. c. 3. bishops.
7 & 8 W. c. 6. offerings. tithes.

7 & 8 W. c. 27. popery.

7 & 8 W. c. 34. tithes.
7 & 8 W. c. 35. marriage.
7 & 8 W. c. 37. colleges. schools. moremain.
7 & 8 W. c. 38. wills.
8 & 9 W. c. 11. prohibition. tithes.
9 & 10 W. c. 25. stamps.

9 & 10 W. c. 27. churchwardens.

9 & 10 W. c. 32. profinencis. wills.

10 & 11 W. c. 16. wills. 10 & 11 W. c. 23. churchwardens.

10 & 11 W. c. 24. lord's day. 11 & 12 W. c. 4. popery. schools.

11 & 12 W. c. 15. colleges.

11 & 12 W. c. 16. tithes.

11 & 12 W. c. 21. lord's day.

12 & 13 W. c. 2. church of England.

12 & 13 W. c. 11. colleges.

ANNE.

r An. st. 1. c. 30. jews.

2 & 3 An. c. 4. wills.

2 & 3 An. c. 5. wills.

2 & 3 An. c. 11. first fruits and tenths. mortmain.

4 An. c. 14. briefs.

4 An. c. 16. wills.

5 An. c. 5. church of England.

5 An. c. 6. benefit of clergy.

5 An. c. 8. popery. supremacy.

5 An. c. 9. lord's day. 5 An. c. 18. wills.

5 An. c. 24. first fruits and tenths.

6 An. c. 21. cathedrals.

6 An. c. 27. first fruits and tenths.

6 An. c. 31. churchwardens.

7 An. c. 14. library.

7 An. c. 18. advowson, usurpation.

7 An. c. 19. wills.

7 An. c. 20. wills.

8 An. c. 19. colleges.

9 An. c. 5. colleges.

9 An. c. 5. colleges. 9 An. c. 10. colleges. wills.

9 Ann. c. 23. colleges. lord's day.

10 An. c. 2. dissenters.

10 An. c. 7. dissenters.

10 An. c. 11. vestry.

10 An. c. 19. marriage.

12 An. st. 1. c. 4. appropriation.

12 An. st. 2. c. 6. mortuary.

12 An. st. 2. c. 9. stamps.

12 An. st. 2. c. 12. advowson. curates. ordination. simony.

12 An. st. 2. c. 14. popery.

12 An. st. 2. c. 16. usury.

12 An. st. 2. c. 18. publick worship.

GEO. I.

1 G. st. 2. c. 5. dissenters. public worship.

I G. st. 2. c. 6. tithes.

I G. st. 2. c. 10. curates. donative. first fruits and tenths. leafes. peculiar.

I G. st. 2. c. 13. benefice. colleges. curates. donative. oaths. popery. wills.

alberg a mbg to

Adding to driving or othing

1 G. R. 2. c. 50. popery.

1 G. st. 2. c. 55. popery.

3 G. c. 10. first fruits and tenths.

3 G. c. 18. popery.

4 G. c. 11. benefit of clergy.

5 G. c. 4. amenters. 5 G. c. 27. wills. 5 G. c. 4. dissenters.

8 G. c. 6. oaths.

10 G. c. 4. oaths.

11 G. c. 18. wills.

GEO. II.

2 G. 2. c. 29. colleges.

2 G. 2. c. 31. colleges.

4 G. 2. c. 28. leases. 5 G. 2. c. 18. colleges. proctor.
6 G. 2. c. 31. bastards.
7 G. 2. c. 10. colleges.
8 G. 2. c. 6. wills.

9 G. 2. c. 23. churchwardens. colleges.

G. 2. c. 26. benefice. colleges. curates. donative. oaths. popery.

9 G. 2. c. 36. colleges. first fruits and tenths. hospitals. mortmain. schools. wills.

10 G. 2. c. 19. colleges.
11 G. 2. c. 17. popery.

11 G. 2. c. 19. tithes. vacation. wills.

12 G. 2. c. 19. thnes. vacation. wills.

12 G. 2. c. 29. churchwardens.

14 G. 2. c. 20. wills.

17 G. 2. c. 3. publick worship.

17 G. 2. c. 37. church. parish.

17 G. 2. c. 38. wills.

17 G. 2. c. 40. colleges.

18 G. 2. c. 20. colleges.

19 G. 2. c. 21. publick worship. suggested. 19 G. 2. c. 21. publick worship. swearing.

19 G. 2. c. 38. diffenters. 20 G. 2. c. 3. colleges. 20 G. 2. c. 48. oaths.

20 G. 2. c. 52. advowson. buggery. church. dilapidations. pardon. fimony.

21 G. 2. c. 34. dissenters.

22 G. 2. c. 3- dissenters.

- 22 G. 2. c. 33. buggery. drunkenness. lewdness. profaneness. swearing.
- 22 G. 2. c. 44. colleges.
- 22 G. 2. c. 46: diffenters. oaths.
- 23 G. 2. c. 28. benefice. curates. donative.
- 24 G. 2. c. 23. kalendar.
- 24 G. 2. c. 48. advowson.
- 25 G. 2. c. 4. wills.
- 25 G. 2. c. 6. wills.
- 25 G. 2. c. 30. kalendar.
- 26 G. 2. c. 31. colleges.
- 26 G. 2. c. 33. church. dispensation. dissenters. hospitals. marriage. popery. register book. furrogate.
- 26 G. 2. c. 34. kalendar. wills.
- 27 G. 2. c. 20. tithes.
- 28 G. 2. c. 6. mortuary.
- 28 G. 2. c. 10. popery.
- 28 G. 2. c. 24. oaths.
- 29 G. 2. c. 31. leafes. wills.
- 30 G. 2. c. 19. colleges. fees. stamps.
- 30 G. 2. c. 25. churchwardens. dissenters.
- 31 G. 2. c. 10. wills.
- 31 G. 2. c. 12. tithes.
- 31 G. 2. c. 21. popery.
- 31 G. 2. c. 29. colleges.
- 32 G. 2. c. 19. colleges.
- 32 G. 2. c. 28. burial.
- 32 G. 2. c. 33. colleges. 32 G. 2. c. 35. stamps.

GEO. III.

- 3 G. 3. c. 8. colleges.
- 3 G. 3. c. 11. colleges.
- 5 G. 3. c. 17. leases.
- 6 G. 3. c. 53. oaths.

ATABLE

A TABLE of the principal matters.

A 15 15 A T, who, i. 1. ii. 456. Abbels, ii. 456.

Abbey; number of religious houses in this kingdom, ii. 452.

Abbey lands, how far exempted from tithes, iii. 381.

Abevance, what, i. r.

Abjuration, in case of sanctuary, i. 362. in case of reculancy, i. 362. ii. 145. form and manner of abjuring the realm, iii. 165. oath of abjuration, iii. 12, 16.

Absolution, form thereof, whether it may be pronounced by a deacon, iii. 43.

Acolyth, who, i. 2.

Acozus, how tithable, iii. 440.

Administration, of intestates effects; power of the ordinary in granting administration, iv. 191. ordinary may be compelled thereto, 194. refusal of administration, 197. to whom to be granted, 197. to the widow or next of kin, 197. to the husband of the wife's effects, 198. to the father or mother of their childrens effects, 199. to the grandmother before uncles and aunts, 199. to the fon before the father, 199. half blood, 199. in general to the next of kindred, 199. in what case to the residuary legatee, or principal creditor, 1.99. administration during absence out of the kingdom, 200. pendente lite, 200. during the minority of an infant executor or administrator, 200. feme covert administratrix, 203. administrator dying, 203. case where none will administer, 203. whether the administrator may act before administration granted, 204. whether it may be granted out of the jurisdiction, 204. time for granting administration, 204. administrator's oath, 204. bond on granting administration, 204. fee for administration, 206. letters of administration allowed as evidence, 207. revoking administration, 207.

Admission, of a clerk presented to a benefice, i. 145. Advancement; what shall be deemed such, to debar a child from taking under the statute of distribution, iv. 280. what shall be deemed such, to debar a wife or child from taking under the custom of the city of Ee 2 London

A TABLE of the principal matters.

London, 334. or the custom of the province of York.

Advocate, who may be, i. 2. his admission, 3. his office

in general, 3, 4.

Advowson; foundation of the right of advowson, i. 4. advowson appendant, 5. in gross, 6. advowson only a trust, 8. how grantable, 9. grant of a next avoidance, 10. how inherited from the ancestor, 11. advowson in coparceners, jointenants, and tenants in common, 12. in the mortgagor, 15. in tenant by curtefy, 16. in temant in dower, 17. devise thereof by will, 11. whether it is affets for payment of debts, i. 17. iv. 242. trial of the right of advowson in the spiritual court by jus patronatus, i. 17. trial in the temporal courts, by writ of right of advowson, darrein presentment, and quare impedit, 24. form of the grant of an advowson, 41. form of the grant of a next avoidance, 43.

Adultery, punishment of, See Lewdness.

Affinity, prohibiting marriage, ii. 384. a cause of divorce, ii. 429.

Afterseatage, tithe thereof, iii. 415.

After-mowth, whether tithable, iii. 415.

Agistment, tithe of, iii. 419. whether due de jure, 419. for what cattle, 419. by whom to be paid, 420. in what manner to be paid, 421.

Alien priory, what, ii. 454. alien clergyman, whether he may be admitted to a benefice, i. 128.

Alienation of glebe lands, See Glebe Lands.

Alimony, of ecclefiaffical cognizance, ii. 434. to be allowed only whilst the parties live separate, 434. whether the wife may dispose thereof, 434.

Allegiance, oath of, iii. 12, 15.

Alms, at funerals, occasion thereof, iii. 20, 1. 323. alms chest to be in the church, i. 337.

Altarage, what, i. 44.

Anabaptists, laws against them before the act of toleration, ii. 165. how far exempted from the penalties thereof by the act of toleration, 168.

Anabata, what, i. 45. Annals, what, i. 46.

Annalitis, in the religious houses, their office, ii. 457. Annates, what, ii. 229.

Anniversaries, what, i. 46.

Answer, in judicial proceedings, i. 46.

Antiphonar, what, i. 47.

of all states had not been been been been

Apotheraties, exempted from the office of churchwarden, i. 367.

Apparel,

ATABLE of the principal matters.

Apparel, of clergymen, regulations concerning it, iii,

Apparitoz, who, i. 47. how appointed, 47. his office and

duty, 47.

Appeal, origin of appeals to Rome, i. 50. appeals to Rome abolished, i. 51. iii. 114. appeal to the several ecclesiastical courts, i. 51. to the delegates, 53. manner of obtaining a commission of delegates, 55.

Apples, how tithable, iii. 439.

Appointment, by a feme covert, in nature of a will, iv. 48.

Apportum, anciently paid to the foreign religious houses, ii. 454.

Appropriation, difference between appropriation and impropriation, iv. 10, 11.

Origin of the appropriation of churches, i. 58.

Endowment of vicarages upon appropriation, i. 68. reftrictions thereof by statute, 68. endowment how
made, 69. pension reserved on the endowment, 70.
vicarage a distinct benefice, 70. patronage of vicarages
how acquired, 70. vicar intitled only by endowment
or prescription, 71. authority of endowments, 71.
proposal for a general repertory of endowments, 71.
trial of endowments, 73. endowment to be constructed
favourably, 74.

Augmentation of vicarages, i. 75. Vicarages how diffolved, i. 81.

Aquachasalus, the parish clerk, why so called, iii. 63. Arrhbishop, whence so called, i. 172. antiquity of archbishops in England, 173. archbishop of Canterbury, his pre-eminence, 174. anciently had primacy over Ireland, 174. his style and title, 175. archbishop of York, anciently had jurisdiction over Scotland, 175. his style and title, 175. precedency of the archbishops, 176.

Architeacon, division of dioceses into archideaconries, i. 173. archideacon, who, 84. how appointed, 86. his general

power, 87.

Arches court, i. 88. dean of the arches, ii. 105.

Archipzesbyter, who, i. 89. ii. 71.

Arrest, in the church or churchyard, how far lawful, i. 356. clergyman not to be arrested in attending divine service, iii. 183.

Atticles, the thirty nine, established, i. 89, to be subscribed by persons to be ordained deacons, 92, by persons to be ordained priests, 92, by persons admitted to benefices, 92, by the heads of colleges,
94, by chancellors, officials, vicars general, and commissures, 94, 265, by lecturers, 94, by curates to be
E e 3

A TABLE of the principal matters.

licensed, 94. ii. 57. by schoolmasters, i. 95. iii. 304. by dissenting teachers and preachers, i. 95. ii. 167. by anabaptists, i. 95. ii. 168. whether subscription to all the said articles is necessary, i. 92. in what sense the 36th article is to be subscribed unto, i. 95, 188. to be read by ministers after induction, i. 95. penalty of opposing the said articles, i. 95.

Articles of inquiry, for the churchwardens presentments,

i. 371. iv. 21.

Alless in the repair of the church. See Church.

Alless, what, iv. 240. by descent,—in hand;—legal—equitable;—real,—personal; 240.

Allite, writ of, what, i. 32, 96.

Atterbury, Dr. his contest with the chapter of Carlifle, ii. 91.

Attorney, exempted from being churchwarden, i. 366.

Andience, court of, i. 97.

Auditance, by death, i. 97. by refignation, 97. by ceffion, 98. by deprivation, 98. by act of the law, 98. how tried, 98.

Augmentation of small livings by the governors of queen

Anne's bounty. See First fruits.

Augustine canons, who, ii. 446. Augustine friers, 450.

B.

Balks & headlands, whether titheable, iii. 408. Banns of marriage, publication thereof, ii. 403.

Bantilin, of infants, i. 99. publick baptism, 99. whether the name may be altered at confirmation, 101. sign of the cross, reason thereof, 102. private baptism, 102. lay baptism, how far valid, 103, anciently performed by midwives, ii. 439. baptism of those of riper years, i. 105. of the children of papists, 106. of negroes in the plantations, 106. see for baptism, 107.

Barren land, improved, when to pay tithes, iii. 378.

Balin, for the offertory, i. 338.

Basket, the law patentee, his case against the university of Cambridge, i. 452.

Bastard:

Who shall be deemed a bastard, i. 108. child born out of lawful matrimony, 108. case of the husband's being within the four seas, 108. husband impotent, 109. issue of a marriage within the degrees prohibited, 109. child born after a divorce, 109. child born out of the king's allegiance, 109. child born before the parents marriage, 110. mother with child at the husband's

A TABLE of the principal matters.

husband's death, marrying again before the birth, 110. of supposititious births, 112.

Trial of bastardy, i. 115. general, by the bishop, 115;

special, by the country, 115.

Consequences of Bastardy; as to name, i. 117. to inheritance, 118. bastard dying intestate, who shall be

intitled, iv. 197.

Punishment of the mother and reputed father, i. 118. corporal, pecuniary, 118. murdering a bastard child, 119. adminishing a potion to procure abortion, 120.

Beadle, of the vestry, how appointed, iv. 8.

Beaus, gathered by the hand, how tithable, iii. 409. whether a great or small tithe, 409.

Bees, how tithable, iii. 455.

15ells, with ropes, to be provided by the parish, i. 120, 339, 343. at what times to be rung, 120.

Venedictine monks, ii. 443.

Denefice, what, i. 121. prefentation by whom & how to be made, 121. examination of the person presented, 134. refusal of the person presented, 139. admission, 145. institution or collation, 146. induction, 153. requisites after induction, 158.

Denesit of elergy, origin thereof, i. 164. in what cases

allowable, 167.

Bentley, Dr, his case concerning the deprivation of his degrees, i. 408. his case against the bishop of Ely, as visitor of Trinity college, 418.

Wernardine monks, ii. 444.

Bethlemites, an order of friers, ii. 451.

Mible, to be in churches, i. 339.

Bidding prayer, before sermon, iii. 255.

Bigamy, i. 171.

Biet, to be provided by the parish, i. 339.

Wilhops:

Of archbishops & bishops in general, i. 172. number of bishops in England, 173. age of persons to be made bishops, 172. their precedency, 176. bishop universal incumbent of the diocese, 173. how far one bishop may act within the diocese of another, ii. 133.

Form & manner of making & confecrating archbifhops & bishops, i. 176. confirmation of bishops elected, form and manner of it, 183. confecration of bishops, form and manner thereof, 186. benefices vacated on promotion to a bishoprick, 189.

Residence at their cathedrals, i. 190.

Their attendance in parliament, i. 190. whether they fit there in their temporal capacity only, 193. whether there is a constant of the consta

ATABLE of the principal matters.

ther they may vote in cases of blood, 196, whether they shall be tried by the lords in parliament, or by a jury, 200.

Spiritualties of the bishoprick in time of vacation,

1. 202

Temporalties of bishopricks in the time of vacation, i. 202.

Archbishops jurisdiction over their provincial bishops, i. 208.

Of fuffragan bishops, i. 224.

Of coadjutors, i. 227.

1381asphemy, how punishable, iii. 201.

Milind man's will, iv. 53.

Boar, custom for the parson to keep one, iii. 442.

Bogo de clare, a famous pluralist, i. 50. iii. 93.

Bona notabilia, what, iv. 156.

Wond debts, in what order of priority to be paid, iv. 255. voluntary bond to be postponed, 256.

Bond of refignation, validity thereof, iii. 331. form of the fame, 338.

Bonhommes, an order of friers, ii. 451.

Boniface, archbishop, his arrogant constitutions, ii. 206.

294, 296.

Books, belonging to the church, i. 339, 340. to parochial libraries, ii. 358. copies of new books to be delivered by the printer to the use of the publick libraries, i. 452.

Bozough english lands, custom concerning them, iv. 288,

9: 354.

Moltage, what, i. 228.

Boundaries of parishes, how to be tried, iii. 61. how to be ascertained with respect to tithes, 464. with respect to church rates, i. 355, 6.

Bounty of queen Anne for the augmentation of small

livings. See First fruits and tenths.

Brawling in the church or churchyard, i. 359.

Bread, affize of, within the universities, ii. 493.
Briefs, manner of laying the same, and collecting charity thereupon, i. 228. the usual expense of a charity brief, 231. the ordinary amount of a collection thereupon, 231.

Brigittines, an order of nunns, ii. 448.

Bicom, whether tithable, iii. 418.

Dinera, what, i. 231.

13

Duggery, punishment thereof, i. 232.

Wull, custom for the parson to keep one, iii. 442.

Bull of the pope, what, i. 233. penalty of publishing the same, 233.

Burgage tenure lands, deviseable by will, iv. 324.

Burial; original of burying places, i. 233. burying in the church, 234. in the churchyard, 235. whether burial may be hindered for debt, 236. whether a perfon civiliter mortuus, or under an attaint, may be charged at the fuit of his creditors, 237. what perfons shall not have christian burial, 242. whether a perfon attainted of treason, dying before execution, shall have christian burial, 237. burying in woollen, 238. minister not to resuse burial, 242. ringing at sunerals, 244. see for burial, 244. suneral expences to be paid before other debts, 247. offence of stealing a shroud, 248. monuments erected in churches or churchyards, 248. popish burial, 250.

Burning of hereticks, abolished, ii. 260.

C.

Talendar. See Kalendar. Talves, tithe of, iii. 440. Talumny, oath of, iii. 6.

Cambridge. See Colleges.

Camerarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 456.

In the religious houses, whence so called, ii. 445. regular, secular, 445, 446.

In cathedrals. See Deans and Chapters.

Cantaria, chantry, what, i. 270.

Canterbury, the feat of an archbishoprick, i. 174.

Capa, one of the priest's vestments, i. 250.

Capital offences, how far subject to the ecclesiastical jurisdiction, ii. 48.

Capuchine friers, a religious order, ii. 449.

Carmelite friers, a religious order, ii. 450. Carthulfans, an order of monks, ii. 444.

Casula, one of the priest's vestments, i. 251.

- Catechism, children to be instructed therein, i. 251.

Tathebral: origin of cathedrals, i. 252. difference between cathedral, conventual, and collegiate churches, 252. cathedral churches to be in cities, 252. certain forfeitures for the repair of cathedrals, 253. cathedral exempt from the archdeacon's jurisdiction, 253. how the first fruits of the revenues thereof shall be charged, 258. cathedral the parish church of the whole diocese, 258. acknowledgment paid thereunto upon that account, 259. bishop's residence there, 259. residence

of

of the dean and prebendaries, 259, administration of the holy communion there, 260, preaching, 260, lectures in cathedrals, 261, habits to be worn there, 261, visitation thereof, 261, ornaments thereof, to go to the successor, 261, cathedrals of the new foundation, 262, elections in cathedrals, 253.

Cathedraticum, what, i. 263.

Caucat, what, i. 263. how far conclusive, 19, 263. Carton, the first introducer of printing into England, i. 467.

Tellerarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 457.

Cellion of a benefice, what, i. 98.

Chalice for the communion, to be provided by the pa-

rish, i. 338.

Chantel, whence so called, i. 313. repair thereof by the rector, 321. sometimes by the vicar, 321. by lay impropriators, 321. whether lay impropriators can be compelled thereto by sequestration, 321. case where there are several impropriators, 322. bishops disposal of seats in the chancel, 331. impropriator's seat in the chancel, 332. vicars seat in the chancel, 332.

Chancello2, who, i. 264. how to be qualified, 265. his power and jurisdiction, 266. the office for what term

grantable, 268.

Chantery, what, i. 270.

Chapel, whence so called, i. 271. private chapel, 271. free chapel, 273. origin of royal free chapels, 59. free chapels in the religious houses, ii. 455. chapel of ease under a mother church, i. 274. endowment of chapels, 275. their dependance on the mother church, 275. by payment of tithes and other dues, 276. by the inhabitants repairing at set times to the mother church, 277. by oblations there, 277. by the curate swearing obedience to the incumbent of the mother church, 278. by contributing to the repair of the mother church, 279. how to be repaired, 280. how to be supplied, 280. how governed, 281. church or chapel how to be tried, 281.

Chaplainship, a qualification for plurality, iii. 95. for

non-residence, 280.

Chapter. See Deans and Chapters.

Charitable uses; commission to inquire thereof, i. 282.

the course of proceeding therein, 282.

Tharity briefs; the manner of laying them, i. 228. charges of obtaining a brief, 231. the usual amount of a collection thereupon, 231.

Charles the first, his martyrdom; See Holidays. -- the second, his restoration; See Holidays.

Charter hause, corruptly, whence so called, ii. 444.

Chattels, what, iv. 210. real, personal, 210.

Chauntries, belonging to the religious houses, ii. 455.

Theele, tithe of, iii. 449.

Chestile one of the priest's vestments, i. 251, 344. Child birth; woman dying in child-birth, how to be dif-

posed, i. 289.

Thosepiscopi, who, i. 224, 292. Chrisme, the holy oil, i. 292.

Chaisome, what, i. 292.

Christening, See Baptism.

Church:

Founding of churches; first crection thereof, i. 58, 9. who may found a church, 293. the bishop's licence

whether necessary, 294.

Confecration and dedication of churches, i. 58, 59, 295. church to be endowed before consecration, 295. canons injoining confectation, 296. time of confecration, 297. form of confecration, 297. procuration due upon confectation, 306. feast of the dedication of churches, 308.

Chancel; whence so called, i. 313. by whom to be

repaired, 321. feats in the chancel, 331.

Ile; whence so called, i. 313. a private property, 314. to go with the house, 315.

Churchyard; burying therein, i. 235. fence thereof,

317. trees therein, 318.

Repair of churches; anciently by the bishops, i. 320. next by the rectors, 320. finally by the parishioners, 320. repair of the chancel in particular by the rector, 321. sometimes by the vicar, 321. by lay impropriators, 321. repairing the chancel a discharge from the repair of the church, 323. repair of a chapel of case no discharge from the repair of the church, 323. churches united, how to be repaired, 325. ecclefiaftical judge shall cause the repairs to be made, 325. no prohibition in case of repairs, 327. churchwardens duty therein, 327. manner of obtaining a faculty, where fomething new is added, 328.

Seats; origin of the distinct property therein, i. 328. of common right to be repaired by the parishioners, 328. use of the seats in the parishioners, 329. bishops to dispose of the same, 329. churchwardens power to dispose of the same, 329. reparation necessary to make a title, 330. feat not to go to a man and his heirs,

330. feat may be prescribed for as belonging to an house, 331. and not as belonging to the land, 331. bishop's disposal of seats in the chancel, 331. impropriator's feat in the chancel, 332. vicar's seat in the chancel, 332. feats pulled down, what shall become of the materials, 333. right to seats where triable, 334.

Goods and ornaments of the church; ordinary's care therein, i. 336. churchwardens care therein, 336. communion table, 336. pulpit; reading deik; surplice; font; chest for alms; 337. basin for the offertory; chalice and other vessels for the communion; 338. bells; bier; bible; common prayer book; 339. book of homilies; register book; table of degrees; ten commandments; sentences; monuments; 340. images, 342. other goods and ornaments, 343. who hath the property in the goods of the church, 345.

Rate; to be made at a vestry meeting, i. 346. a personal charge in respect of the land, 347. whether there shall be two rates, one for the fabrick, and the other for ornaments, 347. lands lying in another parish how to be charged, 350. how to be charged where the boundaries of the parish are not known, 356. tenant to be charged, and not the lessor, 351. in what case the founder of a church may be exempted, 351. hall of a company, whether chargeable, 352. manner of laying the assessment, 352. form of the assessment, 353. appeal against the assessment, 354. levying the assessment, 354.

Churches not to be profaned; arrest in the church or churchyard, i. 356. fairs and markets, 357. temporal courts; plays; seastings; 358. musters; brawling; striking; 359. drawing a weapon, 360. rob-

bing of churches, 361. sanctuary, 362.

Way to the church; may be libelled for in the spiritual court, i. 363. may be sued for in the temporal

courts, 363.

Church of England; constitution thereof, i. 364. the king to be of the church of England, 364. his oath to maintain it, 364. penalty of derogating therefrom, 365.

Churching of women; woman to be veiled, i. 289, fee

for churching, 290.

Thurchescot, what, i. 365.
Churchwardens, their origin, i. 366. who are exempted from being churchwardens, 366. chusing churchwardens, 368. refusing to act, 371. ordinary refusing to

fwear them, 372. churchwardens how far a corporation, 375. their prefentments, 375. to be framed on articles of inquiry, iv. 21. to be made upon oath, 21. how far it may be fafe to prefent on common fame, 22. their duty as to fundry temporal matters, i. 376. whether one churchwarden can release, 377. how long they shall continue in their office, 377. manner of their accounting, 377. account when settled, final, 378. cannot bring an action after their office is expired, 379. but their successfors must do it, 379. yet they may be relieved in equity, 379. their protection by the law in the due execution of their office, 380.

Church part, origin thereof, i. 315. fence of the churchyard, by whom to be repaired, 317. trees growing in the church-yard, who hath the property therein, 318.

Ciffertians, an order of monks, ii. 444.

Citation, what, i. 381. form thereof, 381. by whom to be executed, 382. in what manner to be executed, 383. citation viis et modis, 383. citing out of the diocefe, 384. return of the citation, 392. fee for a citation, 394.

Cities, denominated from the bishops sees therein,

i. 252.

TLOW

Civil law, the constituent parts thereof, Preface, page i.

Clandestine marriage, void, ii. 416.

Clergy, benefit of, its origin, i. 164. in what cases al-

lowable, 167.

Clergymen, not bound to serve in a temporal office, iii. 180. not restrained from serving in a temporal office, 180. not obliged to serve in war, 182. not bound to appear at the torn or leet, 182. not to be arrested in attending divine service, 183. penalty on laying violent hands on a clerk, 185. may have the benefit of clergy more than once, 186. exempted from serving on juries, 186. their spiritual possessions protected from distresses, 186, 7, 8. how far subject to taxes and other publick charges, 187, 190. their apparel regulated by canon, 191. restrained from drunkenness and gaming, 194. how far permitted to use recreations, 195. shall not take to farm, 195. may be deprived for incontinency, ii. 354. anciently prohibited to marry, ii. 396.

Clerk of a parish, See Parish Clerk.

Clock, in the church, i. 343.

Clover grass, a great tithe, iii. 416. seed thereof a small tithe, 416.

Cluniarks, an order of monks, ii. 443.

Coadjutors,

Coadjutors, to bishops, their office and dignity, i. 227. to incumbents, 394.

Control to a will, what, iv. 73. form thereof, 379.

Coemetery, whence so called, i. 315.

Collation to a benefice, what, i. 146. difference be-

tween collation and inflitution, 146, 152.

Colleges, lay corporations, i. 396. charters granted to the universities confirmed by act of parliament, 396. jurisdiction where one of the parties is a member of the university, 398. extendeth not to freehold, 400. whether the king's courts may interfere, where a vifitor is specially appointed, 402. return of a visitor by affidavit, 418. visitor must pursue his power, otherwise he will be prohibited, 418. case where a person to be visited happens also to be visitor, 420. where it is disputed, whether a person is visitor or not, the king's courts are to determine it, 420. the archbishop's general power of visitation, 435. colleges how far affected by the statutes of mortmain, 439. college leases, 440. commissions of pious uses extend not to colleges, 440. elections in colleges, 441. preference given to founder's kinsmen, 443. persons elected, to subscribe the declaration of conformity, 448. heads of colleges to subscribe also the thirty nine articles and the book of common prayer, 449. and all of them to take the oaths and make the subscriptions, as other persons qualifying for offices, 449. common prayer in colleges may be used in latin, 450. common prayer before sermons or lectures, 451. divine fervice in general, 451. the holy communion, 451. furplices and hoods on foleinn days, 452. oaths to be taken on admission to degrees, 452. stamp duties, 452. copies of new books to be delivered by the printers to their use, 452. privilege of printing, 452. univerfities to present to popish livings, 489. title for orders, 490. may grant licence to preach, 490. bow far being conversant in the university shall dispense with non-refidence, 490. what degrees are requifite for plurality, 491. first fruits and tenths in colleges, 492. physicians and furgeons, 492. justices of the peace in the universities, 492. assize of bread, 493. taverns and alehouses, 494. carriage of letters, 498. distillers fetting up trades there, 498. ioldiers fetting up trades, 499. persons not free of the city or town selling goods therein, 499. exempted from purveyance, 500. stage plays not to be acted therein, 500. exempted from ferving in the militia, 501. how far exempted from - the land tax, 501. duty on houses and windows, 502. duty

duty on offices and penfions, 502. how far liable to the repair of the highways, 502.

Colonies, wills there, iv. 161.

Colts, tithe of, iii. 440.

Commandzies, in the religious houses, what, ii. 454.

Commemorations, what, ii. 1.

Commendam, what, ii. 1. restraints of commendam, 2. benefice vacated by acceptance of a bishoprick, 2. but the avoidance may be prevented by a commendam, 2. whether a bishop may have a commendam in his own diocese, 3. for what time a commendam may be, 5. how far the king's right to present is served thereby, 6. continuation or renewal of a commendam, 6. resignation of a commendam, 7.

Temmissary, who, ii. 7. how to be qualified, i. 265. his jurisdiction and power, 266. the office for what

term grantable, 268.

Committion of pious uses, manner of issuing the same, i. 282.

Common appurtenant, how far covered by a modus for tithes, iii. 391.

Common fame, presentment thereupon, iv. 22.

Common law, what, Pref. page xxi. general superintendency thereof over the ecclesiastical jurisdiction,

ii 49.

Tommon prayer: liturgy before the acts of uniformity, iii. 220. establishment of the book of common prayer, 220. by the act of uniformity of the 2d Ed. 6th, 221. of the 5th Ed. 6th, 224. of the first of Elizabeth, 225. of the 13th and 14th of Charles the 2d, 227. books of common prayer to be provided in every parish, 233. declaration of assent thereto, 234. penalty of contemning or not using the same, 238. penalty of being present at any other form, 243.

Communion, See Lord's Supper.

Communion table, to be in churches, i. 336. how to be ordered and kept, ii. 372.

Commutation of penance, what, iii. 73. commutation money how to be disposed of, 76.

Composition real, for tithes, what, iii. 395.

Concubinage, of the clergy, partly connived at in ancient times, ii. 396.

Confession; minister not to reveal things made known to

him in confession, ii. 8.

Confirmation, how often to be held, ii. 9. at what time children shall be brought to be confirmed, 9. minister's duty therein, 9. godfathers and godmothers in confirma-

tion,

tion, 10. whether the name may be altered at confirmation, 10.

Conge d'eslire, what, i. 180. ii. 10.

Conies, tithe of, iii. 453. whether they shall go to the heir or executor, iv. 211.

Confanguinity, prohibiting marriage, ii. 384. a cause of divorce; 429.

Conferration of churches, i. 295. of an archbishop or bishop, 186.

Consistory court, what, ii. 11.

Consultation, writ of, what, ii. 11. in what cases grantable, 11.

Contentions jurisdiction, what, i. 267.

Conventicle, See Dissenters.

Conventual friers, a religious order, ii. 449.

Connocation, before the conquest, ii. 16. after the conquest, till the reign of Edw. 1st, 18. from Edw. 1st to Hen. 8th, 19. the act of submission of the 25 Hen. 8th, 23. election of members, 24. their number, 24. two houses, 24. privilege, 25. whether they may vote by proxy, 25. their general power, 25. no power to bind the temporalty, 26. nor against the law of the land, 26. appeal to the convocation, 26. time of their session, 27. their decline, 27.

Coparceners, who, i. 12.

Cope, one of the priest's vestments, i. 250, 344. ii. 28.

Coquinatius, in the religious houses, ii. 457.

Coin, in what manner tithable, iii. 407. corn growing, devisable by will, iv. 61. in what case it shall go to the heir, and when to the executor, 129, 212.

Cozody, what, ii. 28.

Cozps of a prebend, what, ii. 78.

Cozse present, what, ii. 481.

Tolts; in what cases executors and administrators shall not be liable to pay costs, iv. 228.

Council, general, national, provincial, diocesan, ii. 17. iii. 262.

Courts; origin of the ecclefiastical jurisdiction in general, ii. 29. origin thereof in this realm in particular, 30. at first conjoined with the temporal, 30. William the conqueror's charter of separation, 31. papal incroachments after the conquest, 32. opposed by the statutes of provisors, 34. abolished in the reign of king Henry the eighth, and the king declared to be the sountain of jurisdiction, 36. appointment of officers in the ecclesiastical courts, 39. courts where to be kept, 45. manner of proceeding in the ecclesiastical courts,

46. their feal of office, 46. trial of temporal incidents, 47. concurrent jurisdiction, 47. no jurisdiction in cases capital, 48. authority of their sentence, 48. general superintendency of the common law, 49.

Court of arches, i. 88.

——— of audience, i. 97.
——Confistory court, ii. 11.

——of delegates, ii. 123. ——faculty court, ii. 218.

Courts temporal, not to be held in the church or churchyard, i. 358.

Cross in baptism, reason thereof, i. 102.

Crouched friers, a religious order, ii. 450. Cultees, an order of monks, ii. 445.

Curates; ambiguous signification of the word, ii. 52. iii. 42. origin of curates in chapels of ease, ii. 52. origin of perpetual curacies, i. 69. ii. 53. power of appointing curates, i. 280. ii. 53. form of the nomination of an affistant curate, ii. 53. of a curate of a chapel of ease, 55. of a perpetual curate, 55. licence; requifites for obtaining it, viz. nomination, orders, testimonial, oaths, subscriptions, 56, 7. requisites after licence obtained, viz. oath of obedience, declaration of affent to the book of common prayer, to the thirty nine articles, to take the oaths of allegiance, fupremacy, and abjuration, 58, 59, 60, 61. none to ferve more than one church or chapel in one day, 61. their falary, how recoverable, 61, 2, 3. their refidence, 64. how removable, 64. chapels of ease and perpetual curacies augmented, 66.

Cultom, concerning tithes, what, iii. 392.

in the city of London, 331. the province of York, 342. the principality of Wales, 367.

D.

Dalmatica, one of the priest's vestments, i. 344. ii. 67. Datrein presentment, affize of, i. 24, 96. ii. 68. iii. 260.

Deacon, ordination of, iii. 37.

Deaf and dumb person, whether he may make a will, iv. 53.

Deans and chapters:

Of deans; original of deanries, ii. 69. feveral kinds of deans, 68. cathedral dean, who, 69. how appointed, 71. deanry held in commendam, 71. deanry a fine-cure, 71. whether it may be a lay office, Vol. IV.

F f

72. possessions belonging to deanries, 73. dean to visit the chapter, 74. dean may make a deputy, 74. residence of deans, 75. dean's ecclesiastical duty, 75. profits of a deanry during the vacation, 75.

Of chapters; chapter, what, ii. 75. chapter without a dean, 76. in some places two chapters, 76. ca-

pacity to take or purchase, 76.

Of the feveral members of the chapter, in their fole capacity; as canons and prebendaries: difference between prebend and prebendary, ii. 76. prebend, what, 77. canonry, what, 77. two kinds of prebendaries, 77. prebendary how appointed, 77. none to have two prebends in one church, 78. whether a prebend is a lay fee, 78. concerning their feparate possession, 78. how to be charged to the land tax, 79. prebend a sine-cure, 79. residence of prebendaries, 79. their courses of preaching, 79. profits of a prebend during the vacation, 80. prebendary liable to dilapidations, 80.

Of the dean and chapter as one body aggregate; their incorporation, ii. 80. their dependency on the bishop, 81. their jurisdiction, 81. grants made to them, 82. how far they are guardians of the spiritualties, 82. presentation of one of their own body to a benefice, 82. whether a surrender of their lands doth dissolve the corporation, 82. of the deans and

chapters of the new foundation, 82.

Of deans of peculiars; without jurifdiction, ii. 104.

without a chapter, 105.

Tradition (C)

Of rural deans; antiquity of the office; ii. 106, apportioning their diffricts, 106. appointment of rural deans, 107. their oath of office, 107. their holding rural chapters, 108. their attendance at the bishop's visitation, 109. their judicial and other authority, 110. continuance in their office, 111. their disure,

Death's part, what, in relation to the distribution of

the effects of the deceafed, iv. 328, 332.

Debts, of the deceased, in what course and order to be paid, iv. 247.

Declaration of rights, at the revolution, iii. 353.

Detree in equity, equal in the course of payment to a judgment at law, iv. 254.

Dedication of churches, to fome of the faints, i. 308. fealt of the dedication, 308. origin of fairs thereupon,

Defamation,

Defantation, cognizable in the ecclesiastical courts. ii, 112. not for matters temporal, 112. not for matters spiritual mixt with temporal, 113. but for spiritual matters only, 114. words spoken of a clergyman, 116. words spoken in London, 117. in what time the suit must be commenced, 119. in what case the desendant may justify, 120. case where there are mutual defamations, 120, whether the husband can release the wise's suit, 121. whether he can release the costs, 121. sentence for desamation, 121. execution of the sentence,

Deer, tithe of, iii. 453. whether they go to the heir or

Degradation, form and manner thereof, ii. 122.

Degrees, archbishops power of conferring the same,

Degrees of kindled; different ways of computing the fame by the civil and canon laws, iv. 295.

Delegates, court of, ii, 123. appeal to the same, i. 53. manner of obtaining a commission of delegates, 55.

Depolition from the ministry, form and manner thereof, ii. 122.

Deprivation, manner of procedure therein, ii. 123. Devise. See Wills.

within what time, 125, whether dilapidations shall be preferred before debts, 126, ministers to keep their edifices in good repair, on pain of sequestration, 127, fraudulent alienations of goods to deseat dilapidations, 129, dilapidations where to be sued for, 130, whether perpetual curates are liable to dilapidations, 131, the last incumbent (or his executors) chargeable with the whole dilapidations, 132.

Dimissozy letters for orders, by whom to be granted,

iii. 33. to what persons, 35.

Directe, boundaries thereof how to be afcertained, ii.

133. how far one bishop may act in the diocese of another, 133. clergyman living in one diocese, and beneficed in another, how to be proceeded against,

Dispensation, by the pope abolished, ii. 134. transferred to the archbishop of Canterbury, 134. manner of granting the same, 135. in what cases to be confirmed by the crown, 135. see for the same, 137. royal dispensations, 139. archbishop's power of conferring degrees, 140. bishop's power of dispensation, 140.

Dispensing power of the crown, abolished, iii. 354. Dissenters:

Laws against dissenters before the act of toleration; concerning, absence from church, ii. 143. frequenting conventicles, 144. concerning the facrament, 151. several disabilities, 163. laws against quakers in particular, 163. against anabaptists, 165.

How far mitigated by the act of toleration, and other acts; not to be profecuted in the spiritual court for non conformity, ii. 166. exempted from certain offices, 167. penalty of diffurbing the congregation, 170, 178. how far allowed to teach school, iii. 309. quakers rules of discipline how far legal, ii. 175. methodists, 172. moraviavs, 174. episcopalians in

Scotland, 182.

Distribution of intestates effects; where one only can take, iv. 287. whether an infant in ventre sa mere can take, 288, 320. whether co-heiresses shall bring into hotchpot, 288. whether the heir in borough english, 289. advancement, what shall be deemed such, 289. whether grandchildren shall bring into hotchpot, 294. who shall be deemed next of kindred, 295. degrees of kindred by the civil and by the canon law, 295. of the succession of descendants, 302. of ascendants, 304. of collaterals, 311. father how far intitled, 304. grandfather, 305. brothers and sisters in exclusion of the grandfather, 306. half blood, 312. brothers and fifters children, 314, to the husband of the wife's effects, 315. brothers and fifters to share with the mother, 317. cufrom of distribution in different places, 323, within the city of London, 331. the province of York, 342. principality of Wales, 367. a year allowed to diffribute in, 315.

Divorce; causes of divorce, ii. 428, a vinculo, as for confanguinity, affinity, impuberty, frigidity, 429. a thoro et mensa, as for adultery, crueity, 430. divorce not to be on the fole confession of the parties, 431. what shall be deemed a compensation of the crime, 433. fentence of divorce how to be pronounced, 433.

wife's costs to be paid by the husband, 433.

Dactors Commons, ii. 182.

Daminicans, an order of friers, ii. 449.

Donatio causa mortis, what, ii. 183. iv. 74.

Donative, what, ii. 183. original of donatives, 183. of what kind of benefices or dignities, 184. form of a donation, 185. effect of a donation, 185. how far the donee must qualify as other clerks promoted, 126. donative within the statutes of simony

and plurality, 187. whether a donative may lapse, 187. how far exempt from the ordinary's jurisdiction, 187. how affected by the augmentation of queen Anne's bounty, 189. how far of temporal cognizance, 190. how extinguished, 190.

Double quattel, what, ii. 190. manner of proceeding

therein, i. 141.

Drawing a weapon in the church or churchyard, i. 360.

Dzunkennels, punishment thereof, ii. 191.

Ditertel, Dr, his proposal for publishing a general repertory of the endowments of vicarages. i. 71.

Ducks, tithe of, iii. 454.

Dumb persons, marriage of, ii. 395. whether they may make a will, iv. 53.

Dupler querela, what, i. 142. ii. 190.

Etge of good a

Caller, to be regulated according to the new stile, ii.

Eaus, tithe of, iii. 454.

Election canonical, to be free, i. 253. within what time after the vacancy, 255. absent electors to be cited, 256. whether they may make a proxy, 256. manner of taking the votes, 256. case. where the votes are equal, 257. majority of legal votes, what, 257. majority to be of the whole number, 256.

Clepement, how far the husband in such case is answer-

able for debts contracted by the wife, ii. 435.

Ember days, what, ii. 267.

Cremites, an order of friers, ii. 450.

Escuage, what, ii. 18.

Cilcin, of divers kinds, ii. 196.

Cue, or vigil, whence fo called, i. 308. fasting thereon, ii. 266.

many witnesses how far evidence, ii. 196. how many witnesses requisite to a will, iv. 65, 70. depositions and sentence in the spiritual court how far evidence, ii. 198. probate of a will how far evidence, i. 198. iv. 182. letters of administration, iv. 207. cross-examining, ii. 198. confronting, 199. re-examining, 199. expences of the witnesses, 199.

Cramination, of persons to be ordained, iii. 32. of a

clerk before institution, i. 134.

Exthange, of glebe lands, ii. 255. of benefices, 200.
Excommunication, leffer, ii. 201 greater or is for

facto, 201. body corporate cannot be encommunitied,

Ff3

2011 excommunicate person deprived of christian communion, 202. to be kept out of the church, 202. to be publickly denounced every fix months, 202. disabled to bring an action, 203. may not be presented to a benefice, 204. nor be an advocate, 204. nor a witness, 204. whether he may be a juror, 204. whether he may have the benefit of clergy, 204. whether he may make a will, it. 204. iv. 55. whether he may be executor, ii. 205. shall not have christian burial, 205. concerning the writ of excommunicato capiendo, 205. absolution and discharge, 214.

Executor of a will, who may be, iv. 94. infant, 94. infant executrix marrying, 95. wife executrix, 95. executor being a bankrupt, 96. being non composementis, 96. executor of his own wrong, 164. general duty of

an executor, 154.

Etempt jurisdictions, royal, iii. 69. archiepiscopal, 69. cpiscopal, 69. of deans, prebendaries, and others, 70. of monasteries, 71.

Creter college case, concerning the visitatorial power,

i. 402.

Crustist, who, ii. 217. licence to exorcise, 217. exorcising in the office of baptism, 217.

Creent; lands extended on a judgment, go to the executor, iv. 212.

Crtostion. See Fees.

records how all a paid of Fr. seemed the grown

Faculty court, what, ii. 218.

Faits, origin thereof on the church dedication day, i. 310. fairs and markets not to be in the church or churchyard, 357. fairs prohibited on fundays, ii. 364. on certain holidays, 271. to be kept according to the old ftyle, 304.

Halling days to be observed, ii. 264!

Healt days, what days shall be observed as such, ii.

feet, archbishop Whitgist's table of sees, ii. 223. table settled by a jury, 226. tables of sees to be put up in the courts, 220. sees how recoverable, 221, extortion, 218, 9.

Fellowships of colleges, ingrafted; how far subject to

Felo de se, whether a will made by him shall be valid, iv. 55. whether he may have christian burial, iii.

Felon

A.T.A B.L. E. of the principal matters.

felon attainted, whether he may make a will, iv. 54: ecclefiastical jurisdiction extendeth not to felony, raldinate arthur all

Ferae naturae: animals of that kind how far tithe-

, able, iii, 378,

able, iii, 378, iii, 418.

Feudal, institution of primogeniture, iv. 324.

Fiert facias, writ of, what, iv. 466.

fighting in the church or churchyard, i. 359. Given to the pope, ii. 229.

Annexed to the crown, ii. 230.

Concerning the manner of payment of first fruits and tenths, ii. 231. compounding for the payment of first fruits, 231. penalty on not paying or compounding, 232. value how to be afcertained, 232. in what diocese to be rated, 233. year when to commence, 233. incumbent dying foon after induction, 233. within what time archbishops and bishops shall pay 233. deans, archdeacons, prebendaries, how to pay 234. tenths to be deducted out of the first fruits, 234. grants of exemptions from first, stuits and tenths to continue, 234. what livings are exempted from first fruits, according to the valuation in the king's books, 234. what livings are exempted from first fruits and tenths, according to their clear yearly value, 234. St George's chapel in Windsor exempted from first fruits and tenths, 235. hospitals and schools exempted from first fruits and tenths, 235. lessor to pay first fruits and tenths, and not the lessee, 235. collector of the tenths, 275, where he shall keep his office, and when to attend there, 236, times of payment of the tenths, 236, forfeiture on non-payment of tenths, 236. tenths a charge upon executors, administrators, and successors, 237. case of tenths where there is no incumbent, 237. members of cathedrals and colleges to pay separate, 237. collector to give acquittances, 237, to pay the tenths into the exchequer 2238. his estate chargeable, 238. passing his accounts v 238000

First fruits and tenths appropriated to the augmentaof the tion of small, livings, ii. 238. power to establish a corporation, and settle thereon the first fruits and bile tenths, 238. power to fettle benefactions on the ii faid corporation, 238. letters patent of incorporation, 239. rules and orders made in pursuance of the faid letters patent, 241. ascertaining the value

of the livings to be augmented, 244. agreement with benefactors for the nomination, 244. agreement with patrons and others for a slipend, in case of augmentation by lot, 245. capacity of ministers for receiving the augmentation, 246. augmentation of benefices vacant, 246. benefices augmented shall be perpetual cures, 246. and lapse thereof may incur, 247. donatives how affected by the augmentation, 248. exchange of lands settled by the augmentation, 248. registry to be kept of all matters relating to the augmentation, 248. number of livings capable of augmentation, 248. form of a deed of gift of money for the augmentation, 249. form of an instrument to be executed by the governors.

Jill), tithe of, iii. 456. fish in a pond, go to the heir, iv. 211. fish carriages allowed to pass on sundays,

ii. 363.

Flar and hemp, how titheable, iii. 433.
Font to be in churches, i. 337. ii. 250.
Fonteviault, nuns of, ii. 448.
Forest land, how far liable to tithes, iii. 379.
Fornication, how punished, ii. 351.
Foul, tithe of, iii. 454.
Franciscans, an order of friers, ii. 449.

frankalmoin, the church lands anciently of that tenure, ii. 18.

Frank marriage, what, iv. 357.

Friery, what, ii. 449.

Frigidity, a cause of divorce, ii. 429.

Frontal, what, i. 345.

Funcial expenses to be paid before debts, iv. 252.

Furze, whether tithable, iv. 418.

G.

Ballery in the church, power of erecting, i. 343.

Bange days, what, iii. 60.

Bardens, how tithable, iii. 438.

Bavelkind lands, deviseable by will, and why; iv. 324.

gavelkind in Wales, abolished, 367.

Beese, tithe of, iii. 454.

Gilbertines, a religious order of canons, ii. 447.
Blasses, in the wainscot, go to the heir, iv. 213.

There lands; every church to have a glebe, ii. 251. reason why the glebe is often in detached parcels, i. 295. glebe lands in abeyance, ii. 251. freehold there-of in the parson, 252. yet not alienable, 252, 332. whether

whether they may be exchanged, 255. waste in glebe lands, 255. whether mines may be dug in glebe lands, 255. tithes of glebe lands, ii. 255. iii. 380. incumbent dying, ii. 256.

Grace, with respect to faculties, what, ii. 256. iii. 95.

Giail, what, i. 344. ii. 257.

Grantmontines, an order of monks, ii. 443.

Brep friers, a religious order, ii. 449.

Guardian, in chivalry, iv. 87. by nature, 88. in socage, 88. by nurture, 88. appointment of guardians by will, 86. by the custom of the province of York, 85, 86, 89. guardians of ideots and lunaticks, 89. duty of guardians, 91, 2, 3.

Bunpowder treaton, annual commemoration thereof,

arming along a re-fill close of the party arms

productive incomy and H. Sprack and information Hackney coachmen, allowed to pass on sundays, ii. 363.

Day, manner of tithing thereof, iii. 413. Deadlands, whether tithable, iii. 408.

Hearthspenny, what, ii. 257.

Heath, furze, and broom, whether tithable, iii. 418. Deir looms, to go with the house, iv. 63, 125, 214.

Demp, how tithable, iii. 433.

Heng, tithe of, iii. 454.

Derefy, power of the convocation to inquire thereof, ii. 258. power of the ordinary, 259. power of the temporal courts, 259. punishment thereof, 260.

Periot, what, ii. 261.

Hermitage, what, ii. 455. Herle cloth, i. 343.

Dolldars, what days to be observed as such, ii. 261. feast days, 263. fasting days, 264. repairing to church on holidays, 270. fairs prohibited on certain holidays, 271. occasional offices; for the fifth of November, 272. the thirtieth of January, 274, the twenty-ninth of May, 276. the king's inauguration, 280.

Homilies, book of, to be provided by the parish, i. 340.

to be read in the church, iîi. 257.

Honey, tithe of, iii. 455.

Hops, how titheable, iii. 435.

Hospitals, of divers kinds, ii. 283. power of foundation, 283. anciently Instituted for travellers and pilgrims, 455. to be nigh the way fide, 455. vifitation and government of hospitals, 285. elections in hospitals, 286. léafes

A. T. A. B. E. of the principal

S. a the sirves boules, who, i. e.; vinc. i. 281 inner o simulation of the sirves of have for enforcing for the wife and

man he finitely, to be observed as a fishing day,

and other south mucho panitheat, if are or in it from it all all. Jevali marines a

WING LIFE THERE HOW IN DICTION IN PROPERTY IN COLUMN 1

reducer, exclusives, expression in an original description that each is particular. e intropolici with the entropy Thin an conquery, the popular actions a coupsel, joi special by the factors of pro-a spoilled in the steps of they Henry the o

S HOUR AND A WALL IN DOC

he primarie, ion as unner of the eighter try, effect of last real, sy.

April 20 rath on marginal blackers in

lik, njeho komi nari, i po vreim kor mik s vil, v. 13. garian d'ibra, sto s

ciumi, miesz 6 czleś, i 313- a j

n, gra, is et wit de bond, gra in the storma, i. gas, gas, who

n senteré élement le les m sé du cing, a le réfer

mater, what, i we is less that we at weath, named of a 1 less effect man, 157, consider also addition, 156.

former, so what ago they may marry, it gift. at what ago make a will, in. 42. infant may be an entrance, e limites executive energing, og transmit of a sey to an infant, 188. to factor define by guirdin

name, in the religious boules, who, it were

Complete to a benefice, i. 146. requires to be per-formed at the sime of mineration, 146. form and mineres of interior, 1440 effect thereof, 151. Sepa-terior, 152. Carries, when I so 1. effect thereof, 2500 how lif-

mid, 193. Interes, now far to be allowed, on payment of debts by in executor or identificator, in 153, in a legacy,

reillority; decree, white, it 143. receive, what, it 193, confinitions against it, 193, receive, what things to be put therein, iv, 199, form

thereof, 373. Babliothyp, what, it ago, ill tag. Ballo fauto, common of choic words, it give, it o

K.

Belinder; year to begin on the first of James, a year. eleven days thrown one, 30% winings to bear date according to the new Hyle, 30% course and mannings, 30%. Eather and other holidays, 30% fairs, 30% paltures, rests, coming of age, 30%.

Beyond's fellow hips, in St John's college, Cambridge,

bint, his dryle and title, ill. 100, 118, his outh at his to many a popular, rup, to be a pupilar, in rup, and to many a popular, rup, to be of the cherch of Englished, a gain his feptomacy in exclusions manner.

King's some, put up in the church, i. 343.

King's inauguration day, ii. 28c.

King Church thirt, his martyridem to be use formed as a fasting day, ii. 275.

King Church the formul, his return to be use formed as a day of thanklighting, if. 176.

Burting, as the factanists; figuritative characterists at 374.

sometimes in and year profess Line . or profess in the

Lambs, tithe of, iii. 442.

Laple, what, ii. 307. incurred in fix months, 308. from what time the months to be computed, 308. cafe where an insufficient clerk is presented, 309. where the lapse happeneth thro' the bishop's own default, 309. lapse shall not incur per saltum, 310. bishop being both patron and ordinary, shall not have twice fix months, 311. lapse incurred during the metropolitical visitation, 311. bishop dying after lapse incurred, 312. no lapse from the king, 312. patron's right, where advantage of the lapse is not taken, 212. whether a donative will lapse, 314.

Law, civil, canon, common, statute; what, Pref.

page 1. xxvi.

Law patentees, validity of their grant, i. 452.

Leases, by the common law, ii. 314. by the enabling flatute of the 32 Hen. 8th, 316. of bishops, by the disabling statute of the i Eliz. 326. of other corporations, fole and aggregate, by the disabling statute of the 13 Eliz. and other statutes, 334 bonds and judgments to defraud the faid statutes, 340. further regulations as to college leases, 341. how leases of benefices with cure become void, by non-residence, 343. lease a chattel, and goes to the executor, iv. 212.

Lecherwite, what, ii. 351.

Lecturer, who, ii 347. how appointed, 347. licence, and his duty thereupon, 348. lecturer in cathedrals, i. 261.

Lenary; what persons are incapable of a legacy, iv. 262. ademption of legacies, how, 262. legacy where to be fued for, 264. fecurity to be given, when the day of payment is diftant, 267. payment of a legacy to an infant, 268. in what case a legacy shall bear interest, and from what time, 271. maintenance and education how far to be allowed, 275. payment to a feme covert, 277. fecurity to refund, in case of insufficiency of affets, 277. in what case legatees shall abate proportionably, 278: a thin it was town as the

Legates, of three kinds, ii. 350.

Legatine, constitutions, what, Pref. page x.

Legend, what, i. 343. ii. 351. Legitime, what, iv. 324.

Letters dimissory, iii. 33.

Lewonels, anciently punishable in the leet, ii. 351. prefentable in the spiritual court, 352. yet punishable

also by the temporal laws, 353. temporal punishment in cases of bastardy, 353. adultery a cause of divorce, 354. clergymen further punishable, 354. what shall be sufficient evidence of the offence, 354.

Lifel, what, ii. 355. copy of the libel to be delivered to the defendant, 355. defamatory libel, what, ii. 112.

Licence, of preachers, iii. 252. of lecturers, ii. 348. of curates, ii. 56. of schoolmasters, iii. 304. of physicians, iii. 83. of surgeons, iii. 83. of midwives, ii. 439. of marriage, ii. 405. licensing of printing, ii. 452.

Ithjarry, parochial; ordinary to visit the same, ii. 358. to be locked up during the vacancy of the church, 359. new incumbent to give security, 359. and to make new catalogues, 359. books not to be alienated, 360. remedy in case of books lost or detained, 360. account to be kept of new benefactions, 360. new regulations from time to time how to be made, 360.

Lily's grammar, the king's copyright of it, i. 472. to

be taught in schools, iii. 312.

Limitation, statute of, how far pleadable in equity, iv. 260. whether pleadable against legacies, 263.

Litany, at what times to be used, iii. 250.

London, manner of paying tithes there, iii. 486. statute enabling to dispose of personal estate by will, iv. 331. custom of distribution in case of intestacy, 331. death's part distributable according to the statute of distribution, 332. superintendency of the court of orphans, 333. children intitled tho' born out of the city, 333. child intitled, tho' born after the father's death, 333. child dying, the orphanage part furvives, 333. wife divorced shall not have her customary part, 334. case where the husband was attainted, 334. wife or children advanced, 334. what shall be deemed a sufficient advancement, 335. child of age may release the customary part, 337. whether the husband can release, 338. whether marriage without confent bars the custom, 340. whether the custom extends to grandchildren, 340. hotchpot only amongst children, 341. whether a lease is affets within the custom, 341. whether the trust of a term, 341. a mortgage, 341.

Lazo's day; penalty of not reforting to church on the lord's day, iii. 216. due observation of the lord's day, ii. 361. exercising wordly calling on the lord's day, 361. fairs and markets on the lord's day, 364. sports on the lord's day, 365. serving process on the lord's

day, 367. robbery on the lord's day, 368.

Fold, a

Lord's suver; who shall or shall not be admitted to the holy communion, il. 369. not to be administred in private houses, 371. notice to be given of the holy communion, 372. names of the communicants to be delivered in, 372. what number is requisite for communicating, 372. concerning the communion table, 372. bread and wine by whom to be provided, 373. offertory, 373. habit of the minister officiating, 4374. confecration of the facrament, 374s posture of the communicants, 374. communion in both kinds, 375. bread and wine remaining, how to be disposed of, 376. oblations due to the minister, 376- how often in the year to be administred, 376, penalty of depraying the holy communion, 377. fervice when there is no communion, 1379, had had no one you a marriam to don't

Lunatick, whether he may marry, ii. 395; whether he may make a will, iv. 431 Iguardians of lunaticks, Divorce: causes thereof, in and can kind of the age

to be to the same of an old me of a

Mackarel, allowed to be fold on fundays, ii. 363. Madder, how tithable, iii. 434, 1000 Dagister operis, in the religious houses, who, ii. 456. Dahometans, oath how to be administred to them, ii.

379. Dat et die auf no aleo est become

Pancheller college, case of, i. 420. Manual, what, i. 344. Joseph Storing tem tem the

Marriage: And of the half and seem gold transport Who may marry; at what age, ii. 381. consent of parents or guardians, 382. levitical degrees, 384. dumb persons, 395. idiots and lunaticks, 395. priests, 396. fix clerks in chancery, 398. doctors of the civil law, 399.

Of marriage contracts; spoulals, what, ii. 399. de præsenti, 399. de suturo, 399. not to be made privately, 400. age for contracting, 400. what remedy shall be upon the contract, 400, infant's contract how far binding, 401. what confent shall amount to a contret, 403. The contribution of the contri

Of bans: previous notice, it 403, where to be published, 404. at what times, 404: proclamation thereof, 404. diffent of patents or guardians, 405. certificate of bans published, 405. register of banns published, 420. ser i odw meillenobia

DEED TO STREET WILL SHAPE IN THE

Of licence; who may grant, ii. 405. to whom, 406. lecurity to be given, and oath to be made, 406.

forging licence, 408.

When and where to be folemnized, in 408. clandeftine marriages, ecclefiastical punishment thereof, 400. pecuniary forfeitute, 412. felony, 415. marriage to be void, 416.

Form of folemnization, ii. 417. witnesses present, 417. impediments alledged, 417. ring, 41830 facrament, 27419. fermon, 419. 10000000 372 (2012) in 10000

Fee for marriage, ii. 419. whether any is due where the persons are not married, 420. a sub monerate

Register of marriage, ii. 420, hardware sales are Certificate of marriage, ii. 422.

Trial of marriage; by the ecclefiastical judge, in 422. bishop's certificate thereof, 424. evidence of mar-

riage, what shall be sufficient, 425.

Divorce: causes thereof, ii. 428. two kinds of divorce, 429. a vinculo - for confanguinity or affinity, impuberty, frigidity, 429. a thoro et mensa; for adultery, cruelty, 430. divorce not to be on the fole confession of the parties, 431. what shall be deemed a compensation of the crime, 433. fentence of divorce, 433. costs on both sides to be paid by the integral before th husband, 433.

Alimony, of ecclefiaftical cognizance, ii. 434. to be only while the parties live separate, 434: whether

the wife may dispose thereof, 434.

Elopement: how far the husband in such case is anfwerable for the wife's debts, ii. 435. separating by confent, 435, wife turned away, 435, leaving the husband without consent, 437. living with an adulterer, 437. 1500000 PT

- Devise in restraint of marriage, how far valid, iv. If morning contracts; specific would

- Marriage brocage bonds, validity thereof, iv. 92.1 Dartyzoom of king Charles the first, to be observed as a day of fasting and humiliation, ii. 275.

Dalf, what, ii. 439. how tithable, iii. 440. Wif

Maturines, a religious order of friers, in 450.11407 Day the twenty-ninth, to be observed annually as a day of thankfgiving, it. 276. The table is seen will

Dethodilts, how far within the act of toleration, ii. 1720 के क्यों में हिन्दू कार्मात्रकात् में के उपनान

Metropolitan, who, i. 172.

Diddleser, registry of wills there, iv. 181.

Widwives.

cost pelliliqua

pintuines, occasion of being licensed, ii. 439. their oath of office, 440. a temporal, not spiritual, office,

Milk, tithe of,-iii. 449.

spills, chargeable to the church rate, i. 353. tithe thereof how to be paid, iii. 456.

Mines, in glebe lands, whether they may be wrought,

Dinoxies, corruptly, whence so called, ii. 448.

Millel, ambiguous fignification of the word, in. 27. Millel, what, i. 344.

Mitt tithes, what, iii. 375.

able commencement, 395. must have a reasonable commencement, 395. must be something for the parson's benefit, 399. must not be one tithe in lieu of another, 399. must be different in kind from the thing that is due, 400. must be certain, 401. ancient, 403. durable, 404. without interruption, 404. how destroyed, 404. how to be tried, 405.

Monasteries:

Origin of monasteries, ii. 442. appropriation of churches

made to them, i. 62.

The feveral forts of monks; — benedictines — cluniacks — grandmontines — carthufians — cifterians, or bernardines — favignians, or fratres grifei — tironenses — culdees, ii. 443, 4, 5.

Canons, fecular, regular; — augustines — order of St.
Nicholas — order of St Victor — of St. Mary of
Merton — præmonstratenses — gilbertines — order
of the holy sepulchre or holy cross, ii. 445, 6, 7.

Nuns; whence fo called, ii. 447. feveral forts of Nuns, 447. order of Fontevrault, 448. of St Clare, or minoresses, 448. order of St Bridget, 448.

Friers; whence to called, ii. 449.—dominicans—franciscans—capuchins—trinitarians, or maturines—carmelites—crossed or crouched friers—austins, or eremites—order of the sac—bethlemites—order of St Aathony of Vienna—bonhommes, 449, 450,

Military orders; - knights hospitalars - templars-

order of St Lazarus, ii. 451, 2.-

Of the feveral kinds of houses, — cathedrals — colleges — abbies — priories — preceptories — commandries — hospitals — frieries — hermitages — chauntries — free chapels, ii. 452, 3, 4, 5.

Officers therein, — abbat — prior — subabbat — subprior — magister opens — eleemosynarius — pitan-

tiarius

ti arius - facrista - camerarius - cellerarius - thefaurarius - præcentor - hostilarius - infirmarius — refectionarius — coquinarius — gardinarius — portarius — writers — annalists, ii. 456, 7.

Dissolution, - templars dissolved - other dissolutions. before the 27 Hen. 8. — dissolution by the 27 Hen. 8. - by the 31 Hen. 8. - by the 32 Hen. 8. — by the 37 Hen. 8. — by the 1 Ed. 6th; ii. 458 — 465.

Observations: number of houses suppressed, ii. 466. value, 466. number of persons, 468. how the revenues were disposed of, 468. conclusion, 469.

- How far their lands were exempt from tithes,

iii. 381.

Donuments erected in the church or churchyard, i. 248,

340.

Dozavians, their affirmation to be taken instead of an oath, ii. 174. iii. 13, 19. exempted from serving in war, ii. 174.

Dort d' ancestor, affize of, what, i. 96.

Dortgage, devise thereof passeth the lands, iv. 116. asfets for payment of debts, 241. how far preferable

in payment to other debts, 255.

Doztmain, what, ii. 470. restraints of mortmain, 471. relaxation of those restraints, 474. further restraints by the statute of the 9 G. 2d. 475. colleges how far affeeted thereby, 439. ii. 477.

Portuary, what, ii. 481. limitation of mortuaries by statute, 482. how recoverable, 487. mortuaries in the dioceses of Bangor, Landaff, St David's, and St Asaph, 484. in the archdeaconry of Chester, 484, 5. in the archdeaconry of Richmond, 485.

Bulier, meaning thereof in legal acceptation, i. 117.

Busters, not to be in the churchyard, i. 359.

Preel synod, what, i. 194.

N.

Pailer, James, indicted for blasphemy, iii. 202.

Rational synod, ii. 117.

Pave of the church, whence so called, i. 313.

De admittas, writ of, what, iii. 1.

new Ayle established, ii. 300.

Rest avoidance, how far grantable, i. 9. form of fuch. grant, 43.

Poduru, wkat, iii. 1, 229.

Ronsconformists, See Dissenters.

Vol. IV. Gg

Pon decimando, what, iii. 392. In administration of Ponseelidence, See Relidence.

Potabiliachona, what, iv. 156. gernen bin nuit bla

Dotary publick, who, iii. 1. how appointed, 2. how fworn, 2. his office in contestation of suit, 2. authenticity of his proceedings, 3.

Povel pilleifin, affize of, what, iii. 3.

200 cmber the fifth, to be observed annually as a day of thanksgiving, ii. 272.

Puneupative will, what, iv. 72. form thereof, 380.

Accessor, in many to the O. sile or become, in which

Dath: And and great part to builting and ells

Lawfulness of an oath, iii. 4. oath ex officio, 4. oath of calumny, 6. voluntary or decisive oath, 8. oath of truth, 8. oath of malice, 8. suppletory oath, 8. oath in animam domini, 11. oath of damages, 11. oath of costs, 11. oath of purgation, 12. oather oaths of use in the courts, 12. oath of allegiance, 12, 15. of supremacy, 12, 16. of abjuration, 12, 16. oaths of quakers, 13, 17. of the moravians, 13, 19. of insidels or aliens, 13. oaths and declarations to qualify for offices, 14.

Oath of the king at his coronation, i. 364. iii. 352. of bishops at their confecration, i. 181, 185. of rural deans, ii. 107. of canonical obedience by perfons presented to a benefice, i. 146. against simony, iii. 326. vicar's oath of residence, i. 146. iii. 296. curate's oath of obedience, i. 278. ii. 58. oath of office by surrogates, ii. 406. iii. 358. by publick notaries, iii. 2. by churchwardens, i. 371. by sidesmen, i. 372. by midwives, ii. 440. of executors,

iv. 175. of administrators, iv. 204.

Dbit, what, iii. 19. Dblations, what, iii. 19.

Dbservants, an order of friers, ii. 449.

Diventions, what, iii. 19.

Differings, whether due of common right, iii. 20. how recoverable, 21.

Differtury, what, i. 338. alms collected at the offertory

how to be distributed, ii. 373.

Dffice; whether grantable to two jointly, ii. 45. grant thereof how reftrained by the disabling statute of the 1 Eliz, ii. 326. office not to be fold, ii. 42. appointment of officers in the ecclesiastical courts, ii. 39. oat's and declarations to qualify for offices; iii. 14.

Dfficial, who, iii. 21. official of the archdeacon, i. 87. 265. official principal, his qualification, 265. his jurisdiction and power, 266. duration of his office, 268. Dlo style, abolished, ii. 300.

Dution, what, i. 216.

Diatory, what, i. 272.

Dichards, tithe thereof how to be paid, iii. 439.

Didinal, what, iii. 22. Didinary, who, iii. 22.

Didination; of the order of priests and deacons in the church, iii. 23. of the form of ordaining priests and deacons, annexed to the book of common prayer, 24. the same established by the thirty-nine articles, 25. by canon, 25. by act of parliament, 25. all other forms abolished, 25. of the time and place for ordination, 26. of the qualification of the persons to be ordained, 27. age, 27. title, 28. testimonial, 30. examination, 32. letters dimissory, 33. oaths and subscriptions previous to the ordination, 36. form and manner of ordaining deacons, 37. form and manner of ordaining priefts, 38. fees for ordination, 39. simoniacal promotion to orders, 41. general office of deacons, 41. general office of priests, 44. exhibitting letters of orders, 45. archbishop Wake's directions to the bishops of his province,

in relation to orders, 45. Digans in the church, i. 343. Dinaments of the church, i. 335.

Divhans, in London, iv. 333. Ditulatory, what, iii. 56. Oltiary, who, iii. 56, 319.

Dutlaw, whether he may make a will, iv. 45.

Priced. See Golleges.

Dall, what, iii. 56.

Dannage, what, iii. 57.

Daper, duties thereon to be drawn back for certain books printed in the universities, i. 452.

Daplits. See Poperp.

Daraphernalia, what, ii. 400. iii. 57. iv. 216. whether devisable by the husband, 216. whether good against debts, 216.

Dardon, effect thereof in ecclesiastical matters, iii. 57. Darish; first institution of parishes, i. 60, 61. iii. 58. perambulation of the boundaries of parishes, iii. 60. bounds of parishes where to be tried, iii. 61.

Darish eleck, who, iii. 63. his qualification, 63. how to be appointed, 63. how to be admitted, 64. his falary, 64. the same how recoverable, 65. how removable from his office, 66.

Datochial chapel, what, i. 275. parochial library, ii.

358.

Darlon, who, iii. 68. parson imparsonee, 68.

Dartringes and pheasants, whether tithable, iii. 455. Dasting bell, on departing out of this life, iii. 323.

Patriarch, who, i. 172. ii. 68. Datron, patronage. See Advowson.

Deruliar, what, iii. 69. royal, 69. archiepiscopal, i. 174. iii. 69. episcopal, iii. 69. of deans, prebendaries, and others, 70. of monasteries, 71. appeals from places exempt, i. 55. iii. 72. visitation of places exempt, iii. 72.

Denance, what, iii. 73. private, publick, solemn, 73. regulations thereof by canon, 74. by statute, 75.

disposal of the commutation money, 76.

Denston, what, iii. 78. origin thereof, i. 70. iii. 78. how recoverable, 78, 9.

Dentecostals, what, i. 278. iii. 80. how recoverable,

iii. 81.

Derambulation of the boundaries of a parish, iti. 60. Derinde valere, writ of, what, iii. 81.

Derjury in the ecclefiastical court, how punishable,

Perpetual curate. See Curates. Dersonal tithes, what, iii. 375.

Petter pence, what, iii. 82, 112. anciently paid to the fee of Rome, 112. abolished, 112.

Dhysticians, how far necessary to be licensed, iii. 83.

Die, what, i. 344. iii. 86.

Digeous, tithe of, iii. 455. pigeons in a dove house go to the heir, iv. 211.

Diggs, tithe of, iii. 440.

Ditantiarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 456.

Places of bishops, what, i. 195.

Diantations, wills there, iv. 161. estate there, affets for payment of debts, 243.

Days, not to be acted in the church or churchyard, i. 358. nor within the universities, 500.

Plough alms, what, iii. 86.

Diurality, restraints thereof by canon, iii. 87, by statute, 88, dispensation of plurality, 93, regulations thereof, 98, manner of obtaining a dispensation, 99, form of a dispensation, 101, leases of pluralists, 103, 2019gamp,

Dol ygamy, what, iii. 104. punishment thereof, 105.

Dooz bor, to be in churches, i. 337.

Dopery; papal incroachments within this realm, iii. 108. popish jurisdiction abolished, 108. peter pence abolished, 112. first fruits and tenths taken from the pope, 113. pope's presentation to benefices, 113. appeals to Rome, 114. bringing bulls and other instruments from Rome, 117. popish books and relicks, 118. jesuits and popish priests, 120. saying or hearing mass, 124. frequenting conventicles, 125. foreign education of papists, 125. popish children of protestants 127. protestant children of papists, 128. papists not repairing to church, 128. perverting others, or being perverted to popery, 137. entring into foreign fervice 138. refufing the oaths and subscriptions, 138. armour and ammunition, 142. horses, 144. popish baptism, 144. marriage, 145. burial, 145. heirs of popish recusants, 146. popish wife, 146. popish servants or sojourners, 147. popish schoolmasters, 147. papists not to succeed to the crown of this realm, 149. shall not sit in either house of parliament, 150. shall not present to benefices, 152. papists shall be as excommunicated, 159. shall not repair to court, 159. shall not come within ten miles of London, 161. Shall not remove above five miles from their habitation, 162. shall be disabled as to law, physic, and offices, 166. hall not be executors, administrators or guardians, 167. shall not inherit, or take by descent, devise, or purchase, 168. inrolling deeds and wills of papifts, 169. registring estates of papists, 170. papists to pay double taxes, 174. lands given to superstitious uses, 174. presentment of papists to the courts spiritual and temporal, 175. information against papists not restrained to the proper county, 176. peers how to be tried in cases of recusancy, 176. papists conforming, 176. saving of the ecclesiastical jurisdiction, 178. - form of the declaration against popery, iii. 17.

Dortarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 457.

Poztifozium, what, i. 344. iii. 22.

Doztion of tithes in another parish, iii. 376.

Poztuis, what, i. 344. iii. 22. Potatoes, tithe of, iii. 438.

Praedial tithes, what, iii. 375.

Diaemonstratences, a religious order of canons, ii. 446. Diaemunientes clause in the writ of summons to parlianient, ii. 20.

Praemunice, Katute of, iii. 114.

Dieaching, not to be without licence, iii. 252. duty of preachers, 256. prayer before fermon, 255.

Diebendarp, who, ii. 76.

Dictentoz, in the religious houses, who, ii. 457.

Dierogative court, iii. 179.

Diescription, concerning tithes, what, iii. 392, 472. prescription in the common and ecclesiastical laws different, 207. what length of time will create a prescription in the ecclesiastical courts, i. 74, 332, 335.

iv. 29, 173, 405.

Diesentation to a benefice, i. 121. difference between presentation and nomination, 121. presentation to be to a void benefice, 122. presentation by an infant, 122. by coparceners, jointenants, and tenants in common, 123. by executors, 123. by the husband in right of his wife, 124. be tenant in dower, 124. by the mortgagee, 124. by the king during the vacancy of a bishoprick, 124. by the king on promotion to a bishoprick, 125. by the lord chancellor of benefices in the king's gift, 127. whether an alien may be presented, 128. whether a layman, or a deacon, 129. a pluralift, 129. whether a man may present himself, 129. whether the fon next immediately after his factor, 120. within what time a presentation shall be, 131 whether it may be by word, 132. form of a presenta on, 132. whether it may be revoked, 133. examination of the person presented, 134. original right of examination in the bishop, 134. time for examination, 136. manner of examination, 137. bishop's refusal of the person presented, 139. causes of refusal, 139. notice of refusal to be given to the patron, 139. remedy for the clerk refused, by duplex querela, 141. for the patron, by quare impedit, 145.

Descentments of churchwardens, origin thereof, i. 375. to be framed upon articles of inquiry, iv. 21. to be upon oath, 21. how far it may be safe to present upon common same, 22. presentment in what manner to be made, 23. at what times to be made, 23. penalty for

not presenting, 24.

Diest, a word of ambiguous import, iii. 43.

Drimate, who, i. 172.

Dincipal creditor, in what case administration may be granted to him, iv. 199.

2102 and prioress, who, ii. 456.

Pziozies alien, ii. 454.

STIP OF

Dittileges of the clergy, iii. 180. not bound to ferve in a temporal office, 180. not restrained from serving in a temporal office, 180. not bound to serve in war, 182. not bound to appear at the torn or leet, 182. not to be arrested in attending divine service, 183. penalty for laying violent hands on a clerk, 185. shall have the benefit of clergy more than once, 186. exempted from serving on juries, 186. shall not be amerced after the quantity of his spiritual benefice, 186. how far subject to pensions or services to the king, 187. sheriff not to levy on his ecclesiastical goods, 187. distresses not to be taken in the lands of the church, 188. how far liable to taxes and other publick charges, 190.

Probate of wills, iv. 154.

Brocels, ferving on the lord's day, ii. 367.

Diotto, who, iii. 198. his general duty, 198, 9. not to act without the advice of an advocate, 199. not to

be clamorous in court, 200.

Descriptions, whence so called, ii. 109. anciently made by provisions in kind, iv. 27. now converted into money, 28. how recoverable, 29. whether payable by lay impropriators, 29, 30.

Profanences, indictable at common law, iii. 201. depraving the christian religion by words or writing, 201.

profaning the same in stage plays, 202.

Diohibition, not grantable in cases merely spiritual, iii. 204. not for proceeding by the canon law, 205. not for trying temporal incidents, 205, not for a temporal consequential loss, 206. for temporal matter mixt with spiritual, 206. on trial of customs, 207. on the construction of acts of parliament, 208. on refusal of a copy of the libel, 209. on a collateral furmise, 209. on the husband's suing on the wife's cause of action, 210. Suggestion to be first moved in the spiritual court, 210. affidavit to be made of the suggestion, 210. frict proof of the suggestion not necessary, 211. suggestion traversable, 211. not to be granted on the last day of the term, 211. in what case it may be after sentence, 212. in what case the plaintiff may have a prohibition to flay his own fuit, 212. party dying, 213. costs, 214.

Diacocol, what, iii. 3, and the manual

Diovincial constitutions, what, Pref. page xi. provincial fynod, ii. 17.

Gg4

Publick

Bublick notary, iii. r. Dublick wozship:

Due attendance on the publick worship; all persons to resort to church, iii. 216. on pain of punishment by the censures of the church, 216. on pain of 12d a sunday, 216. on pain of 20l a month, 219. on pain of being disabled from offices, 219. penalty of harbouring such recusant, 220. recusant conform-

ing, 220.

Establishment of the book of common prayer; power of the church to decree rites and ceremonies, iii. 220. liturgy before the acts of uniformity, 220. by the act of uniformity of the 2 Ed. 6th, 221. of the 5 Ed. 6th, 224. of the 1 Eliz. 225. of the 13 & 14 C. 2d, 227. books of common prayer to be provided, 233. declaration of assent thereunto, 234. subscription and declaration of conformity thereto, 236. penalty of contemning or not using the same, 238. penalty of being present at any other, 243.

Orderly behaviour during the divine service; by the canons, iii. 243. by the statute of 1 Mary, 244. by the act of toleration, 247. by the riot act, 247.

Performance of the divine service in the several parts thereof; iii. 247. common prayer to be used on holidays, 247. on other days, 248. in what part of the church, 248. habit of the minister officiating, 248. morning and evening prayer, 250. psalms, 250. litany, 250. prayers and thanksgivings after the litany, 250. singing, 251. publication of ecclesiastical matters in the church, 252. preaching, 252, homilies, 257. publication of acts of parliament, and other temporal matters in the church, 257.

Bulpit to be in churches, i. 337. Dur auter vie, what, iv. 352.

Durgation, the form and manner of it, iii, 259. abolished, 259.

Q.

Dunkers, laws against them before the act of toleration, ii. 163. how far exempted from the penalties thereof by that act, 166. their affirmation admitted instead of an oath, 168. forms of their affirmations and declarations instead of oaths, iii. 17. their tithes and church rates how recoverable before justices of the peace, i. 356. iv. 482. legality of their discipline, ii. 175.

Ruare impedit, in case of the bishop's refusal of a clerk presented, i. 145. iii. 260. form and manner of trial,

Auare incumbravit, writ of, iii. 261.

Quare non admisst, writ of, iii. 261.

Quartelling in the church or churchyard, i. 359.

Queen Anne's bounty for the augmentation of small livings, ii. 238.

Querela dupler, what, i. 141.

Questmen, who, i. 366. how appointed, 368.

Quod permittat, writ of, iii. 261.

R.

- Rape feed, tithe thereof, iii. 417.

Mateliffe, Dr, his travelling physicians, i. 288.

Mate, for the repair of the church, i. 346.

Rationavili parte bonozum, writ of, iv. 730.

Meader, in what case allowed in the church, iii. 267. antiquity thereof, 267. how appointed, 267.

Reading besk, to be in churches, i. 337. first institu-

tion thereof, iii. 248.

Reasonable part, of widows and children, what, iv. 328.

Recognizances, in what order of debts to be paid, iv. 254.

Recollects, an order of friers, ii. 449.

Reconciliation, of a church, what, i. 307.

Reconfectation of a church, when necessary, i. 307.

Meconvention, what, ii. 120.

Recusant, who, iii. 120.

974T /-

Resectionarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 457.

ictual, by the bishop of a clerk presented to a benefice, i. 139. causes of refusal, 139. notice of resusal to be given to the patron, 139. remedy for the clerk resused by duplex querela, 141. for the patron by quare impedit, 145.

Register, how appointed, iii. 269. his office and duty,

figure vook, first institution thereof, iii. 273. to be provided by the parish, i. 340. how to be kept, iii. 274. register book good evidence, 274. whether differers have a right to be inserted in the church register, 274, 5, register of marriages in particular, 275. of burials in particular, 276.

Ment in arrear, goes to the executor, iv. 212. in what order of preference to be paid amongst debts, 255.

| Repair

Menair of the church, i. 320. 110 32 myon aincipal

Residence, by canon, iii. 278. by the common law, 278. by the statute, 278. hospitality to be kept by non-refidents, 201. leases of non-residents, 202, residence of bishops, 293. of deans, 293. of prebendaries and canons, 294. of rectors and vicars, 295. of curates, . 207. of pluralitts, 207. of persons presented by the universities to popish livings, 297.

Reliduary legatee, in what case he shall have administra-

tion of the effects, iv. 199.

Relignation, what, iii. 297. to whom to be made, 298. whether it must be made in person, 298, must be abfolute, and not conditional, 299. must be accepted by the proper ordinary, 299. ordinary's power to refuse, 300, 1. from what time laple after refignation shall incur, 301. corrupt refignation, 301. general bond of refignation, whether valid, 331.

Respond, what, iii. 302.

Restantion of king Charles the second, to be observed as an holiday, ii. 276.

Review, commission of, i. 55.

Richmond archdeaconry, probate of testaments there, iv. 189.

Right of advowson, writ of, what, i. 24.

King, in marriage, fignification thereof, ii. 418.

Ringers, their falary, i. 343.

Robbery, on the lord's day, hundred not answerable for it, ii. 368.

Rochet, what, iii. 302.

Rogation days, what, ii. 267.

Royal dispensation, iii. 99.

Rural bean, antiquity of the office, ii. 106. apportioning the district, i. 173. ii. 106. appointment of rural deans, ii. 107. their oath of office, 107. their holding rural chapters, 108. their attendance at the bishop's visitation, 109. their judicial and other authority, 110. continuance in their office, 111. their disuse, 111.

Sabbath, or lord's day, due observation thereof, ii. 361. Sac, order of, in the religious houses, ii. 451. Sacraments, iii. 303. Sacrilege, i. 361. Sacrista, in the religious houses, who, ii. 456. Saffron, how tithable, iii. 439.

Sanduary, privilege of, abolished, i. 362. ... guarage Savignians, an order of monks, ii. 444.

behools.

whether necessary to be licensed, 304. penalty on papists teaching school, 309. differenters how far allowed to teach school, 309. whether the ordinary may deprive for teaching without licence, 310. in what cases curates shall have the preference in teaching school, 310. schools subject to a commission of pious uses, where there is no visitor, 312. whether the visitor's power is conclusive, 312. governors, eo nomine, are not visitors, 312. whether the trust surviveth, on the seosses dying away beyond the limited number, 314. schools how far liable to the publick taxes, 315.

Scire facias, writ of, what, iii. 466.

Drotland:

Custom of distribution of intestates effects there, iv. 364. Episcopal ministers there, to pray for the king and

royal family, ii. 182.

Marriages there, in fraud of the statute, ii. 415.

Stats in churches, origin of the distinct property therein, i. 328. of common right to be repaired by the parishioners, 328. use of the seats in the parishioners, 329. bishop to dispose of the same, 329. churchwardens power to dispose of the same, 329. reparation necessary to make a title, 330. seat not to go to a man and his heirs, 330. seat may be prescribed for as belonging to an house, 331. and not as belonging to the land, 331. priority in a seat may be prescribed for, 331. bishop's disposal of seats in the chancel, 331. impropriator's seat in the chancel, 332. seats pulled down, what shall become of the materials, 333. right to seats, where triable, 334.

Deer of bishops, what, i. 252.

Scled vestry, iv. 8.

Dentence, definitive, iii. 316. interlocutory, 316. fentence must be in writing, 316. must be pronounced in presence of the parties, 316.

Dentences of scripture, on the walls of the church,

i. 340.

bequestration; during the vacancy of a benefice, iii. 316. iv. 2. where none will accept a benefice, iii. 316. during a suit, 317. for neglect of duty, 317. for debt, 317. for dilapidations, 317. who shall have the profits pending an appeal, 318. sequestrator's duty in receiving the profits, iii. 318. iv. 3. how to account, iii. 318. iv. 4. sequestration discharged, iii. 318.

Serton,

berton, whence so called, iii. 319. how appointed, 319. where the office is elective, whether a woman may vote, 320. whether a woman may be elected, 320. whether a mandamus lies to restore a sexton, 319. how displaced, 320.

Shipton, prebend of, annexed to the professorship of law

in Oxford, i. 129.

Shroud, stealing of, i. 248, 362.

Sick, visitation of, iii. 321. communion of the sick, 321. departing out of this life, 323.

Sidesmen, who, i. 366. how appointed, 368. their

oath of office, 371.

Significabit, on a writ de excommunicato capiendo,

Simony, by the canon law, iii. 324. oath against simony, 326. simony by the statute law, 327. concerning bonds

of refignation, 331. form thereof, 338.

Simple contract debts, to be last in payment, iv. 257.
Sine cure; original of fine-cures, iii. 339. more than one incumbent to make a fine-cure, 340. possession of fine-cures how to be obtained, 340. not within the statute of pluralities, 341.

Singing of pfalms in the church, iii. 251.

Slander, how far of spiritual cognizance, ii. 112.

Small tithes, what, iii. 375.

Sodomy, i. 232.

Son, whether he may be presented to a benefice immediately after his father, i. 129.

Soul-shot, what, ii. 481.

Spalato, archbishop of, made dean of Windsor, i. 128. Spoliation, writ of, what, iii. 341. in what cases grantable, 342.

Sports, how far permitted on the lord's day, ii. 365. king James the first, his book of sports, 367. licensed

by king Charles the first, i. 312, 313.

Stamps, on the several ecclesiastical instruments, iii. 343. Statute sam, what, Pref. page xxv. statute inslicting no penalty, how to be inforced, ii. 426.

Stipendiary priests, who, ii. 607-iii. 344.

Striking in the church or churchyard, i. 359.

Subdeacon, who, ii. 344.

Subscription:

To the 39 articles; by persons to be ordained, iii. 36. by clerks at institution to a benefice, i. 146. by persons elected in colleges, 449. by officers in the ecclesiastical courts, ii. 42. by lecturers, ii. 348. by curates, ii. 57. by schoolmasters, iii. 304. by dissenting teachers, ii. 167. by anabaptists, ii. 168.

10

To the book of common prayer; by clerks, at inftitution, i. 148. by persons elected in colleges, i. 449.

by curates, ii. 57.

To the three articles, concerning the supremacy, the common prayer, and the 39 articles; by persons to be ordained, iii. 36. by clerks at institution, i. 147. by lecturers, ii. 348. by curates, ii. 57. by school-masters, iii. 304.

buffragan bishops, who, i. 224. their sees, 224. their nomination and consecration, 225. their power, 226.

now disused, 227.

Duicide, iii. 344.

Sunday, due observation thereof, ii. 361.

Duperinstitution,, what, i. 152.

Supervisors of a will, their authority, iv. 97.

Suppolititious births, i. 112.

Suppermaty; the king's supremacy by the common law, iii. 345. by the canons of the church, 346. by the 39 articles, 347. by act of parliament, 347. the king's style and title, 348. penalty of denying the king's supremacy, 349. penalty of afferting the pope's supremacy, 351. supremacy limited and defined by the act of ettlement at the revolution, 352. by the act of union of the two kingdoms of England and Scotland, 357. oath of supremacy, iii. 12, 16, 351.

Surgeons, how far necessary to be licensed, iii. 83.

Surplices, to be provided in churches, i. 337.

Surrogate, who, iii. 358. his oath of office, ii. 406. iii. 358. bond for the due performance of his office, iii. 358.

Surrender, by deed, ii. 321. in law, 321.

Sulpension, ab officio et beneficio, iii. 359. ab ingressu ecclesiæ, 359.

Swans, tithe of, iii. 455.

Swearing, punishment thereof, by the canon law, 360. by statute, 360.

Swinburne, his character, iv. 327.

Sylva caedua, what, iii. 423. tithe thereof, 421.

Synon, general, national, provincial, diocesan, iii. 363. Synonals, what, i. 259. iii. 364. how recoverable, iii. 365.

Synodaticum, what, i. 259.

T.

Table of degrees of marriages prohibited, to be fet up in churches, i. 340.

Templars, a religious order of knights, ii. 452. diffolved, 458.

Tempozalties of bishopricks, in the time of vacation,

1. 203.

Ten commandments to be set up in churches, i. 340.

Tenants in common, who, i. 13.

Tenths, what, iii. 113. anciently paid to the pone, 113.

given to the king, 113.

Merrier, required to be made, iii. 365. authority there-

of, 366. form thereof, 366.

Test, form thereof to be taken against transubstantiation, iii. 17.

Testament, difference between will and testament, iv. 41.

Testes synodales, who, i. 366, 376. iv. 20.

Testimonial, for orders, iii, 30. form thereos, 52, 53, 54. of a person presented to a benefice, i. 137. Thesaurarius, in the religious houses, who, ii. 457.

Withes:

Origin of tithes in England, iii. 374.

The feveral kinds of tithes, with their nature and properties, iii. 375. division of tithes into prædial, mixt, and personal, 375. division of tithes into great and small tithes, 375. tithes restrained to the proper parish, 376. portion of tithes in another parish, 376. tithes in extraparochial places, 377.

Of what things tithes shall be paid; and of exemptions and discharges from tithes, iii. 377. of things that renew yearly, 377. once in the year, 377. things of the substance of the earth, 377. things fere naturæ, 378. barren land, 378. forest land, 379. glebe land, 380. abbey land, 381. ancient demesne,

391. common appurtenant, 391.

Of modus's, or exemptions from payment of tithes in kind; and of custom and prescription, iii. 392. difference between custom and prescription, 392. de non decimando, 392. de modo decimandi, 395. modus must have a reasonable commencement, as from a composition real, 395. must be something for the parson's benefit, 399. must not be one tithe in lieu of another, 399. must be different in kind from the thing that is due, 400. must be certain, 401. ancient, 403. durable, 404. without interruption, 404. modus how destroyed, 404. how to be tried, 405.

Of the several particulars tithable, iii. 406.

Corn, and other grain, 407. balks and meres, 408. headlands, 408. stubble, 408. rakings, 408.

after-

after-eatage, 408. fallow ground, 409. tares cut to feed cattle, 409, beans and peafe, 409.

May, and other like herbs and feeds, 413, aftermowth, 415. after eatage, 415. clover, 416. rape feed, 417. woad, 418. fern, 418. heath, furze, broom, 418.

Agistment, or pasturage, 419. due de jure, 419. for what cattle, 419. not two tithes in the same year, 420. by whom to be paid, 420. modus for

the fame, 421.

Wood, 421, whether it is tithable de jure, 421. whether it is a great or small tithe, 423. tithe of sylva cædua by the canon law, 423. by the statute law, 423. no tithe of wood for timber, 424. no tithe of the roots of trees, 432. nor of wood for husbandry or fuel, 432. nor for hurdles for sheep, 432. nor for hop poles, 432. for making bricks, 432. of fruit trees, 432. of nurseries, 432. in what manner to be paid, 433. by whom to be paid, that is whether by the feller or buyer, 433. modus for the fame, 433.

Flax and hemp, to pay 5s. an acre, 433.

Madder, to pay 5 s: an acre, 434.

Hops, in what manner tithable, 435.

Roots and garden herbs and feeds, as turnips, parfley, cabbage, faffron, 438.

Fruits of trees; as apples, pears, acorns, 439.

Calves, colts, kids, pigs, 440.

Wool and lamb; a mixt small tithe, 442. at what time due, 443. in what manner to be tithed, 443. how to be proportioned in different parishes, 445. sheep removed to avoid the payment of tithe lambs, 446. theep dying or killed, 447. lamb's wool, 447. sheep agisted, 447. locks of wool, 448. several stocks depastured together, 448. modus for the same, 449.

Milk and cheese; a mixt tithe, 449, not milk and cheese both, 449. payment thereof by canon, 450. cows depasturing in different parishes, 450. when milk shall be paid, and when cheese, 451. agistment of milk cattle, 451.) manner of tithing, 452. modus for

in the fame, 452.

-1:3.6

- Deer and conies, 453. Which was a second of the second o

Fowl; hens, ducks, geefe, 454. fwans, 455 turkies, 455. pigeons, 455. partridges and pheafants, 455. modus for the fame, 455. The state of his was and the

Bees, 455. 11. 1814 Curri de la contra de la Contra

Mills, fishings, and other personal tithes, 456.

Of

Of the fetting out, and the manner of taking and car rying away of tithes, iii. 460. general manner of fet ting out, 460. not before the crop is cut, 461. the parson may not set it out, 461. yet he may see it set out, 461. must take care of it after it is set out, 462. may spread and dry it on the ground, 462. and carry it away, 462. but must not do wilful damage, 463.

Tithes how to be recovered, iii. 463. incumbent compelled to demand, 463. who to be fued for the fame, 464. to whom to be paid where the parish is not known, 464. anciently recoverable in the county court, 465. recoverable in the spiritual court; by the canon law, and by divers statutes, 465. recovery of treble value in the temporal courts, by the 2 & 3 Ed. 6th, 471. recovery of double value in the ecclesiastical court, by the same statute, 474. manner of suing for tithes in the ecclesiastical court, 479. suit for small tithes before justices of the peace, 479; suit for quakers tithes before justices of the peace, 482. tithes severed to be sued for in the temporal courts, 485, suits for tithes in the courts of equity, 485, incumbent dying, 485.

Tithes in London, iii. 486.

Form of a lease of tithes, iii. 500.

Mironeuses, an order of monks, ii. 445.

Title for orders; what shall be deemed sufficient, iii. 28. bishop to provide for a clerk ordained without a sufficient title, 29. form thereof, 52.

Toleration, See Dissenters. Tomb stone, See Burial.

Tonsure, what, iii. 193.

Transubstantiation, condemned, ii. 374. form of the declaration against it, ii. 374. iii. 17.

Trees, in the churchyard, who hath the property in them, i. 318.

Arentals, what, iii. 502.

Trinitarian friers, a religious order, ii. 450.

Troper, what, iii. 502. Tunic, what, iii. 502. Turkies, tithe of, iii. 455.

Turnips, how tithable, ii. 438.

V.

Thatation of a benefice; who shall have the profits during the vacation, iv. 1. sequestration issued on a benefice becoming void, 2. management of the profits,

fits, 3. supply of the cure, 3. successor when to entry, 4. sequestrator to account, 4. proportioning the profile with the predecessor's executors, 4.

Vacation of bishopricks. See Bishops.

Vieltry, what, iv. 6. notice of the meeting, 7. who may vote, 7. hindring persons from the meeting, 7. majority conclusive, 7. power of adjourning, 7. entry of acts made, 7. vestry clerk, 8. beadle, 8. select vestry, 8.

Mettry clerk, his appointment, iv. 8.

carages, endowment thereof upon appropriation, i. 68. original endowments where likely to be found, 71. vicarage a distinct benefice, 70. patronage of vicarages how acquired upon endowment, 70. authority of endowments, 71. prescription where the endownents fail, i. 73. iv. 394. trial of endowments, where, i. 73. what length of time creates a prescription, 74. endowment to be construed favourably, 74. how far the ordinary hath power to augment vicarages, 75. augmentation of poor vicarages by the governors of queen Anne's bounty, ii. 238. vicarage how disloved, i. 81. form of the endowment of a vicarage, i. 82.

Usear general, who, i. 264. how to be qualified, 265. his jurisdiction and power, 266. for what term the of-

fice is grantable, 268.

Migil, what, ii. 266. whence so called, i. 308. what days to be observed as vigils, ii. 266.

Mils et modis, citation, what, i. 383.

Mi laica remobenda, writ of, what, i. 154. iv. 12. ef-

fect thereof, ii. 298.

Cliner's institution of a professorship of the common law, ii. 50, observations on his abridgment of the common law, 51.

Illitation of churches and chapels, origin thereof, i. 375. iv. 12. who shall visit, iv. 12. how often, and in what order, 13. inhibition during the time of visitation, 14. general power of the visitors, 15. visitation fermon, 17. exhibits at the visitation, 18. presentments, by whom to be made, 19. to be made upon oath, 21. articles of inquiry, 21. presentments on common same, 22. presentment in what manner to be made, 23. at what times to be made, 23. see for taking in presentments, 24. penalty for not presenting, 24. none to be presented twice for the same offence, 25. churchwardens to support their presentments, 26. precurations, 26. anciently by provisions in kind, 27. now converted into money, 28. whether due when Vol. IV.

no visitation is made, 28. to be sued for in the spiritual court, 29. to be paid by rectories impropriate, where there is no vicar endowed, 29. impropriate rectory where there is a vicar endowed, 30. chapel of ease under a parochial church, 30. churches newly erected, 30. places exempted, 30.

Clifficatorial pewer, over colleges, and other charitable foundations, i. 402.—439, in the case of schools,

ii. 312.

Moid benefice, See Avoidance.
Tishintary jurisdiction, what, i. 267.

U

Union of churches, iv. 30. causes of union, 30. who may unite, 30. restraint of union by statute, 31. in towns corporate, 31. union may be in suture, 34. presentation to united benefices, 34. reparation of the united churches, 34. other payments and duties, 34. estect of union as to pluralities, 35. church united to a prebend, 35. union how tried, 35.

Universities, See Colleges. Alurvation, what, iv. 35.

Ultury, by the civil law, iv. 38. by the canon law, 38. by the common and statute laws, 39.

W.

Collake, or festival of the dedication of the church, i. 308.

Whales, custom of distribution of intestates effects there, iv. 367. service in the Welsh tongue, it. 230.

committing in the glebe lands, ii. 255. whether digging mines therein is wafte, 255.

Whatermen on the Thames, allowed to pass on the lord's day, ii. 963.

May to the church, where to be fued for, i. 363.

Wilhite friers, a religious order, ii. 450.

Tellitgift, archbishop, his table of fees, ii. 223.

Mhitiunsfarthings, what, i. 277. iii. 80.

Colinging, at what time they may marry after their huf-

band's death, ii. 396.

an executrix, 95. payment of a legacy to her, how far good, 277. devife to her to her feparate use, 107. how that the wife is of kindred to the husband under the statute of distribution, 108.

Wills:

Who may make a will; infant, iv. 42. idiot, 43. lunatick, 43, person of weak understanding, 44. perfon in liquor, 45. married woman, 45. person under fear or restraint, 51. person circumvented by fraud, 51. deaf and dumb, 53. blind, 53. traytor, 54. felon, 54. selo de se, 55. outlaw, 55. excommunicate person, 55. Of what things a will may be made; of lands, iv. 55. lands to charitable uses, 57. of an estate pur auter vie, 58. mortgage, 58. advowson of a living, 59. lands contracted for, but not conveyed, 59. leafe, 59. term for years, 59. debts or things in action, 60. things which the testator hath not of his own, 60. things in joint tenancy, 61. corn growing, 61. things not yet in rerum natura, 61. things belonging to the freehold, 62. things in executorship, 63. things in administration, 63. wife's goods by the husband, 63. things obtained after the will made,

Form and manner of making a will; of lands to be in writing, iv. 65. figned by the devisor, 65. attested and subscribed in his presence, 65. by three witnesses, 69. what witnesses are necessary for a will of goods, 70. declarations of truft, 71. nuncupative will, 72. codicil, 73. donatio causa mortis, 74. qualification of the witnesses, 75-85. appointing of guardians, 85. appointing of executors, 94. wife being an executrix, 65. supervisors of a will, their authority, 97. attesting the execution of the will, 97. how far it is necessary that the witnesses know that it is a will, 67. wills to be construed favourably, 101. parol averment how far to be admitted to prove the intention, tor. clause of perfect mind and memory, 104. what words will pass a fee simple, 104. devise to a seme covert to her separate use, 107. devise to heirs female, 107. devise to one's relations, 107. how far the wife is a relation, 108. devise to younger children, 109. life's estate, how implied, 110. estate equally to be divided, 110. devise of mortgages passeth the lands, 116. advowson, tithes, fee farm rents, 117. lands to be fold, 117. devise upon condition, 119. executory devise, what, 120. devise tending to perpetuity, 122. devise to children yet unborn, 125, in what case maintenance shall be implied, 127. houshold stuff, 128, houshold goods, 128. all his goods, what it implies, 128, H h 2 chattels

chattels doth not imply things which go to the heir, 129. land implies the corn growing thereon, 129. devife in reftraint of marriage, 132. condition not to give trouble to the executor, 134, thing devifed twice, 135, thing which a person hath not of his own, 135, in what cases a legacy shall be said to lapse, 135. Surplus, 143, wills how revocable, 149.

Of the probate of wills, and administration of in-

Probate of wills; origin of the jurisdiction, iv. 154. bishop, 155. peculiar, 155. archbishop's prerogative in ease of bona notabilia, 156. wills in the British colonies, 161. wills of land not subject to the ecclefiaftical jurisdiction, 162. will of goods not effectual before probate, 163. refusal of an executorship, 163, executor of his own wrong, 764. co-executors, some of them do refuse, 167. where one executor excludes the other, 168. where all refuse, administration to be committed, 168. within what-time the will shall be proved, 169. what the executor may do before probate, 169, ordinary to cite-the executor to prove the will, 170, mandamus to compel the ordinary, 174. manner of proving the will, in common form, 171. in folemn form, 172. executors oath to render a just account, 175. bond to the like purpose, 176. probate making out, 181. registry of wills in particular places, 181. probate of a will of lands not evidence, 181. probate of a will of goods and chattels how far evidence, 182. fee for probate, 185, executor dving, 190.

Administration of intestate's effects; power of the ordinary, iv. 191. ordinary may be compelled, 194. refusal of administration, 197. to be granted to the widow or next of kin, 197. to the husband of the wife's effects, 198. to the father or mother of their childrens effects, 199. to the grandmother before uncles and aunts, 199. to the son before the father, 199. half blood, 199. in general, to the next of kindred, 199. to the residuary legatee, or principal creditor, 199. administration during absence out of the kingdom, 200. pendente lite, 200. during the minority of an infant executor or administrator, 200. seme covert administratrix, 263. administrator dying, 203. where none will administer, 203. may be granted

.. toam

before administration, 204. person cannot ast before administration, 204. time of granting administration, 204. administrator's oath, 204. bond on granting administration, 204. fee for administration, 206. letters of administration allowed as evidence, 207. revoking administration, 207.

Of the duty of executors and administrators in making an inventory, and getting in the effects of the deceased, iv. 208. administring before inventory made, 208. laws requiring the making an inventory, 209. things to be put into the inventory, 210. goods, 210. chattels, 210. debts owing by the deceased, 211. debts owing to the deceased, 211. leafes, 212. extent, 212. tent, 212. corn or other things growing, 212. things fixed to the freehold, 213. heir looms, 214. box with writings, 215. profits of lands to be fold, 215. wife's paraphernalia, 216. wife's goods or chattels, 218. manner of valuation, 219. in what cases an inventory may be dispensed with, 219. how far strict formalities are necessary, 220. strictness requisite in contestation of fuit, 220. action given to executors, 221. action given to administrators, 222. action in case of rent in arrear, 222. in what courts to be brought, 224. in what case co-executors must all join, 224. case where one co-executor refuseth, 225.-in what case one may do what all may do, 225; one executor cannot fue another, 226. co-executor dying, 226. executor of an executor, 226; administrator dying, 227. executor of an administrator, 227. action brought against divers executors, 227. costs, 228.

Of the payment of debts by executors or administrators; ordinary hable, iv. 229. executors and administrators liable, 230. devisee and heir at law of lands liable, 231. lands devised to divers to be fold for payment of debts, one of them may fell, 238. in what case the heir may enter for the condition broken, 239. fraudulent alienation of goods to defeat creditors, 239, affets what, 240, in what case me the lands and the personalty shall be charged in aid of each other, 244; in what case both executors thall be charged, where one only hath affets, 246. ous ma what debts to be first satisfied, 247. forfeiture for -ni as to not burying in woollen, 2521 funeral expences, 252. overseer of the poor dying, 252. charges of probate sos por administration, 252, debts due to the king on bases record, 252: debts due to the post office, 253. judg-

H h 3

CIL

ments, 253. decree in equity, 254. recognizances and statutes, 254, mortgages 255, rent, bonds, and other obligations, 255. simple contract 257 in what case debts shall be paid pari passu, 258. in what cases interest shall be allowed, 259. debts barred by the statute of limitation, 260. executor may file a hill to determine priority of payment, 261. debts to be paid before legacies, 261.

Of the payment of legacies, and distribution of in-

testates effects:

Payment of legacies; what persons are incapable of a legacy, iv. 262. in what cases legacies are taken away or extinguished, 262. legacy where to be sued for, 264. security to be given, when the day of payment is distant, 267. payment to an infant, 268. in what case a legacy shall bear interest, and from what time, 271. maintenance and education how far to be allowed, 275. payment to a seme covert, 277. security to refund, 277: if assets sall short, in what case legaces shall abate, 278. co-executor dying, who shall be sued, 283.

Of the distribution of intestates effects, iv. 283. of the statutes of distribution, 283. of customs in particular places, 323. within the city of London, 331. the province of York, 342. the principality of Wales, 367. the kingdom of Scot-

land, 364.

Account; executor's oath to account, iv. 368. administrators bond to account, 368, before whom the account shall be, 368. ordinary's power to compel the executor, 368. ordinary's power to compel the administrator, 368. parties interested to have notice, 369. manner of passing the account, 370. expences to be allowed, 371. money lost, 171. discharge, 371. costs, 371. whether the administration bond shall be put in suit for debts not paid, 371.

Form of an inventory, iv. 373. of a will of lands, 374. of goods, 374. of lands and goods, 376. of a codi-

cil, 379. of a nuncupative will, 380.

Mitena gemot. what, i. 194.
Misab, tithe of, iii. 418.
Misob, tithe of, iii. 421.
Misol, tithe of, iii. 442.

Wilsollen, burying in, i. 238.

Winolffou's cafe, for blasphemy, iii. 203.

Comen; carnally knowing a woman child under ten, iii. 261. taking a woman by force, 262. taking a woman having substance, 262. taking a woman under fixteen, 264. ravishment, 266.

Writ of right of advowion, i. 24.

Wiriters, in the religious houses, their office, ii. 457.

Dork, province of; custom of appointing guardians, iv. 85. of distribution of intestates effects, 324, 342. border service there, 324. Bookshire, register of wills therein, iv. 181.

The reader is defired with his pen to correct the following ERRATA, which affect the fense.

VOI. I

(Preface page xiv. l. i. for to put, read to be put.) page line 27 - 37 - for Hertford, read Hereford. 180-40-persons, r. person. 223 - 29 -- delemma, r. dilemma. 253 — 8 — arge, r. large. 294—25——is, r. his. 334—20——freedom, r. freehold. 366—40——is, r. his.

370 — 31 — manner, r. manor.

404 — 19 — an, r. and.

VOL. II.

ERRATA.

page line	VOL. II.
	to brought, r. to be brought.
21- 2-	and temporal, r. and the temporal.
60 00	in safe w in Guah cafe
03-29-	in case, r. in such case.
75- 2-	devisim, r. divisim.
48	perceived, r. received.
103 — 10 —	to, r. or.
216-26-	what, r. that.
	or, r. of.
275-18-	to give do, r. do give to.
276-21-	ac and r ac an And far and of read of
2/0 34	1 C TT TTI- C
287 — 1—	
204- 5-	this, r. his.
302 - 37-	of lord, r. of our lord.
345 - 30-	accepted, r. excepted.
216-10-	—he, r. if he.
340 10	
375 — 1 —	—— <i>now</i> , r. no.
423-31-	——now, r. no. ——had been, r. had not been.
428 - 00	for had r for her had
450 - 29-	for had, r. for her had.
453 - 34-	was, r. were.
	** * * ***
	VOL. III.
6-10-	his, r. is.
-6	O:: 10.
10-21-	Gritain, r. Great Britain. (And Mem.
	fince the death of the late pretender.
	fince the death of the late pretender,
	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the
	fince the death of the late pretender,
20-41-	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.)
70-41-	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse.
74 - 11-	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. formerly, r. formally.
74 - 11-	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. formerly, r. formally.
74 — 11— 80 — 27—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.)
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——caufe, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into. ——fong, r. long.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 20—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into. ——song, r. long. ——is, r. his.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 20—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into. ——song, r. long. ——is, r. his.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into. ——song, r. long. ——is, r. his. ——four-acres, r. fore-acres. ——to, r. no.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) ——cause, r. chuse. ——formerly, r. formally. ——there oblation, r. their oblation. ——in, r. into. ——song, r. long. ——is, r. his. ——four-acres, r. fore-acres. ——to, r. no.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 446 — 11—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24— 318 — 9—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea. —or, r. of.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24— 318 — 9— 320 — 31—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea. —or, r. of. —lives, r. lines.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24— 318 — 9— 320 — 31— 332 — 13—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea. —or, r. of. —lives, r. lines. —531, r. 501.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24— 318 — 9— 320 — 31— 332 — 13—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea. —or, r. of. —lives, r. lines. —531, r. 501.
74 — 11— 80 — 27— 282 — 14— 284 — 41— 316 — 29— 413 — 31— 444 — 11— 475 — 41— 131 — the la 265 — 24— 318 — 9— 320 — 31— 332 — 13—	fince the death of the late pretender, the abjuration oath is altered; by the 6 G. 3. c. 53.) —cause, r. chuse. —formerly, r. formally. —there oblation, r. their oblation. —in, r. into. —song, r. long. —is, r. his. —four-acres, r. fore-acres. —to, r. no. —where the sheep or lamb are shorn, r. where the sheep lamb, or are shorn. —common, r. common law. VOL. IV. ast-—so, r. fow. —Yet, r. Yea. —or, r. of. —lives, r. lines.







